THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK



Commissioner of Education President of the University of the State of New York 89 Washington Avenue, Room 111 Albany, New York 12234 E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov Twitter:@NYSEDNews Tel: (518) 474-5844 Fax: (518) 473-4909

February 17, 2023

§3012-d Removal of the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment Supplemental Form (Material Change)

Robert Berson, Superintendent Sherburne-Earlville Central School District 15 School St. Sherburne, NY 13460

Dear Superintendent Berson:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that the Removal of the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment Supplemental Form you submitted meets the criteria outlined in Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved as a material change to your currently approved Educator Evaluation Plan. As a reminder, we are relying on the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved Educator Evaluation plan and those found in the Supplemental Form for Alternate SLOs. If any additional changes need to be made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit a new plan to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely

Commissioner

Attachment

c: Michael Rullo

PLEASE NOTE:

In April 2019, the Governor signed into law an amendment to Education Law 3012-d. Those statutory changes allow a school district/BOCES to continue to implement its currently approved Educator Evaluation plan, including the transition measures that are to replace any measures based on the grades three through eight English language arts and mathematics State tests, until such time as its existing collective bargaining agreement expires and until entry into a successor agreement.

Accordingly, your district/BOCES may continue to implement its currently approved plan, including the measures described in the Supplemental Form for Removal of NYS Grade 4 Science, until such time that as a new collective bargaining agreement is entered into between the district/BOCES and its bargaining units.

Until such time as your district/BOCES receives approval of a new Educator Evaluation plan consistent with the requirements of Education Law 3012-d as amended by the Laws of 2019, teachers and principals must continue to be provided with their Educator Evaluation scores and ratings calculated based on the measures in your currently approved Educator Evaluation plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your Educator Evaluation plan have been reviewed and are considered as part of your district's/BOCES' approved Educator Evaluation plan; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your plan but not incorporated by reference have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your Educator Evaluation plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your Educator Evaluation plan and/or require corrective action.

Educator Evaluation - Removal of Grade Four Science Assessment

Removal of NYS Grade Four Science Assessment Supplemental Form - Information and Instructions

Page Last Modified: 02/13/2023

Instructions

The purpose of this form is to describe the measures and assessments that will be used to complete the student performance category of an LEA's Educator Evaluation Plan for teachers and principals whose evaluations are based in whole, or in part, on the the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment. To make any other changes to a currently approved evaluation plan, please submit a material change using the "Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019" form.

Status Date: 02/14/2023 00:23 PM - Submitted

The New York State Grade Four Science Assessment was discontinued at the conclusion of the 2021-22 school year. The New York State Elementary Science Assessment (grade five) will be implemented beginning in the 2023-24 school year.

If the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment is used in an LEA's Educator Evaluation plan, the LEA must indicate which assessment(s) will be used beginning in the 2022-23 school year for applicable educators.

Use the following pages to select the measures and assessments that will be used beginning in the 2022-23 school year for all educators whose evaluation includes the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment per the currently approved evaluation plan.

Note The measures indicated on this form will become a part of the LEA's evaluation plan once approved by the Commissioner. They will apply beginning in the 2022-23 school year and remain applicable until a material change is made to the currently approved evaluation plan.

02/14/2023 12:45 PM Page 1 of 7

Status Date: 02/14/2023 00:23 PM - Submitted

Educator Evaluation - Removal of Grade Four Science Assessment

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Teachers

Page Last Modified: 02/13/2023

Applicable Teachers

There are two types of applicable teachers this form can be used to address:

- Teachers whose SLOs are based on multiple assessments including the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment.
- Teachers whose SLOs are based ONLY on the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment.

Please make an appropriate selection below.

You may choose the first option if it addresses *all* teachers whose SLOs are based on the NYS Grade Four Science assessment.

However, if you are replacing the assessments in your group measures with new or different assessments, <u>or</u> if you have a teacher whose SLO is based only on the NYS Grade Four Science assessment, then you *must* select the second option.

- □ ALL teachers whose SLOs are based on the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment also include one or more other assessments; the Grade Four Science Assessment will be removed from the measure and only the remaining assessment(s) will be used beginning in the 2022-23 school year. (Proceed to Task 7. Principals: Required Student Performance)

 ☑ The NYS Grade Four Science Assessment will be removed and replaced with new assessment(s) for applicable teachers beginning
- in the 2022-23 school year.

 □ The NYS Grade Four Science Assessment is not included in the currently approved Evaluation plan for any teacher. (Proceed to
- ☐ The NYS Grade Four Science Assessment is not included in the currently approved Evaluation plan for any teacher. (Proceed to Task 7. Principals: Required Student Performance)

02/14/2023 12:45 PM Page 2 of 7

Educator Evaluation - Removal of Grade Four Science Assessment

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Teachers: Measures and Assessments

Page Last Modified: 02/13/2023

Teachers: Measures and Assessments

MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

Status Date: 02/14/2023 00:23 PM - Submitted

> Individually attributed results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support the LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.
- > <u>Collectively attributed results</u>: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> or <u>students across</u> buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- > Collectively attributed group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.
- > Collectively attributed linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

· State assessment(s); or

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- third party assessments; or
- locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES-, or regionally-developed).

Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used beginning in the 2022-23

02/14/2023 12:45 PM Page 3 of 7

Status Date: 02/14/2023 00:23 PM - Submitted

Educator Evaluation - Removal of Grade Four Science Assessment

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Teachers: Measures and Assessments

Page Last Modified: 02/13/2023

school year, then indicate the applicable course(s).

Please be sure to make selections for ALL applicable teachers that use the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment in the currently approved plan.

m mo canonay approv	1	Γ	T	,
Measure	State or Regents	Locally-Developed Course-	Third Party	Applicable
Prior to making a	Assessment(s)	Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)	Course(s)
selection, please read the				
description of each				
measure provided above.				
☑ Collectively attributed	☑ All Regents given in			☑ All courses that
results (program, school	LEA			use the NYS
	LLA			
or district-wide measure)				Grade Four
				Science
				Assessment
				included in the
				currently approved
				plan
				☑ 4 Science

Non-core/Elective Courses

This table should only be used if all teachers of non-core/elective courses as listed in the currently approved plan that use the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment do not use the measures and assessments included in the table above.

If the "Non-core/Elective Courses" checkbox in the table above does not apply, please leave that box unchecked and use the table below to assign different measures and assessments to individual teachers from the "Non-core/Elective Courses" section of Task 2 in the currently approved plan that use the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment.

For non-core/elective courses indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course
- · Column 3: subject of the course
- Column 4: measure used
- Columns 5-7: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses

Follow the examples be	<u>elow to list other courses.</u>				
·	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-6) assessment(s)
K-3 Art	Κ	3	Art	Individually attributed results	Questar III BOCES
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives	Collectively attributed linked results	All Regents given in
					LEA

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

02/14/2023 12:45 PM Page 4 of 7

Status Date: 02/14/2023 00:23 PM - Submitted

Educator Evaluation - Removal of Grade Four Science Assessment

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Teachers: Measures and Assessments

Page Last Modified: 02/13/2023

Grade From	Grade To	Subject	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
(No Response)	(No Response)	(No Response)	(No Response)			

HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effecti	ve	Effecti	ve		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100	93- 96%		85- 89%	80- 84%	75- 79%	67- 74%	60- 66%	55- 59%	49- 54%	44- 48%		34- 38%	29- 33%	25- 28%	21- 24%	17- 20%		9- 12%	5-8%	0-4%
%																				

Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which the LEA must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

02/14/2023 12:45 PM Page 5 of 7

Educator Evaluation - Removal of Grade Four Science Assessment

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Principals

Page Last Modified: 02/13/2023

Applicable Principals

There are two types of applicable principals this form can be used to address:

- Principals whose SLOs are based on multiple assessments including the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment.
- Principals whose SLOs are based ONLY on the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment.

Please make an appropriate selection below.

You may choose the first option if it addresses *all* principals whose SLOs are based on the NYS Grade Four Science assessment.

However, if you are replacing the assessments in your group measures with new or different assessments, <u>or</u> if you have a principal whose SLO is based only on the NYS Grade Four Science assessment, then you *must* select the second option.

Status Date: 02/14/2023 00:23 PM - Submitted

□ ALL principals whose SLOs are based on the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment also include one or more other assessments; the Grade Four Science Assessment will be removed from the measure and only the remaining assessment(s) will be used beginning in the 2022-23 school year. (Proceed to Certification)
□ The NYS Grade Four Science Assessment will be removed and replaced with new assessment(s) for applicable principals beginning in the 2022-23 school year.
☑ The NYS Grade Four Science Assessment is not included in the currently approved Evaluation plan for any principals. (Proceed to Certification)

02/14/2023 12:45 PM Page 6 of 7

Educator Evaluation - Removal of Grade Four Science Assessment

Statement of Assurances - Upload Statement of Assurances

Page Last Modified: 02/14/2023

Upload Statement of Assurances

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from this page only.

Please download the "Removal of Grade Four Science Assessment Assurances" from the list of documents on the left, obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload the signed and dated form.

Status Date: 02/14/2023 00:23 PM - Submitted

Removal of Grade Four Science Assessment Supp. Form_Statement of Assurances_2_14_2023.pdf

02/14/2023 12:45 PM Page 7 of 7

Removal of Grade Four Science Assessment Supplemental Form: Statement of Assurances

Please complete the assurances and signatures below and upload this Statement of Assurances via the Removal of Grade Four Science Assessment supplemental form in the SED Monitoring and Vendor Performance System through the NYSED Business Portal.

ances

n.t	, ,		,	4	
Please	check	the	boxes	hel	ow

- Assure that all information provided in the Removal of the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment supplemental form is true and accurate as of the date the form is submitted.
- Assure that, once this form is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's currently approved Educator Evaluation plan beginning in the 2022-2023 school year.
- Assure that only those educators whose evaluations, per the LEA's currently approved Educator Evaluation plan, are based, in whole or part, on the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment will be included on the Removal of the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment supplemental form and the measures and assessments described on such form shall be used to calculate the Student Performance scores/ratings for these educators.
- Assure that the educators for whom the Removal of the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment supplemental form applies shall continue to have their Observation/School Visit category scores and ratings and Overall Ratings determined consistent with the LEA's currently approved Educator Evaluation plan.
- Assure that the educators for whom the Removal of the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment supplemental form does NOT apply will continue to have their Overall Rating calculated consistent with the LEA's currently approved Educator Evaluation plan without modification.
- Assure that only the measures and assessments selected on the Removal of the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment Supplemental Form will be used to calculate the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category for the applicable educators beginning in the 2022-2023 school year.
- Assure that such modifications to the LEA's Educator Evaluation plan made through the Removal of the NYS Grade Four Science Assessment supplemental form represent a permanent change to the LEA's Educator Evaluation plan, and that future modifications to such plan must be made by submitting a material change to the Department for review and approval.
- Assure that, where applicable, collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of this application that are subject to collective bargaining.

Signatures, dates	Robert G. Berson	2/13/2023
Superintendent Signature	Name (print)	Date
Detra J. Kust Board of Education President Signature	Debra J. Kurtz Name (print)	2-13-2027 Date
Karen Munay Teachers Union President Signature	Karen S. Murray Name (print)	$\frac{2/3/2}{\text{Date}}$
Administrative Union President Signature	Antoinette Halliday Name (print)	2/13/2023 Date

THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK



Commissioner of Education President of the University of the State of New York 89 Washington Avenue, Room 111 Albany, New York 12234 E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov Twitter:@NYSEDNews Tel: (518) 474-5844 Fax: (518) 473-4909

June 17, 2016

Revised

Eric A. Schnabl, Superintendent Sherburne-Earlville Central School District 15 School St. Sherburne, NY 13460

Dear Superintendent Schnabl:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

MaryEllen Elia Commissioner

fargellen Elia

Attachment

c: Allen D. Buyck

NOTE:

Pursuant to sections 30-2.14 and 30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must calculate transition scores and ratings for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 ELA and math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores. For the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must establish alternate SLOs for affected teachers and principals who, as a result of the above exclusions, have no remaining measures in the Student Performance Category.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 1. School District Information - Tasks 1.1, 1.2

Page Last Modified: 06/17/2016

Task 1) Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

The Department will review the contents of each school district's/BOCES' Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's/BOCES' plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from a district/BOCES to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. As such, each district/BOCES is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of a district's/BOCES' plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPRplan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1.1) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- Assure that the content of this form represents the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that a detailed version of the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district/BOCES website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.
- Assure that it is understood that this district's/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval

1.2) Submission Status

Is this a first-time submission under Education Law §3012-d or the submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d?

First-time submission under Education Law §3012-d

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 1 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

Page Last Modified: 06/13/2016

Task 2) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

- (A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

2.1) Assurances

Please note: NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math Assessments and State-provided growth scores cannot be used for the purposes of providing transition scores and ratings during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, and should be used for advisory purposes only until the 2019-20 school year. Alternate SLOs to be used during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 transition period should be entered in Task 2 (Transition).

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all 4-8 ELA and Math teachers in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that teacher.
- For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for any grade/subject that requires a back-up SLO, but for which there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a teacher-specific SLO from being created, the superintendent or another trained administrator shall develop a school-wide back-up SLO using available State/Regents assessments.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

2.2) Grades 4-8 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a growth score and rating. That rating will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and takes into consideration students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided scores and ratings, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided growth measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score and rating from the State for the full Student Performance category of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Student Performance category of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided growth measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those teachers who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLOin the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that teacher.

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs for the grade/subject listed beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math
State Assessment	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 2 of 46

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 06/13/2016

	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math	
State Assessment	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math	
	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math	
State Assessment	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math	
	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math	
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math	
	Grade 8 ELA	Grade 8 Math	
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 8 ELA	Grade 8 Math	

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 3 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.3 (3 ELA/Math), 2.4 (4/8 SCI)

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

Page Last Modified: 06/13/2016

2.3) Grade 3 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math
State Assessment	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math

2.4) Grades 4 and 8 Science: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 4 Science	Grade 8 Science
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 4 Science	Grade 8 Science

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 4 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

Page Last Modified: 06/13/2016

2.5) High School Courses Ending in a Regents Exam: Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Global 2	US History
Regents Assessment	Global 2	US History

	Living Environment	Earth Science	Chemistry	Physics
Regents Assessment	Living Environment	Earth Science	Chemistry	Physics

	Algebra I	Geometry	Algebra II/Trigonometry
Regents Assessment(s)	Common Core Algebra	Common Core Geometry	Common Core Algebra II

2.6) High School English Language Arts Courses: Measures and Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school English Language Arts courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: High School English Language Arts

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For high school English Language Arts, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

· State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required in at least one year of high school English Language Arts

For grade levels where the Regents exam is not used:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developedcourse-specificassessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wideresults

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 5 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 06/13/2016

grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-Developed Course-	Third Party
		Assessment(s)	Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)
9 ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results	 ✓ Common Core English ✓ Common Core Algebra ✓ Common Core Geometry ✓ Common Core Algebra II ✓ Living Environment ✓ Earth Science ✓ Chemistry ✓ Physics ✓ Global 2 ✓ US History 		
10 ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results	 ✓ Common Core English ✓ Common Core Algebra ✓ Common Core Geometry ✓ Common Core Algebra II ✓ Living Environment ✓ Earth Science ✓ Chemistry ✓ Physics ✓ Global 2 ✓ US History 		
11 ELA	Teacher-specific results	☑ Common Core English		
12 ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results			

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 6 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

2.7) Grades K-2 ELA and Math: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 7 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-Developed Course-	Third Party
	iweasure	Assessment(s)	Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)
K ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results			
K Math	District- or BOCES-wide results			
1 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	 ☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Common Core Geometry ☑ Common Core Algebra II ☑ Living Environment ☑ Earth Science ☑ Chemistry ☑ Physics ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History 		
1 Math	District- or BOCES-wide results			
2 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	 ☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Common Core Geometry ☑ Common Core Algebra II ☑ Living Environment ☑ Earth Science ☑ Chemistry 		

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

		2	Physics	
		2	Global 2	
		<u> </u>	US History	
2 Math			OOTHStory	
2 Matri	District- or BOCES-wide	⊌	Common Core English	
	results	☑	Common Core Algebra	
		☑	Common Core Geometry	
		⊌	Common Core Algebra II	
		☑	Living Environment	
		⊌	Earth Science	
		₩.	Chemistry	
		⊌	Physics	
		₩.	Global 2	
		₩.	US History	

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 9 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

2.8) Grades 6-7 Science and Grades 6-8 Social Studies: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 10 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
6 Science	District- or BOCES-wide results	 ☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Common Core Geometry ☑ Common Core Algebra II ☑ Living Environment ☑ Earth Science ☑ Chemistry ☑ Physics ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History 		
7 Science	District- or BOCES-wide results	 ☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Common Core Geometry ☑ Common Core Algebra II ☑ Living Environment ☑ Earth Science ☑ Chemistry ☑ Physics ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History 		
6 Social Studies	District- or BOCES- wide results	 ✓ Common Core English ✓ Common Core Algebra ✓ Common Core Geometry ✓ Common Core Algebra II ✓ Living Environment ✓ Earth Science ✓ Chemistry ✓ Physics ✓ Global 2 ✓ US History 		
7 Social Studies	District- or BOCES- wide results	 ☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Common Core 		

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

		Geometry Common Core Algebra II Living Environment Earth Science Chemistry Physics Global 2	
8 Social Studies	District- or BOCES- wide results	US History Common Core English Common Core Algebra Common Core Geometry Common Core Algebra II Living Environment Earth Science Chemistry Physics Global 2 US History	

2.9) Regents Global Studies 1: Measure and Assessment(s) (Original)

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for Global Studies 1.

Page 12 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
Global 1	District- or BOCES- wide results	 ☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Common Core Geometry ☑ Common Core Algebra II ☑ Living Environment ☑ Earth Science ☑ Chemistry ☑ Physics ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History 		

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 13 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

2.10) All Other Courses (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developedcourse-specificassessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wideresults

Fill in the following, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have SLOs (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measureand assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 3: subject of the course
- · Column 4: measure used
- Columns 5-6: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-6) assessment(s)
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra
K-3 Art	K	3	Art	Teacher-specific results	Questar III BOCES
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12		wide, group, team, or	All Regents given in building/district

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 14 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

Grade From	Grade To	Subject	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
К	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	 ☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Common Core Geometry ☑ Common Core Algebra II ☑ Living Environment ☑ Earth Science ☑ Chemistry ☑ Physics ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History 		

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effectiv	ve	Effectiv	ve .		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-		I I	II		I I			II											5-	0-
100 %			l		I I			ll					33 %			20 %	16 %	12 %	8%	4%

2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one 0-20 score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Common branch teacher with State-provided growth measures for both ELA and Math in grade 4; middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

2.13) Assurances

For guidance on SLOs and the development of back-up SLOs, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 15 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

Please check the boxes below.

Assure that the teacher has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 2.11, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

2.14) Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 16 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

Task 2) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

- (A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, grades 3-8 NYS ELA/math assessments and any State-provided growth scores may only be used for advisory purposes and may not be used for the purpose of calculating transition scores and ratings.

If grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores are the entirety of the Student Performance category, districts/BOCES must also develop an alternate SLO based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or on State-provided growth scores for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

2.2-2.10) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLO during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, then indicate the applicable courses.

Measure	State or Regents	Locally-Developed Course-	Third Party	Applicable
	Assessment(s)	Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)	Course(s)
District- or BOCES- wide results	 ✓ Common Core English ✓ Common Core Algebra ✓ Common Core Geometry ✓ Common Core Algebra II ✓ Living Environment ✓ Earth Science ✓ Chemistry ✓ Physics ✓ Global 2 			☑ 3 ELA ☑ 3 Math ☑ 4 ELA ☑ 4 Math ☑ 5 ELA ☑ 5 Math ☑ 6 ELA ☑ 6 Math ☑ 7 ELA ☑ 7 Math ☑ 8 ELA ☑ 8 Math
	✓ US History			

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
Ingmy Effective	Litective	Developing	menecuve

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 17 of 46

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100 %	96	92	89	84	79	74	66	59	54	48	43	38	33	28	24	20	16	9- 12 %	5- 8%	0- 4%

2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 18 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 3.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Teachers) - Task 3.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

Task 3) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the Required subcomponent, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

3.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent of the Student Performance Category

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 19 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.
Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2013 Revised Edition)	(No Response)

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

4.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

4.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

 $For \ guidance\ on\ the\ Teacher\ Observation\ category,\ see\ NYSED\ APPR\ Guidance: \ https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.$

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

4.4) Calculating Observation Ratings

Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 4.5 below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please check the box below in the event that your district/BOCES is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department.

Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(1) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 20 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

	Overall Observation Score and Rating	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating					
	Minimum	Maximum					
н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0					
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74					
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74					
I	0	1.49 to 1.74					

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
3.50	4.00	
Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
2.50	3.49	
	-	
Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
1.50	2.49	
Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
0.00	1.49	
	3.50 Minimum Rubric Score 2.50 Minimum Rubric Score 1.50 Minimum Rubric Score	3.50

4.5) Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponents:

- Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators: At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score
- $\textbf{-} Observations \ by \ Impartial \ Independent \ Trained \ Evaluator (s)*: At least \ 10\%, but \ no \ more \ than \ 20\%, of the \ Teacher \ Observation \ category \ score$

Optional Subcomponent:

- Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 21 of 46

^{*} If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

Required - Principal/ Administrator	Required - Independent Evaluator(s)	' '	Grades and subjects for which Peer Observers will be used
90%	10%	N/A	(No Response)

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

4.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these teacher(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness.
- Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

4.7) Number and Method of Observations

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations for each type of observer, as well as the method of observation, in the tables below.

Tenured Teachers

	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Observation method	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method
Unannounced	0	N/A	1	In person	0	N/A
Announced	1	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A

Probationary Teachers

	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Observation method	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method
Unannounced	0	N/A	1	In person	0	N/A
Announced	1	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 22 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 5. Overall Scoring (Teachers) - Tasks 5.1-5.3

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

5.1) Scoring Ranges

Student Performance

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

Teacher Observation

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating			Overall Observation Category Score and Rating			
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum		
Н	18	20	Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0		
E	15	17	Е	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74		
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74		
I	0	12	ı	0	1.49 to 1.74		

5.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

, ,	X 101 tilo o voluli 1	Teacher Observation Category						
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)			
	Highly Effective (H)	н	Н	Е	D			
Student Performance	Effective (E)	Н	E	Е	D			
Category	Developing (D)	Е	E	D	I			
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I			

^{*} If a teacher is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the teacher can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

5.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same school district who have received Ineffective ratings under Education Law §3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the district has received a waiver from the Department.

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 23 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 06/13/2016

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

6.1) Assurances: Teacher Improvement Plans

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

SE_TeacherImpPlan_3012-d.docx

6.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

6.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law§3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the StudentPerformance category, but rated Highly Effective ontheObservationcategory based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law§3012-d; and
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law§3012-d.

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 24 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 06/13/2016

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

Teachers:

The purpose of the internal APPR appeal process is to foster and nurture growth of the professional staff in order to maintain a highly qualified and effective work force. All employees who meet the appeal process criteria identified below may use this appeal process.

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher or principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a teacher or principal rated Ineffective on the Student Performance Category but rated Highly Effective on the

Observation/School Visit Category based on an anomaly, as determined locally.

- (2) the district's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of Commissioner's regulations;
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d; and
- (4) the school district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan under Education Law §3012-d and this Subpart.

The following is the developed Appeal Procedure that the

District is using under the NYSED regulations: Education Law 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for

Appeals are limited to teachers who have received an overall rating of ineffective or developing.

An appeal may be filedwhen the overall composite rating

is received. A teacher may not file more than one appeal on the same component of the composite rating.

Appeal Committee membership will consist of:

- a. The Superintendent or his/her designee
- b. The SETA President or his/her designee
- c. One tenured administrator, certified to conduct evaluations, appointed by the

Superintendent. The administrator appointed shall not be the administrator who

authored the evaluation.

d. Two tenured teachers appointed by the SETA president.

The three SETA members involved in the Appeals Committee will receive additional

training in the observation-evaluation process by the Superintendent or designee.

Training will be provided in a timely manner to insure a thorough understanding of the observation/evaluation process.

The committee shall reach its finding using the consensus model.

The appeals process is not subject to the grievance or other dispute resolution process

included in the SETA contract. The rating of the evaluator who performed the appealed evaluation is not a basis for an appeal.

However, inability to follow the specified timeline may be subject to the filing of a

grievance. The final decision of the committee will not be subject to the grievance or other dispute resolution process.

Notification of the Appeal:

The teacher has tenschool/business days from receipt of the composite rating to

appeal in writing to the established Appeals Committee.

Level 1:

Within ten school/business days, upon receipt of the appeal, the Appeals Committee will meet

with the teacher and may meet with the Evaluator. During this time period, the

Evaluator must respond in writing to the appeal. The response must include any and

all additional documents or written materials that are specific to the point(s) of

disagreement and/or are relevant to the resolution of the appeal. Material not

submitted at the time the response is filed shall not be considered in the deliberations

related to the resolution of the appeal.

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 25 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 06/13/2016

If the Appeal is unable to be resolved at Level 1 within ten school days, the Appeal will proceed to Level 2.

Level 2:

Within twenty school/business days of the Level 1 determination, a final determination will be made by the Appeals Committee. The

decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for each determination on each of

the specific issues raised in the appeal.

The Appeals Committee shall have the authority to rescind, modify, or affirm the

rating. A new evaluation may be ordered.

The appeals committee will make the final determination on all appeals. The final resolution of the appeals process is not subject to review (e.g.,

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

through the grievance procedure). The committee's final determination will be reduced to writing by

the Superintendent on behalf of the committee and will be the final and binding decision.

6.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

☐ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

6.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

Evaluators including lead evaluators, evaluators, and impartial and independent observers:

All evaluators will complete a training course that meets the

requirements prescribed in Education Law 3012-d and Subpart 30-3of theRules of the Board of Regents. Such training shall

include application and use of the state approved practice rubrics as selected by the

District. First time certification for allEvaluators will consist of 30 hours of training within a year. Once trained, the evaluator will be deemed certified as a lead evaluator.

Evaluators and lead evaluators will participate in a minimum of nine hours per year to maintain their certification. Inter-rater reliability activities will be included in the nine hour, annual training. Activities may include evaluator viewing videos of sample observations and comparing observation ratings.

Lead evaluators will be re-certified by DCMO BOCES annually.

Peer Observers will not be utilized.

6.7) Assurances: Teacher Evaluation

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 26 of 46

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 06/13/2016

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide teachers whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

6.8) Assurances: Assessments

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.

6.9) Assurances: Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- 🗵 Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores and ratings for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- 🗷 Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 27 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

Page Last Modified: 06/13/2016

Task 7) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

- (A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

7.1) State-Provided Measures of Student Growth (Original)

For principals with at least 30% of their students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model. Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth measure, (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.). For principals where less than 30% of their students are covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principals shall have an SLO consistent with a goal setting process determined or developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score; provided that for any grade-level/course that ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO. (See Guidance for more detail on principals with State-provided measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those principals who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that principal.

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where State-provided growth measures will apply beginning in the 2019-20 school year (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 7-12, 9-12). For each configuration, also indicate assessment(s) used for the back-up SLO beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- ullet Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- · Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) assessment(s)
Grades K-6 Building	K	6	NYS Grade 4 ELA,NYS Grade 5ELA,NYS Grade 6 ELA, NYS Grade 4 Math,NYS Grade 5Math,NYS Grade 6 Math
Grades 7-12Building	7	H12	All applicableRegents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs beginning in the

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 28 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Page Last Modified: 06/13/2016

2019-20 school year for each grade configuration listed. The SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

Grade To	State or Regents Assessment(s)
5	☑ Grade 4 ELA
	☑ Grade 5 ELA
	☑ Grade 4 Math
	☑ Grade 5 Math
8	☑ Grade 6 ELA
	☑ Grade 7 ELA
	☑ Grade 8 ELA
	☑ Grade 6 Math
	☑ Grade 7 Math
	☑ Grade 8 Math
12	☑ All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score
	8

7.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all principals who receive a State-provided growth score in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that principal.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

Page 29 of 46 06/17/2016 11:14 AM

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Tasks 7.2 (SLOs), 7.3-7.6

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effectiv	ve	Effectiv	ve .		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100	96	92	89	84	79	74	66	59	54	48		38	33		24	20	16	9- 12	5- 8%	0- 4%
%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	070	170

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

7.4) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and math grades 4-8.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

7.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the principal has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☑ Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a principal's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 7.3, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- $oxed{oxed}$ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

7.6) Student Performance Subcomponent Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 30 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

Task 7) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

- (A) For a principal of a building which includesgrades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments(or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) Fora principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, if excluding grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores results in no remaining student performance measures, districts/BOCES must develop alternate SLOs based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance Category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

7.1-7.2) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where alternate SLOs will apply. For each configuration, also indicate the measure and assessment(s) used for the alternate SLO.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: measure used
- Column 4: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) measure	(4) assessment(s)
Grades K-2 Building	K	2	District- or BOCES-wide	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra, Living Environment, Global 2, US History
Grades 11-12 Building	11	12	Principal-specificresults	Common Core English, US History

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLOs during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years for each grade configuration listed. In all other school years, the SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 31 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

Grade From	Grade To	Measure	State or Regents
К	5	District- or BOCES-wide results	 ☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Common Core Geometry ☑ Common Core Algebra II ☑ Living Environment ☑ Earth Science ☑ Chemistry ☑ Physics ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History
6	8	District- or BOCES-wide results	 ☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Common Core Geometry ☑ Common Core Algebra II ☑ Living Environment ☑ Earth Science ☑ Chemistry ☑ Physics ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History

7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

- /	of HEDF Cooling Bando																			
Highly	Effectiv	ve	Effectiv	ve		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-		90-		80-			60-	55-	49-	44-	39-	34-	29-	25-	21-	17-	13-	9-	5-	0-
100		92						ll	54			38				20	_	12	8%	ľ
%	%	%	70	%	%	70	%	70	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%		

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 32 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

7.4) Principals with More than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 33 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 8.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Principals) - Task 8.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

Task 8) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that a different measure is used than that for the Required subcomponent in the Student Performance category, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

8.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent for Student Performance Measures

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 34 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of
	principals each rubric applies to.
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

9.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different grade level configurations or building types.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

9.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 35 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

Each observable subcomponent will be scored on a 1-4 point scale and each observable subcomponent will be weighted according to the chart below. The total weighted score will be divided by the total of multiplier to determine the average overall element score between 1-4 points. This number will then be converted to the HEDI rating.

RUBRIC AVERAGE ELEMENT SCORE - WEIGHTING CHART	
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric Please circle one: ANNOUNCED MEETING #1 UNANNOUNCED MEETING ANNOUNCED MEETING #2	MULTIPLIER FOR WEIGHTING (AND NUMBER FOR THE DIVISOR TO EQUATE AN AVERAGE)*
SHARED VISION OF LEARNING	
a. Culture	7.5
b. Sustainability	2.5
2. SCHOOL CULTURE & INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAM	
a. Culture	5
b. Instructional Program	7.5
c. Capacity Building	5
d. Sustainability	2.5
e. Strategic Planning Process	2.5
3. SAFE, EFFICIENT, EFFECTIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT	
a. Capacity Building	2.5
b. Culture	2.5
c. Sustainability	1
d. Instructional Program	1.5
4. COMMUNITY	
a. Strategic Planning Process: Inquiry	1.5
b. Culture	1.5
c. Sustainability	1
5. INTEGRITY, FAIRNESS, ETHICS	
a. Sustainability	2.5
b. Culture	1.5

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

6. POLITICAL, SOCIAL, ECONO	OMIC, LEGAL & CULTURAL CO	NTEXT
a. Sustainability		1
b. Culture		1
TOTALS	DOES NOT APPLY	50
AVERAGE ELEMENT SCORE (T	OTAL WEIGHTED SCORE DIVID	ED BY TOTAL OF MULTIPLIERS)

9.4) Calculating School Visit Ratings

Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- 🗷 Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- 🗷 Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 9.5 below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please check the box below in the event that your district/BOCES is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department.

🗷 Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating					
	Minimum	Maximum				
Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0				
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74				
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74				
I	0	1.49 to 1.74				

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Page 37 of 46 06/17/2016 11:14 AM

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49
	·	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

9.5) Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponents:

- School Visits by Supervisor(s) or other Trained Administrator(s): At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score
- School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent:

- School Visits by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Required - Supervisor/ Adminstrator	Required - Independent Evaluator(s)	Optional - Peer Observer(s)	Grade configurations for which Peer Observers will be used
90%	10%	N/A	(No Response)

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- 🖾 Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness.
- 🗷 Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- ☑ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video

9.7) Number of School Visits

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 38 of 46

^{*} If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee.

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits for each type of observer in the tables below.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

Tenured Principals

	' '	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits
Unannounced	0	1	0
Announced	2	0	0

Probationary Principals

	Required - Supervisor/ Administrator:	Required - Independent Evaluator(s):	Optional - Peer Observer(s):
	Minimum school visits	Minimum school visits	Minimum school visits
Unannounced	0	1	0
Announced	2	0	0

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 39 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 10. Overall Scoring (Principals) - Tasks 10.1-10.3

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

10.1) Scoring Ranges

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

Principal School Visit Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating			Overall School Visit Category Score and Ratin	
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum
Н	18	20	Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	15	17	E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	12	ı	0	1.49 to 1.74

10.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

		Principal School Visit Category			
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
Student Performance Category	Highly Effective (H)	Н	Н	Е	D
	Effective (E)	н	Е	Е	D
	Developing (D)	Е	Е	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I

^{*} If a principal is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the principal can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

10.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- 🗷 Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.

Page 40 of 46 06/17/2016 11:14 AM

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 06/13/2016

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

11.1) Assurances: Improvement Plans

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

Principal_Improvement_Plan_16_17.pdf

11.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

11.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law§3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the studentperformance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law \$3012-d; and the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law \$3012-d; and the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law \$3012-d; and the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law \$3012-d; and the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law \$3012-d; and the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law \$3012-d; and the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the school district's/BOCES' and the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the school district's and the school district's adherence to the school district's and the school district's adherence to the school district's and the school district's adherence to the school district's and the school district's adherence to the school district's and the school district's adherence to the school distric
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law§3012-d.

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 41 of 46

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 06/13/2016

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

CHALLENGES IN AN APPEAL:

Appeals are limited to those identified by Education Law, as follows:

- 1. The substance of the annual professional performance review, which shall include the following:
- a. In the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the Student Performance Category but rated

Highly Effective on the Observation/School Visit Category, based on an anomaly, as determined locally (Subpart 30-3.12 (a) (1) of the Rules of the Board of Regents);

- 2. The school district's or board of cooperative educational services' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews;
- 3. The adherence to the Commissioner's regulations, as applicable to such reviews;
- 4. Compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures applicable to annual professional performance reviews or improvement plans; and
- 5. The school district's or board of cooperative educational services' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan.

RATINGS THAT MAY BE APPEALED

Appeals of annual professional performance reviews may be initiated by any principal for ineffective and developing ratings or any rating tied to compensation. An appeal may only be initiated once a principal receives the overall composite rating.

PROHIBITION AGAINST MORE THAN ONE APPEAL

A principal may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review. The issuance of an improvement plan may prompt an appeal independent of the performance review. The implementation of an improvement plan may be appealed upon each alleged breach thereof. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity within such appeal. Any grounds not raised shall be deemed waived.

TIME FRAME FOR FILING APPEAL

All appeals shall be filed in writing. The act of mailing the appeal shall constitute filing.

An appeal of a performance review must be filed no later than fifteen (15) business days of the date when the principal receives their final and complete annual professional performance review. If a principal is challenging the issuance of a principal improvement plan, appeals must be filed with fifteen (15) business days of issuance of such plan. An appeal of the implementation of an improvement plan shall be within fifteen (15) business days of the failure of the district to implement any component of the plan.

The failure to file an appeal within these timeframes shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned. An extension of the time in which to appeal may be granted by the Superintendent upon written request.

When filing an appeal, the principal must submit a written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his or her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his or her improvement plan. Supportive evidence about the challenges may also be submitted with the appeal. Any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal must be provided by the district upon written request for same. The performance review and/or improvement plan being challenged must also be submitted with the appeal.

TIME FRAME FOR DISTRICT RESPONSE

Within ten (10) business days of receipt of an appeal, the district must submit a detailed written response to the appeal. The response must include all additional documents or written materials relevant to the point(s) of disagreement that support the district's response. Any such information that is not submitted at the time the response is filed shall not be considered on behalf of the district in the deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal. The principal initiating the appeal shall receive a copy of the response filed by the school district, and all additional information submitted with the response, at the same time the school district files its response. Additional material supporting the challenges may be submitted by the principal up to the date of the hearing.

DECISION PROCESS FOR APPEAL

Within five (5) business days of the district's response, a single individual hearing officer shall be chosen from the list of hearing officers approved mutually by the district and bargaining unit representing the principals.

The parties agree that:

a. The hearing officer shall hear appeals in a timely manner after the appeal is made, but in no event shall it be less

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 42 of 46

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 06/13/2016

than five (5) business days or more than fifteen (15) business days after the hearing officer is selected.

- b. The hearing shall be conducted in no more than one business day unless extenuating circumstances are present and the hearing officer agrees to a second day.
- c. The parties shall have the ability to be represented by either legal counsel, union representative, or appear pro se;
- d. The parties shall exchange an anticipated witness list no less than two (2) business days before the scheduled hearing date;
- e. The principal shall have the prerogative to determine whether the appeal shall be open to the public or not;
- f. The district shall have the opportunity to present its case supporting the rating or improvement plan and then the principal may refute the presentation. These may include the presentation of material, witnesses and/or affidavits in lieu of testimony.

DECISION

A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than ten (10) business days from the close of the hearing. Such decision shall be a final administrative decision. The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for the determination on each of the specific issues raised in the appeal. The reviewer must either, affirm, set aside or modify a district's rating or improvement plan. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the principal and the district

EXCLUSIVITY OF THE APPEAL PROCEDURE

This appeal procedure shall constitute the means for initiating, reviewing and resolving challenges to a principal performance rating or improvement plan.

OTHER

- 1. The district and bargaining unit for the principal shall maintain a list of no less than three (3) mutually agreed upon hearing officers.
- 2. Appeals shall be assigned to hearing officers on a rotational basis, alphabetically by last name.
- 3. The cost of a hearing officer shall be the responsibility of the district.
- 4. In addition to any further limitations agreed to within the APPR agreement, an evaluation shall not be placed in a principal's personnel file until either the expiration of the fifteen (15) business day period in which to file an notice of appeal without action being taken by the principal or the conclusion of the appeal process described herein, whichever is later.
- 5. A principal who takes advantage of the appeals process described herein does not waive his/her right to submit a written rebuttal to the final evaluation. A principal who elects to submit a written rebuttal to his her evaluation prior to the expiration of the fifteen (15) business days in which to file a notice of appeal does not waive her/his right to file an appeal.

11.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

☑ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below

11.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 06/13/2016

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

Evaluators including lead evaluators, evaluators, impartial and independent observers:

All evaluators will complete a training course that meets the

requirements prescribed in 3012-d and subpart 30-3 of the rules of the Board of Regents. Such training shall

include application and use of the state approved practice rubrics as selected by the

District.

First time certification for all Evaluators will consist of 30 hours of training within a year. Once trained, the evaluator will be deemed certified as a lead evaluator

Evaluators and lead evaluators will participate in a minimum of nine hours per year to maintain their certification. Inter-rater reliability activities will be included in the nine hour, annual training. Activities may include evaluator viewing videos of sample observations and comparing observation ratings.

Lead evaluators will be re-certified by DCMO BOCES annually.

Peer Observers will not be utilized.

11.7) Assurances: Principal Evaluation

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such principals with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

11.8) Assurances: Assessments

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.

11.9) Assurances Data

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 44 of 46

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 06/13/2016

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

- Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores and ratings for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- Assure that enrolled students in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 45 of 46

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 06/17/2016

Task 12) Upload APPR District Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form.

Status Date: 06/17/2016 11:12 AM

 $Sherburne_APPR_DistrictSignOff_June9_2016.pdf$

APPR3012d_DistrictCertificationForm.pdf

Sherburne_EarlvilleAPPR3012d_SignaturePage6_17_16.pdf

06/17/2016 11:14 AM Page 46 of 46

Teacher Improvement Plan School Year

School Year	
Teacher: Improvement Plan Team:	
Areas of improvement to be addressed and reviewed mon with the established APPR Plan:	thly in accordance
Domain and elements to be addressed:	
Possible other issues/concerns to be addressed:	
Describe the manner in which a teacher's improvement w	ill be assessed:
Describe the differentiated activities provided to support a improvement:	a teacher's
Timeline: At minimum, the rubric will be reviewed on a monthly ba	sis.
The goal is to reach level 3-4 in all areas.	
Teacher	Date
Administrator	Date

SECSD AND SEAA

SECTION IV: PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN PROCESS

Upon rating a principal as ineffective or developing, an improvement plan designed to rectify perceived or demonstrated deficiencies must be developed and commenced within 10 district work days after the issuance of the final evaluation rating. The superintendent or designee, in conjunction with the principal, must develop an improvement plan that contains:

- 1. A clear delineation of the deficiencies that resulted in the ineffective or developing assessment.
- 2. Specific improvement goal/outcome statements.
- 3. Specific improvement action steps/activities.
- 4. A reasonable time line for achieving improvement.
- 5. Required and accessible resources to be provided by the district to achieve goals.
- 6. A formative evaluation process documenting meetings strategically scheduled throughout the year to assess progress. These meetings shall occur at least twice during the year: the first between December 1 and December 15 and the second between March 1 and March 15. A written summary of feedback on progress shall be given within 5 business days of each meeting.
- 7. A clear manner in which improvement efforts will be assessed, including evidence demonstrating improvement.
- 8. A formal, final written summative assessment delineating progress made with an opportunity for comments by the principal.

PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Name of Principal	
	Academic Year
Deficiencies that contributed to the "ineffective	e" or "developing" performance rating:
Improvement Goal/Outcome:	
Action Steps/Activities:	
Timeline for completion:	
Required and Accessible Resources, including	identification of responsibility for provision:
Dates of formative evaluation on progress (lead December: March: Other:	evaluator and principal initial each date to confirm the meeting):
Evidence to be provided for Goal Achievement	: :

Assessment Summary: Superintendent is to attach a narrative summary of improvement progress, including verification of the provision of support and resources as outlined above no later than 10 days after the identified completion date. Such summary shall be signed by the superintendent and principal with the opportunity for the principal to attach comments.

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan compiles with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-d as implemented by Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The district/BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, transition scores and ratings will be calculated for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 English Language Arts (ELA) and Math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores; that the district/BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures in their approved APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents during the transition period; and that original APPR scores and ratings will be provided for advisory purposes only, and will have no impact on employment decisions, including tenure determinations, or teacher and principal improvement plans.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11), as added by Chapter 56 of the Laws of 2015.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the overall transition rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES will continue to provide teachers
 and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures described in this APPR plan
 without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, original APPR scores and ratings will not be used as the basis for employment decisions and will only be used for advisory purposes;
- Assure that beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, the original overall APPR score pursuant to the district or BOCES
 approved APPR plan shall be used as the basis for employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher
 and principal improvement plans:
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES, shall provide teachers and
 principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math
 State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings calculated
 pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September
 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers and
 principals with their original composite APPR rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year
 for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall continue to provide teachers
 and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are not based on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State
 assessments or State-provided growth scores with their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if

available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of their annual professional performance reviews, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured, and that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured

- Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured;
- Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the district or BOCES shall compute and provide to the
 teacher/principal their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher
 Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance
 review, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured,
 but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's or
 principal's performance is measured;
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the district office and made available to the public on the district's or BOCES'
 website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the
 Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school year, the district or BOCES will continue to report both the
 original and transition individual category and subcomponent scores and the overall original and transition ratings to
 the State for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities:
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, any educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective
 rating as their overall transition rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in
 accordance with all applicable statues and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year in
 which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, any educator who receives a Developing or Ineffective rating on
 their original overall rating pursuant to this APPR plan will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal
 Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following
 the school year for which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable
 thereafter;
- Assure that such Improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of
 their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the
 Civil Service Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations;
- Assure that the district or BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the district/BOCES;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed
 at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008
 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total
 number of annual school visits;
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the district and BOCES shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year:
- Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES must be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator;
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year, on a form prescribed by the Commissioner, to the Commissioner for approval;
- Assure that the alternate SLOs described in Tasks 2 and 7 of this APPR plan will be used as the basis for certain teachers' and principals' transition APPR scores and ratings, where applicable and consistent with section 30-3.17 of

- the Rules of the Board Regents, during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years only;
- Assure that, beginning in the 2019-20 school year, no transition scores and ratings will be generated and the district or BOCES' original APPR Plan will apply to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the statute, regulations, and SED guidance without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements as a result of the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any Information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by
 State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the
 minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does
 not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time
 devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not
 be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall
 not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to
 supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to
 English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:	Date:	
Emischnel		6/17/16
Teachers Union President Signature:	Date:	
Ferregu Moere		6-17-16
Administrative Union President Signature:	Date:	
Laline Empal		6-17-16
Board of Education President Signature:	Date:	, ,
Thomas 1. nenros		6/17/16