## Work-Streams for the Principal Project Advisory Team

MEETING-BY-MEETING OUTLINE (SEPTEMBER 12, 2016)

Wed., Sept. 21, 2016	Wed., Oct. 19, 2016	Wed., Nov. 30, 2016	Wed., Jan. 25, 2017	Wed., Feb. 22, 2017	Mar. 22, 2017
1:30 pm – 3:00 pm	10:00 am – 11:30 am	10:00 am – 11:30 am	1:30 pm – 3:00 pm	10:00 – 11:30 am	1:30 – 3:00 am
Framing the project	Learning what works	Setting priorities	Gauging support	Endorsing an approach	Scaling & sustaining
How do we organize so	What is the problem we	What matters most	How do we test the	What mix of options do	Did we get where we
this work matters?	are trying to solve?	(and thus comes first)?	viability of our options?	we propose to forward?	were going?
Concerning our charge,	What is our chief aim?	What does input from	What can be learned	Is there stakeholder	What mix of incentives,
what defines success?	- Tune SBL programs	stakeholders tell us	from models and	feedback we want to	capacities,
	so those who exit	that can help generate	experiences elsewhere in	gather before we move	opportunities, and/or
In terms of system	are able to improve	useful possibilities?	the nation?	on to wrap up our work?	expectations can help
improvement, if we could have what we	schools they lead, or	How do the 4 proof of	What subconvent	What display aptions and	expand, sustain, or
want, what would it be?	- Ensure principal supply matches	How do the 4 areas of interest (standards,	What subsequent (future) steps would	What display options are preferable; what	scale up change and promote continuous
	system demand, or	prof'l development,	strengthen the analysis	communication	improvement in the
Are we open to a better	- System redesign, or	supervision,	and improve the	channels make sense?	system of school
way; what boundaries	- Process	evaluation) map onto	usefulness of results?	channels make sense:	building leader
exist to our creativity?	improvement?	the framework of Bob	userumess of results:	What are the proper	preparation?
	improvement:	Terry (mission, power,	How do the results	uses (and limits) for our	preparation
How are laws, regs, and	What are system	structure, resources)?	from the best options	findings, results, and	How do we gauge
standards related?	strengths, weaknesses,	structure, resources,	or strategies compare?	recommendations?	yearly improvement?
	opportunities, threats?	What options			yearly improvement
If programs vary, how?		(standards, prof'l	How do we confirm	What will we forward as	How comparable are
	What data provide the	development,	that particular	consensus	program comparisons?
What questions exist?	most helpful portrait?	supervision, evaluation)	recommendations are	recommendation(s)?	
		meet success criteria?	plausible and meet our		How do we report (and
What norms guide us?	How do we gauge the		aim of changing the	What should be	when and to whom)?
	value of a prep program?	What are pros/cons for	conversation (and the	included in the	
What thwarts creep?		each option?	system) in a healthy	findings and	What do evaluation
	What can we learn		way?	recommendations?	results show; what may
Are program standards	about the system from	What do we need to			be done differently?
aligned to job demands?	value-stream mapping?	investigate before any	Which option(s) have	What are the most	
		recommendation can	the consensus support	desirable attributes or	Do all support final
How confident are we	When is program	be made concerning a	of the Advisory Team?	capabilities of a leader	recommendation?
that we know how the	comparability useful?	particular option?		tracking tool that would	
system really works?			What adjustments to	identify and follow	What next steps are
	What factors make it	What does common	any option/strategy do	aspiring principals and	possible and what next
Will we subordinate self-	challenging to compare	sense tell us about the	we want to consider?	monitor the career	steps are advisable?
interest so we achieve	the success of various	areas of possible friction		changes of current	
what no one can alone?	leader prep programs?	or turbulence?		principals?	
					l