THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Interim Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov
Twitter:@NYSEDNews
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

November 18, 2020

APPR Plan - Variance

Mr. John Carmello, Superintendent
Troy City School District
2920 Fifth Avenue
Troy, NY 12180

Dear Superintendent Carmello:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan variance application meets the criteria outlined in section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided in your variance application, including the narrative descriptions, certifications, and assurances that are included in the application. During the approved term of this variance, your LEA will implement the variance along with all other remaining provisions of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan and/or the terms of your approved variance, your LEA must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class school leader to support their professional growth, and every student achieves success.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Betty A. Rosa
Interim Commissioner

Attachment

c: Gladys Cruz

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR variance application have been reviewed and are considered as part of your approved APPR variance application; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR variance application but are not incorporated by reference have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan or variance and/or require corrective action.

Pursuant to section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, please note that an LEA with an approved variance shall provide to the Department, upon its request, any documentation related to the implementation and efficacy of the approach proposed in the variance, including but not limited to: reports on the correlation in assigned ratings for different measures of the LEA's evaluation system and differentiation among educators within each subcomponent and category of the evaluation system

Your variance is approved for the 2020-21 school year. Because you requested this variance to address issues related to COVID-19, the approval of this variance for any future school years (up to a three school year period) is contingent on the continuation of the current COVID-19 pandemic notwithstanding your request for this variance to apply in future school years. Upon expiration of state-imposed restrictions or emergency measures related to the pandemic, or abatement of the pandemic, it is expected that your variance will no longer be required. As such, SED may withdraw its approval of this variance for any subsequent school years or may require a separate application or other documentation for continuation of the variance in future school years. Upon expiration of the approved term of your variance, you must implement the terms of your current APPR plan as approved by the Commissioner.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 1. General Information - General Information

Page Last Modified: 10/20/2020

Annual Professional Performance Review Variance (Education Law 3012-d)

For guidance related to the Annual Professional Performance Review variance, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

At its October 2019 meeting, the Board of Regents amended sections 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents to allow LEAs to apply for a variance from Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan requirements to permit them to develop and implement new and innovative approaches to evaluation that meet the specific needs of the LEA, upon a finding by the Commissioner that the new and innovative approach demonstrates how it will ensure differentiated results over time and how the results of the evaluation will be used to provide personalized professional learning opportunities to teachers and principals, while complying with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d.

In instances where a variance is approved, the term(s) described in the approved variance will replace the related sections of the LEA's currently approved APPR plan.However, please note that all other terms as are present in the LEA'scurrently approved plan will remain in effect and must be implemented without modification.

Once a variance is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's APPR plan during the approved term of the variance. **In any instance in which there is an approved variance and such variance contains information that conflicts with the information provided in the approved Education Law §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved variance will apply during the approved term of the variance.**

Variance Application Timeline

Variance applications must be submitted to the Department by **December 1 of a school year** to be implemented in that school year.

Failure to submit a variance application using this form by the December 1 deadline will result in the LEA's implementing the procedures described in its currently approved Education Law §3012-d APPR plan for that school year.

Variance Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- ☑ Assure that the contents of this form are in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- ☑ Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's variance is kept on file and that a copy of such variance will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- ☑ Assure that this variance will be posted on the LEA's website, in addition to its current full APPR plan, no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- ☑ Assure that it is understood that this LEAs variance will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website* following approval.

Teacher Variance

Please check the appropriate box below.

- ☑ Assure that any task not included in the following variance request(s) for teachers will be carried out in the manner described in the currently approved APPR plan.

Principal Variance

Education Law §3012-d requires that the principal evaluation system be aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluation. Therefore, when completing a variance request for the evaluation of principals, the processes identified must be aligned to such requirements.

Please check the appropriate box below.

- ☑ A variance is not requested for any subcomponent or category for principals; all principals will be evaluated using the currently approved APPR plan.

Page Last Modified: 10/20/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category,see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Education Law §3012-d requires that each teacher havea Student Learning Objective (SLO)consistent with a goal-setting process based on appropriate growth targets.The process must include, at a minimum, the following elements:

A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components),

Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance),

A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20,

A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating.

This requirement must be met through currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an SLO process for a teacher or group of teachers that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

- ☑ A variance is not requested for the required student performance subcomponent for teachers.
- ☐ The details of the variance request for the required student performance subcomponent for teachers is described in the subsequent section.

Page Last Modified: 10/20/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an optional second measure for a teacher or group of teachers that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ A variance is not requested for the optional student performance subcomponent for teachers.

Page Last Modified: 10/23/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to evaluate teacher practice in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ The details of the variance request for the teacher observation category is described in the subsequent section.

Page Last Modified: 11/04/2020

Applicable Teachers

Please indicate all teachers to whomthis teacher observation variance request applies.

Core Teachers

Use the table below to list the core teachers this teacher observation variance request is applicable to (teachers of other courses should be listed in the subsequent section).

	All Core Teachers	Common Branch	ELA	Math	Science	Social Studies
Courses	☑ All core teachers (K-3; 4-8 ELA, math, science, social studies; high school ELA and Regents courses) [if this option is selected, please do not make selections in subsequent columns]					

Other Teachers

☐ Teachers of other courses are not included in this teacher observation variance request.

☑ Teachers of other courses included in this teacher observation variance request are listed in the table below.

Fill in the following for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that are included in this teacher observation variance request:

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above
K-3 Art	K	3	Art
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives

Click "Add Row" to add additional courses. Only list additional courses if they are included in this teacher observation variance request.

Grade From	Grade To	Subject
K	12	All courses not named above

Applicable Areas

A variance may be requested for the following components of the teacher observation subcomponent:

Page Last Modified: 11/04/2020

- Teacher practice rubric
- Rating and scoring of the teacher practice rubric
- Weighting of thedomains/subcomponentsof the teacher practice rubric
- HEDI scoring bands
- Weighting of the teacher observation subcomponents
- Required principal/supervisor observations
- Required independent evaluator observations
- Optional peer observations

Please indicate the area(s) of the teacher observation subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

- ☑ Rating and scoring of the teacher practice rubric
- ☑ Required principal/supervisor observations
- ☑ Required independent evaluator observations

Rating and Scoring of the Teacher Practice Rubric
Please describe the process for rating and scoring the chosen practice rubric.

Instead of rating every component of the rubric for every teacher over the course of the year, we are requesting to rate only those components that are observed. In that we are proposing to reduce the number of teacher observations for both tenured and probationary teachers, we are requesting to waive the use of an independent evaluator.
With regard to the process for rating and scoring the rubric, we use theentire Danielson 2013 rubric and any component observed will be scored using a 1-4 rating. Each component observed within a domain will receive a scoreof 1, 2, 3 or 4 as aligned with the performance level on the rubric. These scores will be added together and divided by the number of components observed within the domain. This will be the calculation for determining the score at the domain level.Each domain will be weighted equally and averaged for a final observation score.

Page Last Modified: 10/23/2020

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or another trained administrator.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.
If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

	Minimum number of observations	**Observation method** (*check all that apply)*	**Other observation method** *(only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)*
Unannounced	1	☑ Live	(No Response)
Announced	0	☑ N/A	(No Response)
Totals:	**1**		

To which teachers does the information in the above table apply?

☑ A subgroup of teachers listed in the 'Applicability' section (provide details below).

Describe the subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table above applies (i.e., probationary teachers), then complete the next page for an additional subgroup.

Tenured

Page Last Modified: 10/23/2020

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or another trained administrator.

Describe the subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table below applies (i.e., tenured teachers).

Probationary

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.
If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

	Minimum number of observations	**Observation method** (*check all that apply)*	**Other observation method** *(only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)*
Unannounced	1	☑ Live	(No Response)
Announced	1	☑ Live	(No Response)
Totals:	**2**		

Page Last Modified: 11/03/2020

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)

Independent Evaluator Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Number and Method of Observation
Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.At least one observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.
If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

	Minimum number of observations	**Observation method** *(check all that apply)*	**Other observation method** *(only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)*
Unannounced	0	☑ N/A	(No Response)
Announced	0	☑ N/A	(No Response)
Totals:	**0**		

To which teachers does the information in the above table apply?

- ☑ All teachers listed in the 'Applicability' section.

Page Last Modified: 11/04/2020

Variance Details

Standards and Procedures

Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan. This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request (e.g., a description of the alternate measures and/or evidence of teacher practice that will be used to evaluate educators).

The Troy City School District respectfully submits this APPR Variance application and seeks to do the following:

- **Reduce the number of observations for tenured teachers to one unannounced observation;**
- **Reduce the number of observations for probationary teachers to one announced observation and one unannounced observation, for a total of two observations;**
- **Waive the local practice of scoring every component of the Danielson 2013 rubric for every teacher over the course of the year; and**
- **Demonstrate flexibility in using independent evaluators as lead evaluators.**

Our current instructional models in Troy include in person instruction, fully remote instruction, and a variety of hybrids.

Rationale

Please provide a rationale for this variance request. Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the teacher observation variance request.

The Troy City School District is requesting an APPR variance for a period of two years that will essentially reduce the total number of observations required for both tenured and probationary teachers *so that we can build the capacity of teachers and leaders to effectively implement remote/hybrid learning for all students*. We are also seeking to waive the local practice that all rubric components must be observed for every teacher by the end of the year. Instead, we are asking that certified lead evaluators only score those components of the rubric that are observed. Finally, in an effort to minimize the number of visitors in our school buildings and the number of administrators traveling between schools, we are requesting an independent evaluator hardship waiver for the same two year period. As a result, teachers may receive observations performed by certified lead evaluators who work within the same school with the same BEDS code, and/or an independent evaluator acting as a lead evaluator.

We believe the requested modifications to our APPR process will reduce the amount of time needed to schedule and complete all of the observations conducted in the traditional format, including pre-observation conferences, observations, and post-observation conferences. Reducing the number and frequency of observations for the purposes of APPR will allow school leaders, district leaders, teachers and staff to have more time to spend on all matters related to shifting to a variety of new models of teaching, learning and supervising. The teaching and learning in Troy is synchronous daily for all students, with some asynchronous portions, resulting in multiple "classroom" formats at the elementary, middle and high school levels. Each of these formats requires modifications and/or accommodations for Students with Disabilities and English Language Learners. In addition, the district has prioritized engaging families as partners in both fully remote and hybrid learning environments and ensuring students' overall well being. As a result, we continue to invest heavily in the areas of integrating social emotional learning (SEL), utilizing trauma-sensitive strategies and culturally responsive practices as they relate to each of the formats for teaching and learning noted above. The variance requested would allow more time to fully achieve the priority goals outlined above.

Page Last Modified: 11/04/2020

Rigor

Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators. This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies; and how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.

We believe the requested variance will allow the Troy City School District to design and deliver a rigorous Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) model - one that delivers honest, evidence-based feedback to teachers that is aligned to the rubric, coupled with extensive embedded classroom support designed to improve teacher practice. We are not asking to make any changes to our approved rubric, as Charlotte Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2013 version) is a rigorous articulation of what teachers should know and be able to do in the exercise of their profession. We also recognize during remote teaching that some of the components of the rubric may be more readily observed as noted in Danielson's The Framework for Remote Teaching (2020).

The teacher observation process in the district will continue to be both rigorous and iterative. First, teachers are observed by lead evaluators trained in evidence-based observational practices. The evidence collected is then aligned to the components of Danielson's 2013 rubric and aligned with the appropriate performance level. Teachers are asked to reflect upon their practice, noting changes they would make with regard to a lesson or unit, identfying ways that the school and district may support their improvement. Lead evaluators provide feedback to teachers, noting strengths, weakness and explicit ways to improve teacher practice to move to a higher performance level in a given component or group of components. A plan for support is included in the feedback to teachers. Teachers are empowered to use the wealth of resources available to them to respond to those components identified as needing improvement (i.e. time with instructional coaches/technology coaches, use of embedded staff developers, and participation in forums/workshops/conferences to name a few). This represents the ways in which the district identifies support needed at the teacher and classroom level, based upon observation data. Additionally, school and district leaders analyze data at the system level by monitoring teacher practice strengths and weaknesses across all schools throughout the year. As such, district and school leaders examine teacher practice data in real time, to identify common areas of need across schools and the district as a whole. Both the Annual Professional Performance Review Committe and the Professional Development Planning Committee utilize this data to monitor teachers' progress and set up district-wide training and support that responds to the demonstrated needs. For example, a careful examination of our most recent teacher observation and evaluation data in Troy (covering last year through March 2020) revealed that the top three components in need of improvmeent include Danielson's components 3b, 3c and 3d. As a result, the monthly professional forums and paid work in the summer of 2020 focused on developing teacher capacity related to those areas. This process takes place every year and will continue. In that tenured teachers are only receiving one observation for the purposes of APPR, we will work to ensure that any feedback/suggestions/support are followed up by school leaders as they conduct additional classroom visits throughout the year.

We believe the requested variance will allow us to spend less time conducting observations and more time providing expert professional development and embedded coaching support in classrooms that target the demonstrated needs in our district right now and recognize the changing nature of the evidence we are collecting. We are confident that we can speak to teacher practice at the individual level and collectively in real time throughout the year. Understanding where each component of teacher practice falls on the levels of performance ensures that we are better able to target improvements. We believe that this model will ultimately result in more rigorous teaching, learning and supervision practices.

Page Last Modified: 11/04/2020

Professional Learning

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators. This description should include methodologies and procedures for collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning, specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated, processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.

The APPR process in the Troy City School District is connected to a robust and dynamic system for professional learning. Teacher practice data is carefully analyzed by district and building leaders and used to drive improvement efforts. The Board of Education and district leaders remain intensely committed to supporting teachers' growth. As a result, teachers have access to a wealth of professional learning opportunities that include, but are not limited to, the following:

- **Conferences at the local, state, national and international levels;**
- **Embedded coaching support provided by ten district-wide instructional coaches;**
- **Embedded technology support provided by two district-wide instructional technology coaches;**
- **Embedded literacy support provided by staff developers as a result of a district partnership with Lucy Calkins' - Teachers College Reading and Writing Project (the district has purchased fifty days of embedded support);**
- **Embedded mathematics support provided by staff developers as a result of a district partnership with Bank Street;**
- **Extensive induction and mentoring support for all teachers in the initial years of the profession (including ten monthly forums over the course of the year that respond to the demonstrated needs of each cohort, respectively);**
- **Opportunities to shadow expert teachers within the district; and**
- **Opportunities to conduct scouting missions to visit innovative classrooms and programs outside the district.**

Additionally, a reduction of the total number of observations required for both tenured and probationary teachers will allow the Troy City School District an opportunity to build on the gains our teachers and leaders have made examining data related to our APPR process and implementing various professional development opportunities that help our students to be more successful. We look forward to working as a school community to foster improved student achievement and provide opportunities for our staff to work collaboratively in order to achieve that goal.

Page Last Modified: 11/04/2020

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance. This description should include processes and procedures for collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data, the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and how results will be used to inform future implementation.

The goal of the Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) process is to improve the quality of instruction and the delivery of programs and services in our schools leading to improved student outcomes across many areas: academic achievement, social emotional well being, attendance, behavior, and college, career and civic readiness. As noted in a previous section, the goal of the requested variance is to reduce the total number of observations required for both tenured and probationary teachers so that we can build the capacity of teachers and leaders to effectively implement remote/hybrid learning for all students. We will assess the effectiveness of implementation by using all of the following:

- **District leaders will analyze teacher practice data from Frontline Professional Growth (the software used in the district for teacher observation/evaluation) at the teacher (classroom), school and district levels to best identify strengths and weaknesses over time;**
- The Professional Development Planning Committee will examine teacher practice data from 20-21, noting year over year trends (i.e. Did we make improvements upon those areas identified in need of improvement based onthe2019-2020analysis of teacher evaluation data?);
- The district will administer surveys to faculty, staff, students and parents related to school leadership, teaching and learning, curriculum, social emotional support and learning and family engagement. This data will be carefully examined with a specific emphasis on those items related to teaching and learning to assess whether improvements have been made.
- Both theProfessional Development Planning Committee and the Annual Professional Performance Review Committee will meet to discuss the extent to which the goals of the APPR process were achieved during the 2020-2021 school year.

It is worth noting that the bulleted practices noted above are yearly practices taking place in the Troy City School District as part of the evaluation of programs and services. We examine district-level and school-based data each year to better understand how we can improve the delivery of programs and services in Troy. In the Spring of 2021, we will work to carefully analyze all available data (teacher practice data, survey data, student outcome data, etc.) related to the effectiveness of the APPR process this year so that we can make recommendations to either keep the plan as is, make modifications to the plan and submit a new variance request or revert back to the plan approved prior to the submission of the 20-21 variance request. At that point, we will use all of the available evidence to make a determination about the best plan moving forward into the following year, and we will reach out to the New York State Education Department as appropriate.

Observation Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below as applicable to all teachers included in this teacher observation variance request.

- ☑ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- ☑ Assure that once all observations are complete, the process for determining an overall Teacher Observation category score and rating will incorporate the evidence collected across all observations to produce an overall Teacher Observation category rating on a HEDI scale.
- ☑ Assure that it is possible for a teacher to obtain any number of points in the applicable scoring ranges, including zero, in each subcomponent.

Page Last Modified: 10/23/2020

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, seeNYSED APPR Guidance.

Education Law §3012-d requires that each teacherbe given a final score for both the Student Performance and Teacher Observation categories, which will be converted to a final category ratingbased on the HEDI scale, and that these ratings be used to provide an Overall Rating using the prescribed scoring matrix.

These requirements must be met through either the LEA's current APPR plan or this variance. To the extent that the variance does not address a requirement, the currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to define the HEDI ranges for the Student Performance and/or Teacher Observation category that is different than those included in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ A variance is not requested for category ratings for teachers.

Page Last Modified: 10/23/2020

Additional Requirements for Teachers

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, seeNYSED APPR Guidance.

Education Law §3012-d requires that a complete APPR plan must include a process for teacher improvement plans, appeals, and evaluator training as determined by the Commissioner.The following minimum requirements under Education Law §3012-d are applicable to teachers:

A form for development of a Teacher Improvement Plan,

A timely and expeditious process for resolving educator's appeals ofAPPR ratings,

A process for training all evaluators of applicable educators.

These requirements must be met through either the LEA's current APPR plan or this variance. To the extent that the variance does not address a requirement, the currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process for Teacher Improvement Plans, appeals and/or training in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☑ A variance is not requested for teacher improvement plans, appeals, or training.
- ☐ The details of the variance request applicable to teacher improvement plans, appeals, and/or training is described in the subsequent section.

Page Last Modified: 11/04/2020

Applicability of Variance

Need for Variance

Please make the appropriate selection below.

- ☑ The submission of this variance application is prompted by the impact of COVID-19 on the LEA.

Instructional Model

- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if an in-person instructional model is implemented.
- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if a remote instructional model is implemented.
- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if a hybrid instructional model is implemented.
- ☑ The processes identified in this variance application apply regardless of the instructional model implemented.

Variance Duration

An Annual Professional Performance Review Variance under Education Law §3012-d may be approved forup to THREE (3) years.

Please indicate below the school years to which this variance application will apply.

One, two, or three consecutive academic years may be selected.

- ☑ 2020-21
- ☑ 2021-22

Upload APPR Variance Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Variance using the "Variance Certification Form" found in the 'Documents' menu on the left side of the page.

APPR Variance Signature Page 11-4-2020.pdf

APPR VARIANCE CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, check the assurances, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Variance, Education Law §3012-d application.

Assurances: Please check the boxes below

- ☐ Assure that all information provided in this variance application is true and accurate as of the date that the variance application is submitted.
- ☐ Assure that once this application is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's approved APPR plan during the effective term of the variance.
- ☐ Assure that, upon a revocation or non-renewal of a variance application at the end of its effective term, the district shall implement its approved evaluation plan in its entirety and without modification, consistent with all requirements of Subpart 30-3.3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and absent any terms of the variance.
- ☐ Assure that, where applicable, collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of this variance application that are subject to collective bargaining.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date: 11/4/2020

Superintendent Name (print):

John Carmello

Teachers Union President Signature: Date: 11/4/2020

Teachers Union President Name (print):

Elizabeth Willson

Administrative Union President Signature: Date: 11/4/2020

Administrative Union President Name (print):

James Canfield

Board of Education President Signature: Date: 11/4/2020

Board of Education President Name (print):

Thomas Mayo

THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov
Twitter:@NYSEDNews
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

August 19, 2016

Revised

Mr. John Carmello, Superintendent
Troy City School District
2920 Fifth Avenue
Troy, NY 12180

Dear Superintendent Carmello:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

MaryEllen Elia
Commissioner

Attachment

c: Gladys Cruz

NOTE:
Pursuant to sections 30-2.14 and 30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must calculate transition scores and ratings for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 ELA and math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores. For the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must establish alternate SLOs for affected teachers and principals who, as a result of the above exclusions, have no remaining measures in the Student Performance Category.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 1. School District Information - Tasks 1.1, 1.2

Page Last Modified: 08/19/2016

Task 1) Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

The Department will review the contents of each school district's/BOCES' Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's/BOCES' plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from a district/BOCES to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. As such, each district/BOCES is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of a district's/BOCES' plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1.1) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- ☑ Assure that the content of this form represents the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that a detailed version of the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district/BOCES website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.
- ☑ Assure that it is understood that this district's/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.

1.2) Submission Status

Is this a first-time submission under Education Law §3012-d or the submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d?

First-time submission under Education Law §3012-d

Task 2) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

(A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

2.1) Assurances

Please note: NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math Assessments and State-provided growth scores cannot be used for the purposes of providing transition scores and ratings during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, and should be used for advisory purposes only until the 2019-20 school year. Alternate SLOs to be used during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 transition period should be entered in Task 2 (Transition).

Please check the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- ☑ Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all 4-8 ELA and Math teachers in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that teacher.
- ☑ For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for any grade/subject that requires a back-up SLO, but for which there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a teacher-specific SLO from being created, the superintendent or another trained administrator shall develop a school-wide back-up SLO using available State/Regents assessments.
- ☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

2.2) Grades 4-8 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a growth score and rating. That rating will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and takes into consideration students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided scores and ratings, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided growth measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score and rating from the State for the full Student Performance category of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Student Performance category of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided growth measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those teachers who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that teacher.

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs for the grade/subject listed beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

	Grade 4 ELA	**Grade 4 Math**
State Assessment	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math

Page Last Modified: 08/19/2016

	Grade 5 ELA	**Grade 5 Math**
State Assessment	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math

	Grade 6 ELA	**Grade 6 Math**
State Assessment	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math

	Grade 7 ELA	**Grade 7 Math**
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math

	Grade 8 ELA	**Grade 8 Math**
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 8 ELA	Grade 8 Math and Common Core Algebra

2.3) Grade 3 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 3 ELA	**Grade 3 Math**
State Assessment	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math

2.4) Grades 4 and 8 Science: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 4 Science	Grade 8 Science
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 4 Science	Grade 8 Science

2.5) High School Courses Ending in a Regents Exam: Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Global 2	**US History**
Regents Assessment	Global 2	US History

	Living Environment	**Earth Science**	Chemistry	Physics
Regents Assessment	Living Environment	Earth Science	Chemistry	Physics

	Algebra I	**Geometry**	**Algebra II/Trigonometry**
Regents Assessment(s)	Common Core Algebra	Common Core Geometry and Geometry	Common Core Algebra II and Algebra II/Trigonometry

2.6) High School English Language Arts Courses: Measures and Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school English Language Arts courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: High School English Language Arts

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For high school English Language Arts, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required in at least one year of high school English Language Arts

For grade levels where the Regents exam is not used:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
9 ELA	School- or program-wide group, team or linked results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		
10 ELA	School- or program-wide group, team or linked results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		
11 ELA	Teacher-specific results	☑ Common Core English		
12 ELA	School- or program-wide group, team or linked results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		

2.7)Grades K-2 ELA and Math: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		
K Math	District- or BOCES-wide results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		
1 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		
1 Math	District- or BOCES-wide results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		
2 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		
2 Math	District- or BOCES-wide results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		

Page Last Modified: 08/19/2016

2.8)Grades 6-7 Science and Grades 6-8 Social Studies: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

Page Last Modified: 08/19/2016

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
6 Science	District- or BOCES-wide results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		
7 Science	District- or BOCES-wide results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		
6 Social Studies	District- or BOCES-wide results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		
7 Social Studies	District- or BOCES-wide results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		
8 Social Studies	District- or BOCES-wide results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		

2.9) Regents Global Studies 1: Measure and Assessment(s) (Original)

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for Global Studies 1.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
Global 1	School- or program-wide group, team or linked results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		

2.10) All Other Courses (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Fill in the following, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have SLOs (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

- **Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course**
- **Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course**
- **Column 3: subject of the course**
- **Column 4: measure used**
- **Columns 5-6: assessment(s) used**

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-6) assessment(s)
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra
K-3 Art	K	3	Art	Teacher-specific results	Questar III BOCES
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives	School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results	All Regents given in building/district

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

Grade From	**Grade To**	**Subject**	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective

20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one 0-20 score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Common branch teacher with State-provided growth measures for both ELA and Math in grade 4; middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

2.13) Assurances

For guidance on SLOs and the development of back-up SLOs, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please check the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the teacher has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- ☑ Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- ☑ Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☑ Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☑ Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 2.11, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- ☑ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

2.14) Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Page Last Modified: 08/19/2016

Task 2) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

(A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, grades 3-8 NYS ELA/math assessments and any State-provided growth scores may only be used for advisory purposes and may not be used for the purpose of calculating transition scores and ratings.

If grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores are the entirety of the Student Performance category, districts/BOCES must also develop an alternate SLO based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or on State-provided growth scores for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

2.2-2.10) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLO during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, then indicate the applicable courses.

Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)	Applicable Course(s)
District- or BOCES-wide results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History			☑ 3 ELA ☑ 3 Math ☑ 4 ELA ☑ 4 Math ☑ 5 ELA ☑ 5 Math ☑ 6 ELA ☑ 6 Math ☑ 7 ELA ☑ 7 Math ☑ 8 ELA ☑ 8 Math

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective

20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

Page Last Modified: 08/19/2016

Task 3) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the Required subcomponent, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

3.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent of the Student Performance Category

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

Rubric Name	**If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.**
Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2013 Revised Edition)	(No Response)

4.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- ☑ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

4.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

4.4) Calculating Observation Ratings

Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- ☑ Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 4.5 below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(1) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the district's/BOCES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(2) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	1.49 to 1.74

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

4.5) Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponents:

- Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators: At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

- Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent:

- Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

** If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator.*

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Required - Principal/ Administrator	Required - Independent Evaluator(s)	Optional - Peer Observer(s)	**Grades and subjects for which Peer Observers will be used**
90%	10%	N/A	(No Response)

4.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑ Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these teacher(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- ☑ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

4.7) Number and Method of Observations

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations for each type of observer, as well as the method of observation, in the tables below.

Tenured Teachers

	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Observation method	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method
Unannounced	0	N/A	1	In person	0	N/A
Announced	1	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A

Probationary Teachers

	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Observation method	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method
Unannounced	1	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A
Announced	1	In person	1	In person	0	N/A

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

5.1) Scoring Ranges

Student Performance

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	18	20
E	15	17
D	13	14
I	0	12

Teacher Observation

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	1.49 to 1.74

5.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

		Teacher Observation Category			
		Highly Effective (H)	**Effective (E)**	**Developing (D)**	**Ineffective (I)**
Student Performance Category	**Highly Effective (H)**	H	H	E	D
	Effective (E)	H	E	E	D
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I

* If a teacher is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the teacher can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

5.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- ☑ Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same school district who have received Ineffective ratings under Education Law §3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the district has received a waiver from the Department.

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

6.1) Assurances: Teacher Improvement Plans

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☑ Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

TIP_Process_Final_3012-d.docx

6.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

- ☑ Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

6.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

1. Within ten (10) business days, occurring during the school year including summer recess, of the receipt of a teacher's annual evaluation, the teacher may request, in writing, review by a panel as referred to in Paragraph 3 hereof.
2.The appeal writing shall articulate in detail the basis of the appeal. Failure to articulate a particular basis for the appeal in the aforesaid appeal writing shall be deemed a waiver of that claim. The evaluated teacher may appeal the following:
a. The substance of the APPR;
b. The District's failure to adhere to the standards and methodologies required for the APPR that are set forth in Education Law 3012-d and applicable rules and regulations;
c. The District's failure to comply with locally negotiated procedures; and
d. The District's failure to issue and/or implement the terms of the Teacher Improvement Plan, where applicable, as required under Education Law 3012-d.
3. The affected teacher may elect review of the appeal papers by a panel of two teachers selected by TTA and two central office administrators selected by the Superintendent of Schools. The panel composition shall be reviewed annually beginning on July 1, 2013. The panel may recommend a modification of the TIP, or a modification of the rating, along with the rationale for same. Review shall be completed within ten (10) business days of delivery of the written request for review to the panel. No hearing or meeting shall be held and the review shall be based solely upon the original appeal, supporting papers submitted by the teacher and/or a response to the appeal by the teacher's evaluator. The written review recommendation shall be transmitted to the Superintendent and appellant upon completion in a timely and expeditious manner as required by Education Law 3012-d. In the event the panel's finding is unanimous, the appeal shall be concluded and the panel's finding shall be determinative. In the event the panel's finding is not unanimous, the Superintendent shall consider the written review recommendation of the panel and shall issue a written decision within ten (10) days thereof. The determination of the Superintendent of Schools, and his/her designee, shall be final and shall not be grieveable, arbitrable, nor reviewable in any other forum; however, the failure of either party to abide by the above agreed upon process shall be subject to the grievance procedure.
4. An overall performance rating of "ineffective" on the annual evaluation is the only rating subject to appeal. Teachers who receive a rating of "highly effective" or "effective" or "developing" shall not be permitted to appeal their rating. Tenured teachers who are rated effective, highly effective or developing may elect to submit a written response to their overall rating, which response shall be appended to the APPR evaluation and filed in the teacher's personnel file. Such response shall be filed within ten (10) business days, occurring during the school year including summer recess, of the teacher's receipt of the APPR evaluation.
5. Non-tenured teachers shall not be permitted to appeal any aspect of their annual evaluation, or the school district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan. Probationary teachers who are rated ineffective, effective, highly effective or developing may elect to submit a written response to their overall rating, which response shall be appended to the APPR evaluation and filed in the teacher's personnel file. Such response shall be filed within ten (10) business days, occurring during the school year including summer recess, of the teacher's receipt of the APPR evaluation.

6.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

☑ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

6.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The Troy City School District will ensure that all Lead Evaluators/Evaluators/Independent Evaluators are properly trained and certified to complete an individual's performance review.
Evaluator training will occur regionally in cooperation with Questar III BOCES for a minimum of two hours per year. Training will be conducted by Questar personnel. The Board of Education will certify evaluators upon receipt of proper documentation that the individual has fully completed training.
Evaluators will be recertified on a periodic basis, to be determined by the District.
The District maintains a process for inter-rater reliability in accordance with NYSED guidances and protocols recommended in training for evaluators. These protocols include: data analysis; periodic comparisons of assessments; and calibration sessions across evaluators.
This training for Evaluators will include the elements consistent with the requirements of Regents Rules 30-3.10.
All professional staff subject to the district's APPR will be provided with an orientation and/or training on the evaluation system that will include: a review of the content and use of the evaluation system and the district's teacher practice rubric; and the procedures to be followed consistent with the approved APPR plan. All training for current staff will be conducted prior to the implementation of the APPR process. Training for newly hired staff will be conducted and completed prior to the first observation.

6.7) Assurances: Teacher Evaluation

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☑ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- ☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide teachers whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

6.8) Assurances: Assessments

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- ☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- ☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- ☑ Assure that, where applicable, if your district/BOCES has indicated that more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

6.9) Assurances: Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- ☑ Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☑ Assure scores and ratings for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☑ Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Task 7) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

(A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

7.1) State-Provided Measures of Student Growth (Original)

For principals with at least 30% of their students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model. Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth measure, (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.). For principals where less than 30% of their students are covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principals shall have an SLO consistent with a goal setting process determined or developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score; provided that for any grade-level/course that ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO. (See Guidance for more detail on principals with State-provided measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those principals who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that principal.

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where State-provided growth measures will apply beginning in the 2019-20 school year (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6- 8, 6-12, 7-12, 9-12). For each configuration, also indicate assessment(s) used for the back-up SLO beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- **Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program**
- **Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program**
- **Column 3: assessment(s) used**

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) assessment(s)
Grades K-6 Building	K	6	NYS Grade 4 ELA, NYS Grade 5 ELA, NYS Grade 6 ELA, NYS Grade 4 Math, NYS Grade 5 Math, NYS Grade 6 Math
Grades 7-12 Building	7	12	All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs beginning in the

2019-20 school year for each grade configuration listed. The SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

Grade From	Grade To	State or Regents Assessment(s)
K	5	☑ Grade 4 ELA ☑ Grade 5 ELA ☑ Grade 4 Math ☑ Grade 5 Math
6	8	☑ Grade 6 ELA ☑ Grade 7 ELA ☑ Grade 8 ELA ☑ Grade 6 Math ☑ Grade 7 Math ☑ Grade 8 Math
9	12	☑ All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score

7.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- ☑ Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all principals who receive a State-provided growth score in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that principal.
- ☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

7.4) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and math grades 4-8.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

7.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the principal has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- ☑ Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history.
- ☑ Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☑ Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☑ Assure that if a principal's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 7.3, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- ☑ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

7.6) Student Performance Subcomponent Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Task 7) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

(A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, if excluding grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores results in no remaining student performance measures, districts/BOCES must develop alternate SLOs based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance Category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

7.1-7.2) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where alternate SLOs will apply. For each configuration, also indicate the measure and assessment(s) used for the alternate SLO.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- **Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program**
- **Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program**
- **Column 3: measure used**
- **Column 4: assessment(s) used**

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) measure	(4) assessment(s)
Grades K-2 Building	K	2	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra, Living Environment, Global 2, US History
Grades 11-12 Building	11	12	Principal-specific results	Common Core English, US History

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLOs during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years for each grade configuration listed. In all other school years, the SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

Page Last Modified: 08/19/2016

Grade From	Grade To	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K	5	District- or BOCES-wide results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		
6	8	District- or BOCES-wide results	☑ Common Core English ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Living Environment ☑ Global 2 ☑ US History		
(No Response)	(No Response)	(No Response)			

7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

7.4) Principals with More than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

Page Last Modified: 08/19/2016

Task 8) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that a different measure is used than that for the Required subcomponent in the Student Performance category, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

8.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent for Student Performance Measures

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

Rubric Name	**If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.**
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

9.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- ☑ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different grade level configurations or building types.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

9.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

9.4) Calculating School Visit Ratings

Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- ☑ Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 9.5 below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that if the district/BOCES is grated an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the district's/BOCES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	1.49 to 1.74

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

9.5) Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponents:

- School Visits by Supervisor(s) or other Trained Administrator(s): At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

- School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent:

- School Visits by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

** If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee.*

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Required - Supervisor/ Adminstrator	Required - Independent Evaluator(s)	Optional - Peer Observer(s)	**Grade configurations for which Peer Observers will be used**
90%	10%	N/A	(No Response)

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑ Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- ☑ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- ☑ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

9.7) Number of School Visits

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits for each type of observer in the tables below.

Tenured Principals

	Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits
Unannounced	0	1	0
Announced	1	0	0

Probationary Principals

	Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits
Unannounced	0	1	0
Announced	1	0	0

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

10.1) Scoring Ranges

Student Performance Category
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	18	20
E	15	17
D	13	14
I	0	12

Principal School Visit Category
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	1.49 to 1.74

10.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

		Principal School Visit Category			
		Highly Effective (H)	**Effective (E)**	**Developing (D)**	**Ineffective (I)**
Student Performance Category	**Highly Effective (H)**	H	H	E	D
	Effective (E)	H	E	E	D
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I

* If a principal is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the principal can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

10.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

11.1) Assurances: Improvement Plans

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☑ Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

PIP Process 2016-2017.docx

11.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

- ☑ Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

11.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

1. The annual evaluation shall be presented to the Building Principal at a meeting between the administrator and the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee, on a date selected by the Superintendent of Schools. Such meeting shall be held as soon as praticable following calculation of the Principal's final composite rating. All final composite APPR ratings will be provided within the timeframes prescribed by subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
2. Within five (5) business days of the receipt of a building principal's annual evaluation of "ineffective" from the Superintendent of Schools based upon a final composite rating, the administrator may appeal the evaluation, in writing, to the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee.
3. The appeal writing shall articulate in detail the basis of the appeal to the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee. As set forth in Section 3012-d of the Education Law, the evaluated administrator may only challenge:
- the substance of the annual professional performance review;
- the school district's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews pursuant to Section 3012-d of the Education Law;
- the school district's adherence to the Regulations of the commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures; and
- the school district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the administrator's improvement plan.

4. Within five (5) business days of receipt of the appeal, the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee shall render a final and binding determination, in writing, respecting the appeal. These time frames may be extended by mutual agreement of the parties provided that the District ensures that resolution of any appeal is timely and expeditious in accordance with Education Law 3012-d.
5. The determination of the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee shall not be grievable, arbitrable, nor reviewable in any other forum. Notwithstanding the aforementioned language, nothing herein shall be construed as limiting the right of the employee to challenge said evaluation in any proceeding brought pursuant to Education Law 3020-a or 3020-b.
6. An overall performance rating of "ineffective" on the annual evaluation is the only rating subject to appeal. Principals who receive a rating of "highly effective" or "effective" or "developing" shall not be permitted to appeal their rating. Tenured principals who are rated "highly effective", "effective" or "developing" may elect to submit a written response to their overall rating, which response shall be appended to the APPR evaluation and filed in the principals' personnel file. Such response shall be filed within ten (10) business days.
7. Non-tenured principals shall not be permitted to appeal any aspect of their annual evaluation or the school district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of a principal improvement plan. Probationary principals who are rated "ineffective", "developing", "effective", or "highly effective" may elect to submit a written response to their overall rating, which response shall be appended to the APPR evaluation and filed in the principal's personnel file. Such response shall be filed within ten (10) business days.
8. "Business days" shall include the summer recess period.

11.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

☑ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

11.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The District will ensure that all Lead Evaluators/Evaluators/Independent Evaluators are properly trained and certified to complete an individual's performance review.
Evaluator training will occur regionally in cooperation with Questar III BOCES for a minimum of two hours per year. Training will be conducted by Questar personnel. The Board of Education will certify evaluators upon receipt of proper documentation that the individual has fully completed training.
Evaluators will be recertified on a periodic basis, to be determined by the District.
The District maintains a process for inter-rater reliability in accordance with NYSED guidances and protocols recommended in training for evaluators. These protocols include: data analysis; periodic comparisons of assessments; and calibration sessions across evaluators.
This training for evaluators will include the elements consistent with the requirements of Regents Rules 30-3.10.
All professional staff subject to the district's APPR will be provided with an orientation and/or training on the evaluation system that will include: a review of the content and use of the evaluation system and the district's teacher practice rubric, and the procedures to be followed consistent with the approved APPR plan. All training for current staff will be conducted prior to the implementation of the APPR process. Training for newly hired staff will be conducted and completed prior to the first observation.

11.7) Assurances: Principal Evaluation

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☑ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- ☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such principals with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

11.8) Assurances: Assessments

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- ☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- ☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- ☑ Assure that, where applicable, if your district/BOCES has indicated that more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

11.9) Assurances Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- ☑ Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☑ Assure scores and ratings for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☑ Assure that enrolled students in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Page Last Modified: 08/19/2016

Task 12) Upload APPR District Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form.

TROY City SD - APPR District Certification Form - Resigned 8-19-2016.pdf

Introduction to the TIP Process

The TIP is an instrument to promote professional growth and is not disciplinary in nature. No TIP will be accompanied by disciplinary sanctions such as the withholding of raises, step increments, etc.
Any involvement by the teacher in TIP activities outside of the normal school day/year is voluntary and no cost to the teacher.

The Troy City School Troy City School District will make available professional development for the teacher (e.g. Courses (college or in-service), videos, books, workshops, visitations, observations of other teachers) at no cost to the teacher.

In the event TIP deadlines and guidelines are not followed by the Troy City School District, a teacher has the right to grieve the process. If the teacher has met or exceeded the TIP's performance expectations, there will be no further action by the Troy City School District.

Guidelines for TIPs

Upon rating a teacher as Developing or Ineffective overall through an Annual Professional Performance Review, the Troy City School District is to formulate and commence implementation of a TIP for that teacher by October 1 following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter**.**

TIP-Teacher Improvement Plan
Completed by Teacher, TIP administrator and TTA representative

TeacherName_____ **Subject/Grade**_____
Building(s)_____ **TIP development date**_____

The TIP must be developed no later than October 1.

Goals to be addressed through this TIP	**Action Plan to Address Goal(s):** What strategies/steps are you going to take to work toward your goal?	**Professional Development/ Collaborative Work to Address Goal(s):** What activities or resources will you seek out to achieve your goal?	**Timeline:** When will the work of each Action Plan strategy/step or PD take place? Who is responsible for providing activities and/or resources?	**Evidence of Impact:** How will we know if the necessary improvements have been made? How will the improvement be measured?

Teacher's Signature_____

Supervising Administrator's Signature_____

Troy Teachers' Association Representative's Signature_____

Date_____

TIP Action Plan Review APPR Summative Conference

****To be completed at the end of the school year***

Teacher Name_____
Subject/Grade_____

Building(s)_____

Teacher Reflection:

Did you meet your goals? Please use evidence/data to support your answer.

_____
_____
_____

What steps or strategies were most effective in your practice? In what way(s) did they benefit your students?

_____
_____
_____

Administrator reflection(s)

_____
_____
_____

Teacher's Signature_____

Supervising Administrator's Signature_____

Troy Teachers' Association Representative's Signature_____

Date_____

Principal Improvement Plan

Name of Principal _____

School Building _____ Academic Year _____

Deficiency that promulgated the "ineffective" or "developing" performance rating:

Improvement Goal/Outcome:

Action Steps/Activities:

Timeline for completion:

Required and Accessible Resources, including identification of responsibility for provision:

Dates of formative evaluation on progress (lead evaluator and principal initial each date to confirm the meeting):
December:
March:
Other:

Evidence to be provided for Goal Achievement:

Assessment Summary: Superintendent, or his/her designee, is to attach a narrative summary of improvement progress, including verification of the provision of support and resources as outlined above no later than 10 days after the identified completion date. Such summary shall be signed by the superintendent, or his/her designee, and principal with the opportunity for the principal to attach comments.

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-d as implemented by Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The district/BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, transition scores and ratings will be calculated for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 English Language Arts (ELA) and Math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores; that the district/BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures in their approved APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents during the transition period; and that original APPR scores and ratings will be provided for advisory purposes only, and will have no impact on employment decisions, including tenure determinations, or teacher and principal improvement plans.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11), as added by Chapter 56 of the Laws of 2015.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the overall transition rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures described in this APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, original APPR scores and ratings will not be used as the basis for employment decisions and will only be used for advisory purposes;
- Assure that beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, the original overall APPR score pursuant to the district or BOCES approved APPR plan shall be used as the basis for employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES, shall provide teachers and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings calculated pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers and principals with their original composite APPR rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall continue to provide teachers and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are not based on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments or State-provided growth scores with their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if

available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of their annual professional performance reviews, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured, and that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured

- Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured;
- Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the district or BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured;
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the district office and made available to the public on the district's or BOCES' website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school year, the district or BOCES will continue to report both the original and transition individual category and subcomponent scores and the overall original and transition ratings to the State for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, any educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating as their overall transition rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statues and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year in which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, any educator who receives a Developing or Ineffective rating on their original overall rating pursuant to this APPR plan will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year for which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter;
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations;
- Assure that the district or BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the district/BOCES;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits;
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the district and BOCES shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;
- Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES must be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator;
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year, on a form prescribed by the Commissioner, to the Commissioner for approval;
- Assure that the alternate SLOs described in Tasks 2 and 7 of this APPR plan will be used as the basis for certain teachers' and principals' transition APPR scores and ratings, where applicable and consistent with section 30-3.17 of

the Rules of the Board Regents, during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years only;

- Assure that, beginning in the 2019-20 school year, no transition scores and ratings will be generated and the district or BOCES' original APPR Plan will apply to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the statute, regulations, and SED guidance without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements as a result of the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date:

8/19/16

Teachers Union President Signature: Date:

Seth B. Chan 8/19/16

Administrative Union President Signature: Date:

8.19.16

Board of Education President Signature: Date:

8/19/2016