## Application: DREAM Charter School

Jen Pasek - jen@pasekconsulting.com 2020-2021 Annual Report

## Entry 1 School Info and Cover Page

Completed Nov 2021
Instructions

## Required of ALL Charter Schools

Each Annual Report begins with completed School Information nd Cover P ge. The inform tion i collected in survey format within Annual Report portal. When entering information in the portal, some of the following items may not appear, depending on your authorizer and/or your responses to related items.

## Entry 1 School Information and Cover Page

(New schools that were not open for instruction for the 2020-2021 school year are not required to complete or submit an annual report this year).

Please be advised that you will need to complete this cover page (including signatures) before all of the other tasks assigned to you by your school's authorizer are visible on your task page. While completing this cover page task, please ensure that you select the correct authorizer (as of June 30, 2021) or you may not be assigned the correct tasks.

## BASIC INFORMATION

a. SCHOOL NAME
(Select name from the drop down menu)

DREAM CHARTER SCHOOL 310400860919
b. CHARTER AUTHORIZER (As of June 30th, 2021)

Please select the correct authorizer as of June 30, 2021 or you may not be assigned the correct tasks.

SUNY BOARD OF TRUSTEES
c. DISTRICT / CSD OF LOCATION

CSD \# 4 - MANHATTAN
d. DATE OF INITIAL CHARTER

1/2008
e. DATE FIRST OPENED FOR INSTRUCTION

9/2008
h. SCHOOL WEB ADDRESS (URL)
wearedream.org
i. TOTAL MAX APPROVED ENROLLMENT FOR THE 2020-2021 SCHOOL YEAR (exclude Pre-K program enrollment)

886
j. TOTAL STUDENT ENROLLMENT ON JUNE 30, 2021 (exclude Pre-K program enrollment)

000
k. GRADES SERVED IN SCHOOL YEAR 2020-2021 (exclude Pre-K program students)

Check all that apply

Grades Served
$K, 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12$
11. DOES THE SCHOOL CONTRACT WITH A CHARTER OR EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION?
12. NAME OF CMO/EMO AND ADDRESS

| NAME OF CMO/EMO | DREAM |
| :--- | :--- |
| PHYSICAL STREET ADDRESS | 1991 Second Ave |
| CITY | New York |
| STATE | NY |
| ZIP CODE | 10029 |
| EMAIL ADDRESS | info@wearedream.org |
| CONTACT PERSON NAME | Richard Berlin |

## FACILITIES INFORMATION

## m. FACILITIES

Will the school maintain or operate multiple sites in 2021-2022?

Yes, 2 sites

## School Site 1 (Primary)

## m1. SCHOOL SITES

Please provide information on Site 1 for the upcoming school year.

|  | Physical <br> Address | Phone Number | District/CSD | Grades to be Served at Site for coming year (K-5, 6-9, etc.) | Receives <br> Rental <br> Assistance for <br> Which Grades <br> (If yes, enter <br> the appropriate <br> grades. If no, <br> enter No). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site 1 | 1991 Second <br> Avenue <br> New York, NY <br> 10029 | 212-722-1608 | NYC CSD 4 | K-8 | No |

mla. Please provide the contact information for Site 1.

m1b. Is site 1 in public (co-located) space or in private space?

Private Space
mld. Upload a current Certificate of Occupancy (COO) and the annual Fire Inspection Report for school site 1 if located in private space in NYC or located outside of NYC .

Certificate of Occupancy and Fire Inspection. Provide a copy of a current and non-expired certificate of occupancy (if outside NYC or in private space in NYC). For schools that are not in district space (NYC co-locations), provide a copy of a current and non-expired certificate of occupancy, and a copy of the current annual fire inspection results, which should be dated on or after July 1, 2021.

Site 1 Certificate of Occupancy (COO)
222 EAST 104th ST CCo for 1991.pdf

Filename: 222 EAST 104th ST CCo for 1991.pdf Size: 45.8 kB

Site 1 Fire Inspection Report
Fre Inspection 1991.pdf

Filename: F re Inspection 1991.pdf Size: 479.9 kB

## School Site 2

## m2. SCHOOL SITES

Please provide information on Site 2 for the upcoming school year.

|  | Physical <br> Address | Phone Number | District/CSD | Grades to be Served at Site for coming year (K-5, 6-9, etc.) | Receives <br> Rental <br> Assistance for <br> Which Grades <br> (If yes, enter <br> the appropriate <br> grades. If no, enter No). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site 2 | 439 East 115th <br> Street New <br> York, NY 10029 | 212-722-1608 | NYC CSD 4 | 9-12 | Yes |

m2a. Please provide the contact information for Site 2.

m2b. Is site 2 in public (co-located) space or in private space?

Private Space

## IF LOCATED IN PRIVATE SPACE IN NYC OR IN DISTRICTS OUTSIDE NYC

m2d. Upload a current Certificate of Occupancy (COO) and the annual Fire Inspection Report for school site $\mathbf{2}$ if located in private space in NYC or located outside of NYC .

Certificate of Occupancy and Fire Inspection. Provide a copy of a current and non-expired certificate of occupancy (if outside NYC or in private space in NYC). For schools that are not in district space (NYC co-locations), provide a copy of a current and non-expired certificate of occupancy, and a copy of the current annual fire inspection results, which should be dated on or after July 1, 2021.

Site 2 Certificate of Occupancy (COO)

## 115th St High School DREAM CO.pdf

Filename: 115th St High School DREAM CO.pdf Size: 134.7 kB

Site 2 Fire Inspection Report
439 East 115th Street Fre Inspection.pdf

Filename: 439 East 115th Street F re Inspection.pdf Size: 510.0 kB

CHARTER REVISIONS DURING THE 2020-2021 SCHOOL YEAR
n1. Were there any revisions to the school's charter during the 2020-2021 school year? (Please include approved or pending material and non-material charter revisions).

No

## ATTESTATIONS

p. Individual Primarily Responsible for Submitting the Annual Report.

| Name | Jen Pasek |
| :--- | :--- |
| Position | Consultant |
| Phone/Extension | $518-542-9810$ |
| Email | jen@pasekconsulting.com |

p. Our signatures (Executive Director/School Leader/Head of S ool and Board President) below attest that our school has reviewed, understands and will comply with the employee clearance and fingerprint requirements as outlined in Entry 10 and found in the NYSED CSO Fingerprint Clearance Oct 2019 Memo. Click YES to agree.

## Responses Selected:

## Yes

q. Our signatures (Executive Director/School Leader/Head of S ool and Board President) below attest that all of the information contained herein is truthful and accurate and that this charter school is in compliance with all aspects of its charter, and with all pertinent Federal, State, and local laws, regulations, and rules. We understand that if any information in any part of this report is found to have been deliberately misrepresented, that will constitute grounds for the revocation of our charter. Click YES to agree and then use the mouse on your PC or the stylus on your mobile device to sign your name).

## Responses Selected:

## Yes

Signature, Head of Charter School


Signature, President of the Board of Trustees


## Date

Nov 42021

Thank you.

## Entry 3 Accountability Plan Progress Reports

Completed Nov 2021

## Instructions

SUNY-Authorized Charter Schools ONLY

SUNY-authorized charter schools must download an Accountability Plan Progress Report template at Accountability Plan Progress Report template. After completing, schools must upload the document into the SUNY Epicenter system by August 16, 2021.

PLEASE NOTE: This is a required task, and it is marked optional for administrative purposes only.

## DREAM 2020-21-APPR final

Filename: DREAM 202021 APPR final.docx Size: 96.6 kB

## Entry 4 - Audited Financial Statements

## Incomplete

## Required of ALL Charter Schools

ALL SUNY-authorized charter schools must upload the financial statements in .pdf format into the SUNY Epicenter system no later than November 1, 2021. SUNY CSI will forward to NYSED CSO.

ALL Regents, NYCDOE, and Buffalo BOE authorized charter schools must upload final, audited financial statements to the Annual Report Portal no later than November 1, 2021. Upload the independent auditor's report, any advisory and/or management letter, and the internal controls report one submission, combined into .PDF file, ensuring that security features such password protection are turned off.

PLEASE NOTE: This is a required task, and it is marked optional for administrative purposes only.

## Entry 4a - Audited Financial Report Template (SUNY)

## Incomplete

## Instructions - SUNY-Authorized Charter Schools ONLY

SUNY-authorized schools must download the Excel spreadsheet entitled "Audited Financial Report Template" at http://www.newyorkcharters.org/fiscal/. After completing, schools must upload the document into the SUNY Epicenter system by November 1.

PLEASE NOTE: This is a required task, and it is marked optional for administrative purposes only.

## Entry 4c - Additional Financial Documents

Incomplete Hidden from applicant
Instructions - Regents, NYCDOE and Buffalo BOE authorized schools must upload financial documents in this section by November 1, 2021. The items listed below should be uploaded, with an explanation if not applicable or available. For example, "federal Single Audit was not required because the school did not expend federal funds of more than the \$750,000 Threshold."

1. Advisory and/or Management letter
2. Federal Single Audit
3. CSP Agreed-Upon Procedure Report
. Evidence of Required Escrow Account for each school[1]
4. Corrective Action Plan for Audit Findings and Management Letter Recommendations
[1] Note: For BOR schools chartered or renewed after the 2017-2018 school year, the escrow account per school is $\$ 100,000$.

PLEASE NOTE: This is a required task, and it is marked optional for administrative purposes only.

## Entry 4d - Financial Services Contact Information

## Incomplete Hidden from applicant

Instructions: Please enter the contact information for school staff, firms or other entities providing financial services to the education corporation by November 1, 2021.

## Form for "Financial Services Contact Information"

1. School Based Fiscal Contact Information

| School Based Fiscal | School Based Fiscal <br> Contact Name | School Based Fiscal <br> Contact Email |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |

## 2. Audit Firm Contact Information

| School Audit <br> Contact Name | School Audit <br> Contact Email | School Audit <br> Contact Phone | Years Working With <br> This Audit Firm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |

3. If applicable, please provide contact information for the school's outsourced financial services firm.

Firm Name
Contact
Mailing
Email
Phone Years With

Person
Address
Firm

## Entry 5 - Fiscal Year 2021-2022 Budget

Completed Nov 2021
Instructions - Regents, NYCDOE, and Buffalo BOE authorized charter schools should upload copy of the school's FY21 Budget using the 2021-2022 Projected Annual Budget template in the portal or from the Annual Report website by November 1, 2021.

The assumptions column should be completed for all revenue and expense items unless the item is selfexplanatory. Where applicable, reference the page number or section in the application narrative that indicates the assumption being made. For instance, student enrollment would reference the applicable page number in Section I, C of the application narrative.

Instructions - SUNY authorized charter schools should download the 2021-2022 Budget and Quarterly Report Template on the SUNY website or Epicenter and upload the completed template into the portal by November 1, 2021.

PLEASE NOTE: This is a required task, and it is marked optional for administrative purposes only.

## 2021-2022 Annual Budget DREAM

Filename: 20212022 Annual Budget DREAM.xlsx Size: 532.9 kB

## Entry 6 - Board of Trustees Disclosure of Financial Interest Form

Completed Nov 42021

## Required of ALL Charter Schools by Auqust 2

Each member of the charter school's Board of Trustees who served on a charter school education corporation governing one or more charter schools for any period during the 2020-2021 school year must complete a signed:

- Regents, NYCDOE, and Buffalo BOE Authorized Schools: Disclosure of Financial Interest Form
- SUNY- Authorized Charter Schools: SUNY Trustee Financial Disclosure Form

All completed forms must be collected and uploaded in .PDF format for each individual member. If a trustee is not able or available to complete the form by the deadline, the education corporation is responsible for doing so on behalf of the trustee. (Forms completed from past years will not be accepted).

Trustees serving on an education corporation that governs more than one school are not required to complete a separate disclosure for each school governed by the education corporation. In the Disclosure of Financial Interest Form, trustees must disclose information relevant to any of the schools served by the governing education corporation. Note: Docusign is accepted.

## DREAM BOT Files

Filename: DREAM BOT Files.pdf Size: 851.5 kB

## Entry 7 BOT Membership Table

Completed Nov 42021

## Instructions

## Required of All charter schools

ALL charter schools or education corporations governing multiple schools must complete the Board of Trustees Membership Table within the online portal. Please be sure to include and identify parents who are members of the Board of Trustees and indicate whether parents are voting or non-voting members.

## Entry 7 BOT Table

1. SUNY-AUTHORIZED charter schools are required to provide information for VOTING Trustees only.
2. REGENTS, NYCDOE, and BUFFALO BOE-AUTHORIZED charter schools are required to provide information for all --VOTING and NON-VOTING-- trustees.
3. 2020-2021 Board Member Information (Enter info for each BOT member)

|  | Trustee <br> Name | Trustee <br> Email <br> Address | Poston on the <br> Board | Commit tee <br> Affiliatio ns | Vot ng <br> Member <br> Per By- <br> Laws <br> (Y/N) | Number of Terms Served | Start <br> Date of Current Term (MM/DD /YYYY) | End <br> Date of <br> Current <br> Term <br> (MM/DD <br> /YYYY) | Board <br> Meeting <br> s <br> Attende <br> d <br> During <br> 2020- <br> 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ashish <br> Doshi |  | Chair | Finance | Yes | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 07 / 01 / 2 \\ & 020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 06 / 30 / 2 \\ & 021 \end{aligned}$ | 5 or less |
| 2 | Peter <br> Daneker |  | Trustee/ <br> Member | -- | Yes | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 07 / 01 / 2 \\ & 020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 06 / 30 / 2 \\ & 021 \end{aligned}$ | 5 or less |
| 3 | Michele Joerg |  | Vice <br> Chair | -- | Yes | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 07 / 01 / 2 \\ & 020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 06 / 30 / 2 \\ & 021 \end{aligned}$ | 5 or less |
| 4 | Vik <br> Sawhne y |  | Trustee/ <br> Member | -- | Yes | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 07 / 01 / 2 \\ & 020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 06 / 30 / 2 \\ & 021 \end{aligned}$ | 5 or less |
| 5 | Jonatha <br> n E. <br> Schmeri <br> n |  | Trustee/ <br> Member | Finance | Yes | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 07 / 01 / 2 \\ & 020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 06 / 30 / 2 \\ & 021 \end{aligned}$ | 5 or less |


| 6 | Jonatha <br> n <br> Gyurko | Trustee/ Member | -- | Yes | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 07 / 01 / 2 \\ & 020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 06 / 30 / 2 \\ & 021 \end{aligned}$ | 5 or less |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1a. Are there more than 9 members of the Board of Trustees?

No

## 2. INFORMATION ABOUT MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

1. SUNY-AUTHORIZED charter schools provide response relative to VOTING Trustees only.
2. REGENTS, NYCDOE, and BUFFALO BOE-AUTHORIZED charter schools provide a response relative to all trustees.
a. Total Number of BOT Members on June 30, 2021
b.Total Number of Members Added During 2020-

2021
c. Total Number of Members who Departed during 2020-2021
d.Total Number of members, as set in Bylaws, Resolution or Minutes

6

0

0

6

## 3. Number of Board meetings held during 2020-2021

Thank you.

## Entry 8 Board Meeting Minutes

Incomplete Hidden from applicant

## Instructions - Required of Regents, NYCDOE, and Buffalo BOE Authorized Schools ONLY

Schools must upload complete set of monthly board meeting minutes (July 2020-June 2021), which should match the number of meetings held during the 2020-2021 chool ye r , indic ted in the bove table. The minutes provided must be the final version approved by the school's Board of Trustees and may be uploaded individually or one single combined file. Board meeting minutes must be submitted by August 2, 2021.

## Entry 9 Enrollment \& Retention

Completed Nov 2021

## Instructions for submitting Enrollment and Retention Efforts

ALL charter schools must complete this section. Describe the good faith efforts the charter school has made in 2020-2021 toward meeting targets to attract and retain the enrollment of Students with Disabilities (SWDs), English Language Learners (ELLs), and students who are economically disadvantaged. In addition, describe the school's plans for meeting or making progress toward meeting its enrollment and retention targets in 2021-2022.

## Entry 9 Enrollment and Retention of Special Populations

## Instructions for Reporting Enrollment and Retention Strategies

Describe the efforts the charter school has made in 2020-2021 toward meeting targets to attract and retain enrollment of students with disabilities, English language learners, and students who are economically disadvantaged. In addition, describe the school's plans for meeting or making progress toward meeting its enrollment and retention targets in 2021-2022.

## Recruitment/Attraction Efforts Toward Meeting Targets

|  | Describe Recruitment Efforts in 2020-2021 | Describe Recruitment Plans in 2021-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economically Disadvantaged | Each year DREAM's recruitment activities begin in October and end in early-April. All activities are managed by the Family and Community Engagement Department. This department seeks to provide culturally appropriate, family-friendly processes that stress the impact of meaningful family involvement and engagement from the time a family applies until their child graduates. <br> The Family and Community Engagement team maintains and cultivates relationships with schools, community based organizations, PreK programs, and daycare sites throughout the community. Visits are scheduled at these sites from January March to provide information to community members and encourage families to apply. This team also makes recruitment presentations to Community Boards, local elected officials, and community coalition meetings. <br> To serve the students of most need, DREAM reserves $50 \%$ of incoming Pre-K and Kindergarten seats for students who reside in NYCHA public housing. Members of the Family and Community Engagement team, Family | Our enrollment of economically disadvantaged students exceeded the district in the 2020-21 school year. Going forward, our Family and Community Engagement team will continue to implement the strategies described to the left to enroll ED students from the community. |

Ambassador team and
Operations team leave
applications and post fliers at all East Harlem NYCHA housing complexes. All marketing materials that are left behind provide the history and vision of DREAM Charter School and highlight the supports offered for ED students, such as free afterschool programs and free dental care. Additionally, in response to COVID-19 school closures and stay-at-home orders, we have leveraged various modes of social media and advertisements to continue to attract and engage students and families during this period, we also shifted our lottery practices to a virtual platform to allow our lottery to take place as scheduled while providing electronic access in real time so that members of the public had the opportunity to participate.

One of the most effective recruitment strategies for recruiting ELLs is the use of current DREAM parents and families as Family Ambassadors. Each year, 20 DREAM family members are trained on how to represent the school and recruit in the community. These Family Ambassadors are recruited from diverse segments of the school population including the Spanishspeaking and African immigrant populations. Ambassadors post fliers and hand out applications at local businesses, churches, mosques, and other

English Language Learners
organizations they belong to. They are trained to make presentations at schools and community centers. They are also active in recruiting a diverse group of students from within their own social networks. All recruitment materials are offered in Spanish (the predominant language other than English in CSD 4).

DREAM holds a series of Open Houses and school tours to introduce prospective families to the school and staff. During Open Houses, DREAM staff members with bilingual skills are available to assist families with general questions about the school and the application process. In addition, DREAM's marketing materials and presentations include information about the supports available at the school for ELLs. DREAM offers a computer clinic in which bilingual staff members are available to assist families with the online application process. This practice has been particularly useful with the recruitment of immigrant families who may lack access to computers.
This year we researched which district and charter schools in CSD 4 serve more than the district's percent of ELLs. That data will help us identify any geographic patterns to make recruitment more targeted. We also researched whether or not the schools with a higher proportion of ELLs have any special programs that may be attractive to ELL families.

We will continue working with our Family Ambassadors to recruit ELLs. We are also committed to translating our marketing materials into Spanish and offering translation services when necessary, such as during our bilingual computer clinic where families receive support in applying to DREAM.

|  | Furthermore, we reached out to <br> those schools to learn more <br> about the best practices they <br> may be utilizing to recruit and <br> enroll English Language <br> Learners. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | DREAM is intentional about <br> reaching populations of SWD <br> throughout all recruiting efforts. <br> These efforts have paid off as <br> evidenced by our reputation in <br> the field. We are often invited to <br> speak at Community Board <br> meetings and on panels <br> administered by the NYC Charter <br> Students with Disabilities | In the 2020-21 school year, our <br> percentage of SWD was 30\% and <br> our district was 26\%. Our SWD <br> recruitment program has allowed <br> us to effectively reach <br> populations of SWD in the past <br> and we will continue to |
| implement these same strategies |  |  |
| Recruit ELLs and Special |  |  |
| Education Students." DREAM |  |  |
| deliberately targets PreK |  |  |
| programs that have special |  |  |
| needs populations during school |  |  |
| recruitment visits. The school |  |  |
| also makes presentations at |  |  |
| therapeutic Head Start programs |  |  |
| in the surrounding community. |  |  |$\quad$| that these efforts will enable us |
| :--- | :--- |
| to continue enrolling SWD |
| students at DREAM. |

## Retention Efforts Toward Meeting Targets

|  | Describe Retention Efforts in | Describe Retention Plans in <br> $2020-2021$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | DREAM provides a safe, inclusive <br> learning environment where <br> students learn in the best-fit <br> environment, based on their |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged | needs, and where families are <br> treated as partners. This <br> attention to individual student <br> needs as well as strong family <br> engagement produces an <br> environment where families want <br> to stay enrolled. Our strategies to <br> support and retain economically | We continue to support our ED <br> population through the strategies <br> described to the left. In 2021-22, <br> we are committed to ensuring <br> that all students return from <br> remote and hybrid learning to an <br> inclusive and engaging <br> classroom environment. |


|  | disadvantaged students include: <br> - Free meal program that emphasizes healthy eating |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Language Learners | The strategies DREAM uses to support and retain English language learners include: <br> - Robust family engagement and outreach program that includes home visits, a family action council, student conferences and monthly meetings with school leadership <br> - Bilingual staff members that are present at all events to help with translations and questions <br> - Documents that are sent home to families are translated in the predominant language <br> - Programs within school for supporting ELLs <br> - Dedicated ELL Coordinator and two Intervention Specialists serving this population | Our program for ELLs promotes student retention by engaging students and families in the learning process with accessible materials and dedicated support. We will continue to follow this program in the 2021-22 school year. As with ED students, we are committed to addressing needs for this group as scholars return to the classroom in fall 2021. |
|  | "The strategies DREAM uses to support and retain students with disabilities include: <br> - Speech and occupational therapy is offered for students with special needs. <br> - Full time ELL and SPED coordinators provide a mix of pull in and pull out interventions based on student's Individual Educational Plans. <br> - DREAM currently provides support and early identification for students who exhibit learning and behavior needs by applying the approach of Response to Intervention. Once identified, learners with special needs are provided with innovative interventions at the appropriate |  |

level of intensity to accelerate their rate of learning. These services are provided by a variety of personnel, including general education teachers, special educators, and specialists. Some services include conferencing, small group work, individual check-ins, behavior plans, differentiated and targeted questions, differentiated homework, and annotated texts.

- In elementary school, to maximize the impact of integrated co-teaching, leaders provide lesson feedback to concretely define teachers' actions within a lesson. This year, elementary school teachers participated in a training on how to use data to plan intervention groups.
- In addition, our elementary school principal, academic dean, and two teachers participated in the District Charter Collaborative, meeting every other week with other district and charter school principals to collaborate on a problem of practice focused on serving students with disabilities. -In middle school, four learning specialists push in to four core content blocks a day. The learning specialists are also responsible for writing differentiated lesson plans for the subject areas they co-teach. Every week, the learning specialists meet with general education teachers to preview upcoming lessons.
- DREAM Middle School coplanning meetings focus on

DREAM has a robust program for SWDs that promotes retention through multiple strategies, described to the left. We will continue to implement these strategies with fidelity in the 2021-22 school year. As described above, we are also focused on supporting this population as we transition back to in-person learning in 2021-22.
preparing lesson choreography that clearly defines each teacher's role throughout the lesson to more effectively differentiate at all levels (versus one differentiated packet for students below grade level). - Three types of middle school homework (extension, preparation, or practice) are differentiated by volume, task, and complexity for both low and high performing students.

- Middle school staff offer office hours to all students seeking one on one tutoring or homework help.
- Middle school grade team meetings alternate scholar talk between at risk general education students and IEP students using the IEP as a resource.
- High school student schedules prioritize reading intervention so that every student reading below grade level is receiving Tier 2 literacy intervention.
- Two out of four sections of high school ELA, Math, Science and Social Studies utilized integrated co-teaching with a general education teacher and special education teacher.


## Entry 10 - Teacher and Administrator Attrition

Completed Nov 2021
Form for "Entry 10 - Teacher and Administrator Attrition" Revised to Employee Fingerprint Requirements Attestation

## A. TEACH System - Employee Clearance

Charter schools must ensure that all prospective employees[1] receive clearance through the NYSED Office of School Personnel Review and Accountability (OSPRA) prior to employment. This includes paraprofessionals and other school personnel that are provided or assigned by the district of location, or related/contracted service providers. After an employee has been cleared, schools are required to maintain proof of such clearance in the file of each employee. For the safety of all students, charter schools must take immediate steps to terminate the employment of individuals who have been denied clearance. Once the employees have been terminated, the school must terminate the request for clearance in the TEACH system.

In the Annual Report, charter schools are asked to confirm that all employees have been cleared through the NYSED TEACH system; and, if denied clearance, confirm that the individual or employee has been removed from the TEACH system, and is not employed by the school.
[1] Employees that must be cleared include, but are not limited to, teachers, administrative staff, janitors, security personnel and cafeteria workers, and other staff who are present when children are in the school building. This includes paraprofessionals and other school personnel that are provided or assigned by the district of location, as well as related/contracted service providers. See NYSED memorandum dated October 1, 2019 at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/psc/aboutcharterschools/lawsandregs/EmployeeF ngerprintOct19.pdf or visit the NYSED website at: http://www.highered.nysed.gov/tsei/ospra/fingerprintingcharts.html for more information regarding who must be fingerprinted. Also see, 8 NYCRR §87.2.

Charter schools are strongly discouraged from using the emergency conditional clearance provisions for prospective employees. This is because the school must simultaneously request clearance through NYSED TEACH, and the school's emergency conditional clearance of the employee terminates automatically once the school receives notification from NYSED regarding the clearance request. Status notification is provided for all prospective employees through the NYSED TEACH portal within 48 hours after the clearance request is submitted. Therefore, at most, a school's emergency conditional clearance will be valid for only 48 hours after approval by the board.

Schools are not permitted to renew or in any way re-establish a prospective employee's emergency conditional clearance after status notification is sent by NYSED through the TEACH portal.

Schools are asked to attest that they have reviewed and understand these requirements. More information can be found in the memo at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/psc/aboutcharterschools/lawsandregs/EmployeeFingerprintOct19.pdf.

## Attestation

## Responses Selected:

I hereby attest that the school has reviewed, understands, and will comply with these requirements.

## Entry 11 Percent of Uncertified Teachers

Incomplete Hidden from applicant

## Instructions

## Required of Regents, NYCDOE, and Buffalo BOE Authorized Charter Schools ONLY

The table below reflects the information collected through the online portal for compliance with New York State Education Law 2854(3)(a-1) for teaching staff qualifications. Uncertified teachers are those not certified pursuant to the State Certification Requirements established by the NYSED Commissioner of Education.

Enter the relevant full-time equivalent (FTE) count of teachers in each column. For example, school with 20 full-time teachers and 5 half-time teachers would have an FTE count of 22.5.

## Entry 11 Uncertified Teachers

## School Name:

## Instructions for Reporting Percent of Uncertified Teachers

## Required of Regents, NYCDOE, and Buffalo BOE Authorized Charter Schools

$T$ e table below refle ts $t e$ information olle ted $t$ roug $t e o n l i n e ~ p o r t a l$ for compliance with New York State Education Law 2854(3)(a-1) for teaching staff qualifications. Uncertified teachers are those not certified pursuant to the State Certification Requirements established by the NYSED Commissioner of Education. Enter the relevant full-time equivalent (FTE) count of teachers in each column. For example, a school with 20 full-time teachers and 5 half-time teachers would have an FTE count of 22.5.

If more than one line applies to a teacher, please include in only one FTE uncertified category. Please do not include paraprofessionals, such as teacher aides.

## CATEGORY A. 30\% OR 5 UNCERTIFIED TEACHERS WHICHEVER IS LESS

## FTE Count

i. FTE count of uncertified teacher with at least three years of elementary, middle or secondary classroom teaching experience (as of June 30, 2021)
ii. FTE count of uncertified teachers who are tenured or tenure track college faculty (as of June 30, 2021)
iii. FTE count of uncertified teachers with two years of Teach for America experience (as of June 30, 2021)
. FTE count of uncertified teachers with exceptional business, professional, artistic, athletic, or military experience (as June 30, 2021)

CATEGORY B. PLUS FIVE UNCERTIFIED TEACHERS IN MATHEMATICS, SCIENCE, COMPUTER SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY OR CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION.

## FTE Count

i. FTE count of uncertified teacher with at least three years of elementary, middle or secondary classroom teaching experience (as of June 30, 2021)
ii. FTE count of uncertified teachers who are tenured or tenure track college faculty (as of June 30, 2021)
iii. FTE count of uncertified teachers with two years of Teach for America experience (as of June 30, 2021)
. FTE count of uncertified teachers with exceptional business, professional, artistic, athletic, or military experience (as June 30, 2021)

Total Category B: not to exceed 5

## FTE Count

i. FTE count of uncertified teacher with at least three years of elementary, middle or secondary classroom teaching experience (as of June 30, 2021)
ii. FTE count of uncertified teachers who are tenured or tenure track college faculty (as of June 30, 2021)
iii. FTE count of uncertified teachers with two years of Teach for America experience (as of June 30, 2021)
. FTE count of uncertified teachers with exceptional business, professional, artistic, athletic, or military experience (as June 30, 2021)

Total Category C: not to exceed 5
0

## CATEGORY D: TOTAL FTE COUNT OF UNCATEGORIZED, UNCERTIFIED TEACHERS

(Include teachers who do not fit in one of these categories or if did fit would exceed the numerical limits for that category)

## FTE Count

```
Total Category D
```


## CATEGORY E: TOTAL FTE COUNT OF CERTIFIED TEACHERS

FTE Count

Total Category E

Please do not include paraprofessionals, such as teacher aides.

## FTE Count

Total Category F

## Thank you.

## Entry 12 Organization Chart

Incomplete Hidden from applicant

## Instructions

## Required of Regents, NYCDOE, and Buffalo BOE Authorized Charter Schools ONLY

Upload the 2020-2021 Organization Chart. The organization chart should include position titles and reporting relationships. Employee names should not appear on the chart

## Entry 13 School Calendar

Completed Nov 2021
Instructions for submitting School Calendar

## Required of ALL Charter Schools

Given these uncertain and changing times, charter schools may or may not have school calendar ready to upload by the submission deadline this year of August 2, 2021. If the charter school has tentative calendar based on available information and guidance at the time, please submit with the August $2^{\text {nd }}$ submission. Charter schools will be able to upload an updated school calendar into the portal at any time but no later than September 15, 2021.

School calendars must meet the minimum instructional requirements required of other public schools "... unless the school's charter requires more instructional time than is required under the regulations."

Board of Regents-authorized charter schools are required to submit school calendars that clearly indicate the start and end date of the instructional year AND the number of instructional hours and/or instructional days for each month.

PLEASE NOTE: This is a required task, and it is marked optional for administrative purposes only.

## 2021-22 PK-12 DREAM Calendar

## Entry 14 Links to Critical Documents on School Website

Completed Nov 2021

## Instructions

## Required of Regents, NYCDOE, and Buffalo BOE Authorized Charter Schools ONLY

By law, each charter school is required to maintain certain notices and policies listed on its website. Please insert the link from the school's website for each of the items:

1. Most recently filed Annual Report (i.e., 2019-2020 Annual Report);
2. Most recent board meeting notice, documents to be discussed at the meeting (if any), and webcast of Board meetings (if held virtually per Governor's Executive Order);
3. Link to New York State School Report Card;
. Lottery Notice announcing date of lottery;
4. Authorizer-approved DASA Policy;
5. District-wide safety plan and Authorizer-approved Discipline Policy (as per August 29, 2019 Emergency Response Plan Memo);
6. Authorizer-approved FOIL Policy; and
7. Subject matter list of FOIL records.
8. Link to School Reopening Plan

## Form for Entry 14 Links to Critical Documents on School Website

## School Name: DREAM Charter School

By law, each charter school is required to maintain certain notices and policies listed on its website. Please insert the link from the school's website for each of the items:

|  | Link to Documents |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Most Recent Annual Report (i.e., 2019-20) | https://www.wearedream.org/enroll/ |
| 2. Most recent board meeting notice, documents to <br> be discussed at the meeting (if any) | https://www.wearedream.org/board/ |
| 2a. Webcast of Board Meetings (per Governor's <br> Executive Order) | $\underline{\text { https://www.wearedream.org/board/ }}$ |
| 3. Link to NYS School Report Card | $\underline{\text { https://www.wearedream.org/enroll/ }}$ |
| 4. Lottery Notice announcing date of lottery | $\underline{\text { https://www.wearedream.org/enroll/ }}$ |
| 5. Authorizer-approved DASA Policy (For Regents, <br> NYCDOE, and Buffalo BOE Authorized Charter <br> Schools ONLY); | $\underline{\text { https://www.wearedream.org/enroll/ }}$ |
| 6. District-wide Safety Plan | $\underline{\text { https://www.wearedream.org/enroll/ }}$ |
| 6a. Authorizer-Approved Discipline Policy (as per |  |
| August 29, 2019 Emergency Response Plan Memo) | https://www.wearedream.org/wp- <br> content/uploads/2017/03/F nal- <br> ENG.FAMILYHANDBOOK.pdf |
| 7. Authorizer-Approved FOIL Policy | $\underline{\text { https://www.wearedream.org/enroll/ }}$ |
| 8. Subject matter list of FOIL records | $\underline{\text { https://www.wearedream.org/enroll/ }}$ |

## Entry 15 Staff Roster

Incomplete Hidden from applicant

## INSTRUCTIONS

## Required of Regents-Authorized Charter Schools ONLY

Please click on the MS Excel Staff Roster Template and provide the following information for ANY and ALL instructional and non-instructional employees.
-Full name for any and all employees
-TEACH IDs for any and all employees

- Using the drop down menu, select role/position (or the best fit) for each employee in the charter school. (Please provide additional information to the Notes Section of the Staff Roster Template necessary)
- Date of hire and employment start dates
- Number of years each employee has had in their respective professions
- Number of years each employee has had in their current role in the charter school
- Using the drop down menu, select the correct explanation to why teacher is teaching outside of their certification area.


## DREAM Charter School

## 2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN PROGRESS REPORT

Submitted to the SUNY Charter Schools Institute on:

Submitted Oct 8, 2021

By Eve Colavito, Chief Education Officer
Grades K-8: 1991 Second Avenue New York, NY 10029
Grades 9-11: 439 East 115th Street
New York, NY 10029

Eve Colavito, Chief Education Officer, and Katie Doctor, Managing Director of Educational Strategy \& Data prepared this 2020-21 Accountability Progress Report on behalf of the school's board of trustees:

| Trustee's Name | Board Position |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Office (e.g., chair, treasurer, } \\ \text { secretary) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Committees (e.g., finance, } \\ \text { executive) }\end{array}$ |
| Ashish Doshi | Chair | Finance |$]$| Peter Daneker | Member/Trustee |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michele Joerg | Vice Chair | Finance |
| Vik Sawhney | Member/Trustee | Finance |
| Jonathan E. Schmerin | Member/Trustee |  |
| Jonathan Gyurko |  |  |

Eve Colavito served as the Chief of Schools at DREAM Charter School from 2009 through the 201819 school year and now serves as Chief Education Officer at DREAM. The principals at DREAM Charter School are Renee Canales (ES), Elizabeth Dodge (MS) and Jared Francis (HS).

## SCHOOL OVERVIEW

DREAM opened in 2008 as a New York City Department of Education-authorized (NYCDOE) charter school and currently serves 795 students in PreK-12th grade. In 2012 the school's charter was renewed for a five-year term and in 2017 the school moved from authorization by NYCDOE to the SUNY Charter Schools Institute (SUNY CSI). In 2020-21 the school added its first 12th grade class, reaching full scale to serve over 1,000 students in PreK-12 and graduated its first class from high school.

DREAM is proudly an inclusive community school and has developed a reputation for supporting the needs of all learners. Currently the school demographics mirror those of Community School District 4 (CSD 4); in the 2020-21 school year, 89\% of DREAM students qualified for free and reduced price lunch, $30 \%$ were students with disabilities (SWD), and 4\% were English language learners (ELLs) with an additional $3 \%$ that were former ELL students ${ }^{1}$. DREAM runs its own school food program, and therefore data from SIRS may reflect an incorrect percentage for students qualifying for free and reduced price lunch.

DREAM Charter School's mission is to prepare students for high-performing high schools, colleges and beyond through a rigorous academic program that develops critical thinkers who demonstrate a love of learning, strong character, and a commitment to wellness and active citizenship. DREAM Charter School inspires all students to recognize their potential and realize their dreams.

DREAM's key design elements are:

- An innovative curriculum that emphasizes critical thinking and questioning
- A co-teaching model that reduces the teacher-to-student ratio and integrates special needs students into the general school population
- A robust data cycle that uses data to inform all aspects of teaching and learning
- A whole child approach to teaching and learning that deeply integrates social emotional learning, health, wellness, music and the arts into the overall school program
- An extended day and an extended year model that maximizes learning hours
- An active family engagement program that fosters parent/guardian participation, leadership and advocacy
- A focus on teacher motivation, development, and retention
- A universal Pre-kindergarten program that ignites learning in children


## Modifications to Program During Remote Learning

The DREAM Charter School Distance Learning Model consisted of three main components: Content Delivery, Mobile Devices/Internet Access, and Additional Supports, with the goal of providing effective instruction during the COVID-19 extended school closure so that all DREAM scholars had access to high-quality learning opportunities during distance learning. Content Delivery explains which resources scholars used for core instruction and which materials were used as supplemental resources to enhance core instruction. Mobile Devices outlines the measures DREAM Charter School took to ensure that any scholar who needed a mobile device to access the instructional

[^0]resources was provided with one for the duration of the schools' closure. Lastly, additional supports were provided to each DREAM family to ensure the well-being of each scholar and their immediate family. All three components of the Learning Model were intended to reduce any disruption of learning for our scholars by providing alternative print and online assignments during the period of school closure this past Spring.

| Content Delivery | Mobile Devices/Internet Access | Additional Supports |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade-specific instructional <br> expectations, responsibilities <br> and time parameters were <br> outlined. | All families surveyed by teachers <br> to determine if they have a <br> need for a mobile device at <br> home to access instructional <br> activities and internet access. | Guided touchpoints to invite <br> scholar voice, establish space <br> for processing, and maintain or <br> strengthen pre-existing <br> relationships. |
| Students with specialized <br> supports receive more frequent <br> remote support. | Schools loaned Chromebooks to <br> those students or parents who <br> indicated they need a mobile <br> device for use at home. | Clearly articulated <br> communication pathways were <br> developed to connect scholars <br> and parents with the support <br> they require. |
|  | Schools used their current <br> inventory of Chromebooks (HS) <br> and purchased additional <br> Chromebooks as needed <br> (ES/MS). | Proactive measures were <br> identified and reported to <br> identify at-risk scenarios and <br> deploy help as soon as possible. |
|  |  | High impact resources were <br> identified and shared via weekly <br> Family Communications and |
| DREAM's Family Support |  |  |
| website. |  |  |

## ENROLLMENT SUMMARY

| School <br> Year | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Tota <br> 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 52 | 52 |  |  |  |  | 482 |
| $2017-18$ | 54 | 54 | 54 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 53 | 55 | 52 | 94 |  |  |  | 611 |
| $2018-19$ | 54 | 53 | 55 | 53 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 97 | 98 |  |  | 682 <br> 2 |
| $2019-20$ | 52 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 119 | 104 | 98 |  | 805 |
| $2020-21$ | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 100 | 101 | 96 | 96 | 896 |

[^1]
## High School Cohorts

## Accountability Cohort

The state's Accountability Cohort consists specifically of students who are in their fourth year of high school after entering the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade. For example, the 2017 state Accountability Cohort consists of students who entered the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade anywhere sometime during the 2017-18 school year, were enrolled in the school on the state's annual enrollment-determination day (i.e., BEDS day) in the 2020-21 school year, and either remained in the school for the rest of the year or left for an acceptable reason. (See New York State Education Department's SIRS Manual for more details about cohort eligibility and acceptable exit reasons: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/ht)

The following table indicates the number of students in the Accountability Cohorts who are in their fourth year of high school and were enrolled at the school on BEDS Day in October and remained in the school until June $30^{\text {th }}$ of that year.

## Fourth-Year High School Accountability Cohorts

| Fourth <br> Year <br> Cohort | Year Entered <br> $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> Anywhere | Cohort <br> Designatio <br> n | Number of Students <br> Enrolled on BEDS Day in <br> October of the Cohort's <br> Fourth Year | Number <br> Leaving <br> During the <br> School Year | Number in <br> Accountability <br> Cohort as of <br> June 30th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2020-21$ | $2017-18$ | 2017 | 96 | 0 | 96 |

## Total Cohort for Graduation

Students are also included in the Total Cohort for Graduation (referred to as the Graduation Cohort, Total Graduation Cohort, or Total Cohort interchangeably throughout this report) based on the year they first enter the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade. Students enrolled for at least one day in the school after entering the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade are part of the school's Graduation Cohort. The school may remove students from the Graduation Cohort if the school has discharged those students for an acceptable reason listed in the SIRS manual, including the following: if they transfer to another public or private diploma-granting program with documentation, transfer to home schooling by a parent or guardian, transfer to another district or school, transfer by court order, leave the U.S., or are deceased.

| Fourth Year Total Cohort for Graduation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fourth Year Cohort | Year <br> Entered $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Anywhere | Cohort Designatio n | Number of Students Graduated or Enrolled on June $30^{\text {th }}$ of the Cohort's Fourth Year <br> (a) | Number of Students No Longer at the School Who Had Been Enrolled for at Least One Day Prior to Leaving the School and Who Were Not Discharged for an Acceptable Reason <br> (b) | Total Graduation Cohort (a) $+(b)$ |
| 2020-21 | 2017-18 | 2017 | 96 | 0 | 96 |


| Fifth Year Total Cohort for Graduation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fifth Year Cohort | Year <br> Entered $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Anywhere | Cohort Designation | Number of Students Graduated or Enrolled on June $30^{\text {th }}$ of the Cohort's Fifth Year (a) | Number of Students No Longer at the School Who Had Been Enrolled for at Least One Day Prior to Leaving the School and Who Were Not Discharged for an Acceptable Reason <br> (b) | Total Graduation Cohort (a) $+(b)$ |
| 2020-21 | 2016-17 | 2016 | -- | -- | NA |

## Promotion Policy

The state has provided additional guidance regarding earning course credit and unit of study requirements here.

State Commissioner's Part 100.5 Diploma Requirements require students to earn at least 22 units of credit to earn a diploma. DREAM, like NYCDOE public schools, calculates credits towards graduation using a semester-based model, in which the State's requirement of 22 units of credit equates to 44 credits. Throughout this narrative, the NYCDOE semester-based credit model is used.

In accordance with Part 100 Regulations, students must earn 44 credits, distributed across specific subjects and aligned to specific NYSED learning standards, in order to graduate. To earn a local and Regents diploma DREAM students must earn 8 credits in English Language Arts, 6 credits in Math, 8 credits in Social Students (4 in Global History, 2 in U.S. History, 1 in Government and 1 in Economics), 6 credits in Science (2 in Life Science, 2 in Physical Science, and 2 in Life Science or Physical Science), 2 credits in Languages Other Than English (LOTE), 2 credits in Visual Art or Performing Art, 4 credits in Physical Education, 1 credit in Health, and 7 Electives credits.

To accumulate a credit, students must be provided with the opportunity to receive 54 hours of instruction and must demonstrate mastery of the content and skills by earning a grade of 65\% or higher. All credit- bearing courses address high school (i.e., commencement-level) learning standards and meet instructional time requirements. If a student fails a Regent exam required for graduation, they must attend Saturday Academy or summer school and retake the Regents exam the next time it is offered. If a student earns below a $65 \%$ in a course required for graduation, they must attend summer school if a summer school seat is available. Otherwise, they will likely have to repeat the course in the next year.

## GOAL 1: HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION

## GOAL 1: HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION <br> DREAM Charter School will maintain high graduation rates each year.

## Goal 1: Leading Indicator

Each year, 75 percent of students in first and second year high school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn at least ten credits (if 44 needed for graduation) or five credits (if 22 needed for graduation) each year.

## Method

This measure serves as a leading indicator of the performance of the high school cohort and examines students' progress toward graduation based on annual credit accumulation. The measure requires that, based on the school's promotion requirements, 75 percent of the first and second year high school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn the required number of credits.

## Results and Evaluation

DREAM achieved this measure. 100 percent of the 2019 cohort and 96 percent of the 2020 cohort earned at least 8 credits and were promoted to the next grade.

> Percent of Students in First and Second Year Cohorts
> Earning the Required Number of Credits in 2020-21

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort during <br> $2020-21$ | Percent <br> promoted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | 103 | $100 \%$ |
| 2020 | 99 | $96 \%$ |

## Additional Evidence

DREAM students generally keep up with work to earn full credit in their courses to advance to the next grade.

## Goal 1: Leading Indicator

Each year, 75 percent of students in the second year high school Total Graduation Cohort will score at or above proficient on at least three different New York State Regents exams required for graduation.

## Method

This measure serves as a leading indicator of the performance of high school cohorts and examines their progress towards graduation based on Regents exam passage. The measure requires that 75
percent of students in each Graduation Cohort have passed at least three Regents exams by their second year in the cohort.

As a result of the Board of Regents' guidance regarding the cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, for the most recent second year cohort schools should report the percentage of students who either passed or were exempted from at least three exams. In August of 2021, the 2019 cohort will have completed its second year.

## Results and Evaluation

DREAM achieved this measure with 100 percent of the 2019 cohort earning credit for at least three NYS Regents exams after two years in high school. Please note that this cohort of students was granted exemption from the Regents requirement in both 2020 and 2021.

Percent of Students in their Second Year Passing Three Regents Exams by Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | School Year | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Passing at <br> Least Three <br> Regents (including <br> exemptions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $2018-19$ | 96 | $79 \%$ |
| 2018 | $2019-20$ | 96 | $99 \%$ |
| 2019 | $2020-21$ | 96 | $100 \%$ |

## Additional Evidence

As evidenced in the table above, DREAM second year students have achieved this measure for the past three years.

## Goal 1: Absolute Measures

Each year, 75 percent of students in the fourth year high school Total Graduation Cohort and 95 percent of students in the fifth year high school Total Graduation Cohort will graduate.

## Method

This measure examines students in two high school Graduation Cohorts: those who entered the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade as members of the 2017 cohort and graduated four years later and those who entered as members of the 2016 cohort and graduated five years later. These data reflect August graduation rates. At a minimum, these students have passed or been exempted from five Regents exams required for high school graduation in ELA, mathematics, science, U.S. History, and Global History or met the requirements for the $4+1$ pathway to graduation. ${ }^{3}$

The school's graduation requirements appear in this document above the graduation goal.

[^2]
## Results and evaluation

DREAM achieved this graduation metric, having 99 percent of their first class graduate after four years in high school!

Percent of Students in the Total Graduation Cohort who have Graduated After Four Years

| Cohort <br> Designation | School <br> Year | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Graduating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 96 | $99 \%$ |

Percent of Students in Total Graduation Cohort Who Have Graduated After Five Years

| Cohort <br> Designation | School <br> Year | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Graduating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | $2020-21$ | NA | -- |

## Goal 1: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Graduation Cohort graduating after the completion of their fourth year will exceed that of the Total Graduation Cohort from the school district of comparison.

## Method

The school compares the graduation rate of students completing their fourth year in the charter school's Total Graduation Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that district results for the current year are generally not available at this time, for purposes of this report schools should include the district's 2019-20 results as a temporary placeholder for the district's 2020-21 results.

Results and evaluation
DREAM achieved this measure. When comparing four year graduation rates with the local district \#4, DREAM's 99 percent is 14 points higher than the most recent graduation rate in 2020 of $85 \%$.

| Percent of Students in the Total Graduation Cohort who <br> Graduate in Four Years Compared to the District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort <br> Designation School Year Charter School <br> Number in <br> Cohort  Percent <br> Graduating Number in <br> Cohort <br>     Percent <br> Graduating  <br> 2017 $2020-21$ 97 $99 \%$  $85 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 1: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total Cohort pursuing an alternative graduation pathway (commonly referred to as the $4+1$ pathway) will achieve a Regents equivalency score and pass an approved pathway assessment required for graduation by the end of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The New York State Board of Regents approved regulations establishing alternative pathways to graduation for all students. Students may replace one of the required Social Studies Regents exams with an approved alternative assessment. For more information about requirements and approved assessments refer to the NYSED resource online: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/ciai/multiplepathways/. The school will document the names of the alternative assessments administered and success rate for students in the templates bellow.

As a result of the Board of Regents' guidance regarding the cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, students planning to take a pathway examination during those cancelled dates would be exempted from the requirement. For purposes of this measure, only report results for students with valid scores for any pathway exam.

## Results and Evaluation

None of the 2017 cohort students needed to take advantage of the $4+1$ pathway to graduate

## Summary of the High School Graduation Goal

2020-21 marked the first year of DREAM - East Harlem operating at full capacity grades K-12. Having 99 percent of our 2017 graduation cohort achieve their goal after four years in high school is even more impressive because they did so during a pandemic.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Leading <br> Indicator | Each year, 75 percent of students in first and second year high <br> school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn at least ten credits (if 44 <br> needed for graduation) or five credits (if 22 needed for graduation) <br> each year. | Met |
| Leading <br> Indicator | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort will score at least 65 on at least three different <br> New York State Regents exams required for graduation by the <br> completion of their second year in the cohort. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of students in the fourth year high school <br> Total Graduation Cohort will graduate. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, 95 percent of students in the fifth year high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort will graduate. | Not Applicable |
| Comparative | Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort graduating after the completion of their fourth <br> year will exceed that of the Total Graduation Cohort from the <br> school district of comparison. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total Cohort <br> pursuing an alternative graduation pathway will achieve a Regents <br> equivalency score and pass an approved pathway assessment <br> required for graduation by the end of their fourth year. | Not Applicable |

## Action Plan

DREAM - East Harlem high school programming continues to offer sufficient credit recovery opportunities. There are school-wide initiatives designed to support all students, in particular those most at-risk of not graduating on time. During remote instruction, attendance was an issue. Throughout the summer and in the beginning on the 2020-21 year, DREAM leaders developed a plan to reset expectations with students and families and put systems in place that would improve remote attendance. One pivotal component was reinvesting in our advisory program to ensure all students and families have a point of contact with an adult with whom they have a strong relationship. High school students start every day with a 20-30 minute advisory session. This consistent touch point builds a consistent routine that helps students start the day strong. In addition to community and relationship-building, advisors use that time to help students plan their daily schedule and course work as part of a rapid cycle of goal setting and reflection. These efforts have yielded substantial results.

## GOAL 2: COLLEGE PREPARATION

## GOAL 2: COLLEGE PREPARATION

DREAM Charter School graduates will be prepared for academic institutions of higher education.

DREAM's 11th and 12th grade academic program is geared towards preparing students for success in college. In the 2019-20 school year, DREAM 11th graders had the opportunity to take AP Seminar and AP US History. Typically, DREAM students take the PSAT 8/9 in 9th grade, the PSAT 10 in 10th grade, and the SAT in both the spring of 11th grade and fall of 12th grade. DREAM's 11th grade Math course prioritizes content aligned to SAT Math and all students will participate in levelled SAT Prep on Saturdays during 11th and 12th grade. Some test administrations were cancelled due to COVID.

In an effort to deliver information on the college admissions and selection process, DREAM CS offers a variety of ways for our families to engage in the vast number of choices there are during this time in a student's life. We offer a college studio course in grades 9-12 that meets 2-3 times per week, so every student has the opportunity to participate. In addition, students and families can take advantage of many college visits, attend guest speaker presentations or opt for a family workshop.

Goal 2: Absolute Measure
Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will demonstrate their preparation for college by at least one or some combination of the following indicators:

- Passing an Advanced Placement ("AP") exam with a score of 3 or higher;
- Earning a score of 4 or higher on an International Baccalaureate ("IB") exam;
- Passing a College Level Examination Program ("CLEP") exam;
- Passing a college level course offered at a college or university or through a school partnership with a college or university;
- Achieving the college and career readiness benchmark on the SAT;
- Earning a Regents diploma with advanced designation; or,
- A different school-created indicator approved by the Institute.


## Method

Schools use any method listed here, or any combination thereof, to demonstrate that at least 75 percent of graduates are prepared to engage in rigorous college level coursework. The school should select only those methods listed here that it uses to demonstrate the college readiness of its students and eliminate those that it will not. For instance, high schools that do not deliver an IB Program as part of their high school design do not report on the IB option. The school reports on the number of students who attempted to achieve each indicator, the number who succeeded, and the corresponding percentage. Additionally, the school should report on the overall number of students who graduated after four years, the number of those graduates who achieved any of the relevant indicators, and the overall percentage achieving any indicator.

## Results and evaluation

This accountability measure was not met in 2020-21. 36 percent of all students who attempted an indicator achieved college readiness scores on an AP exam or the SAT. 52\% of the students who took at least one AP exam scored a level 3 or higher.

Percentage of the 2017 Total Cohort Graduates Demonstrating College Preparation by Indicator

| Indicator | Number of <br> Graduates who <br> Attempted the <br> Indicator | Number who <br> Achieved Indicator | Percentage of Graduates <br> who Achieved Indicator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AP English Literature and <br> Composition | 55 | 2 | $8 \%$ |
| AP Environmental Science | 15 | 4 | $27 \%$ |
| AP Seminar | 48 | 10 | $21 \%$ |
| AP United States History | 40 | 5 | $13 \%$ |
| AP Research | 48 | 27 | $56 \%$ |
| AP Biology | 12 | 1 | $8 \%$ |
| SAT Reading 480 \& Math 530 | 89 | 16 | $18 \%$ |
| College Level Course - Barnard <br> College and Stanford Univ. | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ |
| Overall | 89 | 32 | $36 \%$ |

## Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, the College, Career, and Civic Readiness Index ("CCCRI") for the school's Total Cohort will exceed the Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 2: Comparative Measure

Each year, the school's CCCRI for the Total Cohort will exceed that of the district of comparison's Total Cohort.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will matriculate into a college or university in the year after graduation.

## Method

The ultimate measure of whether a college prep high school has lived up to its mission is whether students actually enroll and succeed in college. Schools track and report the percentage of fourthyear Total Cohort graduates who matriculate into a two or four-year college program in the school year following graduation. Schools should update and confirm data for Cohorts prior to 2020-21 and provide preliminary matriculation data for 2017 Cohort. It may be necessary for schools to
provide updated data to the Institute when National Student Clearinghouse or other data sources become available later in the school year.

## Results and Evaluation

As of this report, the first graduating class of 2021 just would have begun their first semester in college. We will report on how many matriculated in total in the 2021-22 report.

## Summary of the College Preparation Goal

Although the one college prep accountability metric was not achieved, DREAM is proud that 99 percent of our first grade 12 student cohort did graduate in four years and were accepted into college. This achievement was accomplished during a pandemic, and we intend to increase the numbers who demonstrate college preparedness on paper moving forward as we build partnerships with college in high school programs. We are exploring options.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will demonstrate <br> their preparation for college by one or more possible <br> indicators of college readiness. | Not Met |
| Absolute | Each year, the CCCRI for the school's Total Cohort will exceed <br> that year's state MIP set forth in the state's ESSA <br> accountability system. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the school's CCCRI for the Total Cohort will exceed <br> that of the district's Total Cohort. | N/A |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will matriculate <br> into a college or university in the year after graduation. | Pending 1 year |

## Action Plan

DREAM students in grades 10-12 take a College \& Career Studio course two to three times a week where they learn about and prepare for key milestones in the college and career exploration and preparation process. In addition, each high school student has monthly 1:1 check-ins with a youth development specialist or college counselor. Like the Studio course, these check-ins are milestone based. For the 2017 cohort, a big focus of spring and fall 2020 was building balanced college lists with the right mix of likely, target, and reach schools to avoid under-matching during the application phase. DREAM will continue ensuring all students can apply and be accepted into college and/or explore direct to career paths.

## Alumni Programming

DREAM school and program alumni have the power of a team behind them - and robust support systems to make their dreams real.

As our DREAM Charter High School students choose colleges and trades, chart career paths, and build relationships, we're standing alongside them.

But support from DREAM doesn't end when our scholars graduate high school, as $100 \%$ of our senior class did last June. All DREAM program and school alumni graduate into our Legends program. Established in 2014, the Legends program's vision is for youth to pursue and complete a best-fit post-secondary path that equips them to fulfill their vision of success and achieve a career that facilitates stability and agency.

Our Legends program offers alumni the following supports to ensure completion of their postsecondary path and launch them into their career fields:
Transition to College: 4-Week Summer Bridge program designed to prepare rising freshmen for the college transition.

Career Exposure: Access to career workshops, conferences, networking opportunities, career mentors, and internships.

Caseload Management: Each Legend is matched with their own Program Coordinator who provides consistent one-on-one check-ins.

Community Involvement: On-campus visits, care packages, and alumni reunion events.
Leadership: Near-peer mentorship opportunities, community service initiatives, and Legends Leadership Council.
And our capacity for support is only increasing: Our Legends alumni numbers are projected to grow from 260 currently to nearly 1,000 by 2030.

## Upward Pathways

Upward Pathways is a new DREAM initiative that identifies and supports the approximately $15 \%$ of DREAM Charter High School graduates who are expected to pursue career paths without a Bachelor's degree. That means training and certification, mentorship, case management, job placement, and much more. It's just one example of the ways we're continuing to set our Legends up for success, no matter what that looks like for them.

# GOAL 3: ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS 

## ELEMENTARY AND MIDDLE ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

## Goal 3: English Language Arts <br> All students at the DREAM Charter School will become proficient in reading and writing of the English Language.

## Background

The elementary school English Language Arts curriculum is broken into four blocks: Shared Text, Writer's Workshop, Reader's Workshop, and Interactive Read Aloud. Lower elementary students also receive FUNdations, a Wilson Reading System program to teach phonics and phonemic awareness.

The Shared Text curriculum is developed around six thematic units in each grade. Students read a canon of texts to create a foundational bank of shared literary experiences. Together, the six units expose students to a wide variety of fiction and nonfiction texts, while learning the skills demanded by the common core standards. Texts read within the thematic units will provide an interdisciplinary connection between content.

Middle school students engage in ten thematic units over the course of the school year. Each unit theme (Survival, Culture, Perspectives, Institutions, Balance, Identity, Greed, Ethics, Bridges, and Citizenship) serves as a consistent thread that is integrated into all academic subjects, as well as Homeroom, Advisory, and Community Gatherings.

The middle school English Language Arts curriculum is broken down into three blocks—Literature, Writer's Workshop, and Guided Reading (which is taught during Focus). During Literature class, students and teachers engage in several novel studies. Each novel is purposefully selected to support the theme of the current integrated unit and, at times, to support the learning that is happening in Social Studies or Science. Students are exposed to a range of topics, genres, and authors through the Literature block and engage in in-depth discussion and analysis with their teachers and peers.

The purpose of our interconnected literacy program is to provide students a balanced and comprehensive understanding of literacy. Each block provides a separate, yet connected, way of approaching texts as readers and writers. Scholars learn how to think critically and analyze a wide variety of challenging texts, write in response to literature, and identify themselves as readers and writers as they choose to read and write on topics and in genres of their choice.

DREAM offers robust professional development designed to provide teachers with a variety of opportunities to develop their practice. Weekly PD sessions are held for all staff on Friday afternoons from 1:00pm to 4:00pm. PD is focused on school priorities, curriculum, student culture, staff culture and other relevant topics throughout the year. All DREAM classroom teachers receive professional development on how to administer the Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment and use the results to teach guided reading.

Teachers also use PD and other common planning times to conduct unit previews and reviews. The purpose of a unit preview is to intellectually prepare to teach the upcoming unit by internalizing
desired outcomes, what evidence of student mastery will look like and sound like, and plan for student understanding. Unit previews allow for effective backwards planning, which increases the purposefulness and intentionality of instruction and allows teachers to make better instructional decisions every step of the way. At the end of each unit teachers analyze the unit assessment results using our unit review protocol. This practice allows teachers to identify gaps in student learning and take targeted action to reteach and remediate as needed.

DREAM implements a robust interim assessment data cycle that empowers teachers to use the data collected through interim assessments to plan targeted re-teaching that develop the skills students need most. DREAM administers interim assessments four times a year - in October, December, February and June. Instructional leaders review the data to identify big picture trends, determine whether students are on-track to meet end of year goals, and select the priority standards teachers will focus on during Data Day. On Data Day, teachers analyze data and create an action plan for reteaching priority standards. The backbone of DREAM's approach is "item analysis," which allows teachers to define precise student misunderstandings. Assessment questions are carefully written to assess specific sub-skills within standards and include wrong answer choices that reveal information about why students are making specific mistakes. By unpacking a question at this depth, DREAM can truly understand and target student misconceptions within the standard. Teachers put these "re-teach" plans into action and administer a re-assessment to measure student learning. The re-assessment is carefully designed to mirror the format and rigor of the interim assessment. After collecting re-assessment data, teachers meet with their coach or grade team to define the impact of re-teach plans and identify causes for success or lack of success.

## Method

Fountas \& Pinnell diagnostic reading assessments were administered in October and December to grades K-8 and virtually in June to grades K-3. DREAM CS administered the Winter 2020 NWEA MAP in December.

## Method

DREAM students were assessed in ELA with the following tools in 2020-21:

- Internal interim assessments 4 x
- Fountas \& Pinnell 4 x
- New York State ELA

During 2020-21, the school(s) primarily used the following exam to assess student growth and achievement in ELA: Internal Interim Assessment in ELA and F\&P.

Results and evaluation

Overall, $46 \%$ of DREAM East Harlem 3-8 scholars performed at or above proficiency level on the Spring 2021 ELA Interim Assessment.

Percent Proficient by Grade
Grade 3 35\%
Grade 4 64\%
Grade 5 43\%
Grade 6 45\%
Grade 7 45\%
Grade 8 46\%
Overall 46\%

In addition, 39\% of DREAM East Harlem 3-8 scholars scored at or above their Fountas \& Pinnell EOY reading benchmark.

## Percent Scoring at or above their F \& P EOY Reading Benchmark

Grade 3 42\%

Grade 4 25\%
Grade 5 30\%
Grade 6 7\%
Grade 7 59\%
Grade 8 69\%

## Summary of the Elementary AND MIDDLE English Language Arts Goal

After over a year of learning during a pandemic, our students did demonstrate some progress in ELA. Based on Spring Interim testing, 46 percent scored at grade level proficiency. In addition, 36 percent overall grades $3-8$ scored at their end of year targets on the Fountas \& Pinnell. Moving forward and back into the classroom full time, we anticipate some learning loss and will address it based on baseline assessment indicators.

## Action Plan

Going forward, we continue to keep many of the Daily ELA routines and practices the students are familiar with for reading, writing and communicating.

## HIGH SCHOOL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

## Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The school administered the Regents English exam that students must pass to graduate. The State Education Department currently defines the college and career readiness standard as scoring at or above Performance Level 4 (meeting Common Core expectations) on the Regents Exam in English

Language Arts (Common Core). This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 4 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.
Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, some students in the 2017 Cohort who had not previously sat for the exam but were scheduled to sit for this exam during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam as well as the percentage of students achieving at least Level 4 among the students who sat for the exam.

## Results and Evaluation

DREAM did not achieve this high school ELA Regents metric. The 2017 cohort fell just short of the $65 \%$ goal having $61 \%$ earn a performance level of 4 .

Percent Scoring at Least Level 4 on Regents English Common Core Exam
by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{4}$

| Cohort | Fourth <br> Year | Number <br> in <br> Cohort <br> (a) | Number <br> exempted <br> with No <br> Valid Score <br> (b) | Number <br> Scoring at <br> Least Level <br> 4 | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 4 Among Students <br> (c) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 96 | 12 | 51 | (c)/(a-b) Valid Score |
| (c) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Additional Evidence

The upcoming cohorts are making progress toward this goal, but might not retake the ELA Regents if the

Percent Achieving at Least Level 4 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 |
| 2017 | 97 | $60 \%$ | 96 <br> $* 84$ Tested | $61 \%$ |
| 2018 | 96 | $55 \%$ | 96 <br> $* 65$ Tested | $49 \%$ |
| 2019 | 104 | Exempt | 103 <br> $* 61$ Tested | $33 \%$ |
| 2020 |  |  | 99 <br> $* 11$ Tested | $18 \%$ |

Goal 3: Absolute Measure
Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 3 on the
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Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The school administered the Regents English exam that students must pass to graduate. The school scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100. The State Education Department currently defines the cut off for passing and meeting the requirement for graduation as scoring at or above Performance Level 3 (partially meeting Common Core expectations) on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core). This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 3 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, some students in the 2017 Cohort who had not previously sat for the exam but were scheduled to sit for this exam during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam as well as the percentage of students achieving at least Level 3 among the students who sat for the exam.

## Results and Evaluation

DREAM students achieved this high school ELA measure with $100 \%$ of the 2017 cohort passing the NYS English Language Arts Regents after four years in the cohort.

Percent Scoring at Least Level 3 on Regents English Common Gore Exam
by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number <br> in <br> Cohort <br> (a) | Number Exempted <br> with No Valid Score <br> (b) | Number Scoring <br> at Least Level 3 <br> (c) | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 3 Among Students <br> with Valid Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 96 | 12 | 84 | $100 \%$ |

## Additional Evidence

All students tested and represented in the below table have achieved this measure in ELA, earning at least a performance level 3 on the NYS ELA Regents exam.

Percent Achieving at Least Level 3 by Cohort and Year on Regents English Common Core Exam by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2017 | 97 | $99 \%$ | 96 <br> $* 84$ Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2018 | 96 | $98 \%$ | 96 <br> $* 65$ Tested | $87 \%$ |
| 2019 | 104 | Exempt | 103 <br> $* 61$ Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2020 |  |  | 99 <br> $* 11$ Tested | $100 \%$ |

## Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") on the Regents English exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state's Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort at least partially meeting Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("Pl") in Regents English of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 3: Growth Measure

Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will meet the college and career readiness standard (currently scoring at Performance Level 4 and fully meeting Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its English language arts program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to meet the English requirement for the college and career readiness standard.

## Results And Evaluation

This measure was met by DREAM. 60 percent of the students who did not score at proficiency levels in grade 8 ELA did earn a score of Level 4 on the NYS English Regents exam in high school.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 4 on Common Core exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort

| Cohort Designation | Fourth Year | Number in Cohort not Proficient in $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> (a) | Number Exempted with No Valid Score (b) | Number Scoring at Least Level 4 <br> (c) | Percent Scoring at Least Level 4 Among Students with Valid Score (c)/(a-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 2020-21 | 15 | 0 | 9 | 60\% |

## Goal 3: Growth Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its English language arts program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to meet the English requirement for graduation.

## Results And Evaluation

DREAM achieved this measure. All students who scored below proficiency in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade who also took the NYS Regents in ELA scored at Level 3 or above by their fourth year in high school.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 3 on Common Core exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number in <br> Cohort not <br> Proficient in <br> $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> (a) | Number <br> Exempted <br> with No Valid <br> Score <br> (b) | Number <br> Scoring at <br> Least Level 3 <br> (c) | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 3 Among Students <br> with Valid Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 15 | 0 | 15 | $100 \%$ |

## Summary of the High School English Language Arts Goal

DREAM students performed well on the NYS English Language Arts Regents exam. Having 61 percent of the students tested earn a performance level of 4 and all of them pass with a level 3 is encouraging. In addition, we are pleased to report that all fifteen students who we have on record as performing below proficiency in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade did demonstrate proficiency in ELA before graduating by passing the Regents.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Not Met |
| Absolute | Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) on the Regents English exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state Measure of Interim Progress (MIP) set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students from the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort partially meeting Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in Regents English of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison. | N/A |
| Growth | Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Met |
| Growth | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at least Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Met |

## Action Plan

DREAM plans to transition back to in-school learning while keeping some instructional practices that have worked well during virtual school. We continue our morning advisory to keep students on track and rely on our vetted ELA programming across all grades.

# GOAL 4: MATHEMATICS 

## ELEMENTARY MATHEMATICS

## Goal 4: Mathematics

All Students at the DREAM Charter School will become proficient in Mathematics.

## BACKGROUND

DREAM believes that scholars must develop a deep, conceptual understanding of math in order to be able to achieve the college or career of their choice. To achieve that depth of understanding, our Math curriculum is rooted in Cognitively Guided Instruction (CGI). CGI is built on the belief that scholars must be charged with constructing problem-solving strategies that make sense to them and build on their prior knowledge of the world. In the story problem (ES) and problem solving (MS) blocks, scholars are challenged to defend their strategies and reflect on their approach to solving the problem. Additionally, scholars will analyze the strategies of their peers and make generalizations and conjectures about mathematical concepts. Through analyzing, scholars learn more advanced strategies and gain a more complex understanding of mathematical concepts. In contrast to the traditional teaching method of direct modeling and practice, our approach allows scholars to develop meaningful and lasting mathematical understandings.

The purpose of the elementary school Math Workshop block is to address all of the Common Core standards at a given grade level. The math units that comprise the Math Workshop block address domains not mastered in the Story Problem block, such as measurement, data and geometry. Through the use of TERC Investigations and Context for Learning Mathematics our scholars are exposed to a variety of mathematical concepts and strategies. During the math block at DREAM our scholars learn how to apply different problem solving strategies by listening and observing their peers. Our math instruction is Common Core aligned with an emphasis on exploratory learning. Teachers act as strategic facilitators of this process to surface and make connections among mathematical concepts. Middle school teachers use the Mathematics in Context (MiC) curriculum in which each unit is organized by domain including Number, Geometry, Algebra, and Statistics and Probability. The curriculum uses realistic, real-world contexts that engage and motivate students and uses various representations that will encourage retention and flexible thinking.

During Story Problem and Problem Solving, students are presented with a purposefully planned word problem. After a brief launch, students spend several minutes solving the problem, using multiple strategies of their choice. During this time, the teacher circulates in order to gather data and choose 2-3 scholars to share their work. During the share, students dictate their strategy to the teacher as $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ images the student's work for all to see. After the share, the teacher engages students in a discourse about the shared strategies, in which students share computational strategies to construct deeper understandings about Operations and Algebra.

An additional part of the math program at DREAM consists of math routines. These routines are short but important. They force students to think critically and flexibly and allow teachers to assess student thinking in a short period of time. Routines should be planned purposefully to meet the needs of the scholars in the class. Math routines develop strong scholar counting skills, number sense, fluency, and deepen the understanding of key mathematical concepts. These routines include: Counting Around the Room, True/False Number Sentences, Number Strings, and more.

## Method

3rd through 8th graders took the following math assessments:

- Internal interim assessments $4 x$
- New York State Math Exams in grades 3-8

During 2020-21, the school(s) primarily used the following exam to assess student growth and achievement in mathematics: Both internal interim assessments and NYS Math exams.

## Results and evaluation

Overall, $35 \%$ of DREAM East Harlem 3-8 scholars performed at or above proficiency level on the Spring 2021 Math Interim Assessment.

## Percent Proficient by Grade

| Grade 3 | $43 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Grade 4 | $44 \%$ |
| Grade 5 | $42 \%$ |
| Grade 6 | $32 \%$ |
| Grade 7 | $33 \%$ |
| Grade 8 | $16 \%$ |
| Overall | $35 \%$ |

## Additional Evidence

At the time of this report, grade 3-8 NYS Math assessment results have not been made public yet.

## Summary of the Elementary AND MIDDLE Mathematics Goal

Progress in math was assessed in grades K-8 in the 2020-21 school year, however much attention was also being pulled in other areas as we navigated the entire year dealing with the pandemic. As much as we focused on the student needs and growth in math, the school grappled with remote learning as well as teachers learning techniques to teach while considering asynchronous instruction as well in an online setting. The interim assessments were utilized to determine which math concepts needed revisiting and where students tested well.

## Action Plan

In math, we continue to prioritize the major work of the grade and standards that directly lead students to preparation for that work. DREAM is using a tool which outlines standards that should
be addressed before and within core instruction. The former are prior knowledge and skills students need to access the grade-level standard. The latter are standards without which students will have an entry point but will benefit from instruction that weaves in this prior-grade content. To support accelerated learning, DREAM invested in training teachers to diagnose students' unfinished learning and provide just-in-time intervention.

As students return to full-time school in the building, we continue to utilize routines that have been helpful through the past 18 months while returning to a normal school day as much as possible.

## HIGH SCHOOL MATHEMATICS

## Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The school administered the Regents mathematics exam(s) that students must pass to graduate. The State Education Department currently defines the college and career readiness standard as scoring at or above Performance Level 4 (meeting Common Core expectations) on any Regents Common Core mathematics exams. This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 4 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, some students in the 2017 Cohort who had not previously sat for any mathematics exam but were scheduled to sit for one during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam requirement as well as the percentage of students achieving at least Level 4 among the students who sat for any exam.

## Results and Evaluation

DREAM did not meet this math measure. $12.5 \%$ of the students in the 2017 accountability cohort earned a score on a NYS Math Regents falling above the cutoff for performance level 4.

Percent Scoring at Least Level 4 on a Regents Mathematics Common Core Exam
by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort

| Cohort | Fourth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in |
| Cohort |
| (a) | | Number |
| :---: |
| exempted |
| with No |
| Valid Score |
| (b) | | Number |
| :---: |
| Scoring at |
| Least Level |
| 4 | | Percent Scoring at |
| :---: |
| Least Level 4 Among |
| Students with Valid |
| (c) |$\quad$| Score |
| :---: |
| (c)/(a-b) |

## Additional Evidence

A portion of all tested cohort students earn scores in the performance level 4 range. We anticipate the percentages to increase as instruction returns to the classroom full-time.

| Percent Achieving at Least Level 4 by Cohort and Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort | $2019-20$ |  | 2020-21 |  |
|  | Number <br> Designation <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 |
| 2017 | 97 | $11 \%$ | 96 <br> 80 Tested | $12.5 \%$ |
| 2018 | 96 | $4 \%$ | 96 <br> 71 Tested | $13 \%$ |
| 2019 | 104 | Exempt | 103 <br> 11 Tested | $18 \%$ |
| 2020 |  |  | 99 <br> 29 Tested | $14 \%$ |

Goal 4: Absolute Measure
Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The school administered the Regents mathematics exam(s) that students must pass to graduate. The State Education Department currently defines the cut off for passing and meeting the requirement for graduation as scoring at or above Performance Level 3 (partially meeting Common Core expectations) on the Regents mathematics exams. This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 3 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.
Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, some students in the 2017 Cohort who had not previously sat for any mathematics exam but were scheduled to sit for one during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam requirement as well as the percentage of students achieving at least Level 3 among the students who sat for any exam.

## Results and Evaluation

DREAM achieved this math metric, having all students in the 2017 cohort earn credit for passing a NYS Regents after four years in high school.

| Percent Scoring at Least Level 3 on a Regents Mathematics Common Core Exam by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number in Cohort (a) | Number Exempted with No Valid Score <br> (b) | Number Scoring at Least Level 3 <br> (c) | Percent Scoring at Least Level 3 Among Students with Valid Score (c)/(a-b) |
| 2017 | 2020-21 | 96 | 16 | 80 | 100\% |

## Additional Evidence

As evidenced by the table below, $100 \%$ of all DREAM high school students who took a NYS Math Regents exam scored at least a 65 (Level 3) as of the completion of 2020-21.

Percent Achieving at Least Level 3 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | 2019-20 |  | 2020-201 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2017 | 97 | $84 \%$ | 96 <br> 80 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2018 | 96 | $63 \%$ | 96 <br> 71 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2019 | 104 | Exempt | 103 <br> 11 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2020 |  |  | 99 <br> 29 Tested | $100 \%$ |

## Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") on the Regents mathematics exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state's Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.
Goal 4: Comparative Measure
Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exams will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort at least partially meeting Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exams will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") in Regents mathematics of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 4: Growth Measure

Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics exam will meet the college and career readiness standard (currently scoring at Performance Level 4 and fully meeting Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its mathematics program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to grow to meeting the mathematics requirement for the college and career readiness standard.

## Results And Evaluation

This measure was not achieved. Unfortunately, only $2 \%$ of the students who tested below proficiency on the $8^{\text {th }}$ grade NYS Math exam earned a performance level 4 before graduation.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 4 on a Mathematics Regents Exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number in <br> Cohort not <br> Proficient in <br> $8_{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> (a) | Number <br> Exempted <br> with No Valid <br> Score <br> (b) | Number <br> Scoring at <br> Least Level 4 <br> (c) | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 4 Among Students <br> with Valid Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 65 | 0 | 1 | $2 \%$ |

## Goal 4: Growth Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its mathematics program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to move to meeting the English requirement for graduation.

## Results And Evaluation

This measure was not met. 45 of the 65 fourth year high school students who performed below proficiency in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade on the NYS math assessment earned at least a level 3 on a NYS Math Regents in high school. The remaining 20 earned an exemption during the pause in Regents due to COVID 19.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 3 on a Mathematics Regents Exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{5}$

| Cohort |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number in <br> Cohort not <br> Proficient in <br> $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> (a) | Number <br> Exempted <br> with No Valid <br> Score | Number <br> Scoring at <br> Least Level 3 <br> (b) | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 3 Among Students <br> with Valid Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 65 | 0 | 45 | $69 \%$ |

## Summary of the High School Mathematics Goal

Although all students in the 2017 accountability cohort earned credit for passing a NYS Math regents exam after four years in high school, DREAM continues to develop rigorous instruction to enable students to earn higher passing scores in the Performance Level 4 range.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or <br> above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Not Met |
| Absolute | Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at <br> or above Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in mathematics of students <br> completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state <br> Measure of Interim Progress (MIP) set forth in the state's ESSA <br> accountability system. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort meeting or <br> exceeding Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam will <br> exceed the percentage of comparable students from the district meeting or <br> exceeding Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort partially meeting <br> Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam will exceed the <br> percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting <br> Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in Regents mathematics of students in <br> the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of <br> comparable students from the school district of comparison. | N/A |
| Growth | Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> who did not score proficient on their New York State 8th grade mathematics | Not Met |

[^4]|  | exam will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at <br> or above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Growth | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> who did not score proficient on their New York State 8 $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics <br> exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently <br> scoring at least Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Not Met |

## Action Plan

DREAM continues to work to increase the percentage of students achieving a college and career ready score on a Regents mathematics exam during their four years of high school. Ninth graders who are significantly below grade level in math will receive targeted math intervention using the Math 180 program.

## GOAL 5: SCIENCE

## Elementary AND MIDDLE Science

## Goal 5: Science

All students at DREAM Charter School will demonstrate competency in the understanding and application of scientific reasoning.

## BACkground

DREAM believes that scholars should learn science in a hands-on, interactive way. As scientists, DREAM scholars ask questions, make hypotheses, conduct experiments and draw conclusions based on their results. Elementary school science lessons come from an inquiry-based curriculum, which means the scholars discover the answers to their own questions and construct their own knowledge about the world around them. We do not use textbooks, but we do read nonfiction texts. Our middle school science curriculum is based off of the Common Core State Standards and NYS Science Core Curriculum and includes physical setting and living environment content, with an emphasis on inquiry and problem solving skills. Each middle school science unit focuses on two of our integrated themes and challenges students to apply science content to their personal lives. Students are encouraged to reflect on how their choices today impact both their health and environment tomorrow. Students learn to support their viewpoints with evidence and use inquiry as a driving force for exploring the natural world.

## Method

Elementary and middle school science instruction continued as outlined within the re-opening plan for 2020-21; synchronous and asynchronous schedules and assignments including many lessons presented on video so students could watch multiple times as needed. Hands-on activities were completed if they could be performed safely or could be done independently at home with household objects. Internal interim assessments were given four times per year. 8th grade students took the NYS Science exam.

## Results and evaluation

2020-21 NYS Science Assessments have not been made public.

## Summary of the Elementary Science Goal

Teachers and students are enjoying being back in the classroom to do hands-on science activities together in 2021-22. 2021 NYS Science 8 exam scores have not been made public as of this report.

## High School Science

## Goal 5: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on a New York State Regents science exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

New York State schools administer multiple high school science assessments; current Regent exams are Living Environment, Earth Science, Chemistry and Physics. The school administered the Living Environment and Chemistry exams. It scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100; students must score at least 65 to pass. This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass any one of the Regents science exams by their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken a particular Regents science exam multiple times or have taken multiple science exams. Students have until the summer of their fourth year to pass a science exam.

Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, some students in the 2017 Cohort who had not previously sat for the exam but were scheduled to sit for this exam during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam as well as the percentage of students passing among the students who sat for the exam.

## Results and Evaluation

DREAM achieved this accountability measure in high school science. After four years in high school, all students who took a NYS Science Regents exam earned at least a score of 65 also called a Level 3.

## Science Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65 <br> by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{6}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number <br> in <br> Cohort <br> (a) | Number <br> Exempted with <br> No Valid Score <br> (b) | Number Passing <br> with at Least a 65 <br> (c) | Percent Passing Among <br> Students with Valid Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 96 | 16 | 80 | $100 \%$ |

## Additional Evidence

As demonstrated in the table below, DREAM students in all four high school accountability cohorts earned credit for the NYS Regents exam have passed with a 65+. The students who did not earn credit with a passing score of 65 were granted an exemption for graduation because the Regents were interrupted during the pandemic.

[^5]
## Science Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2017 | 97 | $82 \%$ | 96 <br> 80 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2018 | 96 | $77 \%$ | 96 <br> 77 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2019 | 104 | Exempt | 103 <br> 6 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2020 |  |  | 99 <br> 1 Tested | $100 \%$ |

## Goal 5: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort passing a Regents science exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district of comparison.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## GOAL 6: SOCIAL STUDIES

Goal 6: Social Studies
All students at DREAM Charter School will demonstrate proficiency in the social sciences.

## Goal 6: Absolute Measure <br> Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents U.S. History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

New York State administers two high school social studies assessments: U.S. History and Global History. In order to graduate, students must pass both of these Regents exams with a score of 65 or higher. This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass the two exams by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken the exams multiple times and have until the summer of their fourth year to pass it. Once students pass it, performance on subsequent administrations of the same exam do not affect their status as passing.

Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, some students in the 2017 Cohort who had not previously sat for the exam but were scheduled to sit for this exam during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam as well as the percentage of students passing among the students who sat for the exam.

Results
Because the U.S. History Regents was not administered in June 2020 or 2021, almost none of the 2017 cohort sat for the test. The one student who did take it passed it.
U.S. History Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65
by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number <br> in <br> Cohort <br> (a) | Number <br> Exempted with <br> No Valid Score <br> (b) | Number Passing <br> with at Least a 65 <br> (c) | Percent Passing Among <br> Students with Valid Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 96 | 95 | 1 | $100 \%$ |

## Additional Evidence

The four students in the 2018 accountability cohort who took the exam in Global History earned at least a performance level of 3 , while others earned exemption during the pandemic.

## U.S. History Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2017 | 97 | Exempt | 96 <br> 1 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2018 | 96 | N/A | 96 <br> 4 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2019 | 104 | N/A | 103 <br> 5 Tested | $67 \%$ |
| 2020 |  |  | 99 <br> 0 Tested | Exempt |

Goal 6: Comparative Measure
Each year, the percent to students in the high school Total Cohort passing the Regents U.S. History exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district of comparison.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

```
Goal 6: Absolute Measure
```

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents Global History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass the Global History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken the exam multiple times and had until the summer of their fourth year to pass it. Once students pass it, performance on subsequent administrations of the same exam do not affect their status as passing.

Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, some students in the 2017 Cohort who had not previously sat for the exam but were scheduled to sit for this exam during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam as well as the percentage of students passing among the students who sat for the exam.

## Results

DREAM achieved this high school social studies metric. All students in the 2017 accountability cohort who took the NYS Regents exam in Global History earned at least a 65 of the after four years in high school.

| Global History Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65 by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort Designation | Fourth Year | Number in Cohort (a) | Number Exempted with No Valid Score (b) | Number Passing with at Least a 65 <br> (c) | Percent Passing Among Students with Valid Score (c)/(a-b) |
| 2017 | 2020-21 | 96 | 15 | 81 | 100\% |

## Additional Evidence

Both students in the 2018 accountability cohort who took the exam in Global History earned at least a performance level of 3 .

## Global History Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2017 | 97 | $82 \%$ | 96 <br> 81 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2018 | 96 | Exempt | 96 <br> 2 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2019 | 104 | N/A | 103 <br> 0 Tested | Exempt |
| 2020 |  |  | 99 <br> 0 Tested | Exempt |

## Goal 6: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort passing the Regents Global History exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district of comparison.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21

## GOAL 7: ESSA

Due to COVID-19 and the subsequent changes to the state's testing, accountability, and federal reporting requirements, the 2020-21 school accountability statuses are the same as those assigned for the 2019-20 school year. The 2019-20 accountability statuses were based on 2018-19 exam results. Assigned accountability designations and further context can be found here.

## Goal 7: Absolute Measure

Under the state's ESSA accountability system, the school is in good standing: the state has not identified the school for comprehensive or targeted improvement.

## Method

Because all students are expected to meet the state's performance standards, the federal statute stipulates that various sub-populations and demographic categories of students among all tested students must meet the state standard in and of themselves aside from the overall school results. As New York State, like all states, is required to establish a specific system for making these determinations for its public schools, charter schools do not have latitude in establishing their own performance levels or criteria of success for meeting the ESSA accountability requirements. Each year, the state issues School Report Cards that indicate a school's status under the state accountability system.

Results and evaluation
DREAM CS continues to be in good standing year after year, therefore achieved this measure. New York State has not identified the school for comprehensive or targeted improvement.

Accountability Status by Year

| Year | Status |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2018-19$ | Good Standing |
| $2019-20$ | Good Standing |
| $2020-21$ | Good Standing |

## TEMPLATE TABS

1- GRAY tab contains the Instructions

| Instructions | Provides description of tabs and input requirements. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funding by District | Charter School Tuition Rates |

2- BLUE tabs require input of information

| 1.) Name of School | >Select school name from list. $>$ Enter contact information. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2.) Enrollment | Enter enrollment information for Annual Budget (\& Revisions) and Quarterly <br> Actuals. Includes: <br> >Enrollment by Grade <br> >Fnrollment by District |
| 3.) Staffina Plan | Enter staffing plan information for Annual Budget (\& Revisions) and Quarterly Actuals. Includes: <br> >Full Time Equivalent (FTE), by Position Category, By Quarter <br> >"Prior Year" column may initially be completed based upon preliminary data, and subsequently adjusted with Annual Audited data when the Ouarter 2 Actuals are being submitted. |
| 4.) Yearly Budget | Enter Yearly Budget information. Includes: <br> >"Prior Year" column may initially be completed based upon preliminary data, and subsequently adjusted with Annual Audited data when the Quarter 2 Actuals are being submitted. (Note: Quarterly Revenue allocation may be set) <br> >Budgeted Enrollment data and Per Pupil Revenue for the current year are populated based upon input on tab "2.) Enrollment." <br> >Budgeted FTE for current year is populated based upon input on tab "3.) Staffing Plan." <br> >All other sources of revenue <br> >All expenses <br> >Budget Revisions, as necessary and approved by the school's Board of |
| 5.) Balance Sheet | Enter Balance Sheet information for EdCorps. Separate schools merged into a primary EdCorp should NOT use this tab. <br> >"Prior Year" column may be initially completed based upon preliminary data, and subsequently adjusted with Annual Audited data when the <br> Ouarter 2 Actuals are heino submitted |
| 6.) Quarterly Report | Enter Actual Quarterly Report information. Includes: <br> >Actual Enrollment data and Per Pupil Revenue for the current year are populated based upon input on tab "2.) Enrollment." <br> >Actual FTE for current year is populated based upon input on tab <br> "3.) Staffing Plan." <br> >All other sources of revenue <br> >All exnenses |
| 7.) Annual Report Requirement | Complete when submitting Actual Quarter 4. |

## CELL COLORS \& GUIDANCE COMMENTS

[^6][^7]
ANNUAL BUDGET \& QUARTERLY REPORT TEMPLATE
DREAM Charter School

DREAM CHARTER SCHOOL


| ACTUAL QUARTERLY |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| TOTAL DISTRICTS/ENROLLMENT |  |  |  |
| QUARTER 1 | QUARTER 2 | QUARTER 3 |  |
| QUARTER 4 |  |  |  |


| QUARTER 1 | QUARTER 2 | QUARLR | Actual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual | Actual | Actual | An |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |





|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| ACTUL ENROLLMENT BY QUARTER |  |  |  |  |
| QUARTER 1 | QUARTER 2 | QUARTER 3 | QUARTER 4 |  |






| actual enrolmment by quarter |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QUARTER 1 | QUARTER 2 | QUARTER 3 | qua |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actual } \\ & \text { Enrollment } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Enrollment } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Enrollment } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ \text { Enrollment } \end{gathered}$ |

$\underset{\substack{\text { dream Charter school } \\ 2021-22}}{ }$
STAFFING PLAN FULL TIME EQUIVALENT( FTE )



 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |




DREAM CHARTER SCHOOL

DREAM CHARTER SCHOOL









DREAM CHARTER SCHOOL
 BALANCE SHEET

| BALANCE SHEET |
| :--- |
| 2021-22 |
| Prior Year |
| $2020-21$ |



| Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As of $9 / 30$ | As of $12 / 31$ | As of $3 / 31$ | As of $6 / 30$ |



## PROPERTY, BUILDING AND EQUIPMENT, net

## OTHER ASSETS

## CURRENT LIABILITIES

TOTAL ASSETS
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS
CURRENT ASSETS
Cash and cash equivale ivable
Accounts receivables
Prepaid Expenses
Contributions and other receivables
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS
Accounts payable and accrued expenses
Accrued payroll and benefits
Deferred Revenue
Current maturities of long-term debt
Short Term Debt - Bonds, Notes Payable Other
NET ASSETS
Temporarily restricted
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# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT 

## The Board of Trustees of

 Dream Charter School
## Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Dream Charter School (the "School"), which comprise the statements of financial position as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the related statements of activities, functional expenses and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

## Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the School as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information (shown on pages $15-16$ ) is presented for purposes of additional analysis of the financial statements and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audits of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, based on our audits, the supplementary information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated October 28, 2021, on our consideration of the School's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the School's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

New York, NY
October 28, 2021
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The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.
DREAM CHARTER SCHOOL
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020


[^8]PUBLIC SUPPORT AND REVENUE: Public school district: (Notes 2E and 11A)
Tuition - general enrollment
Tuition - students with disabilities
Subtotal public school district revenue Public school district: (Notes 2E and 11A)
Tuition - general enrollment
Tuition - students with disabilities
Subtotal public school district revenue
Government grants (Note 2E)
Contributions (Note 2F)
Donated services and facilities (Note 21 and 8 )
Interest and investment income
Donated services and facilities (Note 21 and 8)
Interest and investment income
Forgiveness of Paycheck Protection Program (Note 7)
Other
Net assets released from restrictions (Note 10)
TOTAL PUBLIC SUPPORT AND REVENUE EXPENSES (Note 2 H ):
Program services:
General education
Special education
Total program services
Supporting services:
Management and general
Fundraising
Total supporting services
TOTAL EXPENSES
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS
Net Assets - Beginning of Year
NET ASSETS - END OF YEAR

| 뀽 N్స్ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oo } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathcal{I}} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



|  | Program Services |  |  |  |  | Supporting Services |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 2020 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General <br> Education | Special <br> Education |  | Total <br> Program <br> Services |  | ement and General |  | draising |  | Total upporting Services |  |  |
| Salaries and wages <br> Fringe benefits and payroll taxes (Note 12) | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,051,920 \\ & 1,505,341 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,697,453 \\ 570,204 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,749,373 \\ 2,075,545 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 894,293 \\ 205,276 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ |  | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 894,293 \\ 205,276 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,643,666 \\ 2,280,821 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total Salaries and Related Costs |  | 8,557,261 | 3,267,657 |  | 11,824,918 |  | 1,099,569 |  | - |  | 1,099,569 |  | 12,924,487 |
| Instructors and tutors |  | 39,161 | 14,484 |  | 53,645 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 53,645 |
| Classroom supplies |  | 203,732 | 75,353 |  | 279,085 |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | 279,085 |
| Program food and events |  | 26,484 | 9,765 |  | 36,249 |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | 36,249 |
| Other student expenses |  | 256,596 | 94,906 |  | 351,502 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | 351,502 |
| Contractual services (Note 8) |  | 784,422 | 290,129 |  | 1,074,551 |  | 2,332,128 |  | 411,552 |  | 2,743,680 |  | 3,818,231 |
| Consulting and professional |  | 379,347 | 126,449 |  | 505,796 |  | 21,009 |  | - |  | 21,009 |  | 526,805 |
| Telephone and internet |  | 2,699 | 998 |  | 3,697 |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | 3,697 |
| Communication and outreach |  | - | - |  | - |  | 34,905 |  | - |  | 34,905 |  | 34,905 |
| Professional development |  | 153,340 | 58,084 |  | 211,424 |  | 20,910 |  |  |  | 20,910 |  | 232,334 |
| Office and administration |  | 170,996 | 64,772 |  | 235,768 |  | 23,345 |  | - |  | 23,345 |  | 259,113 |
| Repairs and maintenance |  | 12,586 | 4,753 |  | 17,339 |  | 1,508 |  |  |  | 1,508 |  | 18,847 |
| Dues and publications |  | 28,700 | 10,871 |  | 39,571 |  | 3,914 |  | - |  | 3,914 |  | 43,485 |
| Donated facilities (Note 21 and 8) |  | 1,273,594 | 471,056 |  | 1,744,650 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,744,650 |
| Depreciation (Note 6) |  | 5,190 | 1,966 |  | 7,156 |  | 708 |  | - |  | 708 |  | 7,864 |
| Occupancy (Note 8) |  | 955,935 | 353,565 |  | 1,309,500 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,309,500 |
| Miscellaneous |  | -- | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL EXPENSES |  | 12,850,043 | \$ 4,844,808 | \$ | 17,694,851 | \$ | 3,537,996 | \$ | 411,552 | \$ | 3,949,548 | \$ | 21,644,399 |

## DREAM CHARTER SCHOOL <br> STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS <br> FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020



DREAM CHARTER SCHOOL NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020

## NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND NATURE OF ACTIVITIES

DREAM Charter School (the "School") is a charter school operating in New York City. The School's mission is to prepare students for high-performing high schools, colleges and beyond through a rigorous academic program that develops critical thinkers who demonstrate a love of learning, strong character and a commitment to wellness and active citizenship. The School inspires all students to recognize their potential and realize their dreams. The School hopes to achieve these overarching goals through its pioneering, experiential-based educational program. This educational program is based on four foundations: (1) an innovative curriculum emphasizing integration across subject areas and learning through experimentation; (2) an extended day and year model, to maximize instructional hours; (3) a co-teaching model that reduces the teacher-to-student ratio and integrates special needs students into the general population and (4) active family engagement as a cornerstone of the school's overall culture and philosophy. This program is designed to set high standards for achievement and prepare students for high-performing high schools and colleges.

The School opened in September 2008 with 50 kindergarteners and 50 first graders. It currently serves 1053 youth in grades PreK-11th grade and serves youth in East Harlem and Mott Haven. Distinguishing features of the School include an inclusion method of co-teaching teams within each classroom and a Coordinated School Health Program as a fundamental component of the overall curriculum, culture and educational philosophy of the School. The ultimate goal of the School is to create a successful community-based education program for the youth of East Harlem.

The School is supported by its institutional partner, Harlem RBI d/b/a DREAM ("DREAM"), a youth development organization located in East Harlem, New York. DREAM brings the expertise of its Board of Directors, executive leadership and its development, finance and operations teams to bear on the School's needs. Three members of DREAM's Board of Directors serve on the School's Board of Trustees.

Effective October 5, 2018, the School was granted it's renewal and approval for the establishment of the DREAM Charter Schools Mott Haven and Highbridge by the SUNY Charter Schools Institute, The State of New York.

The School is organized under the Not-For-Profit Corporation Law of the State of New York and is exempt from income taxes under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

## NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Basis of Accounting - The School prepares its financial statements using the accrual basis of accounting. The School adheres to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP").
B. Basis of Presentation - The School reports information regarding its financial position and activities in two classes of net assets:

Without donor restrictions - Net assets that can be spent at the discretion of the School and have no associated donor-imposed stipulations.

With donor restrictions - Net assets that are restricted by the donor for a specific time period or purpose. The School did not have any net assets with donor restrictions to be held in perpetuity as of June 30, 2021 and 2020.
C. Cash and Cash Equivalents - Cash equivalents include all highly liquid instruments purchased with maturities of 90 days or less.

DREAM CHARTER SCHOOL NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020

## NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

D. Contributions and Grants - Contributions and grants received, including unconditional promises to give, are recognized as revenues in the appropriate category of net assets in the period received. Conditional promises to give are recognized when they become unconditional, that is, when the conditions are substantially met. All contributions and grants receivable are expected to be collected within two years.

Government grants and contracts are nonexchange transactions and accounted for under ASU 2018-08. Grants and contracts are recognized as revenue when barriers within the contract are overcome, and there is no right of return/ release from obligation.
E. Government Support - State and local per pupil revenue resulting from the School's charter status and based on the number of students enrolled is recorded when services are performed in accordance with the charter agreement.

Performance obligations are determined based on the nature of the services provided by the School in accordance with the contract. Revenue for performance obligations satisfied over time is recognized as the services are provided. This method depicts the transfer of services over the term of the performance obligation based on the inputs needed to satisfy the obligation. The School measures the performance obligation from the beginning of the next month or day to the point when it is no longer required to provide services under the contract or has met the requirements to bill for the services provided, which is generally at the end of each month or period of time allowed based on the government agencies' stipulations.

The School's performance obligations are primarily satisfied over time during the course of an academic year, therefore, there are no performance obligations or contract balances that are unsatisfied as of June 30, 2021 and 2020. The performance obligations for these contracts are completed when the service is completed and upon submission of required documentation. The School determines the transaction price based on established per pupil reimbursement rate.

Revenue from federal, state and local government grants and contracts is recorded by the School when qualifying expenditures are incurred and billable. Funds received in advance for which expenditures have not been incurred are reflected as refundable advances in the accompanying statements of financial position. Governmental grants are recognized as revenue when barriers within the contract are overcome and there is no longer a right of return. As of June 30, 2021 and 2020, the School received conditional grants and contracts from government agencies in the aggregate amount of approximately $\$ 460,600$ and $\$ 0$, respectively, that have not been recorded in the accompanying financial statements as they have not been earned. These grants and contracts require the School to provide certain services as specified in the contracts. If such services are not provided, the governmental entities are not obligated to expend the funds allotted under the grants and contracts and the School may be required to return the funds already remitted. Grants and contracts amounted to $\$ 6,080,950$ and $\$ 2,930,652$ for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, respectively.
F. Grants and Contributions Receivable - Grants and contributions receivable are stated at the amount management expects to collect from outstanding balances. The School may provide an allowance for doubtful accounts for receivables. This estimate is based on management's assessment of the aged basis of its government funding sources, current economic conditions and creditworthiness of its donors and grantors. The School determined that no allowance for doubtful accounts was necessary as of June 30, 2021 and 2020.
G. Property and Equipment - The School capitalizes property and equipment having a cost of \$1,000 or more and a useful life of at least one year. Depreciation is recognized using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets.

DREAM CHARTER SCHOOL<br>NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS<br>JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020

## NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

H. Functional Allocation of Expenses - The School allocates expenses on a functional basis among its programs and supporting services. Expenses that can be identified as belonging to a specific program and/or support service are allocated directly according to their natural expense classification. Salaries and fringe benefits and payroll taxes are allocated based on estimates of time and effort. Other expenses are directly allocated.
I. Donated Services and Facilities - Donated services are recognized at fair value if they create or enhance non-financial assets or require specialized skills, are provided by individuals possessing those skills and would typically need to be purchased if not provided in-kind. For each of the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, the School received donated use of services and facilities amounting to $\$ 1,744,650$, which is recorded as both revenue and expense in the accompanying financial statements.
J. Deferred Rent - As further described in Note 8, the School has lease agreements for the rental of space for a high school and elementary school. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, the School recorded an adjustment to rent expense to reflect the difference between the rent paid and the average rent to be paid over the terms of the lease. This straight-lining of rent expense resulted in an decrease in occupancy expenses of $\$ 157,500$ for the year ended June 30, 2021 and a decrease of $\$ 115,500$ for the year ended June 30, 2020. This adjustment is reflected as deferred rent in the accompanying statements of financial position.
K. Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingencies at the date of the financial statements, and revenues and expenses recognized during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.
L. Recent Accounting Pronouncements - Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") FASB ASU 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers" (Topic 606) was adopted by the School for the year ended June 30, 2021. The core guidance in ASU 2014-09 is to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those services.

## NOTE 3 - LIQUIDITY AND AVAILABILITY OF RESOURCES TO MEET GENERAL EXPENDITURES

Financial assets as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, available for general expenditure, within one year of the statement of financial position date, without donor or other restrictions limiting their use, were as follows:

|  | 2021 |  | 2020 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 8,233,772 | \$ | 5,051,733 |
| Government grants receivable |  | 1,575,728 |  | 640,000 |
| Contributions receivable, net |  | 396,078 |  | 859,562 |
| Total financial assets |  | 10,205,578 |  | 6,551,295 |
| Less: net assets with donor restrictions |  | $(400,000)$ |  | $(719,812)$ |
|  | \$ | 9,805,578 | \$ | 5,831,483 |

The School's management monitors levels of available financial assets to anticipate cash requirements for general expenditures as obligations becomes due. As part of the Schools's liquidity management plan, the School invests cash in excess of daily requirements in short-term money market accounts. In addition, the School has access to a line of credit of \$1,000,000.

# DREAM CHARTER SCHOOL <br> NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020 

## NOTE 4 - RESTRICTED CASH

The New York City Department of Education (the "NYCDOE") requires the School to maintain funds in a separate cash account to have funds available to ensure an orderly liquidation, dissolution or transition process if the School's charter were to be terminated or the School was closed for other reasons. Restricted cash amounted to $\$ 150,000$ as of both June 30, 2021 and 2020.

## NOTE 5 - CONTRIBUTIONS RECEIVABLE

Contributions receivable consisted of the following as of June 30:

|  | 2021 |  | 2020 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Due within 1 year | \$ | 199,755 | \$ | 485,540 |
| Due within 1 to 5 years |  | 200,245 |  | 385,710 |
| Present value discount at 2.00\% |  | $\begin{array}{r} 400,000 \\ (3,922) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 871,250 \\ & (11,688) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | \$ | 396,078 |  | 859,562 |

$100 \%$ of contributions receivable as of June 30, 2021 and 2020 are from two donors.

## NOTE 6 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consisted of the following as of June 30:

|  |  |  |  | Estimated <br>  <br>  <br> Equipment and computers |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Useful Lives |  |  |  |  |  |

Depreciation expense amounted to $\$ 4,749$ and $\$ 7,864$ for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

## NOTE 7 - PAYCHECK PROTECTION PROGRAM LOAN PAYABLE

On March 27, 2020, in response to COVID-19, the federal government passed the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act ("CARES Act"). Among many other provisions, to help businesses retain employees, the CARES Act provides relief to qualifying businesses through a program called the Paycheck Protection Program "PPP"). Participating in the PPP enables the business to obtain a loan from the Small Business Administration ("SBA") sector of the government. If the proceeds from the loan are used for specified purposes, some or all of the loan can be forgiven. The term of the loan is two years which may be further extended to five years, and the loan bears interest at a fixed rate of $1 \%$ per annum. If the proceeds from the loan are used for specified purposes, some or all of the loan can be forgiven. The School applied for this loan through an SBA authorized lender and received \$2,213,946, in April 2020.

DREAM CHARTER SCHOOL NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020

## NOTE 7 - PAYCHECK PROTECTION PROGRAM LOAN PAYABLE (Continued)

The School recognized the loan in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 470, Accordingly, the proceeds of the PPP loan were recognized as loans payable on the accompanying statement of financial position as of June 30, 2021 and the School will derecognize the liability when and to the extent that the loan is forgiven or paid off.

In June 2021, the loan and the related accrued interest in the amounts of \$2,213,946 and \$25,276 respectively, were forgiven in whole. The total gain on forgiveness recognized in the amount of $\$ 2,239,222$, is presented on the statement of activities for the year ended June 30, 2021.

## NOTE 8 - RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The School has an Institutional Partnership Agreement (the "Agreement") with DREAM, which has common management. The Agreement serves as the foundation of the governance relationship between the School and DREAM and describes the exact nature and costs of DREAM's executive management and back office services to the School. Both the School's Board of Trustees and DREAM's Board of Directors have the option of severing the relationship between the two entities with agreed-upon notice, though the spirit of the partnership is unending. The Agreement is renewed annually by the Board of each entity. During the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, services provided and recognized as expense by the School under the Agreement amounted to $\$ 5,151,313$ and $\$ 3,818,231$, respectively.

As of June 30, 2021 and 2020, amounts due to DREAM from the School amounted to $\$ 536,905$ and $\$ 347,582$, respectively.

The School received the use of facilities without charge from DREAM, which was valued at approximately \$1,745,000 for each of the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020.

During April 2017, DREAM entered into a lease agreement with a landlord and subsequently entered into a sublease agreement to lease the same space to the School for use as a new high school. During June 2019, DREAM entered into a lease agreement with a landlord and subsequently entered into a sublease agreement to lease the same space to the School for use as an elementary school. Rent expense amounted to $\$ 2,562,131$ and $\$ 1,309,500$ for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 , respectively. Approximate future annual minimum rentals related to the lease are as follows for the years ended subsequent to June 30, 2021:


## NOTE 9 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

A. The School believes it had no uncertain tax positions as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 740, "Income Taxes," which provides standards for establishing and classifying any tax provisions for uncertain tax positions.
B. Certain grants and contracts may be subject to audit by funding sources. Such audits might result in disallowances of costs submitted for reimbursement by the School. Management is of the opinion that such disallowances, if any, will not have a material effect on the accompanying financial statements. Accordingly, no amounts have been provided in the accompanying financial statements for such potential claims.
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## NOTE 9 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

C. In March 2020, the World Health Organization declared the outbreak of a novel coronavirus ("COVID19") as a pandemic. COVID-19 disrupted activities of the School during the year ended June 30, 2021. The extent of the impact of any epidemic, pandemic or other health crisis on the School's mission, financial condition and results of operations will depend on future developments, accordingly, the School cannot predict the extent to which its financial condition and results of operations will be affected. The School continues to monitor evolving economic and business conditions and the actual and potential impacts of COVID-19 on the School.
D. The School had a line of credit with a bank, which has a variable interest equal to The Wall Street Journal Prime Rate, which is adjusted monthly. The line of credit expires on September 23, 2022. There were no amounts outstanding as of June 30, 2021. Subsequent to year end through October 28, 2021, there were no draws on the line of credit.

## NOTE 10 - NET ASSETS WITH DONOR RESTRICTIONS

Net assets with donor restrictions were available for the following purposes as of June 30:

|  | 2021 |  | 2020 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  | 200,000 |  |

During the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, the School met donor restrictions and released net assets with donor restrictions of $\$ 323,734$ and $\$ 567,438$, respectively.

## NOTE 11 - CONCENTRATIONS

A. The School receives a majority of its revenues from the New York State Education Department through the NYCDOE Office of Schools. The NYCDOE provides general operating support to the School based upon the location and the number of students enrolled. Funding from the NYCDOE amounted to approximately $65 \%$ and $76 \%$ of total revenue for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, respectively. The School is dependent upon this level of funding in order to continue its operations.
B. Cash accounts that potentially subject the School to a concentration of credit risk include cash accounts with two banks that exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") insurance limits. Cash accounts are insured up to $\$ 250,000$ per depositor. As of June 30, 2021 and 2020, there was approximately $\$ 7,914,000$ and $\$ 4,022,000$, respectively, of cash and cash equivalents held by two banks that exceeded FDIC limits.

## NOTE 12 - PENSION PLAN

The School has a tax deferred 403(b) retirement plan. All employees may participate by designating a percentage of their salaries, subject to regulatory limits, to be contributed to the plan on a pre-tax basis. During each of the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, the School contributed a $4 \%$ match for qualified participating staff members with one or more years of service. Employer contributions totaled $\$ 181,423$ and \$163,973 for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, respectively.

## NOTE 13 - PROGRAM RELATED INVESTMENT PAYABLE

On May 4, 2020, the School obtained a program related investment in the amount of $\$ 150,000$ from the Charter School Growth Fund. The balance is due back on November 30, 2021 and bears no interest.

## NOTE 14 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Management has evaluated, for potential recognition and disclosure, events subsequent to the date of the statement of financial position through October 28, 2021, the date the financial statements were available to be issued.
DREAM CHARTER SCHOOL
STATEMENT OF ACTVITIES - BY SCHOOL
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30,2021

| Mott Haven School |  |  | East Harlem School |  |  |  | Highbridge School |  |  | Tota |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Without Dono Restrictions | With Donor Restrictions | Total | Without Donor Restrictions | With Donor Restrictions |  | Total | Without Donor Restrictions | With Donor Restrictions |  | hout Donor estrictions | With Donor Restrictions |  | tal 2021 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2,012,553 \\ 297,337 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ - | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,012,553 \\ -\quad 297,337 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14,377,863 \\ 3,979,233 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$ - | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,377,863 \\ 3,979,233 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ . | \$ - | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,390,416 \\ 4,276,570 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ - | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,390,416 \\ 4,276,570 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 2,309,890 | - | 2,309,890 | 18,357,096 |  |  | 18,357,096 |  | - |  | 20,666,986 | - |  | 20,666,986 |
| 1,406,665 | - | 1,406,665 | 3,884,885 |  |  | 3,884,885 | 789,400 |  |  | 6,080,950 |  |  | 6,080,950 |
| 325,000 | - | 325,000 | 642,157 | 3,922 |  | 646,079 |  |  |  | 967,157 | 3,922 |  | 971,079 |
| - | - | - | 1,744,650 | - |  | 1,744,650 | - | - |  | 1,744,650 | - |  | 1,744,650 |
| - | - | - | 17,377 |  |  | 17,377 |  |  |  | 17,377 |  |  | 17,377 |
| - | - | - | 2,239,222 |  |  | 2,239,222 |  | - |  | 2,239,222 | - |  | 2,239,222 |
| - | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 1,874 \\ 323,734 \end{array}$ | $(323,734)$ |  | 1,874 |  | - |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,874 \\ 323,734 \end{array}$ | $(323,734)$ |  | 1,874 |
| 4,041,555 |  | 4,041,555 | 27,210,995 | $(319,812)$ |  | 26,891,183 | 789,400 |  |  | 32,041,950 | (319,812) |  | 31,722,138 |
| $2,120,417$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 2,120,417 \\ 504,072 \end{array}$ | $14,172,609$ $5,450,446$ | - |  | $14,172,609$ |  | - | - | 16,293,026 | - |  | $16,293,026$ |
| 2,624,489 |  | 2,624,489 | 19,623,055 |  |  | 19,623,055- | - |  |  | 22,247,544 |  |  | 22,247,544 |
| $168,707$ | - | 168,707 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,672,485 \\ 412,697 \end{array}$ | . |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,672,485 \\ 412,697- \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 789,400 | - |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,630,592 \\ 412,697 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,630,592 \\ 412,697 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 168,707 |  | 168,707 | 3,085,182 |  |  | 3,085,182 | 789,400 |  |  | 4,043,289 |  |  | 4,043,289 |
| 2,793,196 |  | 2,793,196 | 22,708,237 |  |  | 22,708,237 | 789,400 |  |  | 26,290,833 |  |  | 26,290,833 |
| 1,248,359 | - | 1,248,359 | 4,502,758 | $(319,812)$ |  | 4,182,946 | - | - |  | 5,751,117 | (319,812) |  | 5,431,305 |
| 127,125 |  | 127,125 | 2,847,837 | 719,812 |  | 3,567,649 | - |  |  | 2,974,962 | 719,812 |  | 3,694,774 |
| \$ 1,375,484 | $\$$ | \$ 1,375,484 | \$ 7,350,595 | \$ 400,000 |  | 7,750,595 | -\$ - |  | \$ | 8,726,079 | \$ 400,000 | \$ | 9,126,079 |


| Mott Haven School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | East Harlem School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Services |  |  |  | Supporting Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Program Services |  |  |  | Supporting Services |  |  |  |  |  | Total Supporting Services |  | Total |  |
| General Education |  | Special Education |  | Total <br> Program <br> Services |  | Management and General |  | Fundraising |  | Total Supporting Services |  |  | Total | General Education |  | Special Education |  | Total Program Services |  | ManagementandGeneral |  | Fundraising |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,017,788 \\ 236,248 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 268,097 \\ 61,489 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,285,885 \\ -297,737 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 116,237 \\ 25,890 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | - | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 116,237 \\ 25,890 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,402,122 \\ 323,627 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7,213,567 } \\ & 1,603,153 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,745,640 \\ 622,119 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,959,207 \\ 2,225,272 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 786,583 \\ 167,494 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | - | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 786,583 \\ 167,494 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,745,790 \\ 2,392,766 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | 1,254,036 |  | 329,586 |  | 1,583,622 |  | 142,127 |  | - |  | 142,127 |  | 1,725,749 |  | 8,816,720 |  | 3,367,759 |  | 12,184,479 |  | 954,077 |  | - |  | 954,077 |  | 13,138,556 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 79,565 |  | 30,942 |  | 110,507 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 110,507 |
|  | 29,533 |  | 5,625 |  | 35,158 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 35,158 |  | 192,082 |  | 74,698 |  | 266,780 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 266,780 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 34,111 |  | 13,265 |  | 47,376 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 47,376 |
|  | 18,877 |  | 3,596 |  | 22,473 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 22,473 |  | 91,360 |  | 35,529 |  | 126,889 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 126,889 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,728,000 |  | 672,000 |  | 2,400,000 |  | 1,549,216 |  | 412,697 |  | 1,961,913 |  | 4,361,913 |
|  | 77,934 |  | 14,845 |  | 92,779 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 92,779 |  | 457,025 |  | 177,732 |  | 634,757 |  | 68,339 |  | - |  | 68,339 |  | 703,096 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,981 |  | 770 |  | 2,751 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,751 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 11,008 |  | - |  | 11,008 |  | 11,008 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 47,784 |  | - |  | 47,784 |  | 47,784 |
|  | 36,455 |  | 9,488 |  | 45,943 |  | 3,995 |  | - |  | 3,995 |  | 49,938 |  | 58,956 |  | 22,878 |  | 81,834 |  | 6,160 |  | - |  | 6,160 |  | 87,994 |
|  | 99,038 |  | 25,777 |  | 124,815 |  | 11,018 |  | - |  | 11,018 |  | 135,833 |  | 106,973 |  | 41,512 |  | 148,485 |  | 11,856 |  | - |  | 11,856 |  | 160,341 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 129 |  | 50 |  | 179 |  | 10 |  | - |  | 10 |  | 189 |
|  | 54 |  | 14 |  | 68 |  | 6 |  | - |  | 6 |  | 74 |  | 19,717 |  | 7,651 |  | 27,368 |  | 2,060 |  | - |  | 2,060 |  | 29,428 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,256,148 |  | 488,502 |  | 1,744,650 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,744,650 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | . |  | - |  | 3,182 |  | 1,235 |  | 4,417 |  | 332 |  | - |  | 332 |  | 4,749 |
|  | 604,490 |  | 115,141 |  | 719,631 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 719,631 |  | 1,326,600 |  | 515,900 |  | 1,842,500 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,842,500 |
|  | -- |  |  |  | - |  | 553 |  |  |  | 553 |  | 553 |  | 60 |  | 23 |  | - 83 |  | 32,651 |  |  |  | 32,651 |  | 32,734 |
| \$ | 2,120,417 | \$ | 504,072 |  | - $2,624,489$ | \$ | 168,707 | \$ |  |  | 168,707 |  | 2,793,196 | \$ | 14,172,609 | \$ | 5,450,446 |  | 19,623,055 | \$ | 2,672,485 | \$ | 412,697 | \$ | 3,085,182 | \$ | 22,708,237 |

Salaries and wages
Fringe benefits and payroll taxes (Note 12) Total Salaries and Related Costs Instructors and tutors
Classroom supplies
Program food and events
Other student expenses Contractual services (Note 8)
Consulting and professional Telephone and internet
Communication and outreach Communication and outreach
Professional development
Office and administration Office and administration
Repairs and maintenance Dues and publications
Donated facilities (Note 21 and 8)
Depreciation (Note 6)
Occupancy (Note 8) total expenses


# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS 

To the Board of Trustees of<br>Dream Charter School

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Dream Charter School (the "School"), which comprise the statement of financial position as of June 30, 2021, and the related statements of activities, functional expenses and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 28, 2021.

## Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the School's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the School's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the School's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

## Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the School's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

## Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the School's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the School's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

New York, NY
October 28, 2021

Charter Schools Institute
The State University of New York

Transmittal Form
Annual Financial Statement Audit Report
for SUNY Authorized Charter Schools

| Charter School Name: | DREAM Charter School |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Audit Period: | $2020-21$ |  |  |  |
| Prior Period: | $2019-20$ |  |  |  |
| Report Due Date: | Monday, November 1, 2021 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| School Fiscal Contact Name: | James DiCosmo |  |  |  |
| School Fiscal Contact Email: |  |  |  |  |
| School Fiscal Contact Phone: |  |  |  |  |
| School Audit Firm Name: | Marks Paneth LLP |  |  |  |
| School Audit Contact Name: | Matthew Estersohn |  |  |  |
| School Audit Contact Email: |  |  |  |  |
| School Audit Contact Phone: |  |  |  |  |

## SUNY CHARTER SCHOOLS INSTITUTE - Reporting Requirements:

$$
\text { Online Portal: } \quad \text { httos://my.epicenternow.ord/ }
$$

## Required 8 Items:

1) The independent auditor's report on financial statements and notes;
2) Excel template file with appropriate sheets completed: Financial Position, Statement of Activities, Cash Flow and Functional Expenses worksheets; and
3) Reports on internal controls over financial reporting and on compliance.

And, if applicable:
The additional items listed below should be included if applicable. Please explain the reason(s) if the items are not included. Examples might include: a written management letter was not issued; the school did not expend federal funds in excess of the Single Audit Threshold of $\$ 750,000$; the management letter response will be submitted by the following date (should be no later than 30 days from the submission of the report); etc. If not applicable enter "N/A."

|  |  | If not included, state the reason(s) below. Or, if not applicable fill in "N/A"): |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4) | Management Letter |  |
| 5) | Management Letter Response |  |
| 6) | Form 990; or Extension Form 8868 |  |
| 7) | Federal Single Audit/ Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Part 200, Subpart F |  |
| 8) | Corrective Action Plan |  |

DREAM CHARTER SCHOOL
Statement of Financial Position
as of June 30, 2021

| ASSETS | 2020-21 |  | 2019-20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CURRENT ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 8,383,772 | \$ | 5,201,733 |
| Grants and contracts receivable |  | 1,971,806 |  | 640,000 |
| Accounts receivables |  | - |  | - |
| Prepaid expenses |  | 34,553 |  | 200,288 |
| Contributions and other receivables |  | - |  | 859,562 |
| TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS |  | 10,390,131 |  | 6,901,583 |
| PROPERTY, BUILDING AND EQUIPMENT, net |  | 9,911 |  | 14,660 |
| OTHER ASSETS |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL ASSETS |  | 10,400,042 |  | 6,916,243 |
| LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ | 277,308 | \$ | 42,691 |
| Accrued payroll and benefits |  | - |  | - |
| Deferred Revenue |  | - |  | - |
| Current maturities of long-term debt |  | - |  | - |
| Short Term Debt - Bonds, Notes Payable |  | - |  | - |
| Other |  | 686,905 |  | 2,711,528 |
| TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES |  | 964,213 |  | 2,754,219 |
| LONG-TERM LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred Rent |  | 309,750 |  | 467,250 |
| All other long-term debt and notes payable, net current maturities |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL LONG-TERM LIABILITIES |  | 309,750 |  | 467,250 |
| total liabilities |  | 1,273,963 |  | 3,221,469 |
| NET ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Without Donor Restrictions |  | 8,726,079 |  | 2,974,962 |
| With Donor Ristrictions |  | 400,000 |  | 719,812 |
| TOTAL NET ASSETS |  | 9,126,079 |  | 3,694,774 |
| total liabilities and net |  |  |  |  |
| ASSETS |  | 10,400,042 |  | 6,916,243 |


| DREAM CHARTER SCHOOL <br> Statement of Activities as of June 30, 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2020-21 |  |  |  |  |  | 2019-20 |  |
|  | Without Donor Restrictions |  | With Donor Restrictions |  | Total |  | Total |  |
| REVENUE, GAINS AND OTHER SUPPORT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public School District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resident Student Enrollment | \$ | 14,377,863 | \$ | - | \$ | 14,377,863 | \$ | 12,736,638 |
| Students with disabilities |  | 3,979,233 |  | - |  | 3,979,233 |  | 3,190,476 |
| Grants and Contracts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State and local |  | 457,528 |  | - |  | 457,528 |  | 2,077,505 |
| Federal - Title and IDEA |  | 566,979 |  | - |  | 566,979 |  | 565,179 |
| Federal - Other |  | 287,521 |  | - |  | 287,521 |  | - |
| Other |  | 2,277,155 |  | - |  | 2,277,155 |  | - |
| NYC DoE Rental Assistance |  | 1,898,604 |  | - |  | 1,898,604 |  | 1,744,650 |
| Food Service/Child Nutrition Program |  | 636,319 |  | - |  | 636,319 |  | - |
| TOTAL REVENUE, GAINS AND OTHER SUPPORT |  | 24,481,203 |  | - |  | 24,481,203 |  | 20,314,448 |
| EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Program Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regular Education | \$ | 14,172,609 | \$ | - | \$ | 14,172,609 | \$ | 12,120,238 |
| Special Education |  | 5,450,446 |  | - |  | 5,450,446 |  | 4,554,099 |
| Other Programs |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Program Services |  | 19,623,055 |  | - |  | 19,623,055 |  | 16,674,337 |
| Management and general |  | 2,672,485 |  | - |  | 2,672,485 |  | 3,372,418 |
| Fundraising |  | 412,697 |  | - |  | 412,697 |  | 411,552 |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES |  | 22,708,237 |  | - |  | 22,708,237 |  | 20,458,307 |
| SURPLUS / (DEFICIT) FROM SCHOOL OPERATIONS |  | 1,772,966 |  | - |  | 1,772,966 |  | $(143,859)$ |
| SUPPORT AND OTHER REVENUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foundations | \$ | 599,042 | \$ | 3,922 | \$ | 602,964 | \$ | 636,015 |
| Individuals |  | 43,115 |  | , |  | 43,115 |  | , |
| Corporations |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Fundraising |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Interest income |  | 17,377 |  | - |  | 17,377 |  | 2,798 |
| Miscellaneous income |  | 1,746,524 |  | - |  | 1,746,524 |  | 29,027 |
| Net assets released from restriction |  | 323,734 |  | $(323,734)$ |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL SUPPORT AND OTHER REVENUE |  | 2,729,792 |  | $(319,812)$ |  | 2,409,980 |  | 667,840 |
| CHANGE IN NET ASSETS |  | 4,502,758 |  | $(319,812)$ |  | 4,182,946 |  | 523,981 |
| NET ASSETS BEGINNING OF YEAR |  | 2,847,837 |  | 719,812 |  | 3,567,649 |  | 3,043,668 |
| PRIOR YEAR/PERIOD ADJUSTMENTS |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| NET ASSETS END OF YEAR | \$ | 7,350,595 | \$ | 400,000 | \$ | 7,750,595 | \$ | 3,567,649 |


| DREAM CHARTER SCHOOL Statement of Cash Flows as of June 30, 2021 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2020-21 |  | 2019-20 |  |
| CASH FLOWS - OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Increase (decrease) in net assets | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Revenues from School Districts |  | - |  | - |
| Accounts Receivable |  | - |  | - |
| Due from School Districts |  | - |  | - |
| Depreciation |  | - |  | - |
| Grants Receivable |  | - |  | - |
| Due from NYS |  | - |  | - |
| Grant revenues |  | - |  | - |
| Prepaid Expenses |  | - |  | - |
| Accounts Payable |  | - |  | - |
| Accrued Expenses |  | - |  | - |
| Accrued Liabilities |  | - |  | - |
| Contributions and fund-raising activities |  | - |  | - |
| Miscellaneous sources |  | - |  | - |
| Deferred Revenue |  | - |  | - |
| Interest payments |  | - |  | - |
| Other |  | - |  | - |
| Other |  | - |  | - |
| NET CASH PROVIDED FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| CASH FLOWS - INVESTING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Purchase of equipment |  | - |  | - |
| Other |  | - |  | - |
| NET CASH PROVIDED FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| CASH FLOWS - FINANCING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Principal payments on long-term debt |  | - |  | - |
| Other |  | - |  | - |
| NET CASH PROVIDED FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Cash at beginning of year |  | - |  | - |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR | \$ | - | \$ | - |


|  |  |  | DREAM CHAR tatement of Fun as of June | RTER SCHOOL ctional Expens $\text { e 30, } 2021$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | -21 |  |  |  |  |  | 019-20 |
|  |  | Program | Services |  |  | Supporting Services |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Management and |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of Positions | Regular Education | Special Education | Other Education | Total | Fund-raising | General | Total |  | Total |  |  |
| Personnel Services Costs | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Administrative Staff Personnel 35.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 786,583 | 786,583 |  | 786,583 |  | 784,752 |
| Instructional Personnel 107.00 | 7,213,567 | 2,745,640 | - | 9,959,207 | - | - | - |  | 9,959,207 |  | 9,015,112 |
| Non-Instructional Personnel | - |  | - | - | - | - - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Total Salaries and Staff 142.00 | 7,213,567 | 2,745,640 | - | 9,959,207 | - | 786,583 | 786,583 |  | 10,745,790 |  | 9,799,864 |
| Fringe Benefits \& Payroll Taxes | 1,603,153 | 622,119 | - | 2,225,272 | - | 167,494 | 167,494 |  | 2,392,766 |  | 2,096,621 |
| Retirement | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Management Company Fees | 1,728,000 | 672,000 | - | 2,400,000 | 412,697 | 1,549,216 | 1,961,913 |  | 4,361,913 |  | 3,818,231 |
| Legal Service | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Accounting / Audit Services | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting Services | 457,025 | 177,732 | - | 634,757 | - | 68,339 | 68,339 |  | 703,096 |  | 526,310 |
| Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest | 2,582,748 | 1,004,402 | - | 3,587,150 | - | - | - |  | 3,587,150 |  | 3,054,150 |
| Repairs \& Maintenance | 129 | 50 | - | 179 | - | 10 | 10 |  | 189 |  | 18,847 |
| Insurance | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Utilities | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Supplies / Materials | 192,082 | 74,698 | - | 266,780 | - | - | - |  | 266,780 |  | 220,637 |
| Equipment / Furnishings | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Staff Development | 58,956 | 22,878 | - | 81,834 | - | 6,160 | 6,160 |  | 87,994 |  | 230,046 |
| Marketing / Recruitment | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Technology | 1,981 | 770 | - | 2,751 | - | - | - |  | 2,751 |  | 3,697 |
| Food Service | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Student Services | 224,753 | 87,387 | - | 312,140 | - | 49,844 | 49,844 |  | 361,984 |  | 469,298 |
| Office Expense | 106,973 | 41,512 | - | 148,485 | - | 11,856 | 11,856 |  | 160,341 |  | 212,742 |
| Depreciation | 3,182 | 1,235 | - | 4,417 | - | 332 | 332 |  | 4,749 |  | 7,864 |
| OTHER | 60 | 23 | - | 83 | - | 32,651 | 32,651 |  | 32,734 |  |  |
| Total Expenses | \$ 14,172,609 | \$ 5,450,446 | \$ | \$ 19,623,055 | \$ 412,697 | \$ 2,672,485 | \$ 3,085,182 | \$ | 22,708,237 | \$ | 20,458,307 |

## DREAM Charter School

## 2020-21 ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN PROGRESS REPORT

Submitted to the SUNY Charter Schools Institute on:

Submitted Oct 8, 2021

By Eve Colavito, Chief Education Officer
Grades K-8: 1991 Second Avenue New York, NY 10029
Grades 9-11: 439 East 115th Street
New York, NY 10029

Eve Colavito, Chief Education Officer, and Katie Doctor, Managing Director of Educational Strategy \& Data prepared this 2020-21 Accountability Progress Report on behalf of the school's board of trustees:

| Trustee's Name | Board Position |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Office (e.g., chair, treasurer, } \\ \text { secretary) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Committees (e.g., finance, } \\ \text { executive) }\end{array}$ |
| Ashish Doshi | Chair | Finance |$]$| Peter Daneker | Member/Trustee |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michele Joerg | Vice Chair | Finance |
| Vik Sawhney | Member/Trustee | Finance |
| Jonathan E. Schmerin | Member/Trustee |  |
| Jonathan Gyurko |  |  |

Eve Colavito served as the Chief of Schools at DREAM Charter School from 2009 through the 201819 school year and now serves as Chief Education Officer at DREAM. The principals at DREAM Charter School are Renee Canales (ES), Elizabeth Dodge (MS) and Jared Francis (HS).

## SCHOOL OVERVIEW

DREAM opened in 2008 as a New York City Department of Education-authorized (NYCDOE) charter school and currently serves 795 students in PreK-12th grade. In 2012 the school's charter was renewed for a five-year term and in 2017 the school moved from authorization by NYCDOE to the SUNY Charter Schools Institute (SUNY CSI). In 2020-21 the school added its first 12th grade class, reaching full scale to serve over 1,000 students in PreK-12 and graduated its first class from high school.

DREAM is proudly an inclusive community school and has developed a reputation for supporting the needs of all learners. Currently the school demographics mirror those of Community School District 4 (CSD 4); in the 2020-21 school year, 89\% of DREAM students qualified for free and reduced price lunch, $30 \%$ were students with disabilities (SWD), and 4\% were English language learners (ELLs) with an additional $3 \%$ that were former ELL students ${ }^{1}$. DREAM runs its own school food program, and therefore data from SIRS may reflect an incorrect percentage for students qualifying for free and reduced price lunch.

DREAM Charter School's mission is to prepare students for high-performing high schools, colleges and beyond through a rigorous academic program that develops critical thinkers who demonstrate a love of learning, strong character, and a commitment to wellness and active citizenship. DREAM Charter School inspires all students to recognize their potential and realize their dreams.

DREAM's key design elements are:

- An innovative curriculum that emphasizes critical thinking and questioning
- A co-teaching model that reduces the teacher-to-student ratio and integrates special needs students into the general school population
- A robust data cycle that uses data to inform all aspects of teaching and learning
- A whole child approach to teaching and learning that deeply integrates social emotional learning, health, wellness, music and the arts into the overall school program
- An extended day and an extended year model that maximizes learning hours
- An active family engagement program that fosters parent/guardian participation, leadership and advocacy
- A focus on teacher motivation, development, and retention
- A universal Pre-kindergarten program that ignites learning in children


## Modifications to Program During Remote Learning

The DREAM Charter School Distance Learning Model consisted of three main components: Content Delivery, Mobile Devices/Internet Access, and Additional Supports, with the goal of providing effective instruction during the COVID-19 extended school closure so that all DREAM scholars had access to high-quality learning opportunities during distance learning. Content Delivery explains which resources scholars used for core instruction and which materials were used as supplemental resources to enhance core instruction. Mobile Devices outlines the measures DREAM Charter School took to ensure that any scholar who needed a mobile device to access the instructional

[^9]resources was provided with one for the duration of the schools' closure. Lastly, additional supports were provided to each DREAM family to ensure the well-being of each scholar and their immediate family. All three components of the Learning Model were intended to reduce any disruption of learning for our scholars by providing alternative print and online assignments during the period of school closure this past Spring.

| Content Delivery | Mobile Devices/Internet Access | Additional Supports |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade-specific instructional <br> expectations, responsibilities <br> and time parameters were <br> outlined. | All families surveyed by teachers <br> to determine if they have a <br> need for a mobile device at <br> home to access instructional <br> activities and internet access. | Guided touchpoints to invite <br> scholar voice, establish space <br> for processing, and maintain or <br> strengthen pre-existing <br> relationships. |
| Students with specialized <br> supports receive more frequent <br> remote support. | Schools loaned Chromebooks to <br> those students or parents who <br> indicated they need a mobile <br> device for use at home. | Clearly articulated <br> communication pathways were <br> developed to connect scholars <br> and parents with the support <br> they require. |
|  | Schools used their current <br> inventory of Chromebooks (HS) <br> and purchased additional <br> Chromebooks as needed <br> (ES/MS). | Proactive measures were <br> identified and reported to <br> identify at-risk scenarios and <br> deploy help as soon as possible. |
|  |  | High impact resources were <br> identified and shared via weekly <br> Family Communications and |
| DREAM's Family Support |  |  |
| website. |  |  |

## ENROLLMENT SUMMARY

| School <br> Year | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Tota <br> 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 52 | 52 |  |  |  |  | 482 |
| $2017-18$ | 54 | 54 | 54 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 53 | 55 | 52 | 94 |  |  |  | 611 |
| $2018-19$ | 54 | 53 | 55 | 53 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 97 | 98 |  |  | 682 <br> 2 |
| $2019-20$ | 52 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 119 | 104 | 98 |  | 805 |
| $2020-21$ | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 100 | 101 | 96 | 96 | 896 |
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## High School Cohorts

## Accountability Cohort

The state's Accountability Cohort consists specifically of students who are in their fourth year of high school after entering the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade. For example, the 2017 state Accountability Cohort consists of students who entered the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade anywhere sometime during the 2017-18 school year, were enrolled in the school on the state's annual enrollment-determination day (i.e., BEDS day) in the 2020-21 school year, and either remained in the school for the rest of the year or left for an acceptable reason. (See New York State Education Department's SIRS Manual for more details about cohort eligibility and acceptable exit reasons: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/ht)

The following table indicates the number of students in the Accountability Cohorts who are in their fourth year of high school and were enrolled at the school on BEDS Day in October and remained in the school until June $30^{\text {th }}$ of that year.

## Fourth-Year High School Accountability Cohorts

| Fourth <br> Year <br> Cohort | Year Entered <br> $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> Anywhere | Cohort <br> Designatio <br> n | Number of Students <br> Enrolled on BEDS Day in <br> October of the Cohort's <br> Fourth Year | Number <br> Leaving <br> During the <br> School Year | Number in <br> Accountability <br> Cohort as of <br> June 30th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2020-21$ | $2017-18$ | 2017 | 96 | 0 | 96 |

## Total Cohort for Graduation

Students are also included in the Total Cohort for Graduation (referred to as the Graduation Cohort, Total Graduation Cohort, or Total Cohort interchangeably throughout this report) based on the year they first enter the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade. Students enrolled for at least one day in the school after entering the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade are part of the school's Graduation Cohort. The school may remove students from the Graduation Cohort if the school has discharged those students for an acceptable reason listed in the SIRS manual, including the following: if they transfer to another public or private diploma-granting program with documentation, transfer to home schooling by a parent or guardian, transfer to another district or school, transfer by court order, leave the U.S., or are deceased.

| Fourth Year Total Cohort for Graduation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fourth Year Cohort | Year <br> Entered $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Anywhere | Cohort Designatio n | Number of Students Graduated or Enrolled on June $30^{\text {th }}$ of the Cohort's Fourth Year <br> (a) | Number of Students No Longer at the School Who Had Been Enrolled for at Least One Day Prior to Leaving the School and Who Were Not Discharged for an Acceptable Reason <br> (b) | Total Graduation Cohort (a) $+(b)$ |
| 2020-21 | 2017-18 | 2017 | 96 | 0 | 96 |


| Fifth Year Total Cohort for Graduation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fifth Year Cohort | Year <br> Entered $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Anywhere | Cohort Designation | Number of Students Graduated or Enrolled on June $30^{\text {th }}$ of the Cohort's Fifth Year (a) | Number of Students No Longer at the School Who Had Been Enrolled for at Least One Day Prior to Leaving the School and Who Were Not Discharged for an Acceptable Reason <br> (b) | Total Graduation Cohort (a) $+(b)$ |
| 2020-21 | 2016-17 | 2016 | -- | -- | NA |

## Promotion Policy

The state has provided additional guidance regarding earning course credit and unit of study requirements here.

State Commissioner's Part 100.5 Diploma Requirements require students to earn at least 22 units of credit to earn a diploma. DREAM, like NYCDOE public schools, calculates credits towards graduation using a semester-based model, in which the State's requirement of 22 units of credit equates to 44 credits. Throughout this narrative, the NYCDOE semester-based credit model is used.

In accordance with Part 100 Regulations, students must earn 44 credits, distributed across specific subjects and aligned to specific NYSED learning standards, in order to graduate. To earn a local and Regents diploma DREAM students must earn 8 credits in English Language Arts, 6 credits in Math, 8 credits in Social Students (4 in Global History, 2 in U.S. History, 1 in Government and 1 in Economics), 6 credits in Science (2 in Life Science, 2 in Physical Science, and 2 in Life Science or Physical Science), 2 credits in Languages Other Than English (LOTE), 2 credits in Visual Art or Performing Art, 4 credits in Physical Education, 1 credit in Health, and 7 Electives credits.

To accumulate a credit, students must be provided with the opportunity to receive 54 hours of instruction and must demonstrate mastery of the content and skills by earning a grade of 65\% or higher. All credit- bearing courses address high school (i.e., commencement-level) learning standards and meet instructional time requirements. If a student fails a Regent exam required for graduation, they must attend Saturday Academy or summer school and retake the Regents exam the next time it is offered. If a student earns below a $65 \%$ in a course required for graduation, they must attend summer school if a summer school seat is available. Otherwise, they will likely have to repeat the course in the next year.

## GOAL 1: HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION

## GOAL 1: HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION <br> DREAM Charter School will maintain high graduation rates each year.

## Goal 1: Leading Indicator

Each year, 75 percent of students in first and second year high school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn at least ten credits (if 44 needed for graduation) or five credits (if 22 needed for graduation) each year.

## Method

This measure serves as a leading indicator of the performance of the high school cohort and examines students' progress toward graduation based on annual credit accumulation. The measure requires that, based on the school's promotion requirements, 75 percent of the first and second year high school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn the required number of credits.

## Results and Evaluation

DREAM achieved this measure. 100 percent of the 2019 cohort and 96 percent of the 2020 cohort earned at least 8 credits and were promoted to the next grade.

> Percent of Students in First and Second Year Cohorts
> Earning the Required Number of Credits in 2020-21

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort during <br> $2020-21$ | Percent <br> promoted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | 103 | $100 \%$ |
| 2020 | 99 | $96 \%$ |

## Additional Evidence

DREAM students generally keep up with work to earn full credit in their courses to advance to the next grade.

## Goal 1: Leading Indicator

Each year, 75 percent of students in the second year high school Total Graduation Cohort will score at or above proficient on at least three different New York State Regents exams required for graduation.

## Method

This measure serves as a leading indicator of the performance of high school cohorts and examines their progress towards graduation based on Regents exam passage. The measure requires that 75
percent of students in each Graduation Cohort have passed at least three Regents exams by their second year in the cohort.

As a result of the Board of Regents' guidance regarding the cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, for the most recent second year cohort schools should report the percentage of students who either passed or were exempted from at least three exams. In August of 2021, the 2019 cohort will have completed its second year.

## Results and Evaluation

DREAM achieved this measure with 100 percent of the 2019 cohort earning credit for at least three NYS Regents exams after two years in high school. Please note that this cohort of students was granted exemption from the Regents requirement in both 2020 and 2021.

Percent of Students in their Second Year Passing Three Regents Exams by Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | School Year | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Passing at <br> Least Three <br> Regents (including <br> exemptions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $2018-19$ | 96 | $79 \%$ |
| 2018 | $2019-20$ | 96 | $99 \%$ |
| 2019 | $2020-21$ | 96 | $100 \%$ |

## Additional Evidence

As evidenced in the table above, DREAM second year students have achieved this measure for the past three years.

## Goal 1: Absolute Measures

Each year, 75 percent of students in the fourth year high school Total Graduation Cohort and 95 percent of students in the fifth year high school Total Graduation Cohort will graduate.

## Method

This measure examines students in two high school Graduation Cohorts: those who entered the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade as members of the 2017 cohort and graduated four years later and those who entered as members of the 2016 cohort and graduated five years later. These data reflect August graduation rates. At a minimum, these students have passed or been exempted from five Regents exams required for high school graduation in ELA, mathematics, science, U.S. History, and Global History or met the requirements for the $4+1$ pathway to graduation. ${ }^{3}$

The school's graduation requirements appear in this document above the graduation goal.
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## Results and evaluation

DREAM achieved this graduation metric, having 99 percent of their first class graduate after four years in high school!

Percent of Students in the Total Graduation Cohort who have Graduated After Four Years

| Cohort <br> Designation | School <br> Year | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Graduating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 96 | $99 \%$ |

Percent of Students in Total Graduation Cohort Who Have Graduated After Five Years

| Cohort <br> Designation | School <br> Year | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Graduating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | $2020-21$ | NA | -- |

## Goal 1: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Graduation Cohort graduating after the completion of their fourth year will exceed that of the Total Graduation Cohort from the school district of comparison.

## Method

The school compares the graduation rate of students completing their fourth year in the charter school's Total Graduation Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that district results for the current year are generally not available at this time, for purposes of this report schools should include the district's 2019-20 results as a temporary placeholder for the district's 2020-21 results.

Results and evaluation
DREAM achieved this measure. When comparing four year graduation rates with the local district \#4, DREAM's 99 percent is 14 points higher than the most recent graduation rate in 2020 of $85 \%$.

| Percent of Students in the Total Graduation Cohort who <br> Graduate in Four Years Compared to the District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort <br> Designation School Year Charter School <br> Number in <br> Cohort  Percent <br> Graduating Number in <br> Cohort <br>     Percent <br> Graduating  <br> 2017 $2020-21$ 97 $99 \%$  $85 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Goal 1: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total Cohort pursuing an alternative graduation pathway (commonly referred to as the $4+1$ pathway) will achieve a Regents equivalency score and pass an approved pathway assessment required for graduation by the end of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The New York State Board of Regents approved regulations establishing alternative pathways to graduation for all students. Students may replace one of the required Social Studies Regents exams with an approved alternative assessment. For more information about requirements and approved assessments refer to the NYSED resource online: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/ciai/multiplepathways/. The school will document the names of the alternative assessments administered and success rate for students in the templates bellow.

As a result of the Board of Regents' guidance regarding the cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, students planning to take a pathway examination during those cancelled dates would be exempted from the requirement. For purposes of this measure, only report results for students with valid scores for any pathway exam.

## Results and Evaluation

None of the 2017 cohort students needed to take advantage of the $4+1$ pathway to graduate

## Summary of the High School Graduation Goal

2020-21 marked the first year of DREAM - East Harlem operating at full capacity grades K-12. Having 99 percent of our 2017 graduation cohort achieve their goal after four years in high school is even more impressive because they did so during a pandemic.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Leading <br> Indicator | Each year, 75 percent of students in first and second year high <br> school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn at least ten credits (if 44 <br> needed for graduation) or five credits (if 22 needed for graduation) <br> each year. | Met |
| Leading <br> Indicator | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort will score at least 65 on at least three different <br> New York State Regents exams required for graduation by the <br> completion of their second year in the cohort. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of students in the fourth year high school <br> Total Graduation Cohort will graduate. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, 95 percent of students in the fifth year high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort will graduate. | Not Applicable |
| Comparative | Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort graduating after the completion of their fourth <br> year will exceed that of the Total Graduation Cohort from the <br> school district of comparison. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total Cohort <br> pursuing an alternative graduation pathway will achieve a Regents <br> equivalency score and pass an approved pathway assessment <br> required for graduation by the end of their fourth year. | Not Applicable |

## Action Plan

DREAM - East Harlem high school programming continues to offer sufficient credit recovery opportunities. There are school-wide initiatives designed to support all students, in particular those most at-risk of not graduating on time. During remote instruction, attendance was an issue. Throughout the summer and in the beginning on the 2020-21 year, DREAM leaders developed a plan to reset expectations with students and families and put systems in place that would improve remote attendance. One pivotal component was reinvesting in our advisory program to ensure all students and families have a point of contact with an adult with whom they have a strong relationship. High school students start every day with a 20-30 minute advisory session. This consistent touch point builds a consistent routine that helps students start the day strong. In addition to community and relationship-building, advisors use that time to help students plan their daily schedule and course work as part of a rapid cycle of goal setting and reflection. These efforts have yielded substantial results.

## GOAL 2: COLLEGE PREPARATION

## GOAL 2: COLLEGE PREPARATION

DREAM Charter School graduates will be prepared for academic institutions of higher education.

DREAM's 11th and 12th grade academic program is geared towards preparing students for success in college. In the 2019-20 school year, DREAM 11th graders had the opportunity to take AP Seminar and AP US History. Typically, DREAM students take the PSAT 8/9 in 9th grade, the PSAT 10 in 10th grade, and the SAT in both the spring of 11th grade and fall of 12th grade. DREAM's 11th grade Math course prioritizes content aligned to SAT Math and all students will participate in levelled SAT Prep on Saturdays during 11th and 12th grade. Some test administrations were cancelled due to COVID.

In an effort to deliver information on the college admissions and selection process, DREAM CS offers a variety of ways for our families to engage in the vast number of choices there are during this time in a student's life. We offer a college studio course in grades 9-12 that meets 2-3 times per week, so every student has the opportunity to participate. In addition, students and families can take advantage of many college visits, attend guest speaker presentations or opt for a family workshop.

Goal 2: Absolute Measure
Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will demonstrate their preparation for college by at least one or some combination of the following indicators:

- Passing an Advanced Placement ("AP") exam with a score of 3 or higher;
- Earning a score of 4 or higher on an International Baccalaureate ("IB") exam;
- Passing a College Level Examination Program ("CLEP") exam;
- Passing a college level course offered at a college or university or through a school partnership with a college or university;
- Achieving the college and career readiness benchmark on the SAT;
- Earning a Regents diploma with advanced designation; or,
- A different school-created indicator approved by the Institute.


## Method

Schools use any method listed here, or any combination thereof, to demonstrate that at least 75 percent of graduates are prepared to engage in rigorous college level coursework. The school should select only those methods listed here that it uses to demonstrate the college readiness of its students and eliminate those that it will not. For instance, high schools that do not deliver an IB Program as part of their high school design do not report on the IB option. The school reports on the number of students who attempted to achieve each indicator, the number who succeeded, and the corresponding percentage. Additionally, the school should report on the overall number of students who graduated after four years, the number of those graduates who achieved any of the relevant indicators, and the overall percentage achieving any indicator.

## Results and evaluation

This accountability measure was not met in 2020-21. 36 percent of all students who attempted an indicator achieved college readiness scores on an AP exam or the SAT. 52\% of the students who took at least one AP exam scored a level 3 or higher.

Percentage of the 2017 Total Cohort Graduates Demonstrating College Preparation by Indicator

| Indicator | Number of <br> Graduates who <br> Attempted the <br> Indicator | Number who <br> Achieved Indicator | Percentage of Graduates <br> who Achieved Indicator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AP English Literature and <br> Composition | 55 | 2 | $8 \%$ |
| AP Environmental Science | 15 | 4 | $27 \%$ |
| AP Seminar | 48 | 10 | $21 \%$ |
| AP United States History | 40 | 5 | $13 \%$ |
| AP Research | 48 | 27 | $56 \%$ |
| AP Biology | 12 | 1 | $8 \%$ |
| SAT Reading 480 \& Math 530 | 89 | 16 | $18 \%$ |
| College Level Course - Barnard <br> College and Stanford Univ. | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ |
| Overall | 89 | 32 | $36 \%$ |

## Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, the College, Career, and Civic Readiness Index ("CCCRI") for the school's Total Cohort will exceed the Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 2: Comparative Measure

Each year, the school's CCCRI for the Total Cohort will exceed that of the district of comparison's Total Cohort.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will matriculate into a college or university in the year after graduation.

## Method

The ultimate measure of whether a college prep high school has lived up to its mission is whether students actually enroll and succeed in college. Schools track and report the percentage of fourthyear Total Cohort graduates who matriculate into a two or four-year college program in the school year following graduation. Schools should update and confirm data for Cohorts prior to 2020-21 and provide preliminary matriculation data for 2017 Cohort. It may be necessary for schools to
provide updated data to the Institute when National Student Clearinghouse or other data sources become available later in the school year.

## Results and Evaluation

As of this report, the first graduating class of 2021 just would have begun their first semester in college. We will report on how many matriculated in total in the 2021-22 report.

## Summary of the College Preparation Goal

Although the one college prep accountability metric was not achieved, DREAM is proud that 99 percent of our first grade 12 student cohort did graduate in four years and were accepted into college. This achievement was accomplished during a pandemic, and we intend to increase the numbers who demonstrate college preparedness on paper moving forward as we build partnerships with college in high school programs. We are exploring options.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will demonstrate <br> their preparation for college by one or more possible <br> indicators of college readiness. | Not Met |
| Absolute | Each year, the CCCRI for the school's Total Cohort will exceed <br> that year's state MIP set forth in the state's ESSA <br> accountability system. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the school's CCCRI for the Total Cohort will exceed <br> that of the district's Total Cohort. | N/A |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will matriculate <br> into a college or university in the year after graduation. | Pending 1 year |

## Action Plan

DREAM students in grades 10-12 take a College \& Career Studio course two to three times a week where they learn about and prepare for key milestones in the college and career exploration and preparation process. In addition, each high school student has monthly 1:1 check-ins with a youth development specialist or college counselor. Like the Studio course, these check-ins are milestone based. For the 2017 cohort, a big focus of spring and fall 2020 was building balanced college lists with the right mix of likely, target, and reach schools to avoid under-matching during the application phase. DREAM will continue ensuring all students can apply and be accepted into college and/or explore direct to career paths.

## Alumni Programming

DREAM school and program alumni have the power of a team behind them - and robust support systems to make their dreams real.

As our DREAM Charter High School students choose colleges and trades, chart career paths, and build relationships, we're standing alongside them.

But support from DREAM doesn't end when our scholars graduate high school, as $100 \%$ of our senior class did last June. All DREAM program and school alumni graduate into our Legends program. Established in 2014, the Legends program's vision is for youth to pursue and complete a best-fit post-secondary path that equips them to fulfill their vision of success and achieve a career that facilitates stability and agency.

Our Legends program offers alumni the following supports to ensure completion of their postsecondary path and launch them into their career fields:
Transition to College: 4-Week Summer Bridge program designed to prepare rising freshmen for the college transition.

Career Exposure: Access to career workshops, conferences, networking opportunities, career mentors, and internships.

Caseload Management: Each Legend is matched with their own Program Coordinator who provides consistent one-on-one check-ins.

Community Involvement: On-campus visits, care packages, and alumni reunion events.
Leadership: Near-peer mentorship opportunities, community service initiatives, and Legends Leadership Council.
And our capacity for support is only increasing: Our Legends alumni numbers are projected to grow from 260 currently to nearly 1,000 by 2030.

## Upward Pathways

Upward Pathways is a new DREAM initiative that identifies and supports the approximately $15 \%$ of DREAM Charter High School graduates who are expected to pursue career paths without a Bachelor's degree. That means training and certification, mentorship, case management, job placement, and much more. It's just one example of the ways we're continuing to set our Legends up for success, no matter what that looks like for them.

# GOAL 3: ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS 

## ELEMENTARY AND MIDDLE ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

## Goal 3: English Language Arts <br> All students at the DREAM Charter School will become proficient in reading and writing of the English Language.

## Background

The elementary school English Language Arts curriculum is broken into four blocks: Shared Text, Writer's Workshop, Reader's Workshop, and Interactive Read Aloud. Lower elementary students also receive FUNdations, a Wilson Reading System program to teach phonics and phonemic awareness.

The Shared Text curriculum is developed around six thematic units in each grade. Students read a canon of texts to create a foundational bank of shared literary experiences. Together, the six units expose students to a wide variety of fiction and nonfiction texts, while learning the skills demanded by the common core standards. Texts read within the thematic units will provide an interdisciplinary connection between content.

Middle school students engage in ten thematic units over the course of the school year. Each unit theme (Survival, Culture, Perspectives, Institutions, Balance, Identity, Greed, Ethics, Bridges, and Citizenship) serves as a consistent thread that is integrated into all academic subjects, as well as Homeroom, Advisory, and Community Gatherings.

The middle school English Language Arts curriculum is broken down into three blocks—Literature, Writer's Workshop, and Guided Reading (which is taught during Focus). During Literature class, students and teachers engage in several novel studies. Each novel is purposefully selected to support the theme of the current integrated unit and, at times, to support the learning that is happening in Social Studies or Science. Students are exposed to a range of topics, genres, and authors through the Literature block and engage in in-depth discussion and analysis with their teachers and peers.

The purpose of our interconnected literacy program is to provide students a balanced and comprehensive understanding of literacy. Each block provides a separate, yet connected, way of approaching texts as readers and writers. Scholars learn how to think critically and analyze a wide variety of challenging texts, write in response to literature, and identify themselves as readers and writers as they choose to read and write on topics and in genres of their choice.

DREAM offers robust professional development designed to provide teachers with a variety of opportunities to develop their practice. Weekly PD sessions are held for all staff on Friday afternoons from 1:00pm to 4:00pm. PD is focused on school priorities, curriculum, student culture, staff culture and other relevant topics throughout the year. All DREAM classroom teachers receive professional development on how to administer the Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment and use the results to teach guided reading.

Teachers also use PD and other common planning times to conduct unit previews and reviews. The purpose of a unit preview is to intellectually prepare to teach the upcoming unit by internalizing
desired outcomes, what evidence of student mastery will look like and sound like, and plan for student understanding. Unit previews allow for effective backwards planning, which increases the purposefulness and intentionality of instruction and allows teachers to make better instructional decisions every step of the way. At the end of each unit teachers analyze the unit assessment results using our unit review protocol. This practice allows teachers to identify gaps in student learning and take targeted action to reteach and remediate as needed.

DREAM implements a robust interim assessment data cycle that empowers teachers to use the data collected through interim assessments to plan targeted re-teaching that develop the skills students need most. DREAM administers interim assessments four times a year - in October, December, February and June. Instructional leaders review the data to identify big picture trends, determine whether students are on-track to meet end of year goals, and select the priority standards teachers will focus on during Data Day. On Data Day, teachers analyze data and create an action plan for reteaching priority standards. The backbone of DREAM's approach is "item analysis," which allows teachers to define precise student misunderstandings. Assessment questions are carefully written to assess specific sub-skills within standards and include wrong answer choices that reveal information about why students are making specific mistakes. By unpacking a question at this depth, DREAM can truly understand and target student misconceptions within the standard. Teachers put these "re-teach" plans into action and administer a re-assessment to measure student learning. The re-assessment is carefully designed to mirror the format and rigor of the interim assessment. After collecting re-assessment data, teachers meet with their coach or grade team to define the impact of re-teach plans and identify causes for success or lack of success.

## Method

Fountas \& Pinnell diagnostic reading assessments were administered in October and December to grades K-8 and virtually in June to grades K-3. DREAM CS administered the Winter 2020 NWEA MAP in December.

## Method

DREAM students were assessed in ELA with the following tools in 2020-21:

- Internal interim assessments 4 x
- Fountas \& Pinnell 4 x
- New York State ELA

During 2020-21, the school(s) primarily used the following exam to assess student growth and achievement in ELA: Internal Interim Assessment in ELA and F\&P.

Results and evaluation

Overall, $46 \%$ of DREAM East Harlem 3-8 scholars performed at or above proficiency level on the Spring 2021 ELA Interim Assessment.

Percent Proficient by Grade
Grade 3 35\%
Grade 4 64\%
Grade 5 43\%
Grade 6 45\%
Grade 7 45\%
Grade 8 46\%
Overall 46\%

In addition, 39\% of DREAM East Harlem 3-8 scholars scored at or above their Fountas \& Pinnell EOY reading benchmark.

## Percent Scoring at or above their F \& P EOY Reading Benchmark

Grade 3 42\%

Grade 4 25\%
Grade 5 30\%
Grade 6 7\%
Grade 7 59\%
Grade 8 69\%

## Summary of the Elementary AND MIDDLE English Language Arts Goal

After over a year of learning during a pandemic, our students did demonstrate some progress in ELA. Based on Spring Interim testing, 46 percent scored at grade level proficiency. In addition, 36 percent overall grades $3-8$ scored at their end of year targets on the Fountas \& Pinnell. Moving forward and back into the classroom full time, we anticipate some learning loss and will address it based on baseline assessment indicators.

## Action Plan

Going forward, we continue to keep many of the Daily ELA routines and practices the students are familiar with for reading, writing and communicating.

## HIGH SCHOOL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

## Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The school administered the Regents English exam that students must pass to graduate. The State Education Department currently defines the college and career readiness standard as scoring at or above Performance Level 4 (meeting Common Core expectations) on the Regents Exam in English

Language Arts (Common Core). This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 4 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.
Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, some students in the 2017 Cohort who had not previously sat for the exam but were scheduled to sit for this exam during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam as well as the percentage of students achieving at least Level 4 among the students who sat for the exam.

## Results and Evaluation

DREAM did not achieve this high school ELA Regents metric. The 2017 cohort fell just short of the $65 \%$ goal having $61 \%$ earn a performance level of 4 .

Percent Scoring at Least Level 4 on Regents English Common Core Exam
by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{4}$

| Cohort | Fourth <br> Year | Number <br> in <br> Cohort <br> (a) | Number <br> exempted <br> with No <br> Valid Score <br> (b) | Number <br> Scoring at <br> Least Level <br> 4 | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 4 Among Students <br> (c) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 96 | 12 | 51 | (c)/(a-b) Valid Score |
| (c) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Additional Evidence

The upcoming cohorts are making progress toward this goal, but might not retake the ELA Regents if the

Percent Achieving at Least Level 4 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 |
| 2017 | 97 | $60 \%$ | 96 <br> $* 84$ Tested | $61 \%$ |
| 2018 | 96 | $55 \%$ | 96 <br> $* 65$ Tested | $49 \%$ |
| 2019 | 104 | Exempt | 103 <br> $* 61$ Tested | $33 \%$ |
| 2020 |  |  | 99 <br> $* 11$ Tested | $18 \%$ |

Goal 3: Absolute Measure
Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 3 on the
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Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The school administered the Regents English exam that students must pass to graduate. The school scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100. The State Education Department currently defines the cut off for passing and meeting the requirement for graduation as scoring at or above Performance Level 3 (partially meeting Common Core expectations) on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core). This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 3 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, some students in the 2017 Cohort who had not previously sat for the exam but were scheduled to sit for this exam during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam as well as the percentage of students achieving at least Level 3 among the students who sat for the exam.

## Results and Evaluation

DREAM students achieved this high school ELA measure with $100 \%$ of the 2017 cohort passing the NYS English Language Arts Regents after four years in the cohort.

Percent Scoring at Least Level 3 on Regents English Common Gore Exam
by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number <br> in <br> Cohort <br> (a) | Number Exempted <br> with No Valid Score <br> (b) | Number Scoring <br> at Least Level 3 <br> (c) | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 3 Among Students <br> with Valid Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 96 | 12 | 84 | $100 \%$ |

## Additional Evidence

All students tested and represented in the below table have achieved this measure in ELA, earning at least a performance level 3 on the NYS ELA Regents exam.

Percent Achieving at Least Level 3 by Cohort and Year on Regents English Common Core Exam by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2017 | 97 | $99 \%$ | 96 <br> $* 84$ Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2018 | 96 | $98 \%$ | 96 <br> $* 65$ Tested | $87 \%$ |
| 2019 | 104 | Exempt | 103 <br> $* 61$ Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2020 |  |  | 99 <br> $* 11$ Tested | $100 \%$ |

## Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") on the Regents English exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state's Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort at least partially meeting Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("Pl") in Regents English of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 3: Growth Measure

Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will meet the college and career readiness standard (currently scoring at Performance Level 4 and fully meeting Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its English language arts program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to meet the English requirement for the college and career readiness standard.

## Results And Evaluation

This measure was met by DREAM. 60 percent of the students who did not score at proficiency levels in grade 8 ELA did earn a score of Level 4 on the NYS English Regents exam in high school.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 4 on Common Core exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort

| Cohort Designation | Fourth Year | Number in Cohort not Proficient in $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> (a) | Number Exempted with No Valid Score (b) | Number Scoring at Least Level 4 <br> (c) | Percent Scoring at Least Level 4 Among Students with Valid Score (c)/(a-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 2020-21 | 15 | 0 | 9 | 60\% |

## Goal 3: Growth Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its English language arts program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to meet the English requirement for graduation.

## Results And Evaluation

DREAM achieved this measure. All students who scored below proficiency in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade who also took the NYS Regents in ELA scored at Level 3 or above by their fourth year in high school.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 3 on Common Core exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number in <br> Cohort not <br> Proficient in <br> $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> (a) | Number <br> Exempted <br> with No Valid <br> Score <br> (b) | Number <br> Scoring at <br> Least Level 3 <br> (c) | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 3 Among Students <br> with Valid Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 15 | 0 | 15 | $100 \%$ |

## Summary of the High School English Language Arts Goal

DREAM students performed well on the NYS English Language Arts Regents exam. Having 61 percent of the students tested earn a performance level of 4 and all of them pass with a level 3 is encouraging. In addition, we are pleased to report that all fifteen students who we have on record as performing below proficiency in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade did demonstrate proficiency in ELA before graduating by passing the Regents.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Not Met |
| Absolute | Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) on the Regents English exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state Measure of Interim Progress (MIP) set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students from the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort partially meeting Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in Regents English of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison. | N/A |
| Growth | Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Met |
| Growth | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at least Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Met |

## Action Plan

DREAM plans to transition back to in-school learning while keeping some instructional practices that have worked well during virtual school. We continue our morning advisory to keep students on track and rely on our vetted ELA programming across all grades.

# GOAL 4: MATHEMATICS 

## ELEMENTARY MATHEMATICS

## Goal 4: Mathematics

All Students at the DREAM Charter School will become proficient in Mathematics.

## BACKGROUND

DREAM believes that scholars must develop a deep, conceptual understanding of math in order to be able to achieve the college or career of their choice. To achieve that depth of understanding, our Math curriculum is rooted in Cognitively Guided Instruction (CGI). CGI is built on the belief that scholars must be charged with constructing problem-solving strategies that make sense to them and build on their prior knowledge of the world. In the story problem (ES) and problem solving (MS) blocks, scholars are challenged to defend their strategies and reflect on their approach to solving the problem. Additionally, scholars will analyze the strategies of their peers and make generalizations and conjectures about mathematical concepts. Through analyzing, scholars learn more advanced strategies and gain a more complex understanding of mathematical concepts. In contrast to the traditional teaching method of direct modeling and practice, our approach allows scholars to develop meaningful and lasting mathematical understandings.

The purpose of the elementary school Math Workshop block is to address all of the Common Core standards at a given grade level. The math units that comprise the Math Workshop block address domains not mastered in the Story Problem block, such as measurement, data and geometry. Through the use of TERC Investigations and Context for Learning Mathematics our scholars are exposed to a variety of mathematical concepts and strategies. During the math block at DREAM our scholars learn how to apply different problem solving strategies by listening and observing their peers. Our math instruction is Common Core aligned with an emphasis on exploratory learning. Teachers act as strategic facilitators of this process to surface and make connections among mathematical concepts. Middle school teachers use the Mathematics in Context (MiC) curriculum in which each unit is organized by domain including Number, Geometry, Algebra, and Statistics and Probability. The curriculum uses realistic, real-world contexts that engage and motivate students and uses various representations that will encourage retention and flexible thinking.

During Story Problem and Problem Solving, students are presented with a purposefully planned word problem. After a brief launch, students spend several minutes solving the problem, using multiple strategies of their choice. During this time, the teacher circulates in order to gather data and choose 2-3 scholars to share their work. During the share, students dictate their strategy to the teacher as $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ images the student's work for all to see. After the share, the teacher engages students in a discourse about the shared strategies, in which students share computational strategies to construct deeper understandings about Operations and Algebra.

An additional part of the math program at DREAM consists of math routines. These routines are short but important. They force students to think critically and flexibly and allow teachers to assess student thinking in a short period of time. Routines should be planned purposefully to meet the needs of the scholars in the class. Math routines develop strong scholar counting skills, number sense, fluency, and deepen the understanding of key mathematical concepts. These routines include: Counting Around the Room, True/False Number Sentences, Number Strings, and more.

## Method

3rd through 8th graders took the following math assessments:

- Internal interim assessments $4 x$
- New York State Math Exams in grades 3-8

During 2020-21, the school(s) primarily used the following exam to assess student growth and achievement in mathematics: Both internal interim assessments and NYS Math exams.

## Results and evaluation

Overall, $35 \%$ of DREAM East Harlem 3-8 scholars performed at or above proficiency level on the Spring 2021 Math Interim Assessment.

## Percent Proficient by Grade

| Grade 3 | $43 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Grade 4 | $44 \%$ |
| Grade 5 | $42 \%$ |
| Grade 6 | $32 \%$ |
| Grade 7 | $33 \%$ |
| Grade 8 | $16 \%$ |
| Overall | $35 \%$ |

## Additional Evidence

At the time of this report, grade 3-8 NYS Math assessment results have not been made public yet.

## Summary of the Elementary AND MIDDLE Mathematics Goal

Progress in math was assessed in grades K-8 in the 2020-21 school year, however much attention was also being pulled in other areas as we navigated the entire year dealing with the pandemic. As much as we focused on the student needs and growth in math, the school grappled with remote learning as well as teachers learning techniques to teach while considering asynchronous instruction as well in an online setting. The interim assessments were utilized to determine which math concepts needed revisiting and where students tested well.

## Action Plan

In math, we continue to prioritize the major work of the grade and standards that directly lead students to preparation for that work. DREAM is using a tool which outlines standards that should
be addressed before and within core instruction. The former are prior knowledge and skills students need to access the grade-level standard. The latter are standards without which students will have an entry point but will benefit from instruction that weaves in this prior-grade content. To support accelerated learning, DREAM invested in training teachers to diagnose students' unfinished learning and provide just-in-time intervention.

As students return to full-time school in the building, we continue to utilize routines that have been helpful through the past 18 months while returning to a normal school day as much as possible.

## HIGH SCHOOL MATHEMATICS

## Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The school administered the Regents mathematics exam(s) that students must pass to graduate. The State Education Department currently defines the college and career readiness standard as scoring at or above Performance Level 4 (meeting Common Core expectations) on any Regents Common Core mathematics exams. This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 4 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, some students in the 2017 Cohort who had not previously sat for any mathematics exam but were scheduled to sit for one during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam requirement as well as the percentage of students achieving at least Level 4 among the students who sat for any exam.

## Results and Evaluation

DREAM did not meet this math measure. $12.5 \%$ of the students in the 2017 accountability cohort earned a score on a NYS Math Regents falling above the cutoff for performance level 4.

Percent Scoring at Least Level 4 on a Regents Mathematics Common Core Exam
by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort

| Cohort | Fourth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in |
| Cohort |
| (a) | | Number |
| :---: |
| exempted |
| with No |
| Valid Score |
| (b) | | Number |
| :---: |
| Scoring at |
| Least Level |
| 4 | | Percent Scoring at |
| :---: |
| Least Level 4 Among |
| Students with Valid |
| (c) |$\quad$| Score |
| :---: |
| (c)/(a-b) |

## Additional Evidence

A portion of all tested cohort students earn scores in the performance level 4 range. We anticipate the percentages to increase as instruction returns to the classroom full-time.

| Percent Achieving at Least Level 4 by Cohort and Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort | $2019-20$ |  | 2020-21 |  |
|  | Number <br> Designation <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 |
| 2017 | 97 | $11 \%$ | 96 <br> 80 Tested | $12.5 \%$ |
| 2018 | 96 | $4 \%$ | 96 <br> 71 Tested | $13 \%$ |
| 2019 | 104 | Exempt | 103 <br> 11 Tested | $18 \%$ |
| 2020 |  |  | 99 <br> 29 Tested | $14 \%$ |

Goal 4: Absolute Measure
Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The school administered the Regents mathematics exam(s) that students must pass to graduate. The State Education Department currently defines the cut off for passing and meeting the requirement for graduation as scoring at or above Performance Level 3 (partially meeting Common Core expectations) on the Regents mathematics exams. This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 3 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.
Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, some students in the 2017 Cohort who had not previously sat for any mathematics exam but were scheduled to sit for one during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam requirement as well as the percentage of students achieving at least Level 3 among the students who sat for any exam.

## Results and Evaluation

DREAM achieved this math metric, having all students in the 2017 cohort earn credit for passing a NYS Regents after four years in high school.

| Percent Scoring at Least Level 3 on a Regents Mathematics Common Core Exam by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number in Cohort (a) | Number Exempted with No Valid Score <br> (b) | Number Scoring at Least Level 3 <br> (c) | Percent Scoring at Least Level 3 Among Students with Valid Score (c)/(a-b) |
| 2017 | 2020-21 | 96 | 16 | 80 | 100\% |

## Additional Evidence

As evidenced by the table below, $100 \%$ of all DREAM high school students who took a NYS Math Regents exam scored at least a 65 (Level 3) as of the completion of 2020-21.

Percent Achieving at Least Level 3 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | 2019-20 |  | 2020-201 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2017 | 97 | $84 \%$ | 96 <br> 80 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2018 | 96 | $63 \%$ | 96 <br> 71 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2019 | 104 | Exempt | 103 <br> 11 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2020 |  |  | 99 <br> 29 Tested | $100 \%$ |

## Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") on the Regents mathematics exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state's Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.
Goal 4: Comparative Measure
Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exams will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort at least partially meeting Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exams will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") in Regents mathematics of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## Goal 4: Growth Measure

Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics exam will meet the college and career readiness standard (currently scoring at Performance Level 4 and fully meeting Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its mathematics program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to grow to meeting the mathematics requirement for the college and career readiness standard.

## Results And Evaluation

This measure was not achieved. Unfortunately, only $2 \%$ of the students who tested below proficiency on the $8^{\text {th }}$ grade NYS Math exam earned a performance level 4 before graduation.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 4 on a Mathematics Regents Exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number in <br> Cohort not <br> Proficient in <br> $8_{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> (a) | Number <br> Exempted <br> with No Valid <br> Score <br> (b) | Number <br> Scoring at <br> Least Level 4 <br> (c) | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 4 Among Students <br> with Valid Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 65 | 0 | 1 | $2 \%$ |

## Goal 4: Growth Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its mathematics program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to move to meeting the English requirement for graduation.

## Results And Evaluation

This measure was not met. 45 of the 65 fourth year high school students who performed below proficiency in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade on the NYS math assessment earned at least a level 3 on a NYS Math Regents in high school. The remaining 20 earned an exemption during the pause in Regents due to COVID 19.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 3 on a Mathematics Regents Exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{5}$

| Cohort |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number in <br> Cohort not <br> Proficient in <br> $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> (a) | Number <br> Exempted <br> with No Valid <br> Score | Number <br> Scoring at <br> Least Level 3 <br> (b) | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 3 Among Students <br> with Valid Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 65 | 0 | 45 | $69 \%$ |

## Summary of the High School Mathematics Goal

Although all students in the 2017 accountability cohort earned credit for passing a NYS Math regents exam after four years in high school, DREAM continues to develop rigorous instruction to enable students to earn higher passing scores in the Performance Level 4 range.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or <br> above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Not Met |
| Absolute | Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at <br> or above Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in mathematics of students <br> completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state <br> Measure of Interim Progress (MIP) set forth in the state's ESSA <br> accountability system. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort meeting or <br> exceeding Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam will <br> exceed the percentage of comparable students from the district meeting or <br> exceeding Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort partially meeting <br> Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam will exceed the <br> percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting <br> Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in Regents mathematics of students in <br> the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of <br> comparable students from the school district of comparison. | N/A |
| Growth | Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> who did not score proficient on their New York State 8th grade mathematics | Not Met |

[^13]|  | exam will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at <br> or above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Growth | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> who did not score proficient on their New York State 8 $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics <br> exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently <br> scoring at least Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Not Met |

## Action Plan

DREAM continues to work to increase the percentage of students achieving a college and career ready score on a Regents mathematics exam during their four years of high school. Ninth graders who are significantly below grade level in math will receive targeted math intervention using the Math 180 program.

## GOAL 5: SCIENCE

## Elementary AND MIDDLE Science

## Goal 5: Science

All students at DREAM Charter School will demonstrate competency in the understanding and application of scientific reasoning.

## BACkground

DREAM believes that scholars should learn science in a hands-on, interactive way. As scientists, DREAM scholars ask questions, make hypotheses, conduct experiments and draw conclusions based on their results. Elementary school science lessons come from an inquiry-based curriculum, which means the scholars discover the answers to their own questions and construct their own knowledge about the world around them. We do not use textbooks, but we do read nonfiction texts. Our middle school science curriculum is based off of the Common Core State Standards and NYS Science Core Curriculum and includes physical setting and living environment content, with an emphasis on inquiry and problem solving skills. Each middle school science unit focuses on two of our integrated themes and challenges students to apply science content to their personal lives. Students are encouraged to reflect on how their choices today impact both their health and environment tomorrow. Students learn to support their viewpoints with evidence and use inquiry as a driving force for exploring the natural world.

## Method

Elementary and middle school science instruction continued as outlined within the re-opening plan for 2020-21; synchronous and asynchronous schedules and assignments including many lessons presented on video so students could watch multiple times as needed. Hands-on activities were completed if they could be performed safely or could be done independently at home with household objects. Internal interim assessments were given four times per year. 8th grade students took the NYS Science exam.

## Results and evaluation

2020-21 NYS Science Assessments have not been made public.

## Summary of the Elementary Science Goal

Teachers and students are enjoying being back in the classroom to do hands-on science activities together in 2021-22. 2021 NYS Science 8 exam scores have not been made public as of this report.

## High School Science

## Goal 5: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on a New York State Regents science exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

New York State schools administer multiple high school science assessments; current Regent exams are Living Environment, Earth Science, Chemistry and Physics. The school administered the Living Environment and Chemistry exams. It scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100; students must score at least 65 to pass. This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass any one of the Regents science exams by their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken a particular Regents science exam multiple times or have taken multiple science exams. Students have until the summer of their fourth year to pass a science exam.

Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, some students in the 2017 Cohort who had not previously sat for the exam but were scheduled to sit for this exam during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam as well as the percentage of students passing among the students who sat for the exam.

## Results and Evaluation

DREAM achieved this accountability measure in high school science. After four years in high school, all students who took a NYS Science Regents exam earned at least a score of 65 also called a Level 3.

## Science Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65 <br> by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{6}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number <br> in <br> Cohort <br> (a) | Number <br> Exempted with <br> No Valid Score <br> (b) | Number Passing <br> with at Least a 65 <br> (c) | Percent Passing Among <br> Students with Valid Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 96 | 16 | 80 | $100 \%$ |

## Additional Evidence

As demonstrated in the table below, DREAM students in all four high school accountability cohorts earned credit for the NYS Regents exam have passed with a 65+. The students who did not earn credit with a passing score of 65 were granted an exemption for graduation because the Regents were interrupted during the pandemic.

[^14]
## Science Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2017 | 97 | $82 \%$ | 96 <br> 80 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2018 | 96 | $77 \%$ | 96 <br> 77 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2019 | 104 | Exempt | 103 <br> 6 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2020 |  |  | 99 <br> 1 Tested | $100 \%$ |

## Goal 5: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort passing a Regents science exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district of comparison.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

## GOAL 6: SOCIAL STUDIES

Goal 6: Social Studies
All students at DREAM Charter School will demonstrate proficiency in the social sciences.

## Goal 6: Absolute Measure <br> Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents U.S. History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

New York State administers two high school social studies assessments: U.S. History and Global History. In order to graduate, students must pass both of these Regents exams with a score of 65 or higher. This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass the two exams by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken the exams multiple times and have until the summer of their fourth year to pass it. Once students pass it, performance on subsequent administrations of the same exam do not affect their status as passing.

Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, some students in the 2017 Cohort who had not previously sat for the exam but were scheduled to sit for this exam during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam as well as the percentage of students passing among the students who sat for the exam.

Results
Because the U.S. History Regents was not administered in June 2020 or 2021, almost none of the 2017 cohort sat for the test. The one student who did take it passed it.
U.S. History Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65
by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | Fourth <br> Year | Number <br> in <br> Cohort <br> (a) | Number <br> Exempted with <br> No Valid Score <br> (b) | Number Passing <br> with at Least a 65 <br> (c) | Percent Passing Among <br> Students with Valid Score <br> (c)/(a-b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $2020-21$ | 96 | 95 | 1 | $100 \%$ |

## Additional Evidence

The four students in the 2018 accountability cohort who took the exam in Global History earned at least a performance level of 3 , while others earned exemption during the pandemic.

## U.S. History Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2017 | 97 | Exempt | 96 <br> 1 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2018 | 96 | N/A | 96 <br> 4 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2019 | 104 | N/A | 103 <br> 5 Tested | $67 \%$ |
| 2020 |  |  | 99 <br> 0 Tested | Exempt |

Goal 6: Comparative Measure
Each year, the percent to students in the high school Total Cohort passing the Regents U.S. History exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district of comparison.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21.

```
Goal 6: Absolute Measure
```

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents Global History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## Method

This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass the Global History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken the exam multiple times and had until the summer of their fourth year to pass it. Once students pass it, performance on subsequent administrations of the same exam do not affect their status as passing.

Due to the state's cancellation of multiple administrations of the Regents exams in 2019-20 and 2020-21, some students in the 2017 Cohort who had not previously sat for the exam but were scheduled to sit for this exam during a cancellation would be exempted from the graduation requirement. As such, the school should report both the number of students who were exempted from the exam as well as the percentage of students passing among the students who sat for the exam.

## Results

DREAM achieved this high school social studies metric. All students in the 2017 accountability cohort who took the NYS Regents exam in Global History earned at least a 65 of the after four years in high school.

| Global History Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65 by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort Designation | Fourth Year | Number in Cohort (a) | Number Exempted with No Valid Score (b) | Number Passing with at Least a 65 <br> (c) | Percent Passing Among Students with Valid Score (c)/(a-b) |
| 2017 | 2020-21 | 96 | 15 | 81 | 100\% |

## Additional Evidence

Both students in the 2018 accountability cohort who took the exam in Global History earned at least a performance level of 3 .

## Global History Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2017 | 97 | $82 \%$ | 96 <br> 81 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2018 | 96 | Exempt | 96 <br> 2 Tested | $100 \%$ |
| 2019 | 104 | N/A | 103 <br> 0 Tested | Exempt |
| 2020 |  |  | 99 <br> 0 Tested | Exempt |

## Goal 6: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort passing the Regents Global History exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district of comparison.

The calculation of this measure is not required for 2020-21

## GOAL 7: ESSA

Due to COVID-19 and the subsequent changes to the state's testing, accountability, and federal reporting requirements, the 2020-21 school accountability statuses are the same as those assigned for the 2019-20 school year. The 2019-20 accountability statuses were based on 2018-19 exam results. Assigned accountability designations and further context can be found here.

## Goal 7: Absolute Measure

Under the state's ESSA accountability system, the school is in good standing: the state has not identified the school for comprehensive or targeted improvement.

## Method

Because all students are expected to meet the state's performance standards, the federal statute stipulates that various sub-populations and demographic categories of students among all tested students must meet the state standard in and of themselves aside from the overall school results. As New York State, like all states, is required to establish a specific system for making these determinations for its public schools, charter schools do not have latitude in establishing their own performance levels or criteria of success for meeting the ESSA accountability requirements. Each year, the state issues School Report Cards that indicate a school's status under the state accountability system.

Results and evaluation
DREAM CS continues to be in good standing year after year, therefore achieved this measure. New York State has not identified the school for comprehensive or targeted improvement.

Accountability Status by Year

| Year | Status |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2018-19$ | Good Standing |
| $2019-20$ | Good Standing |
| $2020-21$ | Good Standing |

## 2021 DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INTEREST FORM

Disclosure of financial interest by a not-for-profit charter school education corporation trustee For the school year ended June 30, 2021

2) Are you related, by blood, marriage, or legal adoption/guardianship, to, or do you cohabitate with, anyperson (any of the foregoing being an "interested person") who is, or, during the last school year (July 1-June 30), was employed by the education corporation, or whocould otherwise benefit from your being a trustee? If yes, please identify each interest/ transaction (and provide the requested information) that you ("self") or any interested persons have heldor engagedin with the educationcorporation during the prior school year.

| Nameand <br> Relationship | Nature of Financial <br> Interest/Transaction | Approximate Value <br> of the Business <br> Conducted | Steps Taken to Avoid a Conflict of <br> Interest, (e.g., did notvote, did not <br> participate indiscussion) | Date of <br> Transaction(s) <br> or "Ongoing" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

3) Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee, proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estatetrust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the education corporation and in which such entity, during the preceding school year (July 1 - June 30), you and/or an interested person hada financial interest or other relationship. If you or an interested person are a member, director, officer, or employee of an organization doing business with the educationcorporation through a management, sharedservices, or other services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such entity and the educationcorporation; rather, please identify only the name of the entity, the applicable position in the entity as well as the relationship between such entity and the education corporation.

None

| Nameand <br> Relationship | Entity Conducting <br> Business with the <br> Education <br> Corporation | Nature of the <br> Person's Interest <br> in the Entity | Nature of <br> Business <br> Conducted | Approximate <br> Valueof the <br> Business <br> Conducted | Steps Taken to <br> Avoid Conflict of <br> Interest | Dateof <br> Transaction(s) <br> or "Ongoing" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Trustee Signature

Signature:

## Ashish Doshi <br> Ashish Doshi (Jun 28, 2021 10:51 EDT)

By signing this Disdosure of Financial Interest Form, the trustee certifies that the information contained in this disclosure is true and accurate to the best of his or her knowledge.

## 2021 DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INTEREST FORM

Disclosure of financial interest by a not-for-profit charter school education corporation trustee For the school year ended June 30, 2021

2) Are you related, by blood, marriage, or legal adoption/guardianship, to, or do you cohabitate with, anyperson (any of the foregoing being an "interested person") who is, or, during the last school year (July 1-June 30), was employed by the education corporation, or who could otherwise benefit from your being a trustee? If yes, please identify each interest/ transaction (and provide the requested information) that you ("self") or any interested pers ons have held or engagedin with the educationcorporationduring the prior school year.

| Nameand <br> Relationship | Nature of Financial <br> Interest/Transaction | Approximate Value <br> of the Business <br> Conducted | Steps Taken to Avoid a Conflict of <br> Interest, (e.g., did notvote, did not <br> participate indiscussion) | Date of <br> Transaction(s) <br> or "Ongoing" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

3) Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee, proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estatetrust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the education corporation and in which such entity, during the preceding school year (July 1 - June 30), you and/or an interested person hada financial interest or other relationship. If you or an interested person are a member, director, officer, or employee of an organization doing business with the educationcorporation through a management, sharedservices, or other services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such entity and the educationcorporation; rather, please identify only the name of the entity, the applicable position in the entity as well as the relationship between such entity and the education corporation.

None

| Nameand <br> Relationship | Entity Conducting <br> Business with the <br> Education <br> Corporation | Natureof the <br> Person's Interest <br> in the Entity | Nature of <br> Business <br> Conducted | Approximate <br> Value of the <br> Business <br> Conducted | Steps Taken to <br> Avoid Conflict of <br> Interest | Dateof <br> Transaction(s) <br> or "Ongoing" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Trustee Signature

Signature:

By signing this Disdosure of Financial Interest Form, the trustee certifies that the information contained in this disclosure is true and accurate to the best of his or her knowledge.

## 2021 DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIALINTEREST FORM

Disclosure of financial interest by a not-for-profit charter school education corporation trustee For the school year ended June 30, 2021


## Questions

1) Are you, or have you been during the last school year (July1-June 30), anemployee of the education corporation? [If you checkyes, answer $1 a$ ), 1b), and $1 c$ )].
1a) Description of the position:

| 1b) Salary: |
| :--- |
| 1c) Start date: |

2) Are you related, by blood, marriage, or legal adoption/guardianship, to, or do you cohabitate with, anyperson (any of the foregoing being an "interested person") who is, or, during the last school year (July 1-June 30), was employed by the education corporation, or who could otherwise benefit from your being a trustee? If yes, please identify each interest/ transaction (and provide the requested information) that you ("self") or any interested persons have held or engagedin with the educationcorporationduring the prior school year.

| Nameand <br> Relationship | Nature of Financial <br> Interest/Transaction | Approximate Value <br> of the Business <br> Conducted | Steps Taken to Avoid a Conflict of <br> Interest, (e.g., did notvote, did not <br> participate indiscussion) | Date of <br> Transaction(s) <br> or "Ongoing" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

3) Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee, proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estatetrust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the education corporation and in which such entity, during the preceding school year (July 1 - June 30), you and/or an interested person hada financial interest or other relationship. If you or an interested person are a member, director, officer, or employee of an organization doing business with the educationcorporation through a management, sharedservices, or other services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such entity and the educationcorporation; rather, please identify only the name of the entity, the applicable position in the entity as well as the relationship between such entity and the education corporation.

None

| Nameand <br> Relationship | Entity Conducting <br> Business with the <br> Education <br> Corporation | Nature of the <br> Person's Interest <br> in the Entity | Nature of <br> Business <br> Conducted | Approximate <br> Valueof the <br> Business <br> Conducted | Steps Taken to <br> Avoid Conflict of <br> Interest | Dateof <br> Transaction(s) <br> or "Ongoing" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Trustee Signature

Signature:
By signing this Disdosure of Financial Interest Form, the trustee certifies that the information contained in this disclosure is true and accurate to the best of his or her knowledge.

## 2021 DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INTEREST FORM

Disclosure of financial interest by a not-for-profit charter school education corporation trustee For the school year ended June 30, 2021

## Education Corporation, Trustee Name and Position(s)

| Name of education corporation: | Dream Charter School |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name of trustee(print): | Jonathan Schmerin |
| Position(s) on board, if any (e.g., chair, <br> treasurer, committee chair, etc.): | Board member |
| Email Address: | jschmerin@georgetownco.com |


| Home Address |
| :--- | :--- |
| Please complete with changes only: |
| Street: |
| City, StateZip: |
| Phone: |


| Please complete with changes only: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pusiness Address |  |
| Business Name: |  |
| Street: |  |
| City, StateZip: |  |
| Phone: |  |

## Questions

1) Are you, or have you been during the last school year (July1-June 30), anemployee of the education corporation? [If you checkyes, answer $1 a$ ), $1 b$ ), and $1 c$ )].
1a) Description of the position:

| 1b) Salary: |
| :--- |
| 1c) Start date: |

2) Are you related, by blood, marriage, or legal adoption/guardianship, to, or do you cohabitate with, anyperson (any of the foregoing being an "interested person") who is, or, during the last school year (July 1-June 30), was employed by the education corporation, or who could otherwise benefit from your being a trustee? If yes, please identify each interest/ transaction (and provide the requested information) that you ("self") or any interested persons have heldor engagedin with the educationcorporation during the prior school year.

None

| Nameand <br> Relationship | Nature of Financial <br> Interest/Transaction | Approximate Value <br> of the Business <br> Conducted | Steps Taken to Avoid a Conflict of <br> Interest,(e.g., did not vote, did not <br> participate indiscussion) | Date of <br> Transaction(s) <br> or "Ongoing" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

3) Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee, proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the education corporation and in which such entity, during the preceding school year (July 1 - June 30), you and/or an interested person hada financial interest or other relationship. If you or an interested person are a member, director, officer, or employee of an organization doing business with the educationcorporation through a management, sharedservices, or other services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such entity and the educationcorporation; rather, please identify only the name of the entity, the applicable position in the entity as well as the relationship between such entity and the education corporation.

None

| Nameand <br> Relationship | Entity Conducting <br> Business with the <br> Education <br> Corporation | Nature of the <br> Person's Interest <br> in the Entity | Nature of <br> Business <br> Conducted | Approximate <br> Valueof the <br> Business <br> Conducted | Steps Taken to <br> Avoid Conflict of <br> Interest | Dateof <br> Transaction(s) <br> or "Ongoing" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Trustee Signature

Signature:

## Jonathan E. Schmerin

By signing this Disdosure of Financial Interest Form, the trustee certifies that the information contained in this disclosure is true and accurate to the best of his or her knowledge.

## Certificate of Occupancy

## CO Number:

This certifies that the premises described herein conforms substantially to the approved plans and specifications and to the requirements of all applicable laws, rules and regulations for the uses and occupancies specified. No change of use or occupancy shall be made unless a new Certificate of Occupancy is issued. This document or a copy shall be available for inspection at the building at all reasonable times.
A.

D. Type and number of open spaces:

None associated with this filing.
E. This Certificate is issued with the following legal limitations: None

Borough Comments: None


Borough Commissioner


Commissioner

## Permissible Use and Occupancy




Borough Commissioner


Commissioner

## Permissible Use and Occupancy

| All Building Code occupancy group designations below are 2008 designations. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor From To | Maximum persons permitted | Live load lbs per sq. ft. | Building Code occupancy group | Dwelling or Rooming Units | Zoning use group | Description of use |


| Permissible Use and Occupancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Building Code occupancy group designations below are 2008 designations. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Floor <br> From To | Maximum persons permitted | Live load lbs per sq. ft. | Building Code occupancy group | Dwelling or Rooming Units | Zoning use group | Description of use |

## Certificate of Occupancy

CO Number:
121181158F

| Permissible Use and Occupancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Building Code occupancy group designations below are 2008 designations. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Floor From To | Maximum persons permitted | Live load lbs per sq. ft. | Building Code occupancy group | Dwelling or <br> Rooming <br> Units | Zoning use group | Description of use |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# ASSOCIATED Fire PROTECTION 

100 Jackson Street Phone： $973-684-7250$ NYC MASTER FIRE PIPING CONT．：367A
associatedfire．com

Location：Dream School
1991 2nd Ave
New York，NY 10029

## Contact：Casey Williams

System Description：Combo Standpipe Sprinkler System
System Location：

## Service Interval：Monthly

## Certificate of Inspection

## Combo Standpipe Sprinkler System

This certifies that the equipment described herein was inspected by Associated Fire Protection in accordance with our standard inspection procedures，and left：

〇Operational 〇partially Operational 〇non－Operational

Inspection Date：7／26／2021
Duration： 1.50 Hours
Service Type：Monthly
Inspector（s）：Emmett Brown

Follow－Up Required：$\quad \square$

## I．Initial Actions

1）Were building management and occupants notified of the inspection？
a）Did the building management confirm that there were no changes in occupancy or
1）
 azard since the previous inspection？
b）Name and title of person
2）Was the fire or police department notified of the inspection？
a）Name／ID number of person notified
3）Was the monitoring company notified？
a）Name／ID number of person notified

| Casey |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2）Yes <br> na | $\square \mathrm{No}$ | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | nes <br> na | $\square \mathrm{No} \quad \square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## II．Sprinkler Inspections：

A．Visual Inspection in Sprinkler Room
1）Is the system hydraulically designed？
a）If yes，is the proper nameplate readable and attached to riser？
2）Who is performing monthly inspections？
3）Are the retard chambers，drains，piping，\＆vaives free of leaks？
4）Are the sprinkler gauges in good condition and calibrated within 5 years？
5）Is the alarm valve in good condition and free of visible damage？
6）Are all other valves in good condition and free of visible damage？


7．Key valves identified with signs：
a）Main drain？
b）Main control valve？
c）Inspector＇s test valve？
d）Alarm test？
e）Auxiliary drain？
f）Other
8）Is there a spare sprinkler box？
a）with wrench？
b）with sprinklers？


8）

c）number of spare sprinklers？

100 Jackson Street Phone: 973-684-7250 NYC MASTER FIRE PIPING CONT.: 367A
Paterson, NJ 07501 Fax:

| Location: | Dream School |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 1991 2nd Ave |
|  | New York, NY 10029 |

Contact: Casey Williams

System ID \#
System Description:
Combo Standpipe Sprinkler System

## System Location:

Service Interval: Monthly

## Certificate of Inspection <br> Combo Standpipe Sprinkler System <br> This certifies that the equipment described herein was inspected by Associated Fire Protection in accordance with our standard inspection procedures, and left:

©Operational〇Partially Operational Onon-Operational

Inspection Date: 6/25/2021
Duration: 1.50 Hours
Service Type: Monthly
Inspector(s): Emmett Brown

Follow-Up Required: $\square$

## I. Initial Actions

1) Were building management and occupants notified of the inspection?
a) Did the building management confirm that there were no changes in occupancy or
2) $\begin{array}{ll}\square \text { Yes } & \square \text { No } \\ \square \text { Yes } & \square \text { No }\end{array}$

Casey
2)

3)
 na
3) Was the monitoring company notified?
a) Name / ID number of person notified

## II. Sprinkler Inspections:

A. Visual Inspection in Sprinkler Room

1) Is the system hydraulically designed?
a) If yes, is the proper nameplate readable and attached to riser?
2) Who is performing monthly inspections?
3) Are the retard chambers, drains, piping, \& valves free of leaks?
4) Are the sprinkler gauges in good condition and calibrated within 5 years?
5) Is the alarm valve in good condition and free of visible damage?
6) Are all other valves in good condition and free of visible damage?
7. Key valves identified with signs:
a) Main drain?
b) Main control valve?
c) Inspector's test valve?
d) Alarm test?
e) Auxiliary drain?
f) Other
8) Is there a spare sprinkler box?
a) with wrench?
b) with sprinklers?
c) number of spare sprinklers?

9) 

 12

100 Jackson Street

Location: Dream School 1991 2nd Ave New York, NY 10029

Contact: Casey Williams

System ID \#

System Description: Combo Standpipe Sprinkler System
System Location:
Service Interval: Monthly

## Certificate of Inspection

## Combo Standpipe Sprinkler System

This certifies that the equipment described herein was inspected by Associated Fire Protection in accordance with our standard inspection procedures, and left:

Operational〇partially Operational

Non-Operational

Inspection Date: 5/28/2021
Service Type: Monthly
Inspector(s): Emmett Brown
DaShon Smalls
Duration: 0.75 Hours

## Follow-Up Required:

## I. Initial Actions

1) Were building management and occupants notified of the inspection?
a) Did the building management confirm that there were no changes in occupancy or hazard since the previous inspection?
b) Name and title of person
2) Was the fire or police department notified of the inspection?
a) Name / ID number of person notified
3) Was the monitoring company notified?
a) Name / ID number of person notified

## II. Sprinkler Inspections:

A. Visual Inspection in Sprinkler Room

1) Is the system hydraulically designed?
a) If yes, is the proper nameplate readable and attached to riser?
2) Who is performing monthly inspections?
3) Are the retard chambers, drains, piping, \& valves free of leaks?
4) Are the sprinkler gauges in good condition and calibrated within 5 years?
5) Is the alarm valve in good condition and free of visible damage?
6) Are all other valves in good condition and free of visible damage?
7. Key valves identified with signs:
a) Main drain?
b) Main control valve?
c) Inspector's test valve?
d) Alarm test?
e) Auxiliary drain?
f) Other
8) Is there a spare sprinkler box?
a) with wrench?
b) with sprinklers?
c) number of spare sprinklers?
9) 

VYes


Casey
$\begin{array}{ccc}\text { 2) } \begin{array}{cc}\square \mathrm{Yes} & \square \mathrm{No} \\ \text { na } & \square \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{A} \\ \square \mathrm{Yes} \\ \text { na }\end{array} & \square \mathrm{No} & \square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}\end{array}$

8)


100 Jackson Street
Phone：973－684－7250
NYC MASTER FIRE PIPING CONT．：367A
Paterson，NJ 07501 Fax：
associatedfire．com

Location：Dream School
1991 2nd Ave
New York，NY 10029

| Certificate of Inspection |
| :---: |
| Backflow Preventer |

This certifies that the equipment described herein was inspected by Associated Fire Protection in accordance with our standard inspection procedures，and left：

〇Operational 〇partially Operational 〇non－Operational

Inspection Date：4／20／2021
Duration： 0.33 Hours
Service Type：Annual
Inspector（s）：System Service Subcontractor Nora Singleton

Follow－Up Required：

## I．Initial Actions

1）Were building management and occupants notified of the inspection？
a）Did the building management confirm that there were no changes in occupancy or hazard since the previous inspection？
b）Name and title of person
2）Was the fire or police department notified of the inspection？
a）Name／ID number of person notified
3）Was the monitoring company notified？
a）Name／ID number of person notified

## II．Inspections：

Inspection and test performed on the backflow preventer unit．

## III．Final

1）Has building management been notified that the inspection is complete and the system is back in service and made aware of any deficiencies？
a）Name of person notified
2）Has the fire or police department been notified that the system is back in service？
a）Name／ID number of person notified
3）Has the monitoring company been notified that the system is back in service？
a）Name／ID number of person notified
4）Is a properly completed inspection tag attached to the system？

## IV．Repairs，Deficiencies，\＆Recommendations：

Repairs made to the system：

## Deficiencies：

100 Jackson Street
Paterson, NJ 07501

Phone: 973-684-7250
Fax:

NYC MASTER FIRE PIPING CONT.: 367A
associatedfire.com

Certificate \# Customer \# Location \# Workorder

Location: Dream School
1991 2nd Ave
New York, NY 10029

Contact: Casey Williams

## System ID \#

System Description: Combo Standpipe Sprinkler System
System Location:
Service Interval: Monthly

## Certificate of Inspection

## Combo Standpipe Sprinkler System

This certifies that the equipment described herein was inspected by Associated Fire Protection in accordance with our standard inspection procedures, and left:

Operational
〇Partially Operational ONon-Operational

Inspection Date: 3/29/2021
Duration: 1.00 Hours
Service Type: Monthly
Inspector(s): Carlos Molina

Follow-Up Required:

## I. Initial Actions

1) Were building management and occupants notified of the inspection?
a) Did the building management confirm that there were no changes in occupancy or
2) $\begin{array}{ll}\square \text { Yes } & \square \text { No } \\ \square \text { Yes } & \square \text { No }\end{array}$ Casey
3) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$No
4) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ $\square$ No
 na

## II. Sprinkler Inspections:

A. Visual Inspection in Sprinkler Room

1) Is the system hydraulically designed?
a) If yes, is the proper nameplate readable and attached to riser?
2) Who is performing monthly inspections?
3) Are the retard chambers, drains, piping, \& valves free of leaks?
4) Are the sprinkler gauges in good condition and calibrated within 5 years?
5) Is the alarm valve in good condition and free of visible damage?
6) Are all other valves in good condition and free of visible damage?
7. Key valves identified with signs:
a) Main drain?
b) Main control valve?
c) Inspector's test valve?
d) Alarm test?
e) Auxiliary drain?
f) Other
8) Is there a spare sprinkler box?
a) with wrench?
b) with sprinklers?
c) number of spare sprinklers?

9) 



Contact: Casey Williams

System ID \#
1

System Description: Combo Standpipe Sprinkler System

## System Location:

Service Interval: Monthly <br> \section*{Certificate of Inspection <br> \section*{Certificate of Inspection <br> <br> Combo Standpipe Sprinkler System <br> <br> Combo Standpipe Sprinkler System <br> <br> This certifies that the equipment described herein was <br> <br> This certifies that the equipment described herein was inspected by Associated Fire Protection in accordance with inspected by Associated Fire Protection in accordance with our standard inspection procedures, and left:} our standard inspection procedures, and left:}

1991 2nd Ave
New York, NY 10029
-Operational
OPartially OperationalNon-Operational

Inspection Date: 2/22/2021
Duration: 2.50 Hours
Service Type: Monthly
Inspector(s): DaShon Smalls

Follow-Up Required: $\square$

## I. Initial Actions

1) Were building management and occupants notified of the inspection?
a) Did the building management confirm that there were no changes in occupancy or hazard since the previous inspection?
b) Name and title of person
2) Was the fire or police department notified of the inspection?
a) Name / ID number of person notified
3) Was the monitoring company notified?
a) Name / ID number of person notified

## II. Sprinkler Inspections:

A. Visual Inspection in Sprinkler Room

1) Is the system hydraulically designed?
a) If yes, is the proper nameplate readable and attached to riser?
2) Who is performing monthly inspections?
3) Are the retard chambers, drains, piping, \& valves free of leaks?
4) Are the sprinkler gauges in good condition and calibrated within 5 years?
5) Is the alarm valve in good condition and free of visible damage?
6) Are all other valves in good condition and free of visible damage?
7. Key valves identified with signs:
a) Main drain?
b) Main control valve?
c) Inspector's test valve?
d) Alarm test?
e) Auxiliary drain?
f) Other
8) Is there a spare sprinkler box?
a) with wrench?
b) with sprinklers?
c) number of spare sprinklers?
9) 

 VYes Casey
2) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ n/a
3)
 n/a

6)

| $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No | $\square$ N/A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No | $\square$ N/A |
| $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No | $\square$ N/A |
| $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No | $\square$ N/A |
| $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No | $\square$ N/A |

8) 
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100 Jackson Street Phone：973－684－7250 NYC MASTER FIRE PIPING CONT．：367A
associatedfire．com

Certificate
Customer
Location \＃ Workorde！

Location：Dream School
1991 2nd Ave
New York，NY 10029

Contact：Casey Williams

System ID \＃

System Description：Combo Standpipe Sprinkler System
System Location：

Service Interval：Monthly

## Certificate of Inspection <br> Combo Standpipe Sprinkler System

This certifies that the equipment described herein was inspected by Associated Fire Protection in accordance with our standard inspection procedures，and left：

〇Operational 〇partially Operational 〇non－Operational

Inspection Date：12／11／2020 Duration： 2.00 Hours
Service Type：Monthly
Inspector（s）：Lemuel Griffith

## Follow－Up Required：

## I．Initial Actions

1）Were building management and occupants notified of the inspection？
a）Did the building management confirm that there were no changes in occupancy or hazard since the previous inspection？
b）Name and title of person
2）Was the fire or police department notified of the inspection？
a）Name／ID number of person notified
3）Was the monitoring company notified？
a）Name／ID number of person notified

## II．Sprinkler Inspections：

A．Visual Inspection in Sprinkler Room
1）Is the system hydraulically designed？
a）If yes，is the proper nameplate readable and attached to riser？
2）Who is performing monthly inspections？
3）Are the retard chambers，drains，piping，\＆valves free of leaks？
4）Are the sprinkler gauges in good condition and calibrated within 5 years？
5）Is the alarm valve in good condition and free of visible darnage？
6）Are all other valves in good condition and free of visible damage？
7．Key valves identified with signs：
a）Main drain？
b）Main control valve？
c）Inspector＇s test valve？
d）Alarm test？
e）Auxiliary drain？
f）Other
8）Is there a spare sprinkler box？
a）with wrench？
b）with sprinklers？
c）number of spare sprinklers？

1
$\sqrt{7}$ Yes
$\sqrt{ }$ Yes
 $\square$ No
$\square$ N／A

Casey
2）
$\square$ Yes $\square \mathrm{No}$ N／A

3） $\square$ Yes
 No （V）N／A

1）


2） AFP
3）$\sqrt{ } \mathrm{Yes}$
4）$\sqrt{\square} \mathrm{Yes}$
5）$\sqrt{ } \mathrm{Yes}$
6）$\square \mathrm{Yes}$
$\boxed{\square}$ Yes
$\boxed{\square}$ Yes
$\sqrt{\square}$ Yes
$\square$ Yes
$\square$ Yes


8）
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100 Jackson Street Phone: 973-684-7250 NYC MASTER FIRE PIPING CONT.: 367A
Paterson, NJ 07501 Fax:

Certificate Customer \# Location \# Workorder

Location: Dream School
Bldg\#/Store ID: High School 443 E 115th Street New York, NY 10029

## Contact: Casey Williams

System Description: Wet Sprinkler System

System Location:
Service Interval: Monthly

## Certificate of Inspection

Wet Sprinkler System
This certifies that the equipment described herein was inspected by Associated Fire Protection in accordance with our standard inspection procedures, and left:
©Operational
OPartially Operational
ONon-Operational

Inspection Date: 7/26/2021
Duration: 1.25 Hours
Service Type: Monthly
Inspector(s): Emmett Brown

Follow-Up Required: $\quad \square$

## I. Initial Actions

1) Were building management and occupants notified of the inspection?
2) 


a) Did the building management confirm that there were no changes in occupancy or hazard since the previous inspection?
b) Name and title of person
2) Was the fire or police department notified of the inspection?
a) Name / ID number of person notified
3) Was the monitoring company notified?
a) Name / ID number of person notified
 na

## II. Inspections:

A. Visual Inspection in Sprinkler Room

1) Are the retard chambers, drains, piping, \& valves free of leaks?
2) Are the sprinkler gauges in good condition and calibrated within 5 years?
3) Is the alarm valve in good condition and free of visible damage?
4) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
5) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
6) $\sqrt{ } \mathrm{Yes}$
7) Are all other valves in good condition and free of visible damage?
8) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
9) Is there a spare sprinkler box?
10) Is the control valve in the correct (open or closed) position?
11) Is the control valve either locked or provided with a supervisory switch?
12) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
13) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
14) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
15) Does it appear that the sprinkler room is adequately heated?
16) $\sqrt{ } \mathrm{Yes}$

B. Visual Inspection of the Outside of the Building (Fire Department Connection, Main Drain Outlet, and Inspectorlll's Test Outlet)
17) Is the fire department connection visible and accessible?
18) Is the fire department connection sign visible and legible?
19) Are the plugs or caps in place and in good condition?
20) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
21) $\sqrt{\square} \mathrm{Yes}$
22) $\sqrt{ } \mathrm{Yes}$

III. Final
23) Has building management been notified that the inspection is complete and the
24) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
$\square$ No
$\square$ N/A

Location: Dream School Bldg\#/Store ID: High School 443 E 115th Street New York, NY 10029

Contact: Casey Williams
System ID \# ..... 1
System Description: Wet Sprinkler System
System Location:
Service Interval: Monthly

## Certificate of Inspection <br> Wet Sprinkler System

This certifies that the equipment described herein was inspected by Associated Fire Protection in accordance with our standard inspection procedures, and left:

○Operational〇Partially Operational Non-Operational

Inspection Date: 6/25/2021
Duration: 1.25 Hours
Service Type: Monthly Inspector(s): Emmett Brown

Follow-Up Required:

## I. Initial Actions

1) Were building management and occupants notified of the inspection?
a) Did the building management confirm that there were no changes in occupancy or hazard since the previous inspection?
b) Name and title of person
2) Was the fire or police department notified of the inspection?
a) Name / ID number of person notified
3) Was the monitoring company notified?
a) Name / ID number of person notified

## II. Inspections:

A. Visual Inspection in Sprinkler Room

1) Are the retard chambers, drains, piping, \& valves free of leaks?
2) Are the sprinkler gauges in good condition and calibrated within 5 years?
3) Is the alarm valve in good condition and free of visible damage?
4) Are all other valves in good condition and free of visible damage?
5) Is there a spare sprinkler box?
6) Is the control valve in the correct (open or closed) position?
7) Is the control valve either locked or provided with a supervisory switch?
8) Does it appear that the sprinkler room is adequately heated?
B. Visual Inspection of the Outside of the Building (Fire Department Connection, Main Drain Outlet, and Inspectorlll's Test Outlet)
9) Is the fire department connection visible and accessible?
10) Is the fire department connection sign visible and legible?
11) Are the plugs or caps in place and in good condition?

## III. Final

1) Has building management been notified that the inspection is complete and the
2) $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathrm{Yes}$
$\square$ No

## ASSOCIATED fire PROTECTION

100 Jackson Street Phone: 973-684-7250 NYC MASTER FIRE PIPING CONT.: 367A
Paterson, NJ 07501 Fax:

Location: Dream School Bldg\#/Store ID: High School 443 E 115th Street New York, NY 10029

## Certificate of Inspection

Wet Sprinkler System
This certifies that the equipment described herein was inspected by Associated Fire Protection in accordance with our standard inspection procedures, and left:
-Operational
〇Partially Operational
ONon-Operational

Inspection Date: 5/28/2021
Duration: 1.50 Hours
Service Type: Monthly
Inspector(s): Emmett Brown

Follow-Up Required: $\square$

## I. Initial Actions

1) Were building management and occupants notified of the inspection?
2) $\begin{array}{ll}\square \text { Yes } & \square \text { No } \\ \square \text { Yes } & \square \text { No }\end{array}$
a) Did the building management confirm that there were no changes in occupancy or hazard since the previous inspection?
b) Name and title of person
3) Was the fire or police department notified of the inspection?
a) Name / ID number of person notified
4) Was the monitoring company notified?
a) Name / ID number of person notified


## II. Inspections:

## A. Visual Inspection in Sprinkler Room

1) Are the retard chambers, drains, piping, \& valves free of leaks?
2) $\nabla \mathrm{Yes}$
3) Are the sprinkler gauges in good condition and calibrated within 5 years?
4) Is the alarm valve in good condition and free of visible damage?
5) Are all other valves in good condition and free of visible damage?
6) Is there a spare sprinkler box?
7) Is the control valve in the correct (open or closed) position?
8) Is the control valve either locked or provided with a supervisory switch?
9) Does it appear that the sprinkler room is adequately heated?
B. Visual Inspection of the Outside of the Building (Fire Department Connection, Main Drain Outlet, and Inspectorlll's Test Outlet)
10) Is the fire department connection visible and accessible?
11) Is the fire department connection sign visible and legible?
12) Are the plugs or caps in place and in good condition?
13) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
14) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
15) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$

16) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
$\square$ No

Certificate \# 95303-1 Customer \# 35438
100 Jackson Street Phone: 973-684-7250 NYC MASTER FIRE PIPING CONT.: 367A
associatedfire.com
Location \# 2
Paterson, NJ 07501 Fax:

Location: Dream School<br>Bldg\#/Store ID: High School<br>443 E 115th Street<br>New York, NY 10029

## Contact: Casey Williams

## System ID \#

System Description: Wet Sprinkier System

## System Location:

Service Interval: Monthly

## Certificate of Inspection

Wet Sprinkler System
This certifies that the equipment described herein was inspected by Associated Fire Protection in accordance with our standard inspection procedures, and left:
-Operational OPartially Operational
 Non-Operational

Inspection Date: 4/23/2021
Duration: 1.50 Hours
Service Type: Monthly Inspector(s): Carlos Molina

## Follow-Up Required:

## I. Initial Actions

1) Were building management and occupants notified of the inspection?
a) Did the building management confirm that there were no changes in occupancy or
2) $\square$ Yes $\square$ No azard since the previous inspection?
b) Name and title of person
3) Was the fire or police department notified of the inspection?
a) Name / ID number of person notified
4) Was the monitoring company notified?
a) Name / ID number of person notified

| Casey |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2)Yes <br> na | $\square \mathrm{No}$ | $\square \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 3) |  |  |
| Yes <br> na | $\square \mathrm{No}$ | $\square \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## II. Inspections:

A. Visual Inspection in Sprinkler Room

1) Are the retard chambers, drains, piping, \& valves free of leaks?
2) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
3) Are the sprinkler gauges in good condition and calibrated within 5 years?
4) Is the alarm valve in good condition and free of visible damage?
5) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
6) Are all other valves in good condition and free of visible damage?
7) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
8) Is there a spare sprinkler box?
9) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
10) Is the control valve in the correct (open or closed) position?
11) Is the control valve either locked or provided with a supervisory switch?
12) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$

B. Visual Inspection of the Outside of the Building (Fire Department Connection, Main Drain Outlet, and Inspectorlll's Test Outlet)
13) Is the fire department connection visible and accessible?
14) Is the fire department connection sign visible and legible?
15) Are the plugs or caps in place and in good condition?
16) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
17) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
18) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
$\square$ No
$\square$ No
$\square$ No
$\square$ N/A
$\square$ N/A
$\square$ N/A
III. Final
19) Has building management been notified that the inspection is complete and the
20) $\nabla \mathrm{Yes}$
$\square$ No

# ASSOCIATED HIP PROTECTION 

100 Jackson Street
Phone：973－684－7250
NYC MASTER FIRE PIPING CONT．：367A

Location：Dream School<br>Bldg\＃／Store ID：High School<br>443 E 115th Street<br>New York，NY 10029

Contact：Casey Williams

## System ID \＃

1

System Description：Wet Sprinkler System

## System Location：

## Service Interval：Monthly

## Certificate of Inspection

Wet Sprinkler System
This certifies that the equipment described herein was inspected by Associated Fire Protection in accordance with our standard inspection procedures，and left：

〇Operational 〇Partially Operational 〇Non－Operational

Inspection Date：3／29／2021
Duration： 1.00 Hours
Service Type：Annual
Inspector（s）：Carlos Molina

Follow－Up Required：

## I．Initial Actions

1）Were building management and occupants notified of the inspection？
a）Did the building management confirm that there were no changes in occupancy or hazard since the previous inspection？
b）Name and title of person
2）Was the fire or police department notified of the inspection？
a）Name／ID number of person notified
3）Was the monitoring company notified？
a）Name／ID number of person notified

## II．Inspections：

## A．Visual Inspection in Sprinkler Room

1）Is the system hydraulically designed？
a）If yes，is the proper nameplate readable and attached to riser？
2）Who is performing quarterly inspections？
3）Who is performing monthly inspections？
4）Are the retard chambers，drains，piping，\＆valves free of leaks？
5）Are the sprinkler gauges in good condition and calibrated within 5 years？
6）Is the alarm valve in good condition and free of visible damage？
7）Are all other valves in good condition and free of visible damage？
8．Key valves identified with signs：
a）Main drain？
b）Main control valve？
c）Inspector＇s test valve？
d）Alarm test？
e）Auxiliary drain？
f）Other
9）Is there a spare sprinkler box？
a）with wrench？

1）


Casey
2）$\sqrt{\square}$ Yes $\square \mathrm{No}$
3）$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
Casey notified

1）$\sqrt{\square} \mathrm{Yes}$
 ，Y Yes
2） AFP
3） AFP
4）$\square \mathrm{Yes}$
5）$\square \mathrm{Yes}$
6）$\square \mathrm{Yes}$
7） $\boldsymbol{\square} \mathrm{Yes}$


9）



100 Jackson Street Phone: 973-684-7250 NYC MASTER FIRE PIPING CONT.: 367A
associatedfire.com

Certificate Customer \# Location \# Workorder

Location: Dream School
Bldg\#/Store ID: High School
443 E 115th Street
New York, NY 10029

Contact: Casey Williams

## System ID \#

System Description: Wet Sprinkler System

System Location:
Service Interval: Monthly

## Certificate of Inspection

## Wet Sprinkler System

This certifies that the equipment described herein was inspected by Associated Fire Protection in accordance with our standard inspection procedures, and left:
-Operational OPartially Operational ONon-Operational

Inspection Date: 2/22/2021
Duration: 2.00 Hours

Service Type: Monthly Inspector(s): DaShon Smalls

Follow-Up Required:

## I. Initial Actions

1) Were building management and occupants notified of the inspection?
a) Did the building management confirm that there were no changes in occupancy or
2) 

 hazard since the previous inspection?
b) Name and title of person
2) Was the fire or police department notified of the inspection?
a) Name / ID number of person notified
3) Was the monitoring company notified?
a) Name / ID number of person notified


## II. Inspections:

A. Visual Inspection in Sprinkler Room

1) Are the retard chambers, drains, piping, \& valves free of leaks?
2) Are the sprinkler gauges in good condition and calibrated within 5 years?
3) Is the alarm valve in good condition and free of visible damage?
4) Are all other valves in good condition and free of visible damage?
5) Is there a spare sprinkler box?
6) Is the control valve in the correct (open or closed) position?
7) Is the control valve either locked or provided with a supervisory switch?
8) Does it appear that the sprinkler room is adequately heated?
9) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$

B. Visual Inspection of the Outside of the Building (Fire Department Connection, Main Drain Outlet, and Inspectorlll's Test Outlet)
10) Is the fire department connection visible and accessible?
11) Is the fire department connection sign visible and legible?
12) Are the plugs or caps in place and in good condition?

III. Final
13) Has building management been notified that the inspection is complete and the
14) $\nabla \mathrm{Yes}$
$\square$ No

100 Jackson Street Phone: $973-684-7250$ NYC MASTER FIRE PIPING CONT.: 367A
Paterson, NJ 07501 Fax:

Certificate Customer Location \# Workordel

Location: Dream School
Bldg\#/Store ID: High School
443 E 115th Street
New York, NY 10029

## Contact: Casey Williams

## System ID \#

System Description: Wet Sprinkler System

## System Location:

Service Interval: Monthly

## Certificate of Inspection

## Wet Sprinkler System

This certifies that the equipment described herein was inspected by Associated Fire Protection in accordance with our standard inspection procedures, and left:

〇Operational OPartially Operational
 Non-Operational

Inspection Date: 1/29/2021
Duration: 1.00 Hours

Inspector(s): Carlos Molina

Follow-Up Required:

## I. Initial Actions

1) Were building management and occupants notified of the inspection?
a) Did the building management confirm that there were no changes in occupancy or
2) 



Casey
2)

3)
 $\square$ No hazard since the previous inspection?
b) Name and title of person
2) Was the fire or police department notified of the inspection?
a) Name / ID number of person notified
3) Was the monitoring company notified?
a) Name / ID number of person notified

## II. Inspections:

A. Visual Inspection in Sprinkler Room

1) Are the retard chambers, drains, piping, \& valves free of leaks?
2) Are the sprinkler gauges in good condition and calibrated within 5 years?
3) Is the alarm valve in good condition and free of visible damage?
4) Are all other valves in good condition and free of visible damage?
5) Is there a spare sprinkler box?
6) Is the control valve in the correct (open or closed) position?
7) Is the control valve either locked or provided with a supervisory switch?
8) Does it appear that the sprinkler room is adequately heated?
9) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
10) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
11) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
12) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
13) $\nabla \mathrm{Yes}$
14) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
15) $\square \mathrm{Yes}$
16) 

, Y Yes

B. Visual Inspection of the Outside of the Building (Fire Department Connection, Main Drain Outlet, and Inspectorlll's Test Outlet)

1) Is the fire department connection visible and accessible?
2) Is the fire department connection sign visible and legible?
3) Are the plugs or caps in place and in good condition?

III. Final
4) Has building management been notified that the inspection is complete and the
5) $\sqrt{ } \mathrm{Yes}$
$\square$ No

## Buildings

## Certificate of Occupancy

## CO Number:

121238115F
This certifies that the premises described herein conforms substantially to the approved plans and specifications and to the requirements of all applicable laws, rules and regulations for the uses and occupancies specified. No change of use or occupancy shall be made unless a new Certificate of Occupancy is issued This document or a copy shall be available for inspection at the building at all reasonable times.
A. Borough: Manhattan

Address: 439 EAST 115TH STREET
Building Identification Number (BIN): 1081441

| Block Number: | 01709 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lot Number(s): | 16 |

Certificate Type: Final
Effective Date: 10/02/2018

This building is subject to this Building Code: Prior to 1968 Code
For zoning lot metes \& bounds, please see BISWeb.
B. Construction classification:

1
Building Occupancy Group classification: E
(Prior to 1968 Code designation)
(2014/2008 Code)

Multiple Dwelling Law Classification: None
No. of stories: 3 Height in feet: $30 \quad$ No. of dwelling units: 0
C. Fire Protection Equipment:

Fire alarm system, Sprinkler system
D. Type and number of open spaces:

None associated with this filing.
E. This Certificate is issued with the following legal limitations

None

Borough Comments: None


Borough Commissioner


Commissioner

## Certificate of Occupancy

## CO Number: <br> 121238115F

## Permissible Use and Occupancy

All Building Code occupancy group designations below are 2008 designations.

| Floor From To | Maximum persons permitted | Live load lbs per sq. ft. | Building Code occupancy group | Dwelling or Rooming Units | Zoning use group | Description of use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |




Commissioner










$\nabla_{\text {DREAM }}$

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|  |  | AP Exam Window Opens |  |  |  |  |
|  | Eid Al-Fitr: No School | Mock Regents: Algebra, Living Environment, Earth Science, Global History (9th \& 10th gr) |  |  |  |  |
|  | PK-8 Spring Break: No School for PK-8 Students |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TCP Peer \& Leader Survey Start |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
|  | F\&P Round 4 Starts ( $\mathrm{K}-8$ ) |  |  |  | AP Exam Window Closes |  |
|  | TCP Student Survey Administration (Gr 2-12) |  |  |  | TCP Peer \& Leader Survey End |  |
| 22 | ${ }^{23}$ | 24 | 25 | EOY SEL Survey (Gr 3-12) | 27 | 28 |
|  | DESSA Starts ( K -2) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | F\&P Round 4 Due ( $\mathrm{K}-8$ ) |  |
|  | Spring MAP Administration (K-2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 30 | 31 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Memorial Day: No School |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Makeups (Gr 3-12) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | PK-8 Q4 Grades \& Comments Due |  |  |  |  |




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ BEDS Day SIRS Data

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ There is one ungraded elementary student counted in the total.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The state's guidance for the 4+1 graduation pathway can be found here: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/ciai/multiple-pathways/.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the mathematics Regents exam

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ Based on the highest score for each student on any science Regents exam

[^6]:    $\square$
    $=$ Enter information into the light BLUE shaded cells.
    = Cells labeled in ORANGE containe guidance regarding the input of information.
    $\square=$
    = Cells containing RED triangles in the upper right corner contain "guidance comments" on that particular line item. Please
    "mouse-over" the triangle to reveal each comment.

[^7]:    Charter Funding Alphabetical By NYS School District

    * (Sum of Charter School Basic Tuition and Supplemental Basic Tuition)

[^8]:    

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ BEDS Day SIRS Data

[^10]:    ${ }^{2}$ There is one ungraded elementary student counted in the total.

[^11]:    ${ }^{3}$ The state's guidance for the 4+1 graduation pathway can be found here: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/ciai/multiple-pathways/.

[^12]:    ${ }^{4}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam

[^13]:    ${ }^{5}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the mathematics Regents exam

[^14]:    ${ }^{6}$ Based on the highest score for each student on any science Regents exam

