



**STUDENT ASSESSMENTS  
AND ASSOCIATED GROWTH MODELS FOR  
TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL EVALUATION**

**FORM C**

## PUBLICLY AVAILABLE SERVICES SUMMARY

This form will be posted on the New York State Education Department's Web site and distributed through other means for all applications that are approved in conjunction with this RFQ to allow districts and BOCES to understand proposed offerings in advance of directly contacting Assessment Providers regarding potential further procurements.

<b>Assessment Provider Information</b>	
Name of Assessment Provider:	Watertown City School District
Assessment Provider Contact Information:	Terry Fralick, Superintendent of Schools
Name of Assessment:	SLO assessments for grades K-12
Nature of Assessment:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ASSESSMENT FOR USE WITH STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES WITH A TARGET SETTING MODEL; OR  <input type="checkbox"/> SUPPLEMENTAL ASSESSMENT WITH AN ASSOCIATED GROWTH MODEL: <input type="checkbox"/> GAIN SCORE MODEL <input type="checkbox"/> GROWTH-TO-PROFICIENCY MODEL <input type="checkbox"/> STUDENT GROWTH PERCENTILES <input type="checkbox"/> PROJECTION MODELS <input type="checkbox"/> VALUE-ADDED MODELS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
What are the grade(s) for which the assessment can be used to generate a 0-20 APPR score?	K-12
What are the subject area(s) for which the assessment can be used to generate a 0-20 APPR score?	All subject areas
What are the technology requirements associated with the assessment?	All administered on paper – Scantron scored
Is the assessment available, either for free or through purchase, to other districts or BOCES in New York State?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO

**Please provide an overview of the assessment for districts and BOCES. Please include:**

- A description of the assessment;
- A description of how the assessment is administered;
- A description of how scores are reported (include links to sample reports as appropriate);
- A description of how the Assessment Provider supports implementation of the assessment, including any technical assistance. (3 pages max)

**Please provide an overview of the student-level growth model or target setting model for SLOs for districts and BOCES, along with how student-level growth scores are aggregated to the create teacher-level scores, and how those teacher-level scores are converted to New York State's 0-20 metric.**

Our student growth model requires 50% growth (for one year) from pre-tests administered in September to post-tests administered in June for all regular education students in the district. Our student growth model requires 30% growth (for one year) from pre-test administered in September to post-tests administered in June for all special education students. The teacher's rating is determined by the percentage of his/her students meeting or exceeding this growth target. This percentage is placed on the state mandated rating scale to create a 0-20 score and then this score is converted to the state mandated HEDI rating.

#### **New York State Next Generation Assessment Priorities**

Please provide detail on how the proposed supplemental assessment I or assessment to be used with SLOs addresses each of the Next Generation Assessment Priorities below.

<b>Characteristics of Good ELA and Math Assessments (only applicable to ELA and math assessments):</b>	Our assessments, pre and post, were created to test the breadth of the standards in each content area.
<b>Assessments Woven Tightly Into the Curriculum:</b>	Since our assessments test the breadth of each content area's standards, all topics are intimately tied to the curriculum that comes from those standards.
<b>Performance Assessment:</b>	Our tests are all scantron based, multiple choice assessments.
<b>Efficient Time-Saving Assessments:</b>	Our tests are all scantron based, multiple choice assessments designed to efficiently and effectively measure the knowledge of the standards pre and post.
<b>Technology:</b>	We utilize the scantron technology to grade all tests.
<b>Degree to which the growth model must differentiate across New York State's four levels of teacher effectiveness (only applicable to supplemental assessments):</b>	N.A.



# STUDENT ASSESSMENTS FOR TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL EVALUATION

**FORM H**

## APPLICANT CERTIFICATION FORM –ASSESSMENTS FOR USE WITH STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

Please read each of the items below and check the corresponding box to ensure the fulfillment of the technical criteria.


PLEASE SUBMIT ONE "FORM H" FOR EACH APPLICANT. CO-APPLICANTS SHOULD SUBMIT SEPARATE FORMS.

The Applicant makes the following assurances:

Assurance	Check each box:
The assessment is rigorous, meaning that it is aligned to the New York State learning standards or, in instances where there are no such learning standards that apply to a subject/grade level, alignment to research-based learning standards.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
To the extent practicable, the assessment must be valid and reliable as defined by the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The assessment can be used to measure one year's expected growth for individual students.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
For K-2 assessments, the assessment is not a "Traditional Standardized Assessment" as defined in Section 1.3 of this RFQ.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
For assessments previously used under Education Law §3012-c, the assessment results in differentiated student-level performance. If the assessment has not produced differentiated results in prior school years, the applicant assures that the lack of differentiation is justified by equivalently consistent student results based on other measures of student achievement.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
For assessments not previously used in teacher/principal evaluation, the applicant has a plan for collecting evidence of differentiated student results such that the evidence will be available by the end of each school year.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
At the end of each school year, the applicant will collect evidence demonstrating that the assessment has produced differentiated student-level results and will provide such evidence to the Department upon request. <sup>4</sup>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

<sup>4</sup> Please note, pursuant to Section 2.3 of this RFQ, an assessment may be removed from the approved list if such assessment does not comply with one or more of the criteria for approval set forth in this RFQ

**To be completed by the Copyright Owner/Assessment Representative of the assessment being proposed and, where necessary, the co-applicant LEA:**

<b>Watertown City School District</b> 1. Name of Organization (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	 4. Signature of Authorized Representative (PLEASE USE <b>BLUE</b> INK)
<b>Terry Fralick</b> 2. Name of Authorized Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	5. Date Signed <b>8/1/16</b>
<b>Superintendent of Schools</b> 3. Title of Authorized Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	

1. Name of LEA (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	4. Signature of School Representative (PLEASE USE <b>BLUE</b> INK)
2. School Representative's Name (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	5. Date Signed
3. Title of School Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	