March 24, 2022

Educator Evaluation Plan - Variance

Jo-Ann Sexton, Superintendent
Whitney Point Central School District
10 Keibel Road
Whitney Point, NY 13862

Dear Superintendent Sexton:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your educator evaluation plan variance application meets the criteria outlined in section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided in your variance application, including the narrative descriptions, certifications, and assurances that are included in the application. During the approved term of this variance, your LEA will implement the variance along with all other remaining provisions of your approved plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan and/or the terms of your approved variance, your LEA must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers’ or principals’ overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class school leader to support their professional growth, and every student achieves success.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Betty A. Rosa
Commissioner

Attachment
c: Allen Buyck
NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your educator evaluation plan variance application have been reviewed and are considered as part of your approved educator evaluation plan variance application; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your educator evaluation variance application but are not incorporated by reference have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your plan or variance and/or require corrective action.

Pursuant to section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, please note that an LEA with an approved variance shall provide to the Department, upon its request, any documentation related to the implementation and efficacy of the approach proposed in the variance, including but not limited to: reports on the correlation in assigned ratings for different measures of the LEA’s evaluation system and differentiation among educators within each subcomponent and category of the evaluation system.

Your variance is approved for the 2021-22 school year. Because you requested this variance to address issues related to COVID-19, the approval of this variance for any future school years is contingent on the continuation of the current COVID-19 pandemic notwithstanding your request for this variance to apply in future school years. Upon expiration of state-imposed restrictions or emergency measures related to the pandemic, or abatement of the pandemic, it is expected that your variance will no longer be required. As such, SED may withdraw its approval of this variance for any subsequent school years or may require a separate application or other documentation for continuation of the variance in future school years. Upon expiration of the approved term of your variance, you must implement the terms of your current plan as approved by the Commissioner.
Educator Evaluation COVID-19 Variance (Education Law §3012-d)

For guidance related to the Educator Evaluation variance, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

At its October 2019 meeting, the Board of Regents amended sections 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents to allow LEAs to apply for a variance from Educator Evaluation plan requirements to permit them to develop and implement new and innovative approaches to evaluation that meet the specific needs of the LEA, upon a finding by the Commissioner that the new and innovative approach demonstrates how it will ensure differentiated results over time and how the results of the evaluation will be used to provide personalized professional learning opportunities to teachers and principals, while complying with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d.

This streamlined form is provided for a variance related only to the ongoing COVID crisis. Districts seeking approval of a variance for a “New and Innovative” Educator Evaluation plan should contact EvalVariance@nysed.gov.

In instances where a variance is approved, the term(s) described in the approved variance will replace the related sections of the LEA’s currently approved Evaluation plan. However, please note that all other terms as are present in the LEA’s currently approved plan will remain in effect and must be implemented without modification.

Once a variance is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA’s Evaluation plan during the approved term of the variance. In any instance in which there is an approved variance and such variance contains information that conflicts with the information provided in the approved Education Law §3012-d Evaluation plan, the provisions of the approved variance will apply during the approved term of the variance.

Variance Application Timeline

Variance applications must be approved by the Department by December 1 of a school year to be implemented in that school year.

Submission by November 1 is suggested to allow time for review, revision and approval in order to meet the approval deadline for implementation in the same school year.

Absent a finding by the Commissioner of extraordinary circumstances, a variance application approved after December 1 of a school year will not be implemented until the following school year.

For more information regarding the variance approval deadline, including a possible extension, please contact EvalVariance@nysed.gov.

Variance Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the contents of this form are in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- Assure that a detailed version of the LEA’s variance is kept on file and that a copy of such variance will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- Assure that this variance will be posted on the LEA’s website, in addition to its current full Educator Evaluation plan, no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan’s approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- Assure that it is understood that this LEA’s variance will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.

Variance Applicability

Teacher Variance

Please check each task included in the variance request for teachers.

- Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations
Principal Variance

Education Law §3012-d requires that the principal evaluation system be aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluation. Therefore, when completing a variance request for the evaluation of principals, the processes identified must be aligned to such requirements.

Please read the options below and check the appropriate box.

- [x] A variance is not requested for any subcomponent or category for principals; all principals will be evaluated using the currently approved Educator Evaluation plan.
Teacher Observation Variance

A variance may be requested for the following components of the teacher observation subcomponent:

- Teacher practice rubric, including rating and scoring and weighting domains/subcomponents
- HEDI scoring bands
- Weighting of the teacher observation subcomponents
- Required principal/supervisor and/or independent evaluator observations
- Optional peer observations

### Applicable Areas

Please indicate the area(s) of the teacher observation subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teacher observation subcomponent weighting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required principal/supervisor and/or independent evaluator observations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Applicable Teachers

Please list all teachers to whom this teacher observation variance request applies.

- If applicable, use the options in the 'Group of Teachers' column, OR select teachers individually in the columns to the right.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups of Teachers</th>
<th>Common Branch</th>
<th>ELA</th>
<th>Math</th>
<th>Science</th>
<th>Social Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All teachers(all grade levels, subjects and courses)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other Courses

Please only check the box below if none of the options for other courses in the table above are applicable (e.g., teachers of art, music, and physical education use different measures and assessments).
Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and identify the group(s) from the applicability page that correspond(s) to the weights listed.

Be sure the combined weights total 100%.

- If there is only one group of applicable teachers for this teacher observation variance, select 'Group 1'.
- If all groups of applicable teachers use the same subcomponent weighting, select 'All applicable teachers listed'.
- Use 'Add Row' to list additional groups that correspond to the row from the applicable teachers table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicable Teachers Row Groups</th>
<th>Principal/Administrator [Required]</th>
<th>Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]</th>
<th>Peer Observer(s) [Optional]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or another trained administrator.

In the table below, indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the observation method, and identify the corresponding group(s) from the applicability page.

- If there is only one group of applicable teachers for this teacher observation variance, select 'Group 1'.
- If all groups of applicable teachers use the same number and method of observations by principals or other trained administrators, select 'All applicable teachers listed'.
- Use 'Add Row' to list additional groups that correspond to the row from the applicable teachers table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicable Teachers Row Groups From the Task 4 'Applicability' page</th>
<th>Subgroup of Applicable Teacher Group(s)</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Minimum number of observations</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Observation method</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Minimum number of observations</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Observation method</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Observation method</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Minimum number of observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1 Tenured</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1 Probationary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)

At least one observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.

In the table below, indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the observation method, and identify the corresponding group(s) from the applicability page.

- If there is only one group of applicable teachers for this teacher observation variance, select 'Group 1'.
- If all groups of applicable teachers use the same number and method of observations by impartial independent trained evaluators, select 'All applicable teachers listed'.
- Use 'Add Row' to list additional groups that correspond to the row from the applicable teachers table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicable Teachers Row Groups From the Task 4 'Applicability' page</th>
<th>Subgroup of Applicable Teacher Group(s)</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Minimum number of observations</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Observation method</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Minimum number of observations</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Observation method</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Observation method</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Minimum number of observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1 All</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Independent Evaluator Assurances
Please read the assurances below and check each box.

☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.
Variance Details
Please read the questions below, answer each prompt in a concise manner, and identify the group from the applicability page that correspond(s) to the information provided.

If there is only one group of applicable teachers for this teacher observation variance, select 'Group 1'.

If one response encompasses all groups of applicable teachers, select 'All applicable teachers listed'.

Use ‘Add Row’ to list additional groups that correspond to the row from the applicable teachers table.

Rationale
Please provide a rationale for this variance request.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicable Teachers Row Groups</th>
<th>Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified COVID-related needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the teacher observation variance request.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Group 1</td>
<td>Administrators/ lead evaluators have had an extraordinary year. The time in their day has been usurped by COVID-19 related management duties. We have been chronically understaffed due to isolations &amp; quarantines requiring administrators to cover themselves or spend a great deal of time figuring out coverage to run their building safely. We have had to spend time every day and night, carrying out contact tracing as has been expected during this pandemic. Additionally, we have had a difficult time attracting and maintaining substitutes due to workforce shortages in our area. All of these issues have resulted in extraordinary demands on the time of administrators. We have historically requested a waiver from the requirement for an impartial independent evaluator. We request that this requirement be waived again this year because we are a small high poverty rural school district, that can't afford to pay for independent evaluators, and, additionally, we do not have available qualified personnel in our area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standards and Procedures
Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA’s most recently approved evaluation plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicable Teachers Row Groups</th>
<th>This description should provide a specific, detailed explanation of the approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request. This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures and/or evidence of teacher practice that will be used to evaluate educators.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Group 1</td>
<td>For tenured teachers, we want to eliminate the requirement for the independent evaluators for tenured teachers and reduce their required observations to one observation conducted by the principal/ trained lead evaluator. We will continue to follow all steps for pre-observation meetings and submissions as is outlined in our APPR agreement. We will continue to utilize all observable components of Domains 1, 2, and 3 of the Danielson 2013 Instructionally Focused Edition A rubric. Domain 1 is scored at the domain level and Domains 2 and 3 are scored at the component level. The scored components from Domains 2 and 3 are weighted equally and averaged to reach a score for that Domain. The scores for Domains 1, 2, and 3 are then weighted equally and averaged to reach a score for that observation and the overall observation component. Domain 4 is observed and included in the narrative of the observation report but not scored. The total score for the Announced observation will represent the entire Teacher Observation Category of the final APPR score. For probationary teachers, we want to eliminate the requirement for the independent evaluator and to reduce</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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This description should provide a specific, detailed explanation of the approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request. This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures and/or evidence of teacher practice that will be used to evaluate educators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicable Teachers Row Groups</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This description should provide a specific, detailed explanation of the approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request. This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures and/or evidence of teacher practice that will be used to evaluate educators.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We will continue to utilize all observable components of Domains 1, 2, and 3 of the Danielson 2013 Instructionally Focused Edition A rubric for the announced observation. Domain 1 is scored at the domain level and Domains 2 and 3 are scored at the component level. The scored components from Domains 2 and 3 are then weighted equally and averaged to reach a score for that Domain. The scores for Domains 1, 2, and 3 are then weighted equally and averaged to reach a score for that observation. Domain 4 is observed and included in the narrative of the observation report, but not scored. The total score for the two required announced observations will be equally weighted and averaged and count for 90% of the total teacher observation component.

These probationary teachers will receive one unannounced observation conducted by the principal or trained lead evaluator and which is scored using components 3a, 3b, 3c, and 3d equally weighted and averaged to derive a score that will count for 10% of the teacher observation component of the total APPR score. For probationary teachers, the announced observations will be equally weighted and averaged. The resulting average will count for 90% of the observation score for probationary teachers. The unannounced observation will be weighted at 10% to equal the total Teacher Observation component score.

**Observation Assurances**

**Please read the assurances below and check each box as applicable to all teachers included in this teacher observation variance request.**

- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved Educator Evaluation plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the process for determining an overall Teacher Observation category score and rating will incorporate the evidence collected across all observations to produce an overall Teacher Observation category rating on a HEDI scale.
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher to obtain any number of points in the applicable scoring ranges, including zero, in each subcomponent.
Variance Details

Assurances: Rigor, Professional Learning, and Effectiveness of Implementation
Please check each of the boxes below as applicable to this variance application.

☑ Assure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.
☑ Assure the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.
☑ Assure that the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

Applicability of Variance

Assurances
Prior to certifying this variance application, please check each of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that this variance application is prompted by the impact of COVID-19 on the LEA.
☑ Assure that when this 2021-22 COVID-19 variance expires on June 30, 2022, your currently approved Educator Evaluation plan will take effect.

Upload Educator Evaluation Variance Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the Educator Evaluation Variance using the "Variance Certification Form" found in the 'Documents' menu on the left side of the page.

WPCSD Waiver Signatures 2022.pdf
APPR VARIANCE CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, check the assurances, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Variance, Education Law §3012-d application.

Assurances: Please check the boxes below

☒ Assure that all information provided in this variance application is true and accurate as of the date that the variance application is submitted.
☒ Assure that once this application is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's approved APPR plan during the effective term of the variance.
☒ Assure that, upon a revocation or non-renewal of a variance application at the end of its effective term, the district shall implement its approved evaluation plan in its entirety and without modification, consistent with all requirements of Subpart 30-3.3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and absent any terms of the variance.
☒ Assure that, where applicable, collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of this variance application that are subject to collective bargaining.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: ___________________________ Date: 3/18/22

Superintendent Name (print): Jo-Ann Sexton

Teachers Union President Signature: ___________________________ Date: 3/18/22

Teachers Union President Name (print): Kim Becker

Administrative Union President Signature: ___________________________ Date: 3/18/22

Administrative Union President Name (print): Murphee Hayes

Board of Education President Signature: ___________________________ Date: 3/18/22

Board of Education President Name (print): Stephanie Champney
June 16, 2016

Revised

Patricia Follette, Superintendent
Whitney Point Central School District
10 Keibel Road
Whitney Point, NY 13862

Dear Superintendent Follette:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner’s Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers’ or principals’ overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

MaryEllen Elia
Commissioner

Attachment

c: Allen D. Bucyk
NOTE:
Pursuant to sections 30-2.14 and 30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must calculate transition scores and ratings for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 ELA and math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores. For the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must establish alternate SLOs for affected teachers and principals who, as a result of the above exclusions, have no remaining measures in the Student Performance Category.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.
Task 1) Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

The Department will review the contents of each school district's/BOCES' Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's/BOCES' plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from a district/BOCES to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. As such, each district/BOCES is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of a district's/BOCES' plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1.1) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- Assure that the content of this form represents the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that a detailed version of the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district/BOCES website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.
- Assure that it is understood that this district's/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.

1.2) Submission Status

Is this a first-time submission under Education Law §3012-d or the submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d?
Task 2) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

(A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher’s students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher’s students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

2.1) Assurances

Please note: NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math Assessments and State-provided growth scores cannot be used for the purposes of providing transition scores and ratings during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, and should be used for advisory purposes only until the 2019-20 school year. Alternate SLOs to be used during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 transition period should be entered in Task 2 (Transition).

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all 4-8 ELA and Math teachers in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that teacher.
- For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for any grade/subject that requires a back-up SLO, but for which there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a teacher-specific SLO from being created, the superintendent or another trained administrator shall develop a school-wide back-up SLO using available State/Regents assessments.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

2.2) Grades 4-8 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

For teachers in grades 4-8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a growth score and rating. That rating will incorporate students’ academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and takes into consideration students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided scores and ratings, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided growth measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score and rating from the State for the full Student Performance category of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Student Performance category of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided growth measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those teachers who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that teacher.

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs for the grade/subject listed beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Assessment</th>
<th>Grade 4 ELA</th>
<th>Grade 4 Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 4 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 4 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 5 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 5 Math</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Assessment</td>
<td>Grade 5 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 5 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 6 ELA</th>
<th>Grade 6 Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Assessment</td>
<td>Grade 6 ELA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 7 ELA</th>
<th>Grade 7 Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents Assessment(s)</td>
<td>Grade 7 ELA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 8 ELA</th>
<th>Grade 8 Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents Assessment(s)</td>
<td>Grade 8 ELA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3) Grade 3 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Grade 3 ELA</th>
<th>Grade 3 Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Assessment</td>
<td>Grade 3 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 3 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4) Grades 4 and 8 Science: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Grade 4 Science</th>
<th>Grade 8 Science</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents Assessment(s)</td>
<td>Common branch</td>
<td>Grade 8 Science</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5) High School Courses Ending in a Regents Exam: Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school courses may be included in the “All Other Courses” section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>US History</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regents Assessment</td>
<td>Global 2</td>
<td>US History</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Living Environment</th>
<th>Earth Science</th>
<th>Chemistry</th>
<th>Physics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regents Assessment</td>
<td>Living Environment</td>
<td>Earth Science</td>
<td>Chemistry</td>
<td>Physics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Algebra I</th>
<th>Geometry</th>
<th>Algebra II/Trigonometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regents Assessment(s)</td>
<td>Common Core Algebra</td>
<td>Common Core Geometry</td>
<td>Common Core Algebra II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.6) High School English Language Arts Courses: Measures and Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school English Language Arts courses may be included in the “All Other Courses” section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: High School English Language Arts

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For high school English Language Arts, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required in at least one year of high school English Language Arts

For grade levels where the Regents exam is not used:

• District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
• State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developedcourse-specific assessments; or
• School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
• District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 ELA</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 ELA</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 ELA</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td>☑ Common Core English</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 ELA</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.7) Grades K-2 ELA and Math: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K ELA</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K Math</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 ELA</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Math</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 ELA</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Math</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.8) Grades 6-7 Science and Grades 6-8 Social Studies: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

• District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
• State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
• School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
• District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 Science</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>[ ] All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Science</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>[ ] All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Social Studies</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>[ ] All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Social Studies</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>[ ] All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Social Studies</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>[ ] All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.9) Regents Global Studies 1: Measure and Assessment(s) (Original)

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the “All Other Courses” section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

• District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
• State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
• School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
• District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for Global Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regents Global Studies</td>
<td>[ ] All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td>[ ] All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>State or Regents Assessment(s)</td>
<td>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</td>
<td>Third Party Assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global 1</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.10) All Other Courses (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Fill in the following, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have SLOs (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 3: subject of the course
- Column 4: measure used
- Columns 5-6: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) subject</th>
<th>(4) measure</th>
<th>(5-6) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Other Courses</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>Common Core English, Common Core Algebra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-3 Art</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Art</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td>Questar III BOCES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 9-12 English Electives</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>English Electives</td>
<td>School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results</td>
<td>All Regents given in building/district</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>97-100</td>
<td>95-92</td>
<td>85-84</td>
<td>75-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>49-54</td>
<td>44-54</td>
<td>39-43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34-38</td>
<td>29-33</td>
<td>25-28</td>
<td>21-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25-28</td>
<td>21-24</td>
<td>17-20</td>
<td>13-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13-16</td>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>5-8%</td>
<td>0-4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one 0-20 score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Common branch teacher with State-provided growth measures for both ELA and Math in grade 4; middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

2.13) Assurances

For guidance on SLOs and the development of back-up SLOs, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the teacher has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 2.11, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

2.14) Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
Task 2) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

(A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher’s students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher’s students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, grades 3-8 NYS ELA/math assessments and any State-provided growth scores may only be used for advisory purposes and may not be used for the purpose of calculating transition scores and ratings.

If grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores are the entirety of the Student Performance category, districts/BOCES must also develop an alternate SLO based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or on State-provided growth scores for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

2.2-2.10) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLO during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, then indicate the applicable courses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Applicable Course(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| District- or BOCES-wide results | ☐ All Regents given in building/district | | | 3 ELA  
|                              |                                | | ☐ | 3 Math  
|                              |                                | |   | 4 ELA  
|                              |                                | |   | 4 Math  
|                              |                                | |   | 5 ELA  
|                              |                                | |   | 5 Math  
|                              |                                | |   | 6 ELA  
|                              |                                | |   | 6 Math  
|                              |                                | |   | 7 ELA  
|                              |                                | |   | 7 Math  
|                              |                                | |   | 8 ELA  
|                              |                                | |   | 8 Math  |

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### 2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

| 20 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

%    %    %    %    %    %    %    %    %    %    %    %    %    %    %    %    %    %    %    %
Task 3) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the Required subcomponent, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

3.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent of the Student Performance Category

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

| NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher. |
4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric
Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

4.2) Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.

☑ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year.

☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

4.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents
For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All Observable Domains/Components will be weighted equally and averaged.

4.4) Calculating Observation Ratings
Assurances
Please check the boxes below.

☑ Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.

☑ Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 4.5 below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please check the box below in the event that your district/BOCES is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department.

☑ Assure that if the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands
### Overall Observation Category Score and Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HEDI Ranges**

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating Category</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.5) Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

**Required Subcomponents:**
- Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators: At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score
- Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

**Optional Subcomponent:**
- Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator.

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.
4.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
☑ Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these teacher(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
☑ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness.
☑ Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
☑ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
☑ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

4.7) Number and Method of Observations

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations for each type of observer, as well as the method of observation, in the tables below.

### Tenured Teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required - Principal/Administrator: Minimum observations</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Probationary Teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required - Principal/Administrator: Minimum observations</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

5.1) Scoring Ranges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Performance Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher Observation Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Overall Observation Category Score and Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Performance Category</th>
<th>Teacher Observation Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
<td>D*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* If a teacher is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the teacher can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

5.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- [x] Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- [x] Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- [x] Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- [x] Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same school district who have received Ineffective ratings under Education Law §3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the district has received a waiver from the Department.
6.1) Assurances: Teacher Improvement Plans

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

TIP Whitney Point Central School District Teacher Improvement Plan.docx

6.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

- Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

6.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
   
   (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and

3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.
Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

Whitney Point Central School District
Procedures for Appealing Annual Professional Performance Reviews

An appeal of Annual Professional Performance Review will be limited to teachers who receive a composite rating of ineffective or developing. Ratings of effective or highly effective cannot be appealed.

• What May be Challenged in an Appeal
Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:
1. The substance of the Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR). This will include the instance of a teacher rated ineffective on the student performance category but rated highly effective on the observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
2. The school district's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;
3. The adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures as required under Education Law §3012-d; and
4. The school district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP), as required under Education Law §3012-d.

• Prohibition Against More Than One Appeal
A teacher may not file multiple appeals regarding the same APPR. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity within one appeal. Any grounds not raised at the time of the appeal is filed shall be deemed waived.

• Burden of Proof
In an appeal, the teacher has the burden of proving a clear legal right to the relief requested and the burden of establishing the facts upon which he/she seeks relief. The burden of proof shall be by the preponderance of the credible evidence.

• Time Frame for Appeal
All appeals must be submitted in writing to the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee no later than ten (10) calendar days of the date when the teacher receives his/her APPR. The failure to file an appeal within this time frame shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned.

• Filing an Appeal
When filing an appeal, the teacher must submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of his/her APPR, TIP (if applicable), and any additional material relevant to the appeal. The APPR and TIP (if applicable), must also be submitted with the appeal. Any information not submitted at the time of the appeal shall not be considered.

• Time Frame for Response
Within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of an appeal, the evaluator/administrator who issued the APPR and/or TIP, must submit a detailed written response to the appeal to the Superintendent or his/her designee and the teacher initiating the appeal. The response must include any and all additional documents or written materials specific to the point(s) of the appeal that support the district’s response and are relevant to the resolution of the appeal. Any such information that is not submitted at the time of the response is filed shall not be considered in the deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal. The Superintendent and the teacher initiating the appeal shall receive the written response and all documentation at the same time.

• Decision-Maker on Appeal
A written response shall be rendered by the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee, except that an appeal may not be decided by the same individual who was responsible for the APPR rating and/or TIP. In such a case, the Board of Education shall appoint another person to decide the appeal.

• Decision
A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than 30 calendar days from the date upon which the teacher filed his/her appeal. The decision shall be based on written record, comprised of the teacher’s appeal papers, and any documentary evidence submitted by the district. Such decision will be final. The decision shall set for the reasons and factual basis for each determination on each of the specific issues raised in the teacher’s appeal. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the teacher and the evaluator or the person responsible for the APPR rating and/or TIP. The time frames referenced above may be extended by mutual agreement of the district and the teacher. Any extensions will be timely and expeditious in accordance to Education Law 3012-d.

6.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

☒ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher’s evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.
6.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.
Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

Whitney Point Central School District

Process for Training Evaluators

A. The district ensures that all evaluators, lead evaluators, and impartial/independent evaluators are appropriately trained. The Board of Education will annually approve the certification and recertification of all evaluators. Certified Administrators serving as lead evaluators will not be prohibited from conducting classroom observations as part of the APPR process prior to completion of the training required by §30-3.10, provided such training is successfully completed prior to the completion of the evaluation.

B. The district participates in training for lead evaluators and evaluators provided by Broome-Tioga BOCES lead evaluators, impartial/independent observers in accordance with the requirements of Education Law 3012-d. Learning opportunities are aligned to all nine required elements for lead evaluators training: (1) The New York State Teaching Standards and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership standards and their related functions, as applicable; (2) Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research; (3) Application and use of the student growth percentile model and any other growth model approved by the Department as defined in section 30-3.2 of this Subpart; (4) Application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal’s practice; (5) Application and use of any assessment tools that the district utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals; (6) Application and use of any locally selected measures of student growth used in the optional subcomponent of the Student Performance Category used by the district to evaluate its teachers or principals; (7) Use of the statewide instructional reporting system; (8) The scoring methodology utilized by the department and/or the district to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including the weightings of each subcomponent within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each subcomponent and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix (is) prescribed by the commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their category ratings; and (9) Specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities.

C. The district participates in training for independent evaluators that is provided by Broome Tioga BOCES. The training is a minimum of eighteen (18) hours and includes:

1. NYS Teaching Standards and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership standards and their related functions, as applicable
2. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research; and
3. Application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal’s practice.

D. Initial training of all evaluators consists of a minimum of eighteen (18) hours of instruction. In addition to participating in learning opportunities consistent with the nine required elements listed in section B, participants will demonstrate inter-rater reliability and inter-rater agreement by:

- Collecting evidence of teacher/principal practices
- Aligning the evidence with the rubric
- Scoring the teacher/principal performance
- Conducting a “post conference/coaching session” with a teacher/principal

E. Additionally, evaluators have the opportunity to participate in customized on site visits, which include shadowing and coaching the evaluator in the observations, evidence collection, rubric alignment and scoring process.

F. Annual Refresher/Recertification training for previously certified evaluators will consist of a minimum of nine (9) hours of training provided by the Broome-Tioga BOCES and the district which may include, but is not limited to:

- Data analysis of scoring trends within districts
- Review of subcomponents of the rubrics
- Use of videos/simulations to collect evidence and “recalibrate”
- Individual or team “coaching the evaluator”
- Facilitated “observation rounds”
- Training and coaching on providing quality feedback to teachers/principals
- Training and coaching on quality TIP and PIP processes

6.7) Assurances: Teacher Evaluation
Please check all of the boxes below.

☐ Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

☐ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.

☐ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.

☐ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner.

☐ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide teachers whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

☐ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

6.8) Assurances: Assessments

Please check all of the boxes below.

☐ Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.

☐ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.

☐ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.

6.9) Assurances: Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

☐ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

☐ Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.

☐ Assure scores and ratings for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.

☐ Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.

☐ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Task 7) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

(A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

7.1) State-Provided Measures of Student Growth (Original)

For principals with at least 30% of their students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model. Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth measure. (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-12, 9-12, etc.). For principals where less than 30% of their students are covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principals shall have an SLO consistent with a goal setting process determined or developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any grade-level/course that ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO. (See Guidance for more detail on principals with State-provided measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those principals who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that principal.

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where State-provided growth measures will apply beginning in the 2019-20 school year (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 7-12, 9-12). For each configuration, also indicate assessment(s) used for the back-up SLO beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Configuration</th>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grades K-6 Building</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NYS Grade 4 ELA, NYS Grade 5ELA, NYS Grade 6 ELA, NYS Grade 4 Math, NYS Grade 5Math, NYS Grade 6 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 7-12 Building</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs beginning in the
2019-20 school year for each grade configuration listed. The SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal’s school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 4          | 8       | • Grade 4 ELA  
|            |         | • Grade 5 ELA  
|            |         | • Grade 6 ELA  
|            |         | • Grade 7 ELA  
|            |         | • Grade 8 ELA  
|            |         | • Grade 4 Math  
|            |         | • Grade 5 Math  
|            |         | • Grade 6 Math  
|            |         | • Grade 7 Math  
|            |         | • Grade 8 Math  |
| 9          | 12      | • All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score |

7.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
☑ Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all principals who receive a State-provided growth score in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that principal.
☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.
7.2) Student Learning Objectives (Original)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs must be used for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, math, and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the grades/courses covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. The district/BOCES must select the type of assessment that will be used with the SLO from the options below.

- If any grade/course in the building has a State-provided growth measure AND the principal must have SLOs because fewer than 30% of students in the building are covered, then the SLOs must begin first with the SGP results.
- Additional SLOs must then be set based on grades/subjects with State assessments for which there is no State-provided growth measure, where applicable.
- If additional SLOs are necessary, principals must begin with the grade(s)/course(s) that have the largest number of students using school-wide student results from one of the following assessment options:
  - State-approved 3rd party assessment; or
  - State-approved district, regionally, or BOCES-developed course-specific assessment.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:
- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: measure used
- Column 4: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grades K-2 Building</th>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) measure</th>
<th>(4) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>Common Core English, Common Core Algebra, Living Environment, Global 2, US History</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 11-12 Building</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Principal-specific results</td>
<td>Common Core English, US History</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for each grade configuration listed. During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, SLOs that use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Principal-specific results</td>
<td>☑ Grade 3 ELA</td>
<td>☑ Grade 3 Math</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

| Highly Effective | Effective | Developing | Ineffective |
7.4) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and math grades 4-8.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

7.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the principal has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a principal's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 7.3, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

7.6) Student Performance Subcomponent Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
Task 7) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

(A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, if excluding grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores results in no remaining student performance measures, districts/BOCES must develop alternate SLOs based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance Category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

7.1-7.2) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where alternate SLOs will apply. For each configuration, also indicate the measure and assessment(s) used for the alternate SLO.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

• Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
• Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
• Column 3: measure used
• Column 4: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) measure</th>
<th>(4) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grades K-2 Building</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 11-12 Building</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Principal-specific results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLOs during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years for each grade configuration listed. In all other school years, the SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal’s school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.
### 7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 7.4) Principals with More than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: [https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d](https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d).

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.
Task 8) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

*Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.*

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that a different measure is used than that for the Required subcomponent in the Student Performance category, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

**8.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent for Student Performance Measures**

*Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO</strong>, the Optional subcomponent WILL <strong>NOT</strong> be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.

☑ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different grade level configurations or building types.

☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

9.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable domains/components will be weighted equally and averaged.

9.4) Calculating School Visit Ratings

Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

☑ Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.

☑ Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 9.5 below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please check the box below in the event that your district/BOCES is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department.

☑ Assure that if the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall School Visit Category</th>
<th>Score and Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HEDI Ranges**

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Developing:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ineffective:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**9.5) Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting**

**Required Subcomponents:**
- School Visits by Supervisor(s) or other Trained Administrator(s): At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score
- School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

**Optional Subcomponent:**
- School Visits by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

*If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee.

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.
9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
☑ Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
☑ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness.
☑ Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
☑ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
☑ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
☑ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

9.7) Number of School Visits

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits for each type of observer in the tables below.

### Tenured Principals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Probationary Principals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

10.1) Scoring Ranges

**Student Performance Category**
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Principal School Visit Category**
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

10.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Performance Category</th>
<th>Principal School Visit Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* If a principal is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the principal can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

10.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.
- [x] Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- [x] Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- [x] Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

11.1) Assurances: Improvement Plans

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such principal’s performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

- Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

PIP Whitney Point Central School District Principal Improvement Plan.docx

11.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

- Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

11.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

   i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

2) the school district’s/BOCES’ adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and

3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district’s/BOCES’ issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.
Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

### Whitney Point Central School District

#### Procedures for Appealing Annual Professional Performance Reviews

An appeal of Annual Professional Performance Review will be limited to principals who receive a composite rating of *ineffective* or *developing*. Ratings of *effective* or *highly effective* cannot be appealed.

**• What May be Challenged in an Appeal**

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal:

1. The substance of the annual professional performance review which shall include a principal rated *ineffective* on the student performance category, but rated *highly effective* on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
2. The school district’s adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;
3. The adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures as required under Education Law §3012-d; and
4. The school district’s issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the Principal Improvement Plan (PIP), as required under Education Law §3012-d.

**• Prohibition Against More Than One Appeal**

A principal may not file multiple appeals regarding the same APPR or PIP. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity within one appeal. Any grounds not raised at the time of the appeal is filed shall be deemed waived.

**• Burden of Proof**

In an appeal, the principal has the burden of proving a clear legal right to the relief requested and the burden of establishing the facts upon which he/she seeks relief. The burden of proof shall be by the preponderance of the credible evidence.

**• Time Frame for Appeal**

All appeals must be submitted in writing to the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee no later than ten (10) calendar days of the date when the principal receives his/her APPR. The failure to file an appeal within this time frame shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned.

**• Filing an Appeal**

When filing an appeal, the principal must submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of his/her APPR, PIP (if applicable), and any additional material relevant to the appeal. The APPR and PIP (if applicable), must also be submitted with the appeal. Any information not submitted at the time of the appeal shall not be considered.

**• Time Frame for Response**

Within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of an appeal, the Superintendent /Evaluator who issued the APPR and/or PIP, must submit a detailed written response to the appeal to the BOCES District Superintendent or his/her designee and the principal initiating the appeal. The response must include any and all additional documents or written materials specific to the point(s) of the appeal that support the district’s response and are relevant to the resolution of the appeal. Any such information that is not submitted at the time of the response is filed shall not be considered in the deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal. The BOCES District Superintendent or his/her designee and the principal initiating the appeal shall receive the written response and all documentation at the same time.

**• Decision-Maker on Appeal**

A written response shall be rendered by the BOCES District Superintendent or his/her designee, except that and appeal may not be decided by the same individual who was responsible for the APPR rating and/or PIP. In such a case, the Board of Education shall appoint another person to decide the appeal.

**• Decision**

A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than 30 calendar days from the date upon which the principal filed his/her appeal. The decision shall be based on written record, comprised of the principal’s appeal papers, and any documentary evidence submitted by the district. Such decision will be final. The decision shall set for the reasons and factual basis for each determination on each of the specific issues raised in the principal’s appeal. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the principal and the Superintendent of Schools or the person responsible for the APPR rating and/or the PIP. The time frames referenced above may be extended by mutual agreement of the district and the principal. All extensions will be timely and expeditious in accordance with Education Law 3012-d.
Please check the box below.

☑️ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

11.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.
Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

Whitney Point Central School District

Process for Evaluating Teachers

A. The district ensures that all evaluators lead evaluators, and impartial/independent evaluators are appropriately trained. The Board of Education will annually approve the certification and recertification of all evaluators. Certified Administrators serving as lead evaluators will not be prohibited from conducting classroom observations as part of the APPR process prior to completion of the training required by §30-3.10, provided such training is successfully completed prior to the completion of the evaluation.

B. The district participates in training for lead evaluators and evaluators provided by Broome-Tioga BOCES lead evaluators, impartial/independent observers in accordance with the requirements of Education Law 3012-d. Learning opportunities are aligned to all nine required elements for lead evaluators training: (1) The New York State Teaching Standards and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership standards and their related functions, as applicable; (2) Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research; (3) Application and use of the student growth percentile model and any other growth model approved by the Department as defined in section 30-3.2 of this Subpart; (4) Application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice; (5) Application and use of any assessment tools that the district utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals; (6) Application and use of any locally selected measures of student growth used in the optional subcomponent of the Student Performance Category used by the district to evaluate its teachers or principals; (7) Use of the statewide instructional reporting system; (8) The scoring methodology utilized by the department and/or the district to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including the weightings of each subcomponent within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each subcomponent and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix (is) prescribed by the commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their category ratings; and (9) Specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities.

C. The district participates in training for independent evaluators that is provided by Broome Tioga BOCES. The training is a minimum of eighteen (18) hours and includes:

1. NYS Teaching Standards and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership standards and their related functions, as applicable
2. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research; and
3. Application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice.

D. Initial training of all evaluators consists of a minimum of eighteen (18) hours of instruction. In addition to participating in learning opportunities consistent with the nine required elements listed in section B, participants will demonstrate inter-rater reliability and inter-rater agreement by:

- Collecting evidence of teacher/principal practices
- Aligning the evidence with the rubric
- Scoring the teacher/principal performance
- Conducting a “post conference/coaching session” with a teacher/principal

Additionally, evaluators have the opportunity to participate in customized on site visits, which include shadowing and coaching the evaluator in the observations, evidence collection, rubric alignment and scoring process.

E. Annual Refresher/Recertification training for previously certified evaluators will consist of a minimum of nine (9) hours of training provided by the Broome-Tioga BOCES and the district which may include, but is not limited to:

- Data analysis of scoring trends within districts
- Review of subcomponents of the rubrics
- Use of videos/simulations to collect evidence and “recalibrate”
- Individual or team “coaching the evaluator”
- Facilitated “observation rounds”
- Training and coaching on providing quality feedback to teachers/principals
- Training and coaching on quality TIP and PIP processes

11.7) Assurances: Principal Evaluation
Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.

☑ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.

☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner.

☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such principals with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

11.8) Assurances: Assessments

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.

☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.

☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.

11.9) Assurances Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

☑ Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.

☑ Assure scores and ratings for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.

☑ Assure that enrolled students in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.

☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Task 12) Upload APPR District Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form.

06-16-2016 Signature Page.pdf
Whitney Point Central School District Teacher Improvement Plan

Purpose:
A Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) is not disciplinary in nature and is intended to support teacher growth, professional practice and effectiveness.

Process:
A TIP shall be developed and implemented for a teacher:
• When the teacher’s performance on the overall composite rating, which includes Student Performance and Teacher Observation is developing or ineffective.
• By October 1st following the school year for which such teacher’s performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

As continuous growth is a keystone to effective teaching:
A TIP may be developed for any teacher at the discretion of the superintendent or his/her designee(s).

TIP Conferences:
The teacher and the superintendent or his/her designee(s), will meet as necessary to review the TIP. Discussions will address areas identified as needing improvement/growth, support team members (optional), timeline for achieving improvement/growth, the manner in which the improvement/growth will be assessed, and differentiated activities to support improvement/growth.

TIP Form:
1. Identified areas of improvement/growth:
2. Support Team Members (optional):
3. Timeline for achieving improvement/growth:
4. The manner in which the improvement/growth will be assessed:
5. Differentiated activities to support improvement/growth:

Teacher’s Signature: ____________________________ Date: ______________________

Superintendent or
Designee’s Signature: ____________________________ Date: ______________________
Whitney Point Central School District Principal Improvement Plan

Purpose:
A Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) is not disciplinary in nature and is intended to support principal growth, professional practice and effectiveness.

Process:
A PIP shall be developed and implemented for a principal:
- When the principal's performance on the overall composite rating, which includes Student Performance and Principal Observation is developing or ineffective.
- By October 1st following the school year for which such principal’s performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

PIP Conferences:
The principal and the superintendent or his/her designee(s), will meet as necessary to review the PIP. Discussions will address areas identified as needing improvement/growth, support team members (optional), timeline for achieving improvement/growth, the manner in which the improvement/growth will be assessed, and differentiated activities to support improvement/growth.

PIP Form:
1. Identified areas of improvement/growth:
2. Support Team Members (optional):
3. Timeline for achieving improvement/growth:
4. The manner in which the improvement/growth will be assessed:
5. Differentiated activities to support improvement/growth:

Principal’s Signature: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________

Superintendent or Designee’s Signature: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________
DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district’s or BOCES’ complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the district’s or BOCES’ complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner’s approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11), as added by Chapter 56 of the Laws of 2015.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

• Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the overall transition rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
• Assure that, during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures in their approved APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents during the transition period; and that original APPR scores and ratings will be provided for advisory purposes only, and will have no impact on employment decisions, including tenure determinations, or teacher and principal improvement plans;
• Assure that, during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, original APPR scores and ratings will not be used as the basis for employment decisions and will only be used for advisory purposes;
• Assure that beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, the original overall APPR scoring pursuant to the district or BOCES approved APPR plan shall be used as the basis for employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
• Assure that the entire APPR review will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal’s performance is being measured;
• Assure that the district or BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category for a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher’s or principal's performance is measured;
• Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the district office and made available to the public on the district’s or BOCES’ website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan’s approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
• Assure that the district or BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations prescribed by the Commissioner;
• Assure that, during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school year, the district or BOCES will continue to report both the original and transition individual category and subcomponent scores and the overall original and transition ratings to the State for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
• Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;
• Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;
• Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities;
• Assure that, during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, any educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating on their overall transition rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year in which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter. Assure that, beginning in 2019-2020 school year, any educator who receives a Developing or Ineffective rating on their original overall rating pursuant to this APPR plan will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year for which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter;
• Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment;
• Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations;
• Assure that the district or BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the district/BOCES;
• Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits;
• Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the district and BOCES shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;
• Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES must be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;
• Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator;
• Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year, on a form prescribed by the Commissioner, to the Commissioner for approval;
• Assure that the alternate SLOs described in Tasks 2 and 7 of this APPR plan will be used as the basis for certain teachers' and principals' transition APPR scores and ratings, where applicable and consistent with section 30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board Regents, during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years only;
• Assure that, beginning in the 2019-20 school year, no transition scores and ratings will be generated and the district or BOCES' original APPR Plan will apply to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the statute, regulations, and SED guidance without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements as a result of the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
• Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
• Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
• Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.
Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date:
[Signature]
[6-16-16]

Teachers Union President Signature: Date:
[Signature]
[6-16-16]

Administrative Union President Signature: Date:
[Signature]
[6-16-16]

Board of Education President Signature: Date:
[Signature]
[6-16-16]