

## New York State EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Knowledge > Skill > Opportunity

## New York State's Teacher and Principal Evaluation System

Continuing Guidance on the Submission of Educator Evaluation Data:

Tips for Submission

## Educator Evaluation Data Collection

- Educator evaluation data scores and ratings can be submitted to the Department through the October deadline.
- Educator evaluation data elements to be submitted include:
  - Subcomponent Scores (Required/Optional Student Performance and Teacher Observation/Principal School Visits)
  - Overall Rating
- The "Statement of Confirmation of Educator Evaluation Rating Verification Report" is due one week after the staff evaluation data submission deadline.
- For specific dates related to the submission of evaluation data see the <u>Educator Evaluation Closeout Reminders</u> document.



## Key Tools and Resources

Data Collection and Educator Evaluation Closeout

- <u>Educator Evaluation Data Submission Reminders and FAQ</u>
- <u>Educator Evaluation Data Template Examples</u>
- <u>Educator Evaluation Closeout Reminders</u>
- <u>Educator Evaluation Data Reporting under Education Law §3012-d, as Amended in</u> 2019

**Educator Evaluation Summaries** 

- Educator Evaluation Summaries for LEAs are organized by groups of educators based on the type of scores and ratings needed and provide a description of the items that should be distributed to educators as their final educator evaluation and those that should be submitted.
  - Educator Evaluation summaries are available for each LEA through <u>SED Monitoring</u> in the Educator Quality portal.

Educator Evaluation Guidance

- Educator Evaluation Guidance: Education Law §3012-d
- Educator Evaluation Guidance: Education Law §3012-d, as amended by the Laws of 2019

## Tip 1: Incomplete Subcomponent(s)

- If an educator is missing one or more subcomponents (e.g., educator was out on maternity leave and did not have the required number of observations or the SLO could not be calculated):
  - Do not submit scores for the missing subcomponent(s);
  - Do not submit an Overall rating



### Example #1: Missing Subcomponents

Educator is missing the student performance subcomponents, as all assessments included in the SLO were not administered.

Action: report only the teacher observation subcomponent and do not report an overall rating.

Incorrect

OVERALL	TEACHER OBSERVATION/ PRINCIPAL SCHOOL VISITS				STUDENT PERFORMANCE					
CODE	REQUIRED OPTIONAL			REQU	OPTIONAL		JIRED OPTIC		REQUIRED	
	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE		
OC03 (E)	2.71 REQOB N/A N/A			2.71	xx	XX	XX	XX		

#### **Correct – an Overall rating should not be submitted**

SI		RFORMANCE	E	TEACHER OBSERVATION/ PRINCIPAL SCHOOL VISITS				OVERALL
REQU	IRED	OPTIONAL		REQU	REQUIRED OPTIONAL			CODE
SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	
ХХ	XX	XX	xx	2.71	REQOB	N/A	N/A	ХХ



The information illustrated is specific to data submission. The final educator evaluation provided to the educator should consist of the following: Teacher observation – required: 2.71/effective, category: effective. This educator should not receive any student performance subcomponents or category rating and should not receive an overall rating.

## Tip 2: Optional Subcomponent(s)

- The Optional Student Performance subcomponent is found in Tasks 3/8 of the approved evaluation plan.
  - For plans approved under Education Law §3012-d (prior to 2020) the Optional Student Performance subcomponent is based on a second growth score or a supplemental assessment.
  - Plans approved under Education Law §3012-d, as amended in 2019 (after January 1, 2020) have multiple options for the Optional Student Performance subcomponent.
- The Optional Teacher Observation/Principal School Visit subcomponent is based on the peer observation/school visit found in Tasks 4/9 of the approved evaluation plan.
- If an Optional subcomponent is not used per the approved evaluation plan, do not submit the corresponding codes – OPTSP or OPTOB.



Example #2: Optional Student Performance Subcomponent Not Included in the Approved Educator Evaluation Plan

The Optional Student Performance subcomponent is <u>not used</u> per the LEA's approved evaluation plan.

Action: report all complete subcomponents and overall ratings, submit nothing for the Optional Student Performance subcomponent.

	STUDENT PER	PERFORMANC	E		OVERALL			
RE	QUIRED	ОРТ	OPTIONAL		REQUIRED		IONAL	CODE
SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	
17	REQSP	18	OPTSP	3.48	REQOB	3.52	ОРТОВ	OC03 (E)

#### **Correct – nothing should be reported for the Optional Student Performance subcomponent**

	STUDENT PER	RFORMANCE		TEACHER OBSERVATION/ PRINCIPAL SCHOOL VISIT				OVERALL
RE	QUIRED	OPTIC	NAL	REQUIRED OPTIONAL			CODE	
SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	SCORE CODE SCORE			
17	REQSP	N/A	N/A	3.48	REQOB	3.52	ОРТОВ	OC03 (E)



Incorrect

The information illustrated is specific to data submission. The final educator evaluation provided to the educator should consist of the following: Student performance – required: 17/effective, category: effective; Teacher observation/principal school visits – required: 3.48/effective, optional: 3.52/highly effective, category: effective; Overall rating: effective.

## Tip 3: Overall Rating

- If all subcomponents are complete for an educator, be sure to submit an Overall rating.
- An educator should only be missing an Overall rating if there are one or more incomplete subcomponent(s).
- The Overall rating should be based on the combination of the Student Performance and Teacher Observation/Principal School Visit category ratings, per the matrix defined in Education Law §3012-d(5); however, the category ratings should not be submitted.



## Example #3a: Overall Rating (Complete Evaluation)

All subcomponents are complete (student performance and principal school visit).

#### Action: report all subcomponents and an Overall rating

#### Incorrect

S		RFORMANCE		TEACHER OBSERVATION/ PRINCIPAL SCHOOL VISIT				OVERALL	
REQU	IIRED	OPTIONAL		REQU	IRED	OPTIC	DNAL	CODE	
SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	SCORE CODE		
17	REQSP	N/A	N/A	3.48	REQOB	3.52	ОРТОВ	хх	

#### **Correct – be sure to submit an Overall rating if all applicable subcomponents are complete**

S		RFORMANCE				SERVATION		OVERALL
REQU	REQUIRED		NAL	REQU	REQUIRED OPTIONAL			CODE
SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	SCORE CODE	
17	REQSP	N/A	N/A	3.48	REQOB	3.52	ОРТОВ	OC03 (E)



The information illustrated is specific to data submission. The final educator evaluation provided to the educator should consist of the following: Student performance – required: 17/effective, category: effective; Teacher observation/principal school visits – required: 3.48/effective, optional: 3.52/highly effective, category: effective; Overall rating: effective.

## Example #3b: Overall Rating (Missing Subcomponent)

The Required Teacher Observation/Principal School Visit subcomponent was not able to be determined and optional peer observations/school visits are not included in the approved evaluation plan.

Action: report only the student performance subcomponents and do not report incomplete Overall ratings.

#### Incorrect

	STUDENT PER	RFORMANCE		TEACHER	TEACHER OBSERVATION/PRINCIPAL SCHOOL VISIT				
REQUIRED		JIRED OPTIC		REQU	IRED	OVERALL CODE			
SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE			
16	REQSP	N/A	N/A	XX	XX	XX	XX	OC03 (E)	

#### **Correct – an Original Overall rating should not be submitted**

	STUDENT PER	IT PERFORMANCE TEACHER OBSERVATION/PRINCIPAL SCHOOL VISIT							
REG	REQUIRED		NAL	REQU	REQUIRED OPTIONAL			OVERALL CODE	
SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	SCORE CODE		
16	REQSP	N/A	N/A	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	



The information illustrated is specific to data submission. The final educator evaluation provided to the educator should consist of the following: Student performance – required: 16/effective, category: effective; Teacher observation/principal school visits – required: missing, no category rating; No overall rating.

### Example #3c: Overall Rating (determined by the evaluation matrix)

The Student Performance category rating and the Teacher Observation/ Principal School Visit category were both determined to be effective.

Action: use the evaluation matrix to determine the Overall rating using the category ratings; submit only the Overall Rating (category ratings should not be submitted).

		Teacher Observation/ Principal School Visit						
		<u>Highly</u> <u>Effective (H)</u>	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)			
ଥ	Highly Effective (H)	н	н	E	D			
man	Effective (E)	Н	E	E	D			
<u>Student</u> <u>Performanc</u>	Developing (D)	E	E	D	I			
Pe	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I			

#### Incorrect

	STUDENT PERFORMANCE TEACHER OBSERVATION/PRINCIPAL SCHOOL VISIT									
OVERALL CODE	OPTIONAL	QUIRED	REQUIRED		OPTIONAL		REQUIRED			
	CODE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE			
OC04 (H)	N/A	N/A	REQOB	3.42	N/A	N/A	REQSP	17		

#### **Correct – the Original Overall rating should be effective**

	PAL SCHOOL VISIT	ION/PRINCI	ER OBSERVAT	TEACHE	Ξ	STUDENT PERFORMANCE					
OVERALL CODE	OPTIONAL	QUIRED	REC	OPTIONAL		REQUIRED					
	CODE	SCORE CODE			CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE			
OC03 (E)	N/A	N/A	REQOB	3.42	N/A	N/A	REQSP	17			



The information illustrated is specific to data submission. The final educator evaluation provided to the educator should consist of the following: Student performance – required: 17/effective, category: effective; Teacher observation/principal school visits – required: 3.42/effective, category: effective; Overall rating: effective.

## Tip 4: A Zero Represents an Earned Score

- A value of zero should only be reported for an educator's score when the evaluation requirements have been completed and the educator's earned score is a zero.
- If an educator is missing one or more subcomponents, such subcomponent(s) should not be submitted and the Overall rating should also not be submitted.



## Example #4: A Score of Zero

#### A zero should only be reported if it was earned.

		STUDENT PE	RFORMAN	CE		TEACHER OF PRINCIPAL S		OVERALL	
	REC	QUIRED	OPT	IONAL	REG	QUIRED	OPT	IONAL	CODE
	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	
ORIGINAL RECORD	0	REQSP	N/A	N/A	3.50	REQOB	N/A	N/A	OC02 (D)

# If a subcomponent was not determined, it should not be submitted; an Overall rating should also not be submitted.

	S	TUDENT PE	RFORMAN	CE		OVERALL			
	REQUIRED		OPTIONAL		REQUIRED		OPTIONAL		CODE
	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	
ORIGINAL RECORD	хх	хх	N/A	N/A	3.50	REQOB	N/A	N/A	хх

The information illustrated is specific to data submission. The final educator evaluation provided to the educator should consist of the following:

Example 1 – Student performance – required:0/ineffective, category: ineffective; Teacher observation/principal school visits –

required: 3.50/highly effective, category: highly effective; Overall rating: developing;

Example 2 – Student performance – required: missing, optional: N/A, no category rating; Teacher observation/principal school visits – 13 required: 3.50/highly effective, optional: N/A, category: effective; No overall rating.

## Tip 5: Scores and Ratings based on Transition Measures (applicable only to plans approved prior to 2020, under Education Law §3012-d\*)

- Chapter 59 of the Laws of 2019 amended Education Law §3012-d to continue the use of the transition measures included in the approved Educator Evaluation plan to determine scores and ratings instead of the NYS grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments (and State-provided growth scores). Therefore, the original measures based on the NYS grades 3-8 ELA/math assessments and/or State-provided growth scores are no longer required to be calculated or provided to educators for advisory purposes.
- Scores and ratings for an educator whose evaluation, per Task 2/7, is not based on NYS grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments or State-provided growth scores are based on said measures.
- Scores and ratings for an educator whose evaluation, per Task 2/7 of the approved Educator Evaluation plan, is based on NYS grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments or State-provided growth scores are instead based on Task 2/7 Transition (or the approved Supplemental Form for Alternate SLOs).
- Regardless of whether an educators' scores and ratings are based on the original measures in Task 2/7 of the approved Educator Evaluation plan or based on Task 2/7 Transition of the approved Educator Evaluation plan or Supplemental Form for Alternate SLOs, each educator should only have one set of scores and ratings.

\* This includes approved Educator Evaluation variances and supplemental removal forms that have corresponding plans approved prior to 2020.

## Example #5a: Student performance based on Task 2/7 of Educator Evaluation plan

Educator's evaluation is <u>not</u> based on the NYS grades 3-8 ELA/math assessments or State-provided growth scores and all subcomponents are complete per Task 2/7 of the approved evaluation plan.

Action: report only one set of subcomponents and an Overall rating; do not report an additional set of data.

#### Incorrect

	STUDENT PERI	ORMANCE		TEACHER					
REQUIRED		OPTIONAL		REQUIRED		OPTIONAL		OVERALL CODE	
SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE		
13	REQSP	N/A	N/A	3.48	REQOB	3.47	ОРТОВ	OC03 (E)	
13	TREQSP	N/A	N/A	3.48	TREQOB	3.47	ТОРТОВ	TOCR03 (E)	

\* Please note, Level 0 and Level 2 edit checks will only allow one set of records for each educator.

#### **Correct – submit only one set of scores and ratings**

	STUDENT PERF	ORMANCE		TEACHER				
REQUIRED		OPTIONAL		REQUIRED		OPTIONAL		OVERALL CODE
SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	
13	REQSP	N/A	N/A	3.48	REQOB	3.47	ОРТОВ	OC03 (E)



The information illustrated is specific to data submission. The final educator evaluation provided to the educator should consist of the following: Student performance – required: 13/developing, category: developing; Teacher observation/principal school visits – required: 3.48/effective, optional: 3.47/effective, category: effective; Overall rating: effective.

Example #5b: Student performance based on Task 2/7 Transition of Educator Evaluation plan or Supplemental Form for Alternate SLOs

The measures included in Task 2/7 of the approved evaluation plan are based on NYS grades 3-8 ELA/math assessments or State-provided growth scores, therefore the student performance subcomponent was calculated using an Alternate SLO\*.

Action: report only one set of subcomponents and an Overall rating using the Alternate SLO\* for student performance; do not report an additional set of data.

	STUDENT PER	FORMANCE						
REQUIRED		OPTIONAL		REQUIRED		OPTIONAL		OVERALL CODE
SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	
14	TREQSP	N/A	N/A	3.48	TREQOB	3.47 ТОРТОВ		TOCR03 (E)

#### Incorrect – Transition codes are used

#### **Correct – submit only one set of scores and ratings using the codes shown below**

	STUDENT PER	FORMANCE						
REQUIRED		OPTIONAL		REQUIRED		OPTIONAL		OVERALL CODE
SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	SCORE	CODE	
14	REQSP	N/A	N/A	3.48	REQOB	3.47	ОРТОВ	OC03 (E)



\*The Alternate SLO is included in Task 2/7 Transition of the approved evaluation plan or in the approved Supplemental Form for Alternate SLOs.

The information illustrated is specific to data submission. The final educator evaluation provided to the educator should consist of the following: Student performance – required: 14/developing, category: developing; Teacher observation/principal school visits – required: 3.48/effective, optional – 3.47/effective, category: effective; Overall rating: effective.

## Tip 6: Completeness of Data

- Please be sure to submit data for all teachers and principals in the LEA subject to evaluation under Education Law §3012-d.
  - Staff Snapshot data will be used to estimate the completeness of the number of educators with evaluation data.
  - Staff Snapshot data will also be used to identify principals in educator evaluation data; educators identified as principals in Staff Snapshot that match on Staff ID with the educator evaluation file will be coded as principals.



Educator was subject to evaluation under Education Law §3012-d, but does not have any subcomponent scores and ratings to be reported (e.g., SLOs were not implemented, supplemental assessments were not administered, and only one observation was conducted).

Action: this educator will not be included in evaluation results submitted to the Department.



Our goal is to help each LEA submit a full and complete data set, to the extent the LEA was able to complete all or part of an educator's evaluation.

- For questions related to regarding the submission of educator evaluation data or the Educator Evaluation Summary, please contact <u>EvalData@nysed.gov</u>.
- If you require assistance with your Educator Evaluation plan, please contact <u>EducatorEval@nysed.gov</u>.
- If you have questions about technical data reporting requirements, please contact your <u>Regional Information</u> <u>Center (RIC) or Big 5 City School District data center</u>.

