## How is the New York State Education Department Growth Model Produced for Teachers? ${ }^{1}$

Student growth is a measure of the progress a student makes during the school year as measured by standardized tests. This differs from student achievement, which provides a snapshot of a student's academic understanding at a single point in time. Taken together, growth and achievement provide a more complete picture of a student's current academic standing. Showing growth and achievement on the same diagram shows the relationship between the two concepts. Students in quadrant A experience high growth and high achievement. Students in quadrant D experience low growth and low achievement.

FIGURE 1. STUDENT GROWTH AND PRIOR ACHIEVEMENT


## Where and when will data be available?

State-provided growth scores for 2022-23 are expected to be distributed to districts in November 2023.

## Where can I get more information?

Additional information is available on the nysed.gov
State-Provided Growth Measures Toolkits page.
Additional information on APPR plans is available under Education Law §3012-d.
Detailed guidance documents on New York's law and regulations are also available.
Teachers should contact their district/Board of Cooperative Educational Services (BOCES) leaders for additional information about APPR or the calculation of State-provided growth scores.

[^0]
## How Do We Measure Student Growth?

The production of New York State Education Department's teacher growth model begins at the student level. For each student, their expected performance on the grades 4-8 English language arts (ELA) and math State assessment is created using their actual assessment score, prior academic history, and individual and classroom characteristics. The comparison of the expected performance to the student's actual performance generates a value of how much the student out- or under-performed the expectation. When the difference between actual performance and expected performance is positive, the student scored better than expected. When the difference is negative, the student scored less than expected.

## Grades 4-8 Student Growth Percentile (SGP)

For all students in New York State, the difference between their actual and expected scores is used to create a percentile rank based on each student's relationship to other students who took the same grade level and subject State assessment; this is also known as a student growth percentile (SGP). The larger the percentile rank, the more students a particular student out-performed. A simpler interpretation would be that a student with an SGP of 60 showed as much or more relative growth than $60 \%$ of students in the state with similar characteristics.

## From SGP to Mean Growth Percentile (MGP)

Once the individual SGPs are computed for each student, the next step is to aggregate them into an individual teacher measure. Students must be enrolled in their course for at least 60\% of the course duration to be included in their teacher's results.

For students that meet this requirement, their individual SGPs are weighted by the amount of time the students attended the course.

Mean Growth Percentiles (MGPs) are calculated for each grade/subject combination for each teacher. These MGPs are combined using the number of students used in each grade/subject to create the overall MGP for each teacher.

For additional information about how student linkage and attribution is calculated for teachers, see the Linkage Modular FAQ.


## From MGP to HEDI Ratings and Scores

To determine HEDI (i.e., Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, and Ineffective) ratings and scores, the MGPs for all teachers statewide are compared.

The HEDI rating is determined in two steps:

1. Determine where the teacher's MGP lies compared to all other teacher MGPs in the State.
2. Use the confidence interval of each teacher's MGP to determine their overall growth rating.

This two-step process is done because, as with all statistical calculations, there is some uncertainty associated with the SGP estimates. Although the reported MGP is the best estimate for any teacher, MGPs are also reported with an upper limit and a lower limit that represent the range of scores, or confidence interval, wherein an educator's true MGP lies 95 percent of the time. The width of the confidence interval is affected by such factors as the number of students included in generating the score, the spread of student scores, and the characteristics of tests students take. Figures 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ show how MGPs are assigned to HEDI ratings in this two-step process.

## —— FIGURE 2. HEDI CLASSIFICATION FLOWCHART



Figures 3 and 4 represent a hypothetical example. For means and standard deviations specific to a given year, please refer to the classification slides.

FIGURE 3. HEDI CLASSIFICATION DIAGRAM EXAMPLE
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To illustrate the two-step process to determine the HEDI rating, consider the following four example teachers where the hypothetical mean MGP across all teachers is 51 and the standard deviation is 11 (see Figure 4). ${ }^{2}$

FIGURE 4. TEACHER EXAMPLES
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Teacher 1 has an MGP of 70, which is more than 1.5 times the standard deviation above the mean MGP. The teacher's confidence interval lower limit is 65 , which is greater than the mean MGP, so Teacher 1 is assigned a Growth Rating of Highly Effective (see Figure 4.1).

## FIGURE 4.1. TEACHER 1
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Teacher 2 also has an MGP of 70, but in this case the teacher has a confidence interval lower limit of 48, which is less than the mean MGP. ${ }^{3}$ As a result, Teacher 2 is assigned a Growth Rating of Effective (see Figure 4.2).

## —— FIGURE 4.2. TEACHER 2



Teacher 3 has an MGP of 37, which is more than 1 times the standard deviation below the State mean and less than 1.5 times the standard deviation below the State mean. Teacher 3 has a confidence interval upper limit of 45 , which is less than the State mean, so the teacher is assigned a Growth Rating of Developing (see Figure 4.3). If the upper limit had been greater than 50, then Teacher 3 would have been assigned a Growth Rating of Effective.

## FIGURE 4.3. TEACHER 3




[^0]:    2 New York State teachers of mathematics and English language arts (ELA) in grades 4-8, including teachers of grade 8 students who take the Algebra I Regents examination, and receive State-provided growth scores based on 2022-23 State tests for advisory purposes only pursuant to Chapter 59 of the Laws of 2019, which amended the Student Performance Category requirements of Education Law §3012-d.

