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The following questions and answers address some of the important issues raised by requests for clarification of the federal and State requirements for IEPs. This document will periodically be updated. This guidance does not impose any requirements beyond those required under applicable law and regulations. This document supersedes any previously issued guidance on this topic.

If you have questions regarding the IEP form and related requirements, you may submit them to the following mailbox: speced@nysed.gov.

A. STUDENT INFORMATION SUMMARY FORM

1. Is it appropriate to list the current medications that a student is taking on the Student Information Summary form?

A school district should consider a student’s right to confidentiality of medical information before including such information on a form that would be available to school personnel. Health-related information included in a student’s present levels of performance and/or on the optional Student Information Summary form should include only information school personnel would need to know to implement the student’s IEP.

2. Why isn’t there a place for the parent or guardian name on either the example of the optional summary form or on the IEP model form?

Section 200.4(d)(2) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education does not require that the parent or guardian name be in a student’s IEP. However, the district may choose to include this information on the optional Student Information Summary.

3. If a district chooses to put addresses on the Student Information Summary form, and a student’s address happens to change, does a new IEP have to be created? Does any change within this Summary Page constitute a new IEP? (Added 4/11)

The Student Information Summary form is not a required component of a student’s IEP. As an optional form, districts have discretion to use it to supplement the information included in a student’s IEP. If such a form is used, changes to information on the
Student Information Summary form should be kept up to date but would not require a new CPSE or CSE meeting or be considered an IEP amendment.

4. **Can districts add additional information to the optional Student Information Summary form if they so choose? (Added 4/11)**

Yes.

5. **Can the Student Information Summary form be multiple pages in length if needed? (Added 4/11)**

The Student Information Summary form is provided only as a model form. It may contain information that districts and parents feel to be important, but which is not required by law or regulation to be included in a student’s IEP. School districts may modify the State’s model Student Information Summary form to add or replace the suggested fields as they deem appropriate. In doing so, the form may extend beyond the single page model.

**B. GENERAL QUESTIONS ON THE STATE-DEVELOPED FORM**

1. **When must the new IEP form be used?**

IEPs developed for the 2011-12 school year, and thereafter, must be on the State form. The 2011-12 school year starts on July 1, 2011.

2. **May a school district add ‘drop-down’ options in fields that are open text fields on the State’s IEP form?**

The IEP form developed by the State provides open text fields to allow the Committee on Special Education (CSE) and Committee on Preschool Special Education (CPSE) to enter student-specific information. The State has provided drop-down choices in the IEP only where there is State regulation or policy to guide those choices. The drop-down options provided by the Department on the State form may not be modified.

The school district could add ‘drop-down’ options on the IEP form for other sections of the IEP where the district is seeking consistency in the wording of recommendations provided that the choices are consistent with State policy and provided that district-added drop-down choices do not limit the CSE or CPSE from making other recommendations outside the drop-down choices in order to meet the individualized needs of the student.

Any school district wishing to add drop-down options to the IEP form should carefully review the state’s guidance related to these sections of the IEP in the *Guide to Quality Individualized Education Program Development and Implementation*, to ensure consistency with State policy.
3. **Does the projected date of IEP implementation only need a month and a year? The example says September 2010?**

The projected date an IEP is to be implemented should include the month, day, and year. The example provided in Attachment 3, General Directions to Use the State’s Model Individualized Education Program (IEP) Form, is ‘September 7, 2010’.

4. **Can the font size, margins and font style in the IEP be modified?**

   Yes.

5. **Can we put page numbers on the IEP form?**

   Yes.

6. **What training will be available to district staff on the use of the State’s forms?**

   The New York State Education Department (NYSED) will be offering extensive training opportunities for school personnel on use of the State’s IEP, meeting notice and prior written notice forms. Scripted PowerPoint presentations with accompanying examples, questions and answers and guidelines will be posted on NYSED’s website to provide wide access to professional development from individual computers. This will provide no-cost access to information and training. In addition, each of the State’s Regional Special Education Technical Assistance Support Centers (RSE-TASC) are planning multiple and comprehensive regional training sessions to address the scope of training needed for individualized education program (IEP) development and the meeting notice and prior written notice requirements.

7. **Aside from being a new form, does the State IEP form create new requirements for the content of an IEP?**

   No.

8. **Can districts include “Headers and Footers” on the IEP form? (Added 4/11)**

   Yes. Districts may include headers and footers in the IEP form, at local discretion.

9. **Can a district put the school letterhead on the forms? (Added 4/11)**

   Yes.

10. **Can a district put the child’s name at the bottom of each page of the IEP when using the State’s IEP form? (Added 4/11)**

    Yes.
11. Please verify the letterhead/district identifying information section of the new IEP. In our district, the CSE and CPSE are housed in two different buildings and have two different chairs. In the past, we have each had our own IEPs with our own letterhead/information on the top. My question is, is it appropriate for us to have two separate IEPs on Clear Track as long as the only difference is the letterhead, or do we need to make a combined letterhead that lists both addresses, etc., so that both the CSE and CPSE are using the exact same IEP? (The concern with this is that it might be confusing for some parents.) (Added 4/11)

The district may insert district-identifying information on school-age and preschool student’s IEPs in the manner it deems most appropriate.

12. Can districts bold certain sections of the IEP and/or add a little more space between items of the IEP? For instance, in the Present Level Statements, the introductory statements above each area for Present Levels, Strengths and Needs - bold those? Then, where the comment would begin below that, insert an extra line space or two to make it stand out more? (Added 4/11)

Yes.

13. Will the State’s IEP form be translated into other languages? (Added 4/11)

No. There is no requirement for an IEP to be provided in the parent’s native language or other mode of communication. A district must, however, take whatever action is necessary to ensure that the parent understands the proceedings at the meeting of the CSE, including arranging for an interpreter for parents with deafness or whose native language is other than English. If the district uses the IEP as part of its prior written notice to the parent, it must ensure that the entire notice, including the IEP, is provided in the native language of the parent, unless it is clearly not feasible to do so.

14. Does SED have a sample of a Model IEP . . . not just a blank form, but an IEP that addresses a fictional student . . . this would be a great help if we could see the “whole picture” now that we have studied all the components. Are sample IEPs created for the Alternate Assessment, 12:1:1, 6:1:1, 8:1:1, 8:1 (inclusion) and 12:1:4 student available? (Added 4/11)

The Department has provided examples as to how different sections of an IEP are completed in the General Directions to Use the State’s Model Individualized Education Program (IEP) Form, but they are not a composite of one student. It would be inappropriate to have sample IEPs based on the special class size recommendations for students.

15. Will there be upcoming training on the State’s IEP form? (Added 4/11)
Assistance and training are available on a variety of topics, including IEP development, through the Office of Special Education's Educational Partnership, a coordinated and cohesive professional development and technical assistance network designed to support and empower schools and families in improving equity, access, opportunities, and outcomes for students with disabilities.

16. In the annual goal sections of the State IEP, it is noted that the table and/or rows should be duplicated as needed. How is this done? (Added 4/11)

The State’s IEP form posted on the Department’s website is ‘lock protected’ in order for the form fields to function properly. In order to duplicate the table/rows, the form must be unlocked. To do so, go to ‘Tools’, ‘Options’ and click on ‘Security’ and follow the directions to unlock the form. Upon duplicating the table/rows, be sure to lock the form again to ensure proper function of the form and retention of information added to the form.

17. After reviewing the IEP forms, there is nothing resembling a "Conference Results" page where participants sign that they were at the IEP meeting. Expected participants are listed on the Meeting Notice. On the "Conference Results" page of NYC IEPs, participants sign that they were at the meeting, not necessarily agreeing with the IEP. Are signatures required on the State forms? Would this be added to the optional Student Information Summary? (Added 4/11)

Signatures of participants at IEP meetings are not required to be documented in the IEP. The district should, however, maintain a record of meeting participants who attended the meeting. This information could be documented on the optional Student Information Summary form.

18. Are meeting minutes a required part of the IEP? If so, can they be attached to the IEP? (Added 4/11)

No. Meeting minutes document discussions and decisions made at the meeting and provide a written record of the meeting. Meeting minutes should be referenced to ensure IEPs include recommendations made at the meetings and to provide information for prior written notice, but they are not part of the IEP and may not substitute for appropriate documentation of recommendations in the IEP form itself. There is nothing that would prohibit a district from providing a copy of meeting minutes to the parent along with a copy of the IEP.

C. Disability Classification

1. Where is a diagnosis of Asperger Syndrome documented in a student’s IEP?

A ‘diagnosis’ such as Asperger Syndrome could be documented on the optional Student Information Summary form and/or in Present Levels of Performance.
2. The State IEP form does not reference declassification. Where should recommendations upon declassification (including, as appropriate: testing accommodations; the student’s eligibility for the diploma "safety net;" the student’s continued exemption from the World Languages requirement; and needed declassification support services to be provided to the student and/or the student’s teachers during the first year after the student is declassified) be documented?

The State’s model IEP form only includes information that is required by law or regulation to be included in a student’s IEP. There is no requirement in law or regulation to include information related to declassification in an IEP. However, the Committee must document its recommendations made upon declassification of the student to the board of education and in prior written notice to the student's parents. Any recommendations relating to declassification must be provided to the board of education by the CSE. The recommendation must:

- identify the declassification support services, if any, to be provided to the student and/or to the student’s teachers; and
- indicate the projected date of initiation of such services, the frequency of provision of such services and the duration of such services, provided that such services shall not continue for more than one year after the student enters the full-time general education program.

Other recommendations, including those that will continue upon the student's declassification such as the student's continued eligibility for the "safety net" or World Languages exemption, should be included in the notice to the Board of Education and in prior written notice to the parent.

3. In the current question and answer document, there is a question about "where is a diagnosis of Asperger Syndrome documented in a student’s IEP”? Is the answer provided limited to Asperger or can it also be applied to diagnoses such as "dyslexia," ADD or ADHD, or other diagnosed “syndromes”? (Added 4/11)

The response to question #10 in the Question and Answer document dated October 2010 can be generalized to other conditions commonly associated with a specific disability category.

D. PRESENT LEVELS OF PERFORMANCE AND INDIVIDUAL NEEDS

1. Is it allowable to leave any part of the student’s present levels of performance sections of the IEP blank?

No. Section 200.4(b)(2)(i) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education requires that the IEP report the present levels of academic achievement and functional performance and indicate the individual needs of the student according to each of the four need areas, including how the student’s disability affects involvement and progress
in the general education curriculum, or for preschool students, as appropriate, how the disability affects the student’s participation in appropriate activities. If there are no individual needs related to one or more of the four need areas, the IEP could indicate that the student’s skills are within normal limits or that no disability-related needs were identified.

2. **Examples in the Present Levels of Performance/Evaluation Results section and other sections of the IEP use both complete sentences and phrases. This format appears inconsistent? Is it intentional?**

These are examples only intended to demonstrate that there are various ways to document information in a student's IEP. A district has local discretion as to how it documents student-specific information.

3. **Should evaluation results (such as from State assessments) be kept from year to year in that section in the IEP (so that there is a running record of information)? Is standardized testing/State assessment information required to be included in the Evaluation Results section of IEP?**

In developing the recommendations for the IEP, the Committee must consider the results of the initial or most recent individual evaluation of the student as well as the results of the student’s performance on any general State or district-wide assessment programs. A district could, but is not required to, provide historical State assessment and/or individual evaluation results in a student’s IEP.

4. **Must the results for a student’s New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) be documented in the IEP?**

No. Regulations do not require that alternate assessment results be included in an IEP. However, in developing recommendations for an IEP, the Committee must consider the academic, developmental and functional needs of the student, including, as appropriate, the results of the student’s performance on any general State or district-wide assessment program. To the extent that the evaluation results from the NYSAA form the basis for present level of performance statements, they should be documented in the IEP.

5. **Should the IQ score be documented in the IEP?**

Whether a student’s IQ score and/or scores on subtests are deemed relevant assessment data that needs to be documented in the IEP is a Committee decision and should be based upon the individual student.

6. **On page 4 of the directions, under Evaluation Results it states that, "the following section of the IEP provides space for the Committee to document the evaluation results considered." Please explain.**
Documentation of each student’s present levels of performance in the IEP must include consideration of the results of the initial or most recent individual evaluation of the student, as well as the results of the student’s performance on any general State or district-wide assessment programs. The State’s IEP form includes an Evaluation Results section as a place to document the results of evaluations that were conducted and considered in the development of the student’s IEP. Alternately, the Committee could document its consideration of the evaluation and assessment results under the four need areas (academic achievement, functional performance and learning characteristics; social development; physical development; and management needs). There is no requirement that the specific names of the individual tests conducted to complete the initial evaluation or reevaluation of the student be indicated in the IEP.

7. Can districts put formalized/standardized evaluation or assessment results within the body of the academic, social or physical sections of the present levels of performance, or does that information have to be included in Evaluation Results section of the form?

A Committee may choose to document evaluation results within each of the three areas (academic, social or physical sections) rather than within the Evaluation Results section of the form. If the Committee chooses to do so, it is recommended that information on the form direct the reader to the academic, social and physical need sections of the IEP.

8. If a student’s most current State assessment results are included in the IEP, would the IEP need to be amended when/if updated/revised State assessment results are received by the district?

A change to a student’s State assessment results would not in and of itself require a review or revision to the student’s IEP. Section 200.4(f)(2) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education requires that the IEP be reviewed and, as appropriate, revised, periodically but not less than annually to address any lack of expected progress towards the annual goals and in the general education curriculum; the results of any reevaluation and information about the student provided to, or by, the parents; the student’s anticipated needs; or other matters, including a student’s need for test accommodations and/or modifications and the student’s need for a particular device or service in order for the student to receive a free appropriate public education (FAPE).

9. Is the intent of the Evaluation Results box to contain standardized scores, a narrative description of what that means and the implications for instruction?

This section of the IEP is provided to assist the district in documenting its consideration of the evaluation results to ascertain the student's present levels of performance. A Committee may choose, but is not required, to include standardized scores in this section of the IEP. It may, as an alternative or in addition to standardized scores, provide a narrative description of the results. The Committee must ensure that all
sections of the IEP are clearly understood by the reader and that the instructional implications of the evaluation results are clear.

10. **In terms of best practice, should trainers be encouraging districts to separate or sort evaluation results by content/skill areas?**

The manner in which a school district documents its present level of performance statements in a student's IEP is at local discretion. Examples could be provided to school districts to the extent they assist districts to organize the information in an IEP.

11. **The State IEP form requires documentation of the committee’s ‘consideration of student needs that are of concern to the parent’ in three of the Present Levels of Performance sections. What does this mean?**

The State IEP form requires the Committee to identify the needs of the student relating to the three areas of present levels of performance, which includes consideration of the concerns of the parent for enhancing the education of their child as required by federal and State regulations. This does not mean that the IEP must document every concern expressed and/or recommendation that the parent offers. While the IEP does not necessarily require an explicit statement that a particular need area is of concern to the parent, documenting when a need area that will be addressed in the student’s IEP is an area of concern expressed by the parent will assist the district in documenting that it considered the parents’ concerns. If a parent had no concerns related to his/her child’s disability-related needs or chose not to participate in the IEP development process, the Committee could, but is not required to, also indicate this on the IEP.

In the consideration (i.e., discussion and documentation) of a parent’s concerns, the Committee may reach a consensus that the parent’s expressed concerns are not appropriate to address in a student’s IEP. In this case, there would be no documentation of these concerns in the IEP. However, if, in consideration of the concerns of the parent, the Committee refuses to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, educational placement of the student or the provision of FAPE to the student, this information must be included in the prior written notice provided to the parent.

One example of how the needs of the student, including consideration of student needs that are of concern to the parent, may be documented in an IEP can be found in the [General Directions to Use the State’s Model Individualized Education Program (IEP) Form](#). Following is another example.
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Academic, Developmental and Functional Needs of the Student, Including Consideration of Student Needs That Are of Concern to the Parent:

- Reading decoding skills.
- Self-correction strategies.
- Self-regulatory skills to more appropriately handle distractions.
- Techniques for coping with frustration, particularly with homework (concern of the parent).
- Scheduling accommodations for fatigue (concern of the parent).

12. How do districts properly document concerns of the parent when:
   - discussion with parent has occurred and there are no concerns of the parent in that area; or
   - contact with the parent has been attempted but no contact or discussion has occurred?

Is it allowable in the above situations to leave the field blank or should something be written? If a statement should be written, can you give an example for each of the above situations?

A Committee can only consider the parents' concerns if they have been shared by the parents at the meeting, in writing or through other communications. If a parent had no concerns related to his/her child’s disability-related needs or chose not to participate in the IEP development process, the Committee could indicate this in the IEP. While the example provided in guidance shows an IEP that provides an explicit statement regarding a parent’s concerns as a means to document this required consideration, there is no requirement that the IEP do so.

It is not appropriate to leave the field blank since the requirement is that the Committee document the present levels of performance and needs of the student. If a student doesn’t have needs to be addressed in the IEP related to one or more of the four need areas, then the form could state “not applicable” or “none.”

13. What should be documented on the new IEP form regarding parent concerns if the parent is unable to be reached due to transient living situations or simply neglect of the attempted contact. Similarly, what about children who are wards of the county/State? Is there a "blanket statement" to indicate attempts at parental contact?

As stated above, a Committee can only consider concerns if they have been shared by the parents at the meeting, in writing or through other communications. However, each school district must take steps to provide parents with a meaningful opportunity to participate in meetings for their child. For students who require a surrogate parent (see section 200.5(n) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education), the surrogate parent’s concerns for the education of the student must be considered.
14. While including consideration of student needs that are of concern to the parent is good practice, incorporating that consideration explicitly into the IEP may create dilemmas for districts. Would a district need to transcribe the parent's wishes and then document why the wishes could not be fulfilled? Would a district have to include each particular concern raised by the parent?

Consideration of the concerns of the parents is not just a good practice; it is a requirement of federal law, federal regulations and State regulations. The identification of the needs of the student must reflect consideration of the concerns of the parents for enhancing the education of their child. This does not mean that every concern expressed and/or recommendation that the parent offers must be included in the IEP form. While prior written notice does require the district to document actions refused and the reasons for the refusal, the needs of the student to be addressed by the IEP must include consideration of concerns of the parent for enhancing the education of the student. The recommendations to be included in the students' IEP reflect the consensus of the CSE or CPSE, in consideration of many factors, including the concerns raised by the student’s parent(s).

15. What if a parent insisted that her child needed an IEP goal of demonstrating mastery at, for example, riding a bicycle, using school-based physical therapy (PT) and adapted physical education (APE) as the means of achieving that goal? It may be appropriate that APE and/or PT address some related skill development essential to progress in the general education curriculum and daily living skills, but it is questionable whether learning to ride a bicycle is a required component of a FAPE that a district must provide. How would a district include in the IEP consideration of such a parental concern without assuming responsibility and liability for actually teaching the student to ride a bicycle (and for actually providing the bicycle, for that matter)?

In this case, the Committee should consider (i.e., discuss and document) the parent's concerns, which may be related to motor development, balance, and/or development of age-appropriate leisure activities. The IEP must include the needs of the student in consideration of the concerns of the parent. If the Committee reaches a consensus that using the methodology of teaching the student to ride a bicycle is not a need recommended for safety or other reasons, this should be explained to the parent in prior written notice but would not need to be included in the IEP.

16. Should information in the management needs section of the IEP be specific or generic? Examples in the new guidance document tend to be general and based around skill development. Districts tend to provide specific information (such as Joey needs timers or Sophie needs verbal prompts). Which is correct or are both acceptable? There is some confusion as to what information goes under Management Needs and what information belongs in Recommended Special Education Programs and Services.
Each Committee must decide on a case-by-case basis the level of specificity needed to identify a student’s management needs. To document management needs, the Committee must determine the nature of and degree to which environmental modifications and human or material resources are required to enable to student to benefit from instruction in consideration of the student’s present levels of performance in the areas of academic achievement, functional performance and learning characteristics; social development and physical development. At this point in the IEP development process, the Committee is identifying needs, (e.g., limited audio/visual distractions, scheduled rest periods, consistency in routine, assistive technology to assist communication, assistance with transitions), not specific recommendations to address those needs.

In the section of the IEP *Recommended Special Education Programs and/or Services*, the IEP must identify the specific recommendations to address the management needs of the student, as identified under *Present Levels of Performance*. Examples include, but are not limited to, preferential seating in regular class recommended for a student who needs limited audio/visual distractions; text-to-speech and speech-to-text software for a student who needs assistive technology to assist in communication skills.

17. **In the Present Levels of Performance and Individual Needs section, how much detail is needed to report the results of alternative assessments?** *(Added 4/11)*

In the development of an IEP, the Committee must consider, as appropriate, the results of the student’s performance on any general State or district-wide assessment programs. The determination of how much detail, based on the results of such assessments, would be appropriate to include in a student’s IEP must be made by the Committee on a case-by-case basis. Evaluation and other information considered in the development of a student’s IEP should provide instructionally relevant information as to the unique needs of the student, current functioning, cognitive, physical, developmental, and behavioral factors that affect learning, and how the disability affects the student’s participation and progress in the general education curriculum and in general education classes.

18. **Can you please clarify whose responsibility it is to input the academic achievement, functional performance and learning characteristics, standardized test results and goals and objectives in the IEP? Is it the district’s responsibility or the evaluating agencies’ responsibility for preschool students?** *(Added 4/11)*

The development of a student’s IEP requires information from a variety of individuals, including a student’s special education teachers and related service providers. Where the district has a contract for services for an individual student with, for example, an approved preschool program, the providers from the approved program should expect to have a role in providing information so that the Committee can develop an
appropriate IEP for the student. However, ultimately, it is the district’s responsibility to ensure that the Committee has developed an IEP for the student. The decision as to who and how information is entered on the form itself is best left to local discretion.

19. If a student only has one need area (e.g., spelling), would the district need to report all the other academic areas (reading, math, science, social studies, etc.) in the levels/abilities and strengths sections of the IEP, or would the IEP only require documentation of the student’s present level of performance in the need area of spelling? (Added 4/11)

The present levels of performance must document the student’s current level of functioning in those areas impacted by the student’s disability. There is no requirement that the IEP document present levels of performance in all academic areas. However, in documenting a student’s strengths, the Committee may determine that it is appropriate to document a student’s performance in other academic areas as well.

20. In the Social Development or Physical Development Present Levels of Performance sections, if a student does not demonstrate a need in either area, would the district simply state “no needs” as well as indicating a basic statement for levels/abilities and the strengths parts? (Added 4/11)

Section 200.4(b)(2)(i) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education requires that the IEP report the present levels of academic achievement and functional performance and indicate the individual needs of the student according to each of the four need areas, including how the student’s disability affects involvement and progress in the general education curriculum, or for preschool students, as appropriate, how the disability affects the student’s participation in appropriate activities. The Committee must discuss and document its consideration of the student’s needs in these areas. If there are no individual needs related to one or more of the four need areas, the IEP could indicate that the student’s skills are within normal limits or that no disability-related needs were identified.

21. For students who are medically fragile or have severe medical issues, what can be put in the IEP with relation to medication or procedures? (Added 4/11)

The IEP must report the student’s present levels of performance and indicate a student’s individual needs in four areas, including the area of physical development. In the Present Levels of Performance and Individual Needs section, the IEP must document the degree or quality of the student’s motor and sensory development, health, vitality, and physical skills or limitations that pertain to the learning process. This information could, but is not required to, include medical issues. Specific information about medication, medical procedures or other medical issues could also be included in the optional Student Information Summary form if a district chooses to use the form. Districts should be cognizant of the confidentiality of student information as medical needs are documented.
22. For a student with ADHD who is on medication, is it appropriate to include a statement in the student’s IEP such as “student tends to do better on medication, but student isn’t always on it, which affects his participation in the general education curriculum”? (Added 4/11)

In consideration of the student’s physical needs, a Committee should consider and document factors that may affect a student’s performance, including as appropriate, documented inconsistencies in a student’s behavior based on medical factors. However, the statement provided in the question posed “student tends to do better on medication, but student isn’t always on it, which affects his participation in the general education curriculum” does not provide the specificity that may be needed to specifically identify a student’s present level of performance. A more appropriate statement might be: “student’s time on task, performance on math and reading tasks and appropriate behavior during unstructured times (e.g., lunch, hall transitions) during the day is better during the days the student is taking his prescribed medications.”

E. STUDENT NEEDS RELATED TO SPECIAL FACTORS

1. Under Student Needs Relating to Special Factors, after the check-box for Assistive Technology Device or Service, there’s also a check-box for whether the CSE recommended use of the device in the home. What are the criteria for determining whether an assistive technology device is necessary for home use in order to provide a free appropriate public education to the student?

The need for assistive technology is determined on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration the unique needs of the individual student. If the CPSE or CSE determines that a particular assistive technology item is required for home use in order for a particular child to be provided FAPE, the technology must be provided to implement the IEP. In making a recommendation as to whether a student needs the use of the assistive technology device at home, the CPSE or CSE should consider such factors as whether the student needs the assistive technology device at home to prepare homework assignments or to engage in functional skills at home or in other environments related to his/her instructional program. Additional information and resources to assist school personnel in the consideration, assessment, recommendation, and implementation of assistive technology for students with disabilities can be found in the NYSED policy brief, Assistive Technology for Students with Disabilities.

2. In the Student Needs Relating to Special Factors section of the form, if the answer to the question, "Does the student need a particular device or service to address his/her needs?" is "No", what are the valid responses to the subsequent question, "In the case of a student who is deaf or hard of hearing, does the student need a particular device . . . -"No", or "Not Applicable?"
It depends. If the student is deaf or hard of hearing, but does not need a particular service or service in consideration of the student’s language and communication needs, etc., then the form would indicate "no." If the student was not deaf or hard of hearing, then the form would indicate "not applicable."

If the answer to the first question for a student is "No", can the response to the second question ever be "Yes"?

No.

3. **What might be some special education services to address the language needs of a child who is limited English proficient (LEP) or English language learner (ELL)?**

For all LEP/ELL students with disabilities the Committee must consider how the student’s language needs relate to the IEP. Schools must provide a student with LEP with alternative language services to enable him/her to acquire proficiency in English and to provide him/her with meaningful access to the content of the educational curriculum that is available to all students, including special education and related services. The Committee should consider the following questions:

- Has the student been assessed in English as well as his/her native language?
- Did the evaluation of the student with LEP measure the extent to which the student has a disability and needs special education rather than measure the student’s English language skills?
- Does the disability impact on the student’s involvement and progress in the bilingual education or English as a second language (ESL) program of the general curriculum?
- What language will be used for this student’s instruction?
- What language or mode of communication will be used to address parents or family members of the student?
- What accommodations are necessary for instruction and testing?
- What other language services (i.e., ESL, bilingual education) must be provided to ensure meaningful access to general and special education and related services?

Examples of special education services needed to address the student’s needs might include, but are not limited to, interpreters, bilingual speech and language therapy, bilingual counseling and bilingual special class.

4. **Can a school district attach a behavioral intervention plan (BIP) to a student’s IEP?**

Yes. A school district may provide a copy of the student’s BIP with the IEP. However, the BIP is not a required component of a student’s IEP.

5. **Must a student’s BIP be part of the IEP?**
No. There is no requirement to include a student’s BIP as part of that student’s IEP. However, regulations require that a student’s need for a BIP be documented in the student’s IEP.

6. **What is expected to be entered in the text box following indication that the student needs a BIP?**
   If a Committee determines that a student needs a BIP, the IEP must indicate that need. In addition, other information related to a BIP is required to be included in a student’s IEP, if applicable to the individual student.
   - If a student’s BIP will include the use of timeout for a student, the IEP must include this recommendation as well as a recommendation as to the maximum amount of time the student will need to be in timeout as a behavioral consequence as determined on an individual basis in consideration of the student’s age and individual needs.

The Committee could, but is not required, to include other information related to the BIP as it deems appropriate (e.g., identify behaviors to be addressed by the BIP).

7. **For students with severe needs, where do items such as harnesses and helmets belong on the new IEP forms?**
   If a student needs an intervention that is medically necessary for the treatment or protection of the student (such as a soft helmet for a student with a seizure disorder), these needs could be appropriately identified under the Present Levels of Performance section of the IEP. Devices needed to address special transportation needs of the student would be documented under the ‘Special Transportation’ section of the IEP. However, a Committee may not recommend and a school may not use movement limitation, including helmets and harnesses, to address student behavior.

8. **Should use of timeout be documented in a student’s IEP?**
   Yes. Section 200.22(c)(2) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education requires that a student’s IEP specify when a BIP includes a recommendation for the use of timeout for a student with a disability.

9. **In the section Consideration of Special Factors there is a text box to add clarification in the area of behavior. There are no text boxes or extra space for clarification for the other factors. For example, if a student needs assistive technology, you check yes but there is no way to comment on what that device may be.**
The specific recommended special education program or service is not identified in the Consideration of Special Factors chart; only that the student needs a device or service in order to receive FAPE. If the student needs an assistive technology device, the specific device and/or services would be documented under the section Assistive Technology Devices and/or Services. The additional text box related to behavior considerations has been added to document specific needs of the student to be addressed by the BIP (e.g., use of timeout).

10. In the Student Needs Relating to Special Factors section of the IEP, if a student needs speech/language services does that go here? Does the portion of this section of the IEP having to do with communication needs apply to every student who has communication needs or only to students who are deaf and/or hearing impaired? (Added 4/11)

If any student needs a particular device or a service to address his/her communication needs, that is indicated under the Student Needs Relating to Special Factors section of the IEP by indicating "Yes" in the box in that section. The particular service or device needed will be recommended by the Committee during the course of the meeting and will be documented in the section of the IEP entitled Recommended Special Education Programs and Services.

11. Regarding the Student Needs Relating to Special Factors section---if a student is recommended for Speech Therapy (either in conjunction with a program or as a related service only), is it automatic for a district then to mark the Yes box for the Communication Needs area of this new section? (Added 4/11)

If any student needs a particular device or a service, including a related service, to address his/her communication needs, a ‘Yes’ must be indicated in the box in “Special Considerations” section of the IEP. The particular service or device needed would be documented in the section of the IEP entitled Recommended Special Education Programs and Services.

12. In the Student Needs Relating to Special Factors section of the IEP addressing special considerations for students with limited English proficiency, must this section be checked as "Yes" for every student with limited English proficiency? (Added 4/11)

The IEP must include documentation that the Committee considered special factors related to the language needs of students with limited English proficiency in the development of the student’s IEP. If the Committee determines that a student requires a special education service to address his/her language needs as they relate to the IEP, the applicable box in this section of the IEP would be checked "Yes." The Committee must ensure that a device or service, including an intervention, accommodation or other program modification needed for the student to receive a free appropriate public education is indicated in the IEP under the applicable section of the IEP. Not all students who are limited English proficient may need a special education
service to address his/her language needs as they relate to the IEP. In this case, the "No" box would be checked.

13. Why isn’t “Orientation and Mobility” included in the Student Needs Related to Special Factors section of the IEP for students who are blind and visually impaired? (Added 4/11)

The State’s IEP form includes in this section only those special factors a Committee must consider that are required by federal and State regulations and that are in addition to the factors that must be considered for all students (the results of the initial or most recent evaluation; the student’s strengths; the concerns of the parents for enhancing the education of their child; the academic, developmental and functional needs of the student).

14. If “yes” is checked on the Consideration of Special Factors section for “student needs strategies, including positive behavioral interventions,” and “no” that they do not need a behavioral intervention plan (BIP), is there a way to document why a BIP is not needed? (Added 4/11)

The IEP does not need to specify why a BIP is not recommended. As applicable, consideration of a student’s need for a BIP should be provided to a parent in prior written notice. However, there is a text box on the State’s IEP form in this section where a district could choose to provide such an explanation.

15. If the student does have behaviors that impact his or her learning or that of others and the "yes" box is checked in the Student Needs Relating to Special Factors section of the new IEP, could there be an instance where there is not a need for a BIP? One such scenario could be that the behaviors are controlled/managed through program accommodations and/or a class-wide positive behavior support. How would this be documented in the IEP? (Added 4/11)

In the case of a student whose behavior impedes his or her learning or that of others, the Committee must indicate on the IEP form if the student would need strategies, including positive behavioral interventions, and supports and other strategies to address that behavior. If, after considering the needs of the student, the Committee determines that strategies and supports are needed, the box must be checked “Yes.”

Does the student need strategies, including positive behavioral interventions, supports and other strategies to address behaviors that impede the student’s learning or that of others? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If the Committee determines that the student needs a BIP as one of the strategies/supports, the IEP form must also indicate this recommendation.

Does the student need a behavioral intervention plan? ☐ No ☒ Yes: To address self-abusive behaviors.
If the Committee determines the student needs strategies/supports to address the behavior, but does not need a BIP, the ‘No’ box is checked.

Does the student need a behavioral intervention plan? ☒ No ☐ Yes:

The other strategies/supports, including program accommodations, needed by the student to address his/her behaviors must be indicated elsewhere in the IEP form under the “Recommended Special Education Programs and Services” section of the IEP.

16. If “yes” is checked in the “Does the student need a behavioral intervention plan” box, is it appropriate to add the need for physical intervention to be used at times when the student’s aggression is an imminent safety concern? (Added 4/11)

The IEP must, in the case of a student whose behavior impedes his or her learning or that of others, include strategies, including positive behavioral interventions and supports and other strategies to address that behavior. Physical intervention can only be used in emergency situations consistent with State regulations and should never be considered as a planned intervention to address the student’s behavior.

F. **MEASURABLE POST-SECONDARY GOALS AND TRANSITION NEEDS**

1. How can the Committee assist the student to increase self-awareness and identify obtainable measurable post-secondary goals?

In NYS, the assessment process relating to transition goals and services begins with the Level 1 career assessment at age 12. This assessment is used to determine vocational skills, aptitudes, and interests.

Beginning with the first IEP to be in effect when the student turns age 15, the Committee must consider age-appropriate transition assessments and the student’s strengths, preferences, and interests to identify the student’s measurable post-secondary goals. The Committee can assist the student with identifying strengths, needs, interests, and preferences and consider these when exploring career areas and courses of study. The school should provide the student with meaningful opportunities to explore his/her career interest areas, such as job shadowing or school-to-work experiences.

In addition, each student, including each student with a disability as appropriate, must have an annual guidance review where post-secondary goals can be discussed with the student. The **Career Plan** is another process available to NYS students to increase self-awareness and guide the student to identify obtainable post-secondary goals.

The school may also consider utilizing a person-centered planning approach. Person-centered planning is a problem-solving process designed to assist individuals in
planning for their future. For more information, see the Pacer National Parent Center on Transition and Employment’s Person-Centered Planning webpage.

Assistance and training are available on a variety of topics, including transition planning, through the Office of Special Education’s Educational Partnership, a coordinated and cohesive professional development and technical assistance network designed to support and empower schools and families in improving equity, access, opportunities, and outcomes for students with disabilities.

2. Other than the State Performance Plan (SPP) Indicator 14, is there a NYSED expectation/plan for districts to regularly measure the achievement of these measurable post-secondary goals?

No.

3. When would measurable post-secondary goals related to independent living skills not be appropriate?

Many students with disabilities have the skills, knowledge and supports necessary to live independently as adults. If the Committee determines that there is not a need in this area, then the IEP does not need to include a post-secondary goal for independent living. In making this determination, the Committee should consider the student’s level of independent skills in such areas as shopping, managing a budget, renting an apartment, driving or taking public transportation, engaging in community-based recreational activities, etc.

4. How are a student’s employment aspirations documented in his/her IEP?

A student’s employment aspirations would be documented in an IEP under the heading of measurable post-secondary goals.

5. Should a student’s transition assessment be documented in an IEP?

Yes. The present levels of performance of the student should include information based on results from age-appropriate transition assessments.

6. Does there need to be a statement regarding transition needs in EACH section of the Present Levels of Performance?

No.

7. How do we distinguish between instruction and course of study?

According to federal guidance from November 16, 2006, “Instruction is a component of a transition program that ‘the student needs to receive in specific areas to complete
needed courses, succeed in the general curriculum and gain needed skills’ (Storms, O’Leary, & Williams, 2000, Transition Requirements: A Guide for States, Districts, Schools, Universities and Families. University of Oregon, Western Regional Resource Center, p.28). Courses of study are ‘a multi-year description of coursework (necessary) to achieve the student’s desired post-school goals’” (Storms, O’Leary, & Williams, 2000, Transition Requirements, p.8). For example, courses of study could include a specific CTE sequence leading to an industry credential. You can find this guidance at the National Secondary Transition Technical Assistance Center (NSTTAC) website, in the NSTTAC Indicator 13 Checklist Frequently Asked Questions and Responses. See question 16.

G. **Measurable Annual Goals**

1. **Does a district have to include annual goals specifically for a related service? (Revised 3/11)**

   The Committee must make a recommendation as to the student’s annual goals to address his or her needs as identified under present levels of performance. Once these goals have been identified, then the Committee must discuss and recommend special education program and services, including related services, to be provided for the student to advance appropriately toward attaining the annual goal(s).

2. **Who is responsible for developing goals for a preschool child with a disability, the district, provider, or the evaluator?**

   The CPSE must develop an IEP which includes measurable annual goals and short-term instructional objectives and benchmarks.

3. **Can a teacher/provider choose to include objectives or benchmarks in the IEP of a student who is not eligible for NYSAA or a preschool student with a disability?**

   If a school district chooses to include short-term instructional objectives and benchmarks in the IEPs of other students, it should do so based on an established district policy that uses consistently applied criteria for determining which students will have short-term instructional objectives and benchmarks included in their IEPs. Such a decision should not be left to individual teachers/providers.

4. **Who is responsible for implementing and monitoring progress on each goal?**

   Each individual teacher/provider responsible for providing instruction to assist the student to meet the goal should have responsibility for progress monitoring of that goal. Where there is a question as to who has responsibility for monitoring the student’s progress toward the annual goal, it should be discussed with the Committee Chairperson to ensure that the appropriate provider(s) have a clear understanding of their responsibility in that area.
5. **What happens if monitoring of progress toward goals shows that the student is not making the expected/desired progress?**

If a student is not making sufficient progress to achieve his/her annual goals, the Committee must review the goals and services, and as appropriate, revise the IEP to ensure that the student is being provided with the appropriate supports and services to achieve meaningful and appropriate goals.

6. **Is the schedule when you review the data collected by method or procedure or when you implement the procedure?**

Evaluation schedules state the date or intervals of time when the evaluation procedures will be used to measure the student’s progress toward the annual goal.

7. **Do benchmarks and objectives need to have criteria/method and schedule or are these components only needed for the Annual Goal?**

While each measurable annual goal must include the evaluative criteria, evaluation procedures and schedules to be used to measure progress toward meeting the annual goal, short-term instructional objectives and/or benchmarks are not required to include these components.

8. **Can a district add a subheading to the Measurable Annual Goals section of the IEP in order to indicate the particular service type that the goal pertains to? (Added 4/11)**

Goals are developed for the student, not the service provider. However, if a district wants to group annual goals by the need area (e.g., speech and language) they may do so. However, the form may not be modified to insert service type. The program and service recommendations to assist the student to meet the goals are documented in the next section of the IEP, not under the goals section.

9. **Should the Committee develop goals at the IEP meeting/annual review or do it after the meeting and just send the IEP home after the fact? (Added 4/11)**

Section 200.4(d)(4) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education requires that IEP recommendations be developed in meetings of the Committee. Pursuant to section 200.4(d)(2)(iii), IEP recommendations must include a list of measurable annual goals. Section 200.4(d)(2)(v) requires that the IEP indicate the recommended special education program and services that will be provided to the student to advance appropriately toward attaining the annual goals. Therefore, it is inappropriate and inconsistent with regulations for the Committee to develop its goals “after the meeting and just send the IEP home after the fact.”
While the Committee members may bring draft recommendations for IEP goals to the meeting, the meeting must include a discussion and recommendation of the annual goals that will be included in the meeting.

10. In the GENERAL DIRECTIONS TO USE THE STATE’S MODEL IEP FORM, pages 12 and 13, the following two sample annual goals are provided:

   a) Dawn will remain in class for 45/50 minute periods, requesting a ‘break’ from class work not more than three times per class period.
      Criteria: 5 out of 7 class periods per day over 5-week period.
      Method: daily charting of time in class.
      Schedule: monthly.

   b) Given reading passage at the 2nd grade level, Mike will orally read 100 words per minute with no more than 6 errors.
      Criteria: 8 out of 10 trials over 3 consecutive weeks.
      Method: reading curriculum based on oral reading fluency probes.
      Schedule: every two weeks.

These two examples illustrate an issue that we are struggling with. For the first goal, is it logistically possible to measure something monthly to see if the student can perform it over a 5-week period? For the second goal, is it logistically possible to measure something over a two-week period to see if the student can perform it over 3 consecutive weeks? It seems to us that the Schedule period would at the very least need to be the same as the period within the Criteria Measure – never shorter (otherwise it simply does not fit within that period). Moreover, unless the period within the Criteria Measure is shorter than the Schedule period, the Period When Progress Will Be Measured would be occurring continuously throughout the school year. An example that seems consistent with our thinking would be measuring every quarter to see if a student can perform the task at the level of success desired over, say, a two week period. The time up to that two-week period would consist of instruction and not, per se, progress measurement. (Added 4/11)

The criteria, method and schedule in the General Directions to Use the State’s Model Individualized Education Program (IEP) Form are provided as examples of each term. In the first example above, each month a review of the student’s progress will occur, looking at student data for the preceding five weeks. In the second example, a review of student progress will occur every two weeks, reviewing a student’s progress for the preceding three weeks. A school district could opt to measure progress on a different schedule.

H. REPORTING PROGRESS TO PARENTS

1. Where does the IEP document a student’s progress, or lack of progress, toward reaching his or her annual goals?
The IEP must document when periodic reports on the progress the student is making toward the annual goals will be provided to the student’s parent; however, there is no regulatory requirement for the IEP to serve as that progress report to the parent nor was it designed for this purpose. For purposes of reporting progress to the parent, however, the district could copy the annual goal section of the IEP and add a template to report progress. When the IEP is reviewed at least annually, the present levels of performance and/or evaluation results should reflect the progress made during the previous year.

2. **Regarding the criteria for goals: how is the "extent of performance" different than the "criteria that the goal has been achieved?"**

It is unclear from this question where the term “extent of performance” came from. Evaluative criteria are the measures used to determine if annual goals have been achieved. In reporting progress to the parents, the report should identify the extent to which the goal has been achieved in measurable terms. For example, if the annual goal is that a student independently write three-sentence paragraphs using correct sequencing of sentences, the report to the parent in March on the extent to which the student is progressing toward this goal might indicate that the student is writing two-sentence paragraphs when using a graphic organizer.

1. **RECOMMENDED SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND SERVICES**

1. **Page 13 in Attachment 3: General Directions to Use the State’s Model IEP, Recommended Special Education Programs and Services section, provides examples of how integrated co-teaching, CT services and resource room program could be listed. Does the example provided meet the State’s regulations for minimum level of service requirement for resource room and consultant teacher?**

The example included a typographical error and has since been corrected.

2. **Why is it that “teacher of the visually impaired” and “teacher of the deaf” are not included in the drop-down option list of related services?**

Teachers of the visually impaired and teachers of the deaf are individuals who provide specific services. There is no regulatory requirement that an IEP identify the qualifications of the individual providing services to a student.

3. **Where can additional information be found about special education services and programs included within the continuum?**

Additional information can be found in the NYSED guidance document entitled *Continuum of Special Education Services for School-Age Students with Disabilities.*
For information on the preschool continuum of services see NYSED’s *Guide for Determining Eligibility and Special Education Programs and/or Services for Preschool Students with Disabilities*.

4. In the **Recommended Special Education Programs and Services** section of the State IEP form, could “Music Therapy” be an option to write in the text box that follows the list of drop-down related service options?

Yes, if recommended by the Committee.

5. **Do speech and language services** have to be a minimum of two 30-minute sessions per week during the Extended School Year Program?

No. The Regulations of the Commissioner of Education were amended, effective December 8, 2010, to repeal the requirement that such services be provided for a minimum of two 30-minute sessions each week. (Revised 3/11)

6. In the **Recommended Special Education Programs and Services** section of the IEP, the State form allows documentation of other clarifying information relating to a recommended program or service under the column **Applicable Service Delivery Recommendations**. If the CSE determines the need to indicate a specific maximum group size for a related service, for example, is that where it could be documented?

Yes

7. The examples under supplementary aids and services/program modifications and accommodations are quite explicit. Where can additional information regarding these requirements be found?

See question #8 in the NYSED guidance document entitled *Continuum of Special Education Services for School-Age Students with Disabilities*.

8. **Where are one-to-one teacher aides and/or teaching assistants documented in a student’s IEP?**

A one-to-one teacher aide/teaching assistant would be documented in a student’s IEP under the heading of **Supplementary Aids and Services**.

9. **Where do “consults” go in the IEP?**

It is unclear what is meant by the term “consults.” Services such as “consultation with the school counselor on behavioral issues” would be documented in the **Supports for School Personnel on Behalf of the Student** section of the IEP. Such consultations are not a related service. Consultant teacher (CT) services would be documented in the programs and services section of the IEP.
10. The chairperson in my district documents an “access aide” in the IEP to assist kids with specific parts of their day. Is this a term that can be used? Shouldn’t frequency and duration be identified in the IEP?

The term “access aide” is not a term used in Part 200 of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education. To provide clarity on the Committee's recommendation, the IEP should indicate that a teacher aide is recommended, and the frequency, duration and location for such service must also be indicated. This recommendation would be included in the IEP as a Supplementary Aid/Service.

11. How are frequency and duration for some program modifications such as extra set of books, no penalty for spelling, visual schedule, etc., documented in an IEP?

Use of a particular program modification on a regular basis may be documented in a student’s IEP as a daily frequency (e.g., daily or daily for a specified number of hours). Duration can, for example, be documented as a specified amount of time (e.g., 20 minutes), for specific assignments (e.g., writing assignments, new lessons, or new units) or for specific subjects.

12. What is meant by "location" of services which must be documented in the IEP? Does it mean the same as "placement"?

"Location" of services is not the same as "placement". The student’s placement is the educational setting in which the student’s IEP will be implemented (e.g., public school, neighboring school, BOCES, approved private day school, approved private residential school). "Location" in the context of a student’s IEP generally refers to the type of environment that is the appropriate place where a particular service, program modification or accommodation would be provided (e.g., Placement: Public High School. Location of Services: CT services will be provided in the general education math class; individual speech and language therapy will be provided in a separate therapy room).

13. What does the least restrictive environment (LRE) mean and how does it relate to the continuum of service options?

LRE refers to the extent special education services are provided to a student in a setting with the student’s non-disabled peers and as close to the student’s home as possible. The continuum of services identifies different service delivery models to provide specially designed instruction to a student with a disability. Some of the services such as consultant teacher and integrated co-teaching services are directly designed to support the student in his/her general education class. Others may or may not be provided in settings with non-disabled peers, depending on the needs of the student. This is why the documentation of “location” in the IEP is important. The continuum of placement options is also directly related to LRE placement decisions.
14. Where does a recommendation for transitional support services fall within the continuum? Is it considered its own service pursuant to section 200.6(c) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education? Procedurally, it does not appear as a drop down on the choices for special education program services in the new IEP form. Some districts currently list it as such – are they mistaken? Are there guidelines for what is meant by “temporary” or use of this service?

Since transitional support services means temporary services provided to a general or special education teacher to aid in the provision of appropriate services to a student with a disability transferring to a regular program or to a program or service in a less restrictive environment, such services would be documented in a student’s IEP under the heading of Supports for School Personnel on Behalf of the Student. The Committee’s recommendation for transitional support services would include the frequency, duration, location, beginning service date and, at the discretion of the Committee, end service date.

15. What is meant by "supports for school personnel on behalf of the student"?

The IEP must describe the supports for school personnel that will be provided on behalf of the student in order for the student to advance toward attaining the annual goals, to be involved in and progress in the general curriculum and to participate in extracurricular and other nonacademic activities. Supports for school personnel are those that would help them to more effectively work with the student. These could include, for example, special training for a student’s teacher to meet a unique and specific need of the student. These supports for school personnel are those that are needed to meet the unique and specific needs of the student.

Examples of supports that may be provided for school personnel include:

- information on a specific disability and implications for instruction;
- training in use of specific positive behavioral interventions;
- training in the use of American Sign Language;
- assistance with curriculum modifications;
- behavioral consultation with school psychologist, social worker or other behavioral consultant; and/or
- transitional support services.

16. How must CT services be identified in a student's IEP? When a student is recommended to receive CT Services and the same individual is providing the service for multiple subjects, how should that be displayed in the IEP? Do you have to put "indirect" and "direct" in the IEP for CT services?

CT services would be identified in the IEP as a special education program/service. If the student’s IEP indicates CT services, the IEP must specify the general education class(es) (including career and technical education classes, as appropriate) where the student will receive the services.
If CT services are to be provided to an elementary student, the IEP should indicate the subject areas of instruction when the CT would be providing services to the student (e.g., during reading groups; during math instruction).

If CT services are to be provided to a middle or secondary student, the IEP must specify the class subject(s) where CT will be provided (e.g., English, math, science, art, music).

If indirect CT services are to be provided, the IEP must indicate the regular (or general) education class being taught by the teacher receiving the consultation.

If the student is recommended to receive CT services for multiple subjects, they should be listed separately so that the recommendation for frequency and duration and location for the various subjects is clear. The chart below illustrates how such services could be documented on the State’s model IEP form.

The IEP should specify the type of CT services the student will receive (i.e., direct and/or indirect) so that it is clear to parents and educators the extent to which such services will be provided. A recommendation for direct or indirect consultant teacher services could be documented either directly after the recommended service (e.g., Consultant Teacher – indirect) or in the section of the State IEP form Service Delivery Recommendations.

The location of CT services, either direct and/or indirect, must specify the general education class(es) for which CT services will be provided. For indirect consultant teacher services, this does not mean that the indirect CT will be provided in the subject area classrooms, but rather to those teachers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Education Program/Services</th>
<th>Service Delivery Recommendations</th>
<th>Frequency How Often Provided</th>
<th>Duration Length of Session</th>
<th>Location Where Service Will Be Provided</th>
<th>Projected Beginning/Service Date(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special Education Program:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultant Teacher Services</td>
<td>Direct and Indirect</td>
<td>2 days/week</td>
<td>40 minutes</td>
<td>Math class</td>
<td>9/7/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultant Teacher Services</td>
<td>Direct and Indirect</td>
<td>2 days/week</td>
<td>40 minutes</td>
<td>English class</td>
<td>9/7/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effective implementation of CT services requires general and special education teachers to work cooperatively to address the needs of students with disabilities. Section 200.4(e)(5) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education requires that, following the development of an IEP in which CT services are recommended, the general education teachers of the student for whom the service will be provided must be given the opportunity to participate in the instructional planning process with the CT to discuss the objectives and to determine the methods and schedules for such
services. Therefore, there is no requirement that the IEP specify separately the frequency and duration of direct versus indirect consultant teacher services.

17. **Does the minimum number of hours for CT services include both direct and indirect services?**

Yes. The minimum number of hours for CT services, two hours per week, applies to direct and indirect services, in any combination.

18. **May school districts continue to use other terms to identify integrated co-teaching services in a student’s IEP?**

No. It is required that all districts use the term “integrated co-teaching”, consistent with the regulatory requirements, so that the level of services to be provided to a student is clear and consistent among school districts. To clarify for parents that a previously recommended service means the same as integrated co-teaching, terms such as collaborative team teaching (CTT), blended class or inclusion class may also be indicated in the IEP. For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECOMMENDED SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND SERVICES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAM/SERVICES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Co-Teaching Services (Collaborative Team Teaching)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19. **What specific information must be in the IEP to specify the class size?**

Class size means the maximum number of students who can receive instruction together in a special class or resource room program and the number of teachers and supplementary school personnel (i.e., teaching assistants and/or teacher aides) assigned to the class. For example, the IEP could specify: 12 students to one special education teacher and one teaching assistant (12:1+1).

20. **What types of services are included in the definition of related services?**

Related services means developmental, corrective, and other supportive services as are required to assist a student with a disability and includes speech-language pathology, audiology services, interpreting services, psychological services, PT, OT, counseling services, including rehabilitation counseling services, orientation and mobility services, evaluative and diagnostic medical services to determine if the student has a medically related disability, parent counseling and training, school health services, school nurse services, school social work, assistive technology services, appropriate access to recreation, including therapeutic recreation, other appropriate developmental or corrective support services, and other appropriate support services and includes the early identification and assessment of disabling conditions in students. This list is not exhaustive and may include other developmental, corrective
or supportive services if they are required to assist a student with a disability to benefit from special education in order for the student to receive FAPE.

21. **Regarding parent training and education – what is it and where does it go in the IEP?** Can parent training and education be as simple as a list of resources? Is it a related service? How would frequency, duration and location be indicated for the services?

Parent counseling and training is a related service and, if recommended for a student, should be listed in the IEP under the IEP form section *Related Services*. Parent counseling and training means assisting parents in understanding the special needs of their child; providing parents with information about child development; and helping parents to acquire the necessary skills that will allow them to support the implementation of their child’s IEP. Examples include, but are not limited to:

- providing parents with information about cognitive and speech and language development;
- counseling the parents about how to respond at home to a student’s behavior in a manner consistent with the in-school behavior management program;
- training parents to use the same mode of communication (e.g., sign language) the child would be using at school; and
- training on how to operate assistive technology devices at home.

The State’s model IEP form provides a list of drop-down options for related service recommendations. Related service recommendations, including parent counseling and training, require the identification of the frequency, duration, location and projected beginning date (end dates are optional). The chart below illustrates how such service would be documented using the State’s model IEP form.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECOMMENDED SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND SERVICES</th>
<th>SERVICE DELIVERY RECOMMENDATIONS</th>
<th>FREQUENCY HOW OFTEN PROVIDED</th>
<th>DURATION LENGTH OF SESSION</th>
<th>LOCATION WHERE SERVICE WILL BE PROVIDED</th>
<th>PROJECTED BEGINNING/SERVICE DATE(S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RELATED SERVICES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Counseling and Training</td>
<td>Group instruction on sign language and use of communication boards</td>
<td>One day/week for five weeks</td>
<td>60 minutes</td>
<td>School Library</td>
<td>10/5/10 – 11/2/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

22. **Where should student-owned physical or medical equipment be listed in the IEP (such as an augmentative communication device or a wheelchair)?)**

If a student needs a particular assistive technology device in order for the student to receive FAPE, this recommendation should be included on the State’s IEP form under *Assistive Technology Devices and/or Services*. If the district wishes to document when
assistive technology devices are student-owned, it may do so under Applicable Service Delivery Recommendations.

23. **What is a district’s responsibility in the case of a student losing an assistive technology device (with multiple programs on it) between home and school (it had been determined that the student needed the device both at home and school and the district purchased only one device)?**

The school district is responsible to ensure a student’s IEP is implemented. If a student’s IEP requires that an assistive technology device is needed at home or in other settings in order for the student to receive FAPE, the district must replace the lost device.

24. **If specialized reading instruction is required and is provided by a certified reading teacher, what service or program should be listed in the IEP (is it resource room, a supplemental service)?**

For a student with a disability recommended for specialized reading instruction to be provided outside of the general education class, this service could be recommended in the IEP of the student as special class, related service or resource room program. Specially-designed instruction provided to an individual student with a disability or to a group of students with disabilities by a certified special education teacher in the student’s general education classroom to aid the student(s) to benefit from the general education class instruction could be recommended in the IEP of the student as direct consultant teacher services. Where the Committee recommends the focus of this service be to provide specialized reading instruction, this could be indicated in the IEP under Applicable Service Delivery Recommendations.

25. **Can the drop-down menu for assistive technology be more comprehensive as in the manual? Or, should we put this additional information in the text box?**

The State IEP form does not provide drop-down options for assistive technology devices and/or services recommendations. However, the district may populate this box with a drop-down menu as long as the menu does not preclude additional options and is consistent with State policy.

26. **Can a Committee indicate the name of the school the student attends in the Special Education Programs and Services section of the IEP and then in the Service Delivery Recommendations column, indicate the particular service being provided? (Added 4/11)**

No. If the student needs an approved private school, this recommendation is indicated under “Placement”. The particular services a student needs to assist him/her to achieve annual goals must be indicated under Special Education Programs and Services. Please note that there is no requirement, that the name of the school where
the student’s IEP will be implemented be indicated in an IEP, except that the provider of July/August special education services must be indicated.

27. Where in the IEP is it indicated that a service (such as audio or autism specialist) for a student in a non-district program is required, but that service is provided by the home district (and not the non-district program)? Does the non-district program have to indicate in the IEP that the home district is paying for this particular service? (Added 4/11)

An IEP is developed to identify a student’s present levels of performance, needs, goals, recommended services and the placement where the IEP will be implemented. Information regarding fiscal responsibility for the provision of services is not included in a student’s IEP (with the exception, as appropriate, for transition activities provided by participating agencies). When a student is placed in an approved private school, the approved private school is responsible to implement the student’s IEP. If the district agrees to provide particular supports and services to a student other than that to which the approved private school has State approval and responsibility, the district should document this in its agreement with the private school and/or in its prior written notice to the parent.

28. If a Committee recommends special class in multiple subject areas, how should that be documented in the IEP? Can the special education program be listed as special class, 12:1+1, and the service delivery recommendation document that the special class will be provided for English, social studies and math, or should the recommendation for each special class be listed separately? (Added 4/11)

The IEP could indicate each special class by subject area and is recommended to do so whenever the frequency or duration special classes vary by subject area, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECOMMENDED SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND SERVICES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAM/ SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Education Program:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Class</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* IDENTIFY (IF APPLICABLE) CLASS SIZE (MAXIMUM STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIO), LANGUAGE IF OTHER THAN ENGLISH, GROUP OR INDIVIDUAL SERVICES, DIRECT AND/OR INDIRECT CONSULTANT TEACHER SERVICES OR OTHER SERVICE DELIVERY RECOMMENDATIONS.

Alternatively, the IEP could include a single recommendation for special class and list the subject areas and the combined duration, as follows:
29. For Travel Training and Adapted Physical Education, what ratio is recommended or allowable for each to be documented in the State IEP? (Added 4/11)

Travel training is a special education service that means providing instruction, as appropriate, to students with significant cognitive disabilities, and any other students with disabilities who require this instruction, to enable them to develop an awareness of the environment in which they live; and learn the skills to move effectively and safely from place to place within that environment (e.g., in school, in the home, at work and in the community).

Adapted physical education (APE) means a specially designed program of developmental activities, games, sports and rhythms suited to the interests, capacities and limitations of students with disabilities who may not safely or successfully engage in unrestricted participation in the activities of the regular physical education program.

If APE is to be provided in a regular physical education class, the IEP does not need to specify the student to staff ratio. If, however, adapted physical education is to be provided in a special class, the IEP must indicate the class size. For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Education Program/Services</th>
<th>Service Delivery Recommendations*</th>
<th>Frequency How Often Provided</th>
<th>Duration Length of Session</th>
<th>Location Where Service Will Be Provided</th>
<th>Projected Beginning / Service Date(S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special Education Program:</td>
<td>6:1+1</td>
<td>5 days/ week</td>
<td>120 minutes</td>
<td>Separate class</td>
<td>9/7/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Class</td>
<td>6:1+1</td>
<td>5 days/ week</td>
<td>120 minutes</td>
<td>Separate class</td>
<td>9/7/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Identify (if applicable) class size (maximum student-to-staff ratio), language if other than English, group or individual services, direct and/or indirect consultant teacher services or other service delivery recommendations.

Regulations do not require that class size/ratio be identified in an IEP, other than for students recommended for participation in special class. However, an IEP could, but is not required to, document such information for other special education program/service recommendations in the column entitled Service Delivery Recommendations.
30. The district has a special class for students with autism spectrum disorders. Therapists go into the class (speech, psych) and work with the class as a whole for various sessions. This exceeds the group limit of five under the description of related services. How is this written under Special Education Programs and Services? (Added 4/11)

If the Committee recommends a related service to be provided in a group setting, the maximum number of students in that group is five (except in New York City there is a variance to the maximum number of students in the group, not to exceed eight students). The IEP is not required to include the group size for the related service, except in circumstances when the Committee determines that based on the individual student’s needs, a group size of fewer than five students is required.

A related service provider’s session with an entire special class that exceeds five students (e.g., 12:1+1 special class) is not an acceptable implementation of a Committee’s recommendation for a related service in a group setting since the number of participants exceeds the maximum group size of five (or eight). However, a related service provider may provide a related service in a special class that includes other students, if the related service is provided only to the students whose IEPs include a recommendation for the related service and if the number of students conforms to the maximum instructional group size allowable.

31. Where on the IEP form would a CSE or CPSE indicate its recommendation for maximum instructional group size for resource room and related services? (Added 4/11)

The IEP may, but is not required to, indicate the group size for a related service or a resource room, except in circumstances when the Committee determines that, based on the individual student’s needs, a group size of fewer than the regulatory maximum instructional group size is required. If the Committee chooses to include this information in a student’s IEP, it may do so in the “Service Delivery Recommendations” column under "Special Education Programs/Services". Alternatively, the IEP could document class size recommendations using the text box that appears next to the drop-down option for the special education program/service.

32. When a student is recommended to receive direct and indirect consultant teacher (CT) services, is there a requirement to specify separately the frequency and duration of each service? (Added 4/11)

No. While it is recommended that the IEP specify the type of CT services the student will receive (i.e., direct and/or indirect) so that is clear to parents and educators the extent to which such services will be provided, section 200.4(e)(4) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education provides that “when consultant teachers services are specified in a student’s IEP, the regular education teachers of the student for whom the service will be provided shall be given the opportunity to participate in the
instructional planning process with the consultant teacher to discuss the objectives and to determine the methods and schedules for such services following the development of the IEP.”

33. In Question #27 (Section D, #16) of the current IEP Q&A, the second paragraph lists examples of specific recommendations to address management needs of the student and how they would be under the Programs and/or Services section of the IEP. Wouldn't those examples be under the Supplementary Aides and Services/Program Modifications section of the IEP? (Added 4/11)

In the State’s IEP form, Supplementary Aides and Services/Program Modifications/Accommodations are included in the section entitled, "Recommended Special Education Programs and Services."

34. Where are class size and group/individual related services documented in the IEP? (Added 4/11)

This information would be documented in the column entitled, "Service Delivery Recommendations," found in the Recommended Special Education Programs and Services section of the IEP form.

35. May a district include an end date in the IEP for all services, or is the only time an end date should be used when a program or related service is recommended for a limited time period? (Added 4/11)

Section 200.4(d)(2)(v)(b)(9) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education requires an IEP to identify the projected date for initiation of the recommended special education program and services. Since identification of an end date is optional, districts could, but are not required to, include end dates for all program and services recommendations.

36. Are nursing services, provided to a student one time per week for five minutes each time in order to provide medication, placed in the IEP and if so where? (Added 4/11 - rev 9/12)

Section 200.1(ss)(2) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education defines school nurse services as services provided by a qualified school nurse that are designed to enable a student with a disability to receive FAPE as described in the IEP of the student. School nurses regularly administer medication to all students; therefore, the regular administration of medication to a student with a disability need not be documented in the student’s IEP. However, the student’s CSE or CPSE may determine that administering and/or dispensing medications is a school health service necessary for an individual student to receive FAPE. In this case, the IEP could include School Health Services or, as appropriate, School Nurse Services as a related service recommendation, with the recommended frequency, duration and location.
37. On the IEP form under the Recommended Special Education Programs section of the IEP, the Service Delivery Recommendations column has an asterisk (*) which references the following statement: "Identify, if applicable, class size (maximum student-to-staff ratio), language if other than English, group or individual services, direct and/or indirect consultant teacher services or other service delivery recommendations." Does that mean this information must be documented in the IEP? (Added 4/11)

This section of the IEP is used to document Committee recommendations, as required and as necessary, to provide clarity for implementation of the student’s IEP. If a bilingual service is to be provided, the IEP must include the language. If consultant teacher services are to be provided, the IEP should specify the intensity of such services (i.e., group or individual). The IEP must document class size (maximum student-to-staff ratio) if the student is recommended for a special class. Additionally, if the student is recommended to receive resource room program, the IEP must document the recommended class size for that resource room if the recommendation is for less than the maximum allowed by regulation. Class size could be documented in the Service Delivery Recommendations column or be included, in the available text box, as part of the special education program recommendation in the first column of this section of the IEP.

38. How is location documented in an IEP for a preschool student with a disability? (Added 4/11)

The location of service in the context of a student’s IEP generally refers to the type of environment that is the appropriate place where a particular service, program modification or accommodation would be provided.

For a preschool child recommended for a full/half-day, integrated/self-contained special class in an approved special education preschool program, location is “special class classroom.”

For a preschool child recommended for a full/half-day, integrated/self-contained special class in an approved special education preschool program, and is also recommended to receive related services while participating in the special class, location as follows:

- Location for the special class recommendation is “preschool special education classroom.”
- Location for related services is where, within that approved special education program, the recommended related service(s) would be provided (e.g., the preschool special education classroom, separate therapy room, on the playground).

For a preschool child recommended for related services only, Special Education Itinerant Teacher services (SEIT) only or a combination of related services and SEIT services, location is where the service will be provided. This would be the setting
where the child is to receive the recommended service (e.g., early childhood program selected by the parent).

39. Is a preschool IEP required to list service coordination and, if yes, where would it go in the IEP? (Added 4/11)

Upon receipt of a recommendation of the Committee, the board of education must arrange for the preschool student with a disability to receive such programs and services. If an IEP includes two or more related services, where possible, the board of education must designate one of the service providers to coordinate the provision of the related services. There is no requirement that the coordination of such service be identified in a student’s IEP. Such recommendations may be documented on the optional Student Information Summary form, if a district chooses to use this form. The Board’s recommendation for the service provider must be given to the parent pursuant to the requirements of section 200.16(f)(2) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education.

40. Can a Committee write an IEP that includes Supports for School Personnel and not include any Special Education Programs or Related Services? (Added 4/11)

No. A student with a disability who is determined to be eligible for special education must require special education services and programs as such term is defined in Education law section 4401. If a student needs only supports for school personnel, the student would not meet the definition of a student with a disability.

41. Does an IEP require at least one Special Education Program or Related Service to be recommended? (Added 4/11)

Yes.

42. Where in an IEP does a Committee document extended school day for a student with a disability? (Added 4/11)

A Committee recommendation for an extended school day could be documented in an IEP as a program modification (modification to the school day), under the heading of Recommended Special Education Programs and Services.

J. 12-MONTH PROGRAMS/SERVICES

1. An IEP developed for July and August may differ from the IEP developed for the school year program. Most often services in July and August focus on annual goals from the previous school year, but there are times when a new annual goal needs to be written related for the area of concern or regression but is not articulated in the previous annual goal. Where and how would they be written? Can we have goals for just for July and August?
If the Committee determines that a student needs a new annual goal to be addressed during July and August, then the Committee must revise the student’s IEP accordingly. Annual goals should be documented in the IEP under the section Measurable Annual Goals. If the Committee has selected specific goals to be addressed during the July/August program, then the section of the IEP for 12-Month Service and/or Program could add clarification as to the goals to be addressed through the recommended services.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12-MONTH SERVICE AND/OR PROGRAM – Student is eligible to receive special education services and/or program during July/August</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Student will receive the same special education program/services as recommended above.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Student will receive the following special education program/services:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Education Program/Services</th>
<th>Service Delivery Recommendations</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Projected Beginning/Service Date(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speech and Language Therapy</td>
<td>To address annual goals relating to expressive language and social communication</td>
<td>2 times/week</td>
<td>30 minutes</td>
<td>Special class</td>
<td>July 3 - August 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Name of school/agency provider of services during July and August: XYZ BOCES
For a preschool student, reason(s) the child requires services during July and August:

2. **Must the IEP indicate the projected beginning service date for extended school year (ESY) services when those services remain exactly the same as for the 10-month program?**

No. For a student recommended for the same special education program and/or service during July and August as the rest of the year, the beginning service date would already be documented on the Recommended Special Education Programs and Services chart that precedes the 12-month Service and/or Program chart.

3. **Under 12-month services/programs: “For preschool student, reason(s) the child requires services during July and August.” What about school-age students? Why does the form ask for preschool students to state the reason for 12-month services?**

Section 4410(5)(h) of Education Law and section 200.16(e)(4) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education require the IEP of a preschool student to include in its recommendation for services during the months of July and August a statement of the reasons for such recommendation. There is no comparable State law and/or regulation relating to recommendations for July/August services for a school-age student. However, the reasons for the recommendation for July/August services would need to be provided to the parent in prior written notice.

4. **For parentally placed students who qualify for ESY, there is a need for an individualized education services program (IESP) for the school year and an IEP for the ESY programming. Do we continue to do a 10-month IESP and a 6-week IEP for parentally placed private school students? Is this still the case?**
Yes.

5. **Is there a difference in 12-month programming vs. ESY?**

Twelve-month special service and/or program means a special education service and/or program provided on a year-round basis for students determined to be eligible in accordance with sections 200.6(k)(1) and 200.16(i)(3)(v) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education whose disabilities require a structured learning environment of up to 12 months duration to prevent substantial regression. A special service and/or program must operate for at least 30 school days during the months of July and August, inclusive of legal holidays, except that a program consisting solely of related service(s) must be provided with the frequency and duration specified in the student's IEP.

The terms ‘twelve-month special service and/or program’ and ‘extended school year (ESY)’ have the same meaning.

6. **When a student is in Special Class for a particular subject, how is that indicated in the IEP?** For example, if the student has Special Class for Math and another Special Class for English, how would that be displayed in the IEP?

For a student who is recommended for special class for a portion of the school day and only for specific subjects, the IEP should list them separately. The chart below demonstrates how such recommendations would appear on the State’s model IEP form.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECOMMENDED SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND SERVICES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAM/SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAM:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Class - Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Class - English</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. **If a student has an amendment/program review in September or later, (after the summer services have ended), should the IEP print the ended 12-month services in the IEP?** *(Added 4/11)*

The school year begins on July 1. For an IEP developed for a student needing July/August services that is later revised, the IEP should continue to include recommendations developed for July/August, even if those services have been
completed since that is the IEP to be in effect for that school year. If the revised IEP recommends discontinuation of a student’s eligibility for 12-month services for the next school year, this recommendation would be included in the IEP to be in effect for the next July and August.

8. **For those districts that maintain an Anniversary Date IEP for students:**
   a) If the student is newly eligible for special education services when he/she is initially classified in November 2010 and his/her IEP begins 11/22/10 and ends 11/21/11, what should the district enter for the extended school year (ESY) eligibility section? *(Added 4/11)*

   When developing an IEP to be in effect from November 2010 to November 2011, the Committee would need to discuss and document in the IEP the Committee’s recommendations relating to the student’s eligibility for July/August programs for the summer of 2011.

   b) If the student is ESY eligible, but the district cannot determine who the specific providers will be for summer 2011, what should the district enter? *(Added 4/11)*

   The district must identify the provider of July/August services in the student’s IEP. If the provider is unknown at the time the initial IEP is developed, the IEP could indicate “to be determined” but the Committee must reconvene to make a provider recommendation prior to the initiation of the July/August program and the student’s parents must receive prior written notice of the IEP recommendation.

9. **A CPSE-level child is receiving services in July and August for ESY needs, yet he/she will be transitioning to CSE in September. How should that be noted on the IEP form? Is the date of initiation for CSE services July or September? What should be the initiation date for CSE-level IEP? *(Added 4/11)*

   A student is considered a preschool student through the month of August of the school year in which he/she first becomes eligible to attend school. The IEP developed by the CPSE addresses the student’s needs for July and August, prior to the date the child is eligible to start school in September. A preschool child receiving July and August services through the CPSE, who is eligible to attend school in September of the same year, would transition to the CSE in September. The CSE must meet to determine continued eligibility for special education services and develop a new IEP for school-age special education services for the student, if appropriate. The projected date of initiation of any school-age special education services is determined by the CSE.

10. **The IEP requires a "Yes" or "No" response to the question of eligibility for ESY. This determination is most often made at a meeting held in the spring, which allows for careful review and consideration of the student’s potential for substantial regression based on performance throughout the school year. For CSE meetings held earlier in the school year (e.g., for a newly referred student**
or a reevaluation), it would be more appropriate to have an alternative to the "Yes/No" response indicating that the determination of ESY would be made at the next annual review meeting. Can such an option be added? (Added 4/11)

While it is not necessary to add information to the IEP form for this purpose, prior written notice to the parent must include the Committee’s recommendation to defer its determination of ESY eligibility until the annual review prior to the July/August program. However, for a newly referred student, for example, where the Committee needs additional time and student progress information to determine the student’s need for 12-month special education services, it would be acceptable, as determined on a case-by-case basis, for the school district to add a text field to the State’s form in this section of the IEP for clarifying statements such as the one indicated above. In this case, the projected date the Committee would meet to make the determination should be indicated in this IEP.

11. The IEP requires a notation as to whether a student who is eligible for ESY will receive the same programs/services as that provided during the school year. If so, the CSE simply checks the corresponding box and is relieved of having to specify the ESY program/services. Can it be eliminated so that the CSEs would list all ESY programs/services, whether or not they are the same as those provided during the school year? (Added 4/11)

No. The State’s form may not be modified to eliminate this section.

K. TESTING ACCOMMODATIONS

1. In the NYSED Test Accommodations manual it refers to "flexible setting." Can the IEP state "flexible setting" with some criteria, for instance, flexible setting to provide access to minimal noise, or for administration in a small group? The issue is "separate setting" means "a separate room apart from the standard setting being used to administer the test," and people don’t want to exclude the student from taking the test in a classroom if it can meet the needs for a setting modification.

The State’s IEP form includes drop-down options on how testing accommodations should be indicated in the IEP. Testing accommodations must be clearly stated to ensure a consistent understanding by the CSE or CPSE, school principal, teacher(s), supplementary school personnel, student and the student’s parents. Flexible setting is a category of testing accommodations that includes both changes in the conditions of the setting, such as special lighting or adaptive furniture; or changes in the location itself, accomplished by moving the student to a separate room. Types of setting accommodations include the following:

- Separate location/room – administer test individually
- Separate location/room – administer test in small group (3-5 students)
- Provide adaptive or special equipment/furniture (specify type, e.g., study carrel)
- Special lighting (specify type, e.g., 75-watt incandescent light on desk)
• Special acoustics (specify manner, e.g., minimal extraneous noises)
• Location with minimal distraction (specify type, e.g., minimal visual distraction)
• Preferential seating

Following is an example of how a recommendation for a flexible setting should be indicated in the IEP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TESTING ACCOMMODATION</th>
<th>CONDITIONS*</th>
<th>IMPLEMENTATION RECOMMENDATIONS**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special Acoustics</td>
<td>All tests</td>
<td>Location with minimal extraneous noise</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Conditions – Test Characteristics: Describe the type, length, purpose of the test upon which the use of testing accommodations is conditioned, if applicable.
**Implementation Recommendations: Identify the amount of extended time, type of setting, etc., specific to the testing accommodations, if applicable.

For additional information on testing accommodations, see NYSED’s guidance document Testing Accommodations for Students with Disabilities.

2. **Can a preschool student with a disability have test accommodations in his/her IEP?**

Yes, if the preschool student with a disability would be participating in State or district-wide or classroom tests and the student was determined by the CPSE to need testing accommodations.

3. **The State IEP form does not include Tests Read as a drop-down option. Can additional drop-down options be added to this section of the form? (Added 4/11)**

The State IEP form provides a limited list of testing accommodation drop-down options. Since the list is not finite, text boxes in the State form allow entry of other testing accommodation recommendations as appropriate. “Tests read,” an accommodation in the method of presentation, may be documented in a student’s IEP using one of the additional text boxes in the State form or may be added to the list of drop-down options within a computerized format of the IEP. Additional testing accommodation options may also be added, consistent with State policy.

L. **COORDINATED SET OF TRANSITION ACTIVITIES**
1. The **Coordinated Set of Transition Activities** section seems misplaced, creating a potentially disjointed flow of topics. Can this section be moved to coincide with the post-secondary goals?

No. The IEP form has been developed to present Committee recommendations in the same sequence that the development of IEP recommendations should occur. The specific coordinated set of activities to be provided for the student to achieve his/her post-secondary goals are based on individual student’s needs and post-secondary goals and, therefore, appear later in the IEP. After the Committee identifies the measurable post-secondary goals for the student, it must discuss what annual goals the student should achieve toward his/her post-secondary goals. Recommendations for special education programs and services must consider the services the student might need to reach those annual goals. The *Coordinated Set of Activities* documents these and other transition activities and makes it clear as to which agencies are responsible for providing these services.

2. The new *Guide to Quality IEP Development and Implementation* on page 51 (second paragraph from the bottom) regarding the *Coordinated Set of Activities* states "the IEP must include the service and the implementation date of the service if it is different than the implementation date of the IEP." So where would that go? There is no column to identify implementation dates for the above.

The implementation date of a recommended service to be provided by an agency may be documented in either the Service/Activity column or the School District/Agency Responsible column, following the name of the service/activity or district/agency. For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Needed Activities to Facilitate the Student's Movement from School to Post-School Activities</th>
<th>Coordinated Set of Transition Activities</th>
<th>School District/Agency Responsible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service/Activity</td>
<td>School District/Agency Responsible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Experiences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of Employment and Other Post-School Adult Living Opportunities</td>
<td>Job coaching – October 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition of Daily Living Skills (if applicable)</td>
<td>Abilities, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional Vocational Assessment (if applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. If an agency is providing a service (like job coaching), where is this captured in the IEP and how should it be listed?

All recommended transition services and activities are documented under the heading *Coordinated Set of Transition Activities*. A service such as job coaching should be documented in the chart under the heading of Service/Activity. See example above.

**M. PARTICIPATION WITH STUDENTS WITHOUT DISABILITIES**
1. It appears that the rationale(s) for nonparticipation with students without disabilities is no longer required in the 'LRE' (Participation with Students without Disabilities) section of the IEP, nor is it directly addressed in the Effect of Student Needs on Involvement and Progress in the General Education Curriculum section. Where, if at all, should that rationale(s) be denoted?

Section 200.4(d)(2)(viii) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education requires a student’s IEP to provide an explanation of the extent, if any, to which the student will not participate with nondisabled students in the regular class, or for preschool students, in appropriate activities with age-appropriate peers without disabilities. The Committee must document the extent to which a student’s disability precludes his/her participation with students without disabilities by identifying the percent of the school day or by identifying particular activities that the student will not participate in with his/her nondisabled peers in the Participation with Students Without Disabilities section of the State IEP form. The IEP could, but is not required to, include a narrative explanation of the student-specific factors for recommendations to remove the student from the regular class (or for preschool students, appropriate activities with age-appropriate peers without disabilities). Options considered and reasons rejected relating to the provision of services and placement of the student in the least restrictive environment must be provided to the parent in prior written notice.

2. If a student is placed within a special class and will not participate with the typical population during their school day, can you provide an example of a statement that should be included in the text box? (Added 4/11)

A student’s IEP must document the extent to which a student’s disability precludes his/her participation with students without disabilities. This includes an explanation of the extent, if any, to which a student will not participate in general education class and/or extracurricular and nonacademic activities, or, for preschool students, in appropriate activities with age-appropriate nondisabled peers. This may be indicated as the percent of the school day or by identifying particular activities that the student will not participate in with his/her nondisabled peers (e.g., 100% of the school day, all academic and extracurricular activities, all academic classes).

3. How is “integrated co-teaching” written in the section Participation with Students without Disabilities? Are the students in regular and/or special education class? What is the percentage if the special education teacher is in the class all day? (Added 4/11)

Integrated co-teaching services, as defined in regulation, means the provision of specially designed instruction and academic instruction provided to a group of students with disabilities and nondisabled students. Integrated co-teaching services are provided in a student’s general education class; students are intentionally grouped together based on similarity of need for the purpose of receiving specially designed instruction in a general education class. A committee’s recommendation for integrated co-teaching services for a school-age student is documented in an IEP under the
heading of Recommended Special Education Programs and Services, Special Education Program, along with the recommended frequency, duration, location and projected beginning date of the services.

A recommendation for integrated co-teaching services would not be documented in an IEP in the section entitled Participation with Students without Disabilities. The Participation with Students without Disabilities section of the IEP is used to document the extent to which a student’s disability precludes his/her participation with students without disabilities, including an explanation of the extent, if any, to which a student will not participate in regular class and/or extracurricular and nonacademic activities, or, for preschool students, in appropriate activities, with age-appropriate nondisabled peers (this may be indicated as the percent of the school day or by identifying particular activities that the student will not participate in with his/her nondisabled peers); the extent to which the student will participate in specially-designed physical education; and when the Committee recommends that a student be exempt from the World Languages requirement because the student's disability affects his/her ability to learn a language.

4. It is my understanding that no student with a disability can be exempt from the Physical Education (PE) requirement and that he/she must have some sort of specialized instruction if they cannot participate in a general physical education class. Are there instances where an exemption may be appropriate? Would it be appropriate for a district to just note "exempt" without recommending specialized or adapted PE? (Added 4/11)

Physical education is required for all students in grades K-12, as specified in section 135.4 of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education. An IEP developed for a student with a disability must indicate, if the student is not participating in a regular physical education program, the extent to which the student will participate in specially-designed instruction in physical education, including adapted physical education.

5. As a related service, parent counseling and training differs from other related services as it is provided to parents based upon individual family need. As such, do the same regulatory guidelines regarding frequency, duration and group size that are associated with related services apply? If not, can additional clarification and guidance be provided? (Added 4/11)

For each related service, including parent counseling and training, the frequency, duration and location must be indicated. There is no requirement for group size to be indicated for a related service. A related service recommendation for parent counseling and training should specify the nature of the service. For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECOMMENDED SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND SERVICES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAM/SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERVICE DELIVERY RECOMMENDATIONS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREQUENCY HOW OFTEN PROVIDED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DURATION LENGTH OF SESSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION WHERE SERVICE WILL BE PROVIDED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECTED BEGINNING DATE(S)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
N. **PLACEMENT RECOMMENDATION**

1. **What does placement recommendation mean?**

Placement recommendation means the educational setting in which the student's IEP will be implemented. Placement should indicate the type of setting where the student will receive the special education programs and services (e.g., public school, BOCES, approved private school).

2. **What if a student is a resident of and is receiving IEP services in his/her public school district and thus placement is the public school district, but he also goes to a Career and Technical Education (CTE) course at BOCES for culinary arts instruction as part of his Coordinated Set of Transition Activities. Would the public school district be his only "Placement" in the IEP and BOCES then be a location of service in the IEP under Coordinated Set of Transition Activities and not an additional placement?**

Yes. The IEP would identify the student’s placement as "Public School District". BOCES would be identified as the "school district/agency responsible" for the instruction, in the *Coordinated Set of Transition Activities* section of the IEP.

3. **Where does home instruction get documented in an IEP?**

A student with a disability who is home instructed (“home-schooled”) pursuant to section 100.10 of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education would have an IESP rather than an IEP. The IESP would indicate placement as “student is home-schooled”. For a student with a disability who is recommended for home instruction by the CSE, the IEP would indicate placement as “home instruction.”

4. **Does the name of the provider of service need to be in the IEP?**

For students eligible for 12-month service and/or program, the IEP must indicate the identity of the provider of services during the months of July and August. Other than
for 12-month services/programs, there is no regulatory requirement that an IEP include the name of the provider of service (e.g., a specific approved private school).

5. If a student is receiving Home Instruction, how should this information be recorded and reported in the Special Ed Programs section of the IEP?

Home and hospital instruction is a placement recommendation for a student with a disability. The special education programs and services the student will receive at home or in the hospital must be determined by the CSE in consideration of the student’s unique needs and such recommendation would be indicated in the IEP under Recommended Special Education Programs and Services. For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECOMMENDED SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND SERVICES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAM/SERVICES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special class instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FREQUENCY HOW OFTEN PROVIDED</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual home instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 X week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DURATION LENGTH OF SESSION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 hours / day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LOCATION WHERE SERVICE WILL BE PROVIDED</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student’s home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROJECTED BEGINNING/ SERVICE DATE(S)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/7/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RELATED SERVICES: Speech and Language Therapy</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SERVICE DELIVERY RECOMMENDATIONS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 days/week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 minutes per session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LOCATION WHERE SERVICE WILL BE PROVIDED</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student’s home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROJECTED BEGINNING/ SERVICE DATE(S)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/7/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Placement:** Home Instruction

6. How is placement documented in an IEP for a preschool student with a disability? (Added 4/11)

The IEP must indicate the recommended placement of the preschool student with a disability. For purposes of the IEP, the identification of placement needs to specify where the child’s IEP will be implemented. Placement should indicate the type of setting where the child will receive his/her special education services.

For a preschool child recommended for a full/half-day, integrated/self-contained special class, placement would be “approved preschool special education program.” The name of the program (e.g., ABC Nursery School) is not required to be identified in the placement recommendation in an IEP.

For a preschool child recommended for a full/half-day, integrated/self-contained special class in an approved special education preschool program, who is also
recommended to receive related services while participating in the special class, there is only one placement recommendation in the IEP. It would be "approved preschool special education program."

A Committee does not recommend a placement setting where a child will receive related services only, SEIT services only or a combination of both,. Therefore, the identification in an IEP of placement for such recommendations is the name of the service itself (i.e., related services, SEIT services).

O. MISCELLANEOUS QUESTIONS

1. Can the school district bring a draft IEP to the Committee meeting? If a CPSE/CSE develops a draft IEP, must that draft IEP be sent home to the parent prior to the meeting, or can the CPSE/CSE wait and give it to the parents at the meeting?

With respect to draft IEPs, the United States Education Department opined "...we encourage public agency [school district] staff to come to an IEP Team [committee on special education (CSE)] meeting prepared to discuss evaluation findings and preliminary recommendations. Likewise, parents have the right to bring questions, concerns, and preliminary recommendations to the IEP Team [CSE] meeting as part of a full discussion of the child’s needs and the services to be provided to meet those needs. We do not encourage public agencies [school districts] to prepare a draft IEP prior to the IEP Team [CSE] meeting, particularly if doing so would inhibit a full discussion of the child’s needs. However, if a public agency [school district] develops a draft IEP prior to the IEP Team [CSE] meeting, the agency [district] should make it clear to the parents at the outset of the meeting that the services proposed by the agency [district] are preliminary recommendations for review and discussion with the parents. The public agency [school district] also should provide the parents with a copy of its draft proposals, if the agency [district] has developed them, prior to the IEP Team [CSE] meeting so as to give the parents an opportunity to review the recommendations of the public agency [school district] prior to the IEP Team [CSE] meeting and be better able to engage in a full discussion of the proposals for the IEP. It is not permissible for an agency [district] to have the final IEP completed before an IEP Team [CSE] meeting begins." (Analysis of Comments and Change, Federal Register / Vol. 71, No. 156 / Monday, August 14, 2006 / Rules and Regulations, page 46678).

2. Does an IEP developed in November of 2010 have to be on the new IEP form if the IEP will continue to be in effect through November of 2011? What if the IEP includes ESY services (12-month service and/or program) for the summer of 2011?

A district must ensure that all IEPs in effect for the 2011-12 school year, and thereafter, be on the State form. If an IEP is developed during the 2010-11 school year using an existing district IEP form, that form may be used for the remainder of the 2010-11
school year. However, IEPs in effect for the 2011-12 school year, which begins July 1, 2011, must be on the State form.

3. **Can information from an IEP on a district’s existing IEP form (not a State IEP form) be transferred onto the State’s IEP form for use in the 2011-12 school year?**

   Yes.

4. **If a district transfers information onto the State form, does the parent need to receive a copy of the IEP on the State form? If so, is this considered a newly developed or revised IEP?**

   If the school district is only transferring information documented in a prior IEP onto the State IEP form, this is not considered a newly developed or revised IEP and the parent would not need to receive a copy. However, to ensure the parent has the same information as school personnel, it is recommended that the parent receive a copy of the IEP on the new form.

5. **What should a Committee do if when transferring information from a district IEP form onto the State's IEP form, it does not have documentation for all required sections?**

   The State's form includes information required by State law and regulation. Therefore, if in transferring information from a current IEP to the State form, the district does not have documentation for all required sections of the State IEP form, the Committee must meet to revise the student's IEP, using the new IEP form, to ensure a complete and appropriate IEP is in place for the student beginning with the 2011-12 school year.

6. **If a district transfers information onto the State form, does the parent need to receive prior written notice for the use of the new form?**

   No. Prior written notice must be provided when a district proposes or refuses to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, educational placement of the student or the provision of a free appropriate public education to the student. Transferring information onto the State IEP form does not meet the requirements for prior written notice.

7. **In developing an IESP for a student with a disability who is parentally placed in a nonpublic school or who is home schooled pursuant to section 100.10 of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education, must the district of location use the State’s required IEP form?**

   No. The State did not develop a model IESP form and does not have authority by State regulation to require that the IESP be on a form prescribed by the Commissioner. However, State law requires that an IESP be developed in the same manner and with the same contents as an IEP is developed. A school district that chooses to use the
State’s form for purposes of developing an IESP could modify it to indicate it is an IESP.

8. **NYC IEPs indicate that students with disabilities can be eligible for “modified promotional criteria.”** If a student is eligible for a modified promotion, the IEP indicates the percentage they are required to meet for both English language arts and Math. The current NYS IEP does not require or have an area indicating that students with an IEP are eligible for a modified promotion. What is the procedure to indicate modified promotional criteria for the 2011-12 school year, starting July 1, 2011? *(Added 4/11)*

If the Committee determines that the criteria for the student to advance from grade to grade needs to be modified, the IEP would indicate this as a program modification. This information would most appropriately be indicated in the IEP in the “Supplementary Aids and Services/Program Modifications/Accommodations” section of the IEP.

9. **Is there a separate section for “follow-up recommendations for further evaluation”?** *(Added 4/11)*

When a CSE or CPSE has identified that additional data are needed to determine a student’s need for a special education program or related service, the Committee must then arrange for the additional tests and/or evaluations to be administered. In order to fully inform the parent about the proposed evaluation and seek written consent from the parent, the district must provide prior written notice to the parent. Once the evaluations are completed, the Committee must meet to consider the results of the evaluation(s) to determine if the student’s IEP needs to be revised.

There is no statutory or regulatory requirement that a recommendation for a reevaluation be documented in the student’s IEP, except when a functional vocational evaluation is recommended as a transition services activity. A recommendation for a reevaluation is documented in prior written notice to the parent seeking the parent’s consent for the evaluation.

10. **Is the name of the provider of recommended special education program or services included in an IEP and can the provider’s name be listed next to sections of PLEP or goals?** *(Added 4/11)*

For students eligible for 12-month service and/or program, the IEP must indicate the identity of the provider of services during the months of July and August. Identifying the provider of services is not otherwise required, nor is it recommended. The present levels of performance statements and annual goals are statements about the student, not the provider. If a provider’s name is included in the IEP, any change in provider would require a change to the IEP.
11. **Is an IEP for a preschool student required to indicate the name of the provider of service?** Many districts indicate the county as the provider when the provider is an itinerant off the county list. *(Added 4/11)*

For students (preschool and school age) eligible for 12-month service and/or program, the IEP must indicate the identity of the provider of services only during the months of July and August.

While a municipality, or in the case of a city of one million or more persons, the board, maintains a list of appropriately certified or licensed professionals to deliver related services, the municipality is not the actual provider of service and should not be identified in an IEP as the provider of service. As appropriate, the IEP would identify the July/August provider of a related service by listing the professional title and stating that the professional is from the approved provider list maintained by the municipality, or board (e.g., speech therapist from the approved provider list maintained by the municipality, or board).

For a recommendation for July/August SEIT services, the name of the approved program that will provide the SEIT services is identified and for a recommendation for special class, the name of the approved preschool special education program is identified in the IEP.

12. **How do we note the student’s Itinerant Teacher of the Deaf services in the new State IEP?** *(Added 4/11)*

There is no special education or related service for “itinerant teacher of the deaf services.” A student's IEP must document the recommended service that a teacher of the deaf would provide. When a Committee recommends a special education program and/or service, that recommendation is documented in the Recommended Special Education Programs and Services section of the IEP under the applicable heading (i.e. special education program, related service, supplementary aids and services/program modifications/accommodation, assistive technology devices and/or services and/or supports for school personnel on behalf of the student). While an IEP must identify the special education services recommended for a student with a disability, there is no regulatory requirement that an IEP identify the qualifications or title of the individual providing such services to a student. A teacher of the deaf, for example, may be the teacher who is assigned to provide integrated co-teaching services, a special class, SEIT, a resource room program or a consultant teacher service to the student.

13. **I have a student in my district who needs to be accompanied to school by a nurse for feeding and health issues. Where would I place that in the new IEP?** *(Added 4/11)*

If nursing services are recommended by a Committee for a student, such services would be documented as a related service in the student's IEP. Section 200.1(ss)(2)
of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education defines school health services and school nurse services.

14. **If you are directly training a student with an auditory processing disorder, is it listed in the IEP as a consult or a service? If you are working with a student related to care and use of equipment, is it a consult or service?** *(Added 4/11)*

The IEP would include a measurable annual goal for the student to acquire specific skills relating to auditory processing. The special education program/service where training would be provided directly to the student to address this need area (i.e., integrated co-teaching, special class, related service, resource room) would be indicated under Special Education Programs/Services. Recommendations for supports to be provided on behalf of the student are documented under the heading of Supports for School Personnel on Behalf of the Student. If the recommendation relates to an assistive technology device and/or service, it would be indicated in the section of the IEP entitled “Assistive Technology Devices and/or Services.”

15. **If you are consulting, do you need to write a goal for what you are working on or is the need met in the program modification or management needs?** *(Added 4/11)*

If consultation to school personnel is needed to assist the student to meet these goals, this recommendation would be documented under “Supports for School Personnel on Behalf of the Student.” Such a recommendation is made as a result of the Committee’s identification of a specific need, and corresponding goal(s), identified for the student.