



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Interim Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov
Twitter: @NYSEDNews
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

December 1, 2020

APPR Plan - Variance

Dr. Neil L. Howard, Jr., Superintendent
Taconic Hills Central School District
73 County Route 11A
Craryville, NY 12521

Dear Superintendent Howard:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan variance application meets the criteria outlined in section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided in your variance application, including the narrative descriptions, certifications, and assurances that are included in the application. During the approved term of this variance, your LEA will implement the variance along with all other remaining provisions of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan and/or the terms of your approved variance, your LEA must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class school leader to support their professional growth, and every student achieves success.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Betty A. Rosa
Interim Commissioner

Attachment

c: Gladys Cruz

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR variance application have been reviewed and are considered as part of your approved APPR variance application; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR variance application but are not incorporated by reference have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan or variance and/or require corrective action.

Pursuant to section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, please note that an LEA with an approved variance shall provide to the Department, upon its request, any documentation related to the implementation and efficacy of the approach proposed in the variance, including but not limited to: reports on the correlation in assigned ratings for different measures of the LEA's evaluation system and differentiation among educators within each subcomponent and category of the evaluation system

Your variance is approved for the 2020-21 school year. Because you requested this variance to address issues related to COVID-19, the approval of this variance for any future school years (up to a three school year period) is contingent on the continuation of the current COVID-19 pandemic notwithstanding your request for this variance to apply in future school years. Upon expiration of state-imposed restrictions or emergency measures related to the pandemic, or abatement of the pandemic, it is expected that your variance will no longer be required. As such, SED may withdraw its approval of this variance for any subsequent school years or may require a separate application or other documentation for continuation of the variance in future school years. Upon expiration of the approved term of your variance, you must implement the terms of your current APPR plan as approved by the Commissioner.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 1. General Information - General Information**

Page Last Modified: 10/23/2020

Annual Professional Performance Review Variance (Education Law 3012-d)

For guidance related to the Annual Professional Performance Review variance, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

At its October 2019 meeting, the Board of Regents amended sections 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents to allow LEAs to apply for a variance from Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan requirements to permit them to develop and implement new and innovative approaches to evaluation that meet the specific needs of the LEA, upon a finding by the Commissioner that the new and innovative approach demonstrates how it will ensure differentiated results over time and how the results of the evaluation will be used to provide personalized professional learning opportunities to teachers and principals, while complying with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d.

In instances where a variance is approved, the term(s) described in the approved variance will replace the related sections of the LEA's currently approved APPR plan. However, please note that all other terms as are present in the LEA's currently approved plan will remain in effect and must be implemented without modification.

Once a variance is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's APPR plan during the approved term of the variance. **In any instance in which there is an approved variance and such variance contains information that conflicts with the information provided in the approved Education Law §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved variance will apply during the approved term of the variance.**

Variance Application Timeline

Variance applications must be submitted to the Department by **December 1 of a school year** to be implemented in that school year.

Failure to submit a variance application using this form by the December 1 deadline will result in the LEA's implementing the procedures described in its currently approved Education Law §3012-d APPR plan for that school year.

Variance Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below**

- ☒ Assure that the contents of this form are in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- ☒ Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's variance is kept on file and that a copy of such variance will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- ☒ Assure that this variance will be posted on the LEA's website, in addition to its current full APPR plan, no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- ☒ Assure that it is understood that this LEA's variance will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website* following approval.

Teacher Variance**Please check the appropriate box below.**

- ☒ Assure that any task not included in the following variance request(s) for teachers will be carried out in the manner described in the currently approved APPR plan.

Principal Variance

Education Law §3012-d requires that the principal evaluation system be aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluation. Therefore, when completing a variance request for the evaluation of principals, the processes identified must be aligned to such requirements.

Please check the appropriate box below.

- ☒ Assure that any requested variance for principal evaluation is aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluation provided in Education Law Section 3012-d and that any task not included in the following variance request(s) for principals will be carried out in the manner described in the currently approved APPR plan.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Request**

Page Last Modified: 11/11/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Education Law §3012-d requires that each teacher have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) consistent with a goal-setting process based on appropriate growth targets. The process must include, at a minimum, the following elements:

A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components),

Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance),

A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20,

A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating.

This requirement

currently approved APPR plan will apply.

must be met through

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an SLO process for a teacher or group of teachers that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

- ☐ A variance is not requested for the required student performance subcomponent for teachers.
- ☒ The details of the variance request for the required student performance subcomponent for teachers is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Applicability

Page Last Modified: 11/12/2020

Applicable Teachers

Please indicate all teachers to whom this required student performance variance request applies.

Core Teachers

Use the table below to list the core teachers this required student performance variance request is applicable to (teachers of other courses should be listed in the subsequent section).

	All Core Teachers	Common Branch	ELA	Math	Science	Social Studies
Courses	<input type="checkbox"/> All core teachers (K-3; 4-8 ELA, math, science, social studies; high school ELA and Regents courses) [if this option is selected, please do not make selections in subsequent columns]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> K <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6

Other Teachers

☒ Teachers of other courses included in this required student performance variance request are listed in the table below.

Fill in the following for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that are included in this required student performance variance request:

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above
K-3 Art	K	3	Art
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives

Click "Add Row" to add additional courses. Only list additional courses if they are included in this required student performance variance request.

Grade From	Grade To	Subject
K	6	All courses not named above

Applicable Areas

A variance may be requested for the following areas of the required student performance subcomponent:

- A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components)
- Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance)
- A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20*
- A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating*

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Applicability

Page Last Modified: 11/12/2020

Please indicate the area(s) of the required student performance subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

☒ Measures of student growth

**Only select "Conversion to a 20-point score" or "HEDI ranges"*

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
								1	1	1	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
								2	1	0										
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	5	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	9		
97-	93-	90-	85-	80-	75-			5	9	4	9	4	9	5	1	7	3	-	5	0
100%	96%	92%	89%	84%	79%	67-74%	60-66%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
								5	5	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	2	8	4
								9	4	8	3	8	3	8	4	0	6	%	%	%
								%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Measures of Student Growth**

Page Last Modified: 11/12/2020

Measures of Student Growth

Describe the measure(s) that will be used to evaluate teachers for the required student performance subcomponent (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components).

We currently use a schoolwide student growth score based on the NWEA MAP Assessments (Reading, Language Usage, Mathematics, Science). Every student's goal to make at least a grade level's worth of growth compared to similar students nationally is measured with the NWEA RIT score. This year we have about 20-30% of our students transitioning back and forth between remote and in-person learning. The other 70-80% of the students are in-person, 5-days a week. We administered the fall assessments to both our in-person and remote students at the same time. However, we have no control over how much help the students receive when taking the exam, as there is no way to proctor this exam for security. The system allows us to designate who is taking the exam in person and taking the exam remotely. Therefore, we feel that there is a better chance for accurate data if we only use the RIT scores of the students taking the assessment in person. Only the scores of the students taking the NWEA MAP in-person will be used. Remote students' scores will not be used in the growth calculations. We would continue to use the fall to spring measurement, but it will only include students completing both administrations in-person.

Measures Assurance

Please check the box below.

- ☒ Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2020

Variance Details*Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.***Rationale****Please provide a rationale for this variance request.****> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the required student performance variance request.**

We administered the fall assessments to both our in-person and remote students at the same time. However, we have no control over how much help the students receive when taking the exam, as there is no way to proctor this exam for security. The system allows us to designate who is taking the exam in person and taking the exam remotely. Therefore, we feel that there is a better chance for accurate data if we only use the RIT scores of the students taking the assessment in person. We would continue to use the fall to spring measurement, but it will only include students completing both administrations in-person.

Standards and Procedures**Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.****> This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.****> This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures of student growth and/or evidence of student learning that will be used to evaluate educators.**

The standards and procedures will remain the same as we will still be using the benchmark established by NWEA in the national norming processes. We give the NWEA in the fall, winter, and spring. Our SLO growth measurement is from fall to spring. The administration timeframes will remain the same. The administration of the assessment and proctoring will remain the same for in-person students. We will be administering the NWEA MAP assessment to the remote students. However, because the remote students will not be directly proctored by school personnel, we will not be including these students' scores in the calculation of growth measures.

Rigor**Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.****> This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.****> This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.**

NWEA is a standardized, state-approved, normed assessment that we have been using for over eight years and has an established track record in our district for measuring student achievement. This variance is requested to maintain the same level of rigor that we have used in the past. We cannot control what happens in the home environment, so it makes sense not to use the remote students' scores in the calculations. Additionally, at least 70% of our students are in-person, so the majority of our students will be included in the growth measure. Therefore, we are confident that this data provided to us will successfully measure our teachers' performance. Teachers and administrators will be monitoring remote students' progress throughout the school year and throughout upcoming years to provide the support students need. We will also use multiple measures beyond the NWEA MAP when determining needs to support students' learning. These multiple measures include formative assessments, interim benchmark assessments, and Fountas and Pinnell benchmark assessments. We will look at historical data points, trend lines over time, specific skill deficit areas, and future test results. This will be outside of the APPR process.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2020

Professional Learning

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:

- collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,
- specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,
- processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and
- use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.

The NWEA provides educators with detailed reports on each student's progress and skillsets. These reports allow teachers to focus on areas for enrichment and growth.

The Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum will review the results of the NWEA MAP assessment with the Academic Committee. If there are general trends in skillset areas that teachers need professional development support with, the District will provide this support.

We already tie our professional development to NWEA MAP results, and we will continue to do so. Teachers have received over 5 hours of professional development in math skill set areas linked to NWEA in 2020-21. Additional time is planned to work with teachers on an as-needed basis.

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:

- collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,
- the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and
- how results will be used to inform future implementation.

We use the NWEA MAP results to track cohort progress and grade level/subject area growth. We have been using these results for over 8 years and have successfully closed the gap at most grade levels by meeting or exceeding the national norm provided by NWEA.

We will be reviewing winter 2020 to fall 2020 data to determine our in-person and remote students' amount of regression during the pause. We will be developing action plans to address a loss of skillsets and regression to provide remediation for those who have experienced regression. Teachers and administrators will be monitoring remote students' progress throughout the school year and upcoming years to provide the support students need. We will look at historical data points, trend lines over time, specific skill deficit areas, and future test results. We will also use multiple measures beyond the NWEA MAP when determining needs to support students' learning. We will repeat the data review process in winter 2021 and spring 2021 to ensure continued monitoring and instructional adjustments. This will be outside of the APPR process.

When we are no longer offering remote learning for our students due to COVID-19, this request will no longer be needed as all students will be in-person. Administration and proctoring will revert to normal.

Use of the Optional Student Performance Subcomponent & Weighting

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used in the process included in this variance request by making the appropriate selection below.

- ☒ The weighting included in the currently approved plan will not change based on this variance request.

Required Student Performance Variance Assurances

Please check the box below as applicable to all teachers included in this required student performance variance request.

- ☒ Assure that each teacher covered by this variance request will have an SLO consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 3. TEACHERS: Optional Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/11/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an optional second measure for a teacher or group of teachers that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for the optional student performance subcomponent for teachers.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/04/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to evaluate teacher practice in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

- ☒ The details of the variance request for the teacher observation category is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Applicability & Rubric

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Applicable Teachers

Please indicate all teachers to whom this teacher observation variance request applies.

Core Teachers

Use the table below to list the core teachers this teacher observation variance request is applicable to (teachers of other courses should be listed in the subsequent section).

	All Core Teachers	Common Branch	ELA	Math	Science	Social Studies
Courses	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All core teachers (K-3; 4-8 ELA, math, science, social studies; high school ELA and Regents courses) [if this option is selected, please do not make selections in subsequent columns]					

Other Teachers

- ☐ Teachers of other courses are not included in this teacher observation variance request.
☒ Teachers of other courses included in this teacher observation variance request are listed in the table below.

Fill in the following for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that are included in this teacher observation variance request:

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above
K-3 Art	K	3	Art
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives

Click "Add Row" to add additional courses. Only list additional courses if they are included in this teacher observation variance request.

Grade From	Grade To	Subject
K	6	All courses not named above
7	12	All courses not named above

Applicable Areas

A variance may be requested for the following components of the teacher observation subcomponent:

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Applicability & Rubric**

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

- Teacher practice rubric
- Rating and scoring of the teacher practice rubric
- Weighting of the domains/subcomponents of the teacher practice rubric
- HEDI scoring bands
- Weighting of the teacher observation subcomponents
- Required principal/supervisor observations
- Required independent evaluator observations
- Optional peer observations

Please indicate the area(s) of the teacher observation subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

- ☒ Teacher observation subcomponent weighting
- ☒ Required principal/supervisor observations
- ☒ Required independent evaluator observations

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-dTask 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Subcomponent Weighting

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting**Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.**

Principal/Administrator	Independent Evaluator(s)	Peer Observer(s)	Group for which this weighting will apply. If the indicated weighting will be used for all teachers listed in the 'Applicability' section, note "All Teachers."
100	0	0	All Teachers

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Principal/Supervisor Observations

Page Last Modified: 11/11/2020

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained AdministratorsAt least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or another trained administrator.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

	Minimum number of observations	Observation method (check all that apply)	Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)
Unannounced	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(No Response)
Announced	1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Live	(No Response)
Totals:	1		

To which teachers does the information in the above table apply?

☒ A subgroup of teachers listed in the 'Applicability' section (provide details below).

Describe the subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table above applies (i.e., probationary teachers), then complete the next page for an additional subgroup.

Tenured Teachers

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Principal/Supervisor Observations

Page Last Modified: 11/11/2020

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained AdministratorsAt least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or another trained administrator.**Describe the subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table below applies (i.e., tenured teachers).**

Probationary

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.**If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.**

	Minimum number of observations	Observation method (check all that apply)	Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)
Unannounced	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(No Response)
Announced	2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Live	(No Response)
Totals:	2		

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Independent Evaluator Observations**

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)**Independent Evaluator Assurances****Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Number and Method of Observation

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below. At least one observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

	Minimum number of observations	Observation method (check all that apply)	Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)
Unannounced	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(No Response)
Announced	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(No Response)
Totals:	0		

To which teachers does the information in the above table apply?

- ☒ All teachers listed in the 'Applicability' section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Details & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 11/24/2020

Variance Details*Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.***Rationale****Please provide a rationale for this variance request.****> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the teacher observation variance request.**

At this point for safety reasons, parents and guests are not currently allowed entrance into the school building, so clearly we cannot use an independent evaluator. We are also limiting the number of contacts within the classroom environments. We will be reducing the number of observations. Still, we will be increasing the time commitment to the process by extending the observation through the entire lesson block and requiring the pre-and post-conference. The practice of reflection and cognitive coaching occurs during the pre-and post-conference. This change will offer a more constructive professional dialog between the observer and the teacher, ultimately improving the professional's teaching practice. The impetus of this discussion was to meet the evaluation need of the District more efficiently during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, as we moved forward in the discussion, we realized that this re-design in the observation process could promote more reflective practice, increase dialogue between teachers and administrators, and ultimately improve instruction and student learning. Therefore, the safety needs of our students and staff have led us to this request to reduce the number of observations and our belief is that we will have a positive impact on the teaching and learning practices with one longer, complete observation.

Standards and Procedures**Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.****> This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.****> This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures and/or evidence of teacher practice that will be used to evaluate educators.**

We propose one announced observation minimally for tenured teachers and two announced observations minimally for non-tenured teachers. Lead evaluators will conduct all observations; independent evaluators will not be performing observations. Lead evaluators have received the same training and have participated in calibration activities. We have provided the evaluators training at the end of August and again on November 3, 2020, to review proposed changes and prepare for this variance and the new observation system. We have moved from a 40- minute period to an 85-minute block schedule in grades 7-12. In grades K-6, we have 60- minute ELA, Social Studies/Science, and Math Blocks. We will be increasing the length of time for the announced observation, 40 minutes to either 60-85 minutes. This will allow the observer to be present for the lesson's beginning through the lesson's closure. The total observation time will not decrease, and at the 7-12 grade levels will increase by 25 minutes.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Details & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 11/24/2020

Rigor

Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.

> This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.

The plan is designed to increase rigor. The presence of the observer for the entire lesson allows for a complete evaluation of the lesson. The announced observations continue to provide us a deeper sub-set of data with the multiple sub-components being scored due to the longer timeframe. Therefore, we believe that changing to this system will actually provide deeper information about teacher practice than the previous way of collecting observation data. If the evaluator does not see sub-component evidence within a 60-85 minute time frame, the sub-component will be scored at the 1 level rather than marked as *Not Observed*. The unannounced observations have proven to provide minimal benefit to the observer and teacher as typically, there is no conversation through a pre- or post-conference. Twenty-minute observations do not provide the observer time to see enough of the learning environment to score out all of the rubric components. Many of the sub-components are marked as *Not Observed*. A cognitive coaching conversation will occur between the evaluator and the teacher if a sub-component is not observed or scored at a 1-4 level in the announced observation(s). The teacher should be able to identify why the sub-component was not scored and offer several thoughts on how they can adjust their professional practice to make sure the sub-component elements are evident in their lesson delivery. Observation data will continue to be collected using observer scripted notes of evidence, and the teacher shared evidence (such as Google Classroom, lesson plan documents). The observation process is designed to occur over a ten-day time period- pre-conference, observation, post-conference, scoring. Feedback and scores are received by the teacher within a 3-school day window from the post-conference time.

Professional Learning

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:

- **collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,**
- **specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,**
- **processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and**
- **use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.**

We use the eDoctrina platform to record our observation data. This platform allows us to review historical data on individuals to look for personal trends. The platform also allows us to run aggregate data so that we can identify areas of strength as well as areas for growth. Our professional development committee reviews the aggregate data and plans appropriate professional development at the district level and works with the Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum to ensure that teachers have immediate, individualized professional development needs to be met. This is a practice that we have been using, it is outlined in our Professional Development Plan, and there is no expected change in our practice due to the variance request. Our current professional development is provided by teacher leaders, embedded coaches, district administration, outside vendors, instructional resource coaches from BOCES. The decision on who provides professional development is committee and teacher-leader based with administrative support. We look for the most knowledgeable resource in our areas of need while maintaining fiscal responsibility.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Details & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 11/24/2020

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:

- collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,
- the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and
- how results will be used to inform future implementation.

The completed observations will include timestamps to ensure that the observation time on task remains the same or increases. We will also survey the faculty after completing the observation process to see if they found the concentration of one observer on one longer observation provided the professional with more reflective and constructive feedback.

Informal feedback will also be gathered from the administrators.

The APPR Committee will review the aggregate observational data, teacher survey data, and administrative feedback to discuss the impact of the variance on teachers' practices and students' learning. This review will be completed in June 2021 and we will consider any changes that need to be made at that point.

Observation Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below as applicable to all teachers included in this teacher observation variance request.

- ☒ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- ☒ Assure that once all observations are complete, the process for determining an overall Teacher Observation category score and rating will incorporate the evidence collected across all observations to produce an overall Teacher Observation category rating on a HEDI scale.
- ☒ Assure that it is possible for a teacher to obtain any number of points in the applicable scoring ranges, including zero, in each subcomponent.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 5. TEACHERS: Overall Scoring - Variance Request**

Page Last Modified: 11/11/2020

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Education Law §3012-d requires that each teacher be given a final score for both the Student Performance and Teacher Observation categories, which will be converted to a final category rating based on the HEDI scale, and that these ratings be used to provide an Overall Rating using the prescribed scoring matrix.

These requirements must be met through either the LEA's current APPR plan or this variance. To the extent that the variance does not address a requirement, the currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to define the HEDI ranges for the Student Performance and/or Teacher Observation category that is different than those included in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for category ratings for teachers.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Variance Request**

Page Last Modified: 11/11/2020

Additional Requirements for Teachers

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Education Law §3012-d requires that a complete APPR plan must include a process for teacher improvement plans, appeals, and evaluator training as determined by the Commissioner. The following minimum requirements under Education Law §3012-d are applicable to teachers:

- A form for development of a Teacher Improvement Plan,
- A timely and expeditious process for resolving educator's appeals of APPR ratings,
- A process for training all evaluators of applicable educators.

These requirements must be met through either the LEA's current APPR plan or this variance. To the extent that the variance does not address a requirement, the currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process for Teacher Improvement Plans, appeals and/or training in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☒ A variance is not requested for teacher improvement plans, appeals, or training.
- ☐ The details of the variance request applicable to teacher improvement plans, appeals, and/or training is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Request**

Page Last Modified: 11/11/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Education Law §3012-d requires that the principal evaluation system be aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluations, including the required student performance subcomponent. Therefore, the required student performance subcomponent variance request for principals must be aligned to the teacher evaluation requirements.

This requirement

must be met through

currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process to demonstrate student growth based on principal practice for a principal or group of principals that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☐ A variance is not requested for the required student performance subcomponent for principals.
- ☒ The details of the variance request for the required student performance subcomponent for principals is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Applicability

Page Last Modified: 11/15/2020

Applicable Principals

Please indicate all principals to whom this required student performance variance request applies.

To add configurations for additional principals, click "Add Row".

Grade From	Grade To
K	4
5	6

Applicable Areas

A variance may be requested for the following areas of the required student performance subcomponent:

- A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components)
- Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance)
- A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20*
- A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating*

Please indicate the area(s) of the required student performance subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

☒ Measures of student growth

*Only select 'Conversion to a 20-point score' or 'HEDI ranges' if your variance request involves different values than those included in the table below.

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
								1	1	1	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
								2	1	0										
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	5	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	9		
97-	93-	90-	85-	80-	75-			5	9	4	9	4	9	5	1	7	3	5	0	
100%	96%	92%	89%	84%	79%	67-74%	60-66%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
								5	5	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	8	4
								9	4	8	3	8	3	8	4	0	6	2	%	%
								%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Measures of Student Growth**

Page Last Modified: 11/15/2020

Measures of Student Growth

Describe the measure(s) that will be used to evaluate principals for the required student performance subcomponent (e.g., goal setting process; demonstration of student growth).

We currently use a school-wide student growth score based on the NWEA MAP Assessments (Reading, Language Usage, Mathematics, Science). Every student's goal to make at least a grade level's worth of growth compared to similar students nationally is measured with the NWEA RIT score. This year we have about 20-30% of our students transitioning back and forth between remote and in-person learning. The other 70-80% of the students are in-person, 5-days a week. We administered the fall assessments to both our in-person and remote students at the same time. However, we have no control over how much help the students receive when taking the exam, as there is no way to proctor this exam for security. The system allows us to designate who is taking the exam in person and taking the exam remotely. Therefore, we feel that there is a better chance for accurate data if we only use the RIT scores of the students taking the assessment in person. Only the scores of the students taking the NWEA MAP in-person will be used. Remote students' scores will not be used in the growth calculations. We would continue to use the fall to spring measurement, but it will only include students completing both administrations in-person.

Measures Assurance

Please check the box below.

☒ Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2020

Variance Details*Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.***Rationale****Please provide a rationale for this variance request.****> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the required student performance variance request.**

We administered the fall assessments to both our in-person and remote students at the same time. However, we have no control over how much help the students receive when taking the exam, as there is no way to proctor this exam for security. The system allows us to designate who is taking the exam in person and taking the exam remotely. Therefore, we feel that there is a better chance for accurate data if we only use the RIT scores of the students taking the assessment in person. We would continue to use the fall to spring measurement, but it will only include students completing both administrations in-person.

Standards and Procedures**Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.****> This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.****> This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures of student growth and/or evidence of student learning that will be used to evaluate educators.**

The standards and procedures will remain the same as we will still be using the benchmark established by NWEA in the national norming processes. We give the NWEA in the fall, winter, and spring. Our SLO measurement is from fall to spring. The administration timeframes will remain the same. The administration of the assessment and proctoring will remain the same for in-person students. We will be administering the NWEA MAP assessment to the remote students. However, because the remote students will not be directly proctored by school personnel, we will not be including these students' scores in the calculation of growth measures.

Rigor**Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.****> This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.****> This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.**

NWEA is a standardized, state-approved, normed assessment that we have been using for over eight years and has an established track record in our district for measuring student achievement. This variance is requested to maintain the same level of rigor that we have used in the past. We cannot control what happens in the home environment, so it makes sense not to use the remote students' scores in the calculations.

Additionally, at least 70% of our students are in-person, so the majority of our students will be included in the growth measure. Therefore, we are confident that this data provided to us will successfully measure our teachers' performance.

Teachers and administrators will be monitoring remote students' progress throughout the school year and throughout upcoming years to provide the support students need. We will look at historical data points, trend lines over time, specific skill deficit areas, and future test results. We will also use multiple measures beyond the NWEA MAP when determining needs to support students' learning. These multiple measures include formative assessments, interim benchmark assessments, and Fountas and Pinnell benchmark assessments. We will look at historical data points, trend lines over time, specific skill deficit areas, and future test results. This will be outside of the APPR process.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2020

Professional Learning

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:

- collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,
- specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,
- processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and
- use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.

The NWEA provides educators with detailed reports on each student's progress and skillsets. These reports allow teachers to focus on areas for enrichment and growth.

The Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum will review the results of the NWEA MAP assessment with the Academic Committee. If there are general trends in skillset areas that teachers need professional development support with, the District will provide this support.

We already tie our professional development to NWEA MAP results, and we will continue to do so. Teachers have received over 5 hours of professional development in math skill set areas linked to NWEA in 2020-21. Additional time is planned to work with teachers on an as-needed basis.

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:

- collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,
- the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and
- how results will be used to inform future implementation.

We use the NWEA MAP results to track cohort progress and grade level/subject area growth. We have been using these results for over 8 years and have successfully closed the gap at most grade levels by meeting or exceeding the national norm provided by NWEA.

We will be reviewing winter 2020 to fall 2020 data to determine our in-person and remote students' amount of regression during the pause. We will be developing action plans to address a loss of skillsets and regression to provide remediation for those who have experienced regression. Teachers and administrators will be monitoring remote students' progress throughout the school year and upcoming years to provide the support students need. We will look at historical data points, trend lines over time, specific skill deficit areas, and future test results. We will also use multiple measures beyond the NWEA MAP when determining needs to support students' learning. We will repeat the data review process in winter 2021 and spring 2021 to ensure continued monitoring and instructional adjustments. This will be outside of the APPR process.

When we are no longer offering remote learning for our students due to COVID-19, this request will no longer be needed as all students will be in-person. Administration and proctoring will revert to normal.

Use of the Optional Student Performance Subcomponent & Weighting

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used in the process included in this variance request by making the appropriate selection below.

- ☒ The weighting included in the currently approved plan will not change based on this variance request.

Required Student Performance Variance Assurances

Please check the box below as applicable to all principals included in this required student performance variance request.

- ☒ Assure that scores and ratings for the required student performance subcomponent will be calculated consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 8. PRINCIPALS: Optional Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/11/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an optional second measure for a principal or group of principals that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for the optional student performance subcomponent for principals.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/11/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to evaluate principal practice in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for the principal school visit category.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 10. PRINCIPALS: Overall Scoring - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/11/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to define the HEDI ranges for the Student Performance and/or Principal School Visit category that is different than those included in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for category ratings for principals.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/11/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process for Principal Improvement Plans, appeals and/or training in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☒ A variance is not requested for principal improvement plans, appeals, or training.
- ☐ The details of the variance request applicable to principal improvement plans, appeals, and/or training is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Variance - Applicability and Certification**

Page Last Modified: 11/30/2020

Applicability of Variance**Need for Variance****Please make the appropriate selection below.**

- ☒ The submission of this variance application is prompted by the impact of COVID-19 on the LEA.

Instructional Model

- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if an in-person instructional model is implemented.
- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if a remote instructional model is implemented.
- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if a hybrid instructional model is implemented.
- ☒ The processes identified in this variance application apply regardless of the instructional model implemented.

Variance Duration

An Annual Professional Performance Review Variance under Education Law §3012-d may be approved for up to THREE (3) years.

Please indicate below the school years to which this variance application will apply.

One, two, or three consecutive academic years may be selected.

- ☒ 2020-21
- ☒ 2021-22

Upload APPR Variance Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Variance using the "Variance Certification Form" found in the 'Documents' menu on the left side of the page.

APPR Variance Signature Page THCS.D.pdf

APPR VARIANCE CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, check the assurances, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Variance, Education Law §3012-d application.

Assurances: Please check the boxes below

- ☒ Assure that all information provided in this variance application is true and accurate as of the date that the variance application is submitted.
- ☒ Assure that once this application is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's approved APPR plan during the effective term of the variance.
- ☒ Assure that, upon a revocation or non-renewal of a variance application at the end of its effective term, the district shall implement its approved evaluation plan in its entirety and without modification, consistent with all requirements of Subpart 30-3.3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and absent any terms of the variance.
- ☒ Assure that, where applicable, collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of this variance application that are subject to collective bargaining.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:

Date:

 11/30/20

Superintendent Name (print):

NEIL L. HOWARD JR.

Teachers Union President Signature:

Date:


 11/30/2020

Teachers Union President Name (print):

Kevin C. Reis 11/30/2020

Administrative Union President Signature:

Date:

 11/30/2020

Administrative Union President Name (print):

John V. Gulisane, Jr. 11/30/2020

Board of Education President Signature:

Date:

 11/30/2020

Board of Education President Name (print):

Bonnie Torchia 11/30/2020



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Interim Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov
Twitter: @NYSEDNews
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

June 17, 2020

Revised

Dr. Neil L. Howard, Jr., Superintendent
Taconic Hills Central School District
73 County Route 11A
Craryville, NY 12521

Dear Superintendent Howard:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Shannon L. Tahoe

Shannon L. Tahoe
Interim Commissioner

Attachment

c: Gladys Cruz

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 1. General Information - Disclaimers and Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

The Department will review the contents of each local educational agency's (LEA) Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in an LEA's plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from an LEA to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Each LEA is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of an LEA's plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the LEA are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the LEA, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject or disapprove this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

APPR Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below**

- ☒ Assure that the content of this form represents the LEA's entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- ☒ Assure that it is understood that this LEA's APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website* following approval.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 02/13/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Each teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) locally determined, consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 02/13/2020

- **State assessment(s);** or

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- **third party assessments;** or
- **locally-developed assessments**(district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

SLO Assurances**Please check the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the teacher has an SLO as determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- ☒ Assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- ☒ Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- ☒ Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- ☒ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- ☒ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.
- ☒ Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Kindergarten

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Common Branch Kindergarten Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for kindergarten teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Kindergarten: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

Kindergarten: School or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

Kindergarten: Assessment Type(s)

☒ Third party assessment(s)

Kindergarten: Third Party Assessment(s)

☒ MAP Growth (content-specific)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade One

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Common Branch Grade One Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade one teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Grade 1: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

Grade 1: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

Grade 1: Assessment Type(s)

☒ Third party assessment(s)

Grade 1: Third Party Assessment(s)

☒ MAP Growth (content-specific)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Two

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Common Branch Grade Two Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade two teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Grade 2: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

Grade 2: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

Grade 2: Assessment Type(s)

☒ Third party assessment(s)

Grade 2: Third Party Assessment(s)

☒ MAP Growth (content-specific)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Three

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Common Branch Grade Three Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade three teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Grade 3: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

Grade 3: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

Grade 3: Assessment Type(s)

☒ Third party assessment(s)

Grade 3: Third Party Assessment(s)

☒ MAP Growth (content-specific)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Four

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Grade Four

Please identify below whether grade four instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade four teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade four in your LEA.

☒ Common branch

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Four (common branch)

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Grade Four (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)**Grade 4: Measure Type**

School- or program-wide

Grade 4: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

Grade Four: Assessment Type(s)☒ Third party assessment(s)**Grade Four: Third Party Assessment(s)**☒ MAP Growth (content-specific)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Five

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Grade Five

Please identify below whether grade five instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade five teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade five in your LEA.

☒ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Five (uniform departmentalized)

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Grade Five (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade five departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 5: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

Grade 5: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

Grade 5: Assessment Type(s)☒ Third party assessment(s)**Grade 5: Third Party Assessment(s)**☒ MAP Growth (content-specific)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & § 3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Six

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Grade Six

Please identify below whether grade six instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade six teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade six in your LEA.

☒ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Six (uniform departmentalized)

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Grade Six (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade six departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 6: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

Grade 6: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

Grade 6: Assessment Type(s)☒ Third party assessment(s)**Grade 6: Third Party Assessment(s)**☒ MAP Growth (content-specific)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Seven

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Grade Seven

Please identify below whether grade seven instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade seven teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade seven in your LEA.

☒ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Seven (uniform departmentalized)**

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Grade Seven (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade seven departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 7: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

Grade 7: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

Grade 7: Assessment Type(s)☒ State or Regents assessment(s)**Grade 7: State or Regents Assessment(s)**☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Eight

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Grade Eight Measures and Assessments

Please identify below whether grade eight instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade eight teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade eight in your LEA.

☒ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Eight (uniform departmentalized)**

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Grade Eight (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade eight departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 8: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

Grade 8: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

Grade Eight: Assessment Type(s)☒ State or Regents assessment(s)**Grade Eight: State or Regents Assessment(s)**☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School ELA

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

High School English Language Arts*Note: Additional high school English courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.*

Please identify below whether all high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school ELA teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether grades 9 through 12 ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☒ All high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS ELA (all grades)

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

High School ELA (All Grades) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School ELA: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

High School ELA: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School ELA: Assessment Type(s)

☒ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School ELA: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School Regents Math

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

High School Regents Math*Note: Additional high school math courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.*

Please identify below whether all high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents math teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents math teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☒ All high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & § 3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS Regents Math (all courses)**

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

High School Regents Math (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)**High School Regents Math: Measure Type**

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Math: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Math: Assessment Type(s)☒ State or Regents assessment(s)**High School Regents Math: State or Regents Assessment(s)**☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School Regents Science

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

High School Regents Science*Note: Additional high school science courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.*

Please identify below whether all high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents science teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents science teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☒ All high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & § 3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS Regents Science (all courses)

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

High School Regents Science (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Science: Measure

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Science: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Science: Assessment Type(s)

☒ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Science: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & § 3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School Regents Social Studies

Page Last Modified: 02/13/2020

High School Regents Social Studies: Measures and Assessments*Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.*

Please identify below whether all high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents social studies teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents social studies teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☒ All high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & § 3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS Regents SS (all courses)

Page Last Modified: 05/27/2020

High School Regents Social Studies (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Social Studies: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Social Studies: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Social Studies: Assessment Type(s)

☒ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Social Studies: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Other Courses

Please identify below the 'other courses' in your LEA; indicate which of the six available measures will be used for each group of teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Complete the following, as applicable, for all 'other teachers' in additional grades/subjects (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Column 4: measure used

Columns 5-7: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-7) assessment(s)
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	ELA Regents, Algebra I Regents
K-3 Art	K	3	Art	Teacher and course-specific results	Questar III BOCES
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives	School- or program-wide linked results	All Regents given in LEA

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Grade From	Grade To	Subject	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K	6	All courses not named above	School- or program-wide results			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MAP Growth (content-specific)
7	12	All courses not named above	School- or program-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in LEA		

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & § 3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Weighting**

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 3. TEACHERS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent**

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring**

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Teacher Observation Category

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.
NYSUT Teacher Practice Rubric (2014 Edition)	(No Response)

Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- ☒ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year as indicated in the table above.
- ☒ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., Domains 1 and 2 will be weighted 30% each and Domains 3 and 4 will be weighted 20% each).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

Scoring Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- ☒ Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

The overall Observation score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0.00*	1.49 to 1.74

* In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.62	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.62	3.61

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.62	2.61

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.61

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & § 3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Observations**

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting**Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators**

- At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

- No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

** If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.*

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Principal/Administrator [Required]	Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]	Peer Observer(s) [Optional]	Grades and subjects for which Peer Observers will be used
80%	20%	0% (N/A)	(No Response)

Observation Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☒ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one observation must be conducted by building principal or other trained administrator and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by principal or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of management to conduct observations in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & § 3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Observations

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

TEACHERS <i>Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers.</i>	SUBGROUP <i>If "Subgroup of Teachers" is selected in the previous column, indicate which teachers the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.</i>	UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations	UNANNOUNCED Observation Method	ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations	ANNOUNCED Observation Method
All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	0	N/A	1	In Person

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one observation must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the teacher being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers (e.g., teacher leaders on career ladder pathways), so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the teacher being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

TEACHERS <i>Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers.</i>	SUBGROUP <i>If "Subgroup of Teachers" is selected in the previous column, indicate which teachers the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.</i>	UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations	UNANNOUNCED Observation Method	ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations	ANNOUNCED Observation Method
All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	1	In Person	N/A	N/A

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & § 3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Observations**

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- ☒ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Optional Observations

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by trained peer observer(s).

- Peer teachers are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer teachers must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by trained peer observer(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number and "N/A" for the observation method for both unannounced and announced observations for "All Teachers."

TEACHERS <i>Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers.</i>	SUBGROUP <i>If "Subgroup of Teachers" is selected in the previous column, indicate which teachers the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.</i>	UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations	UNANNOUNCED Observation Method	ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations	ANNOUNCED Observation Method
All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Peer Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒
Assure that peer observers, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- ☒
Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observers, these teachers received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 5. TEACHERS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall Observation category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	18	20
E	15	17
D	13	14
I	0	12

Teacher Observation

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0.00	1.49 to 1.74

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

		Teacher Observation Category			
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
Student Performance Category	Highly Effective (H)	H	H	E	D
	Effective (E)	H	E	E	D
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D	D	I	I

Category and Overall Rating Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- ☒ Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same LEA, each of whom received an Ineffective rating under Education Law Section 3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the LEA has a Department-approved waiver from this requirement.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Teacher Improvement Plans**

Page Last Modified: 05/29/2020

Additional Requirements

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☒ Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the LEA.

TIP_form 5.28.20 corrected.docx

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals**

Page Last Modified: 06/02/2020

Appeal Assurance**Please check the box below.**

- ☒ Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious manner.

- Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following: (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

APPEALS PROCESS

The purpose of the appeal process is to aid in the improvement of the educational program at THCS. The following appeal process is designed to further this goal. The burden of proof for the evidence used to complete a Summative Evaluation resulting in an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective is on the evaluating building administrator.

Process:

Level 1: All mandated and non-mandated personnel must forward a completed Evaluation Appeals Form to her/his evaluating building administrator within five (5) school days of receipt of the evaluation. The PERSONNEL and the evaluating building administrator will have a meeting within five (5) school days of receipt of appeal to discuss the appeal. The PERSONNEL may request THFA representation. The meeting will be to discuss areas being appealed identified in the Evaluation Appeal. The evaluating building administrator will respond in writing to the PERSONNEL within five (5) school days of the Level 1 appeals meeting. In the event a Level 1 appeal is not resolved to the PERSONNEL's satisfaction, the PERSONNEL must forward a Level 2 Evaluation Appeal Form to the Superintendent and THFA President within five (5) school days of level one decision to move to Level 2.

Level 2: After receiving an Evaluation Appeal Form Level 2 from the PERSONNEL with the Level 1 findings, the Superintendent and THFA President will charge the Appeals Committee to hold a conference within five (5) school days of receipt. The Appeals Committee will be given five (5) school days after receiving level two form to meet and render their decision by majority vote. The Appeals Committee will issue its findings to the Superintendent, THFA President, PERSONNEL and the evaluation building administrator within five (5) school days of that conference. The Appeal's Committee will be final and binding upon the parties. If at any point a deadline is missed by the PERSONNEL the process will be considered procedurally invalid and cannot be grieved. If at any point a deadline is missed by the District the process will be moved to the next level.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Training**

Page Last Modified: 05/29/2020

Training Assurance**Please check the box below.**

- ☒ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

All evaluators,(lead, independent and other evaluators)will receive training required by Education Law Section 3012-d and Part 30-3 of the rules of the Board of Regents. Training and retrainingwill be performed by BOCES or the Director of Curriculum and Instruction. All evaluatorswill be certified by the Board of Education after receivingtraining to meet the criteria required for evaluators by Part 30-3.10 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Approximately 3 hours of training will be received prior to an administrator being certified as aevaluator. All evaluators will be re-certified by the Board of Education on an annual basis, after receipt of training necessary for such recertification. The District will ensure that all evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability via annual calibration sessions across evaluators and period comparisons of a lead evaluator's assessment with those conducted by other evaluators.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 02/05/2020

Teacher Evaluation Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ☒ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☒ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- ☒ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide teachers with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- ☒ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- ☒ Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☒ Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☒ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & § 3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 06/11/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Required Student Performance Measures

Student performance for principals may be measured by either a student learning objectives (SLO) or an Input Model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal's building or program.

> Principal and building/program-specific

- **Principal and building/program-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

- **State assessment(s);** or
Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:
- **third party assessments;** or
- **locally-developed assessments** (district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

INPUT MODEL

Selection of the Input Model will require:

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 06/11/2020

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
- ☒ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that such SLO is determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- ☒ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- ☒ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- ☒ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that if the principal's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- ☒ For principals evaluated using an input model, assure that all applicable principals will be evaluated using the procedures described herein and approved by the Commissioner.
- ☒ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs and input models.
- ☒ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

Required Student Performance for Principals**Please choose the option that best describes the required student performance subcomponent for principals in your LEA.**

- ☐ The same measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for all principals
- ☒ Different measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for different grade configurations/programs

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [1]**

Page Last Modified: 02/14/2020

Applicable Principals [1]

If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each must be described on a separate page. Complete this section for the first combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in the following sections.

Grade From	Grade To
K	6

Principal Measures [1]

Please indicate how student performance will be measured for the principals listed above, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Principal and building/program-specific

- **Principal and building/program-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

All Principals: Measure Type

Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Student Learning Objective

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to the principals listed above.

Principal and building/program-specific results

Principal Assessments [1]

Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure. Assessments should only be selected if applicable to the

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [1]

Page Last Modified: 02/14/2020

measure indicated.

Principals: Assessment Type(s)☒ Third party assessment(s)**Principals: Third Party Assessment(s)**☒ MAP Growth (content-specific)**Additional Principals****Please be sure all principals in your LEA are included in Task 7.**☒ Check this box to list additional principal(s) who will be evaluated using a different measure and assessment(s) included in this section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [2]**

Page Last Modified: 02/14/2020

Applicable Principals [2]

If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each must be described on a separate page. Complete this section for the second combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in this section.

Grade From	Grade To
7	12

Principal Measures [2]

Please indicate how student performance will be measured for the principals listed above, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Principal and building/program-specific

- **Principal and building/program-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Principals: Measure Type

Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Student Learning Objective

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to the principals listed above.

Principal and building/program-specific results

Principal Assessment(s) [2]

Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure. Assessments should only be selected if applicable to the

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & § 3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [2]

Page Last Modified: 02/14/2020

measure indicated.

Principals: Assessment Type(s)

☒ State or Regents assessment(s)

Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

Page Last Modified: 02/14/2020

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 8. PRINCIPALS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent**

Page Last Modified: 02/14/2020

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;
- Option (G) An input model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student achievement related to the Leadership Standards; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring**

Page Last Modified: 02/14/2020

Principal School Visit Category

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principals' professional performance shall be evaluated based on a State-approved rubric using multiple sources of evidence collected and incorporated into the school visit protocol. Where appropriate, such evidence may be aligned to building or district goals; provided, however, that professional goal-setting may not be used as evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness. Such evidence shall reflect school leadership practice aligned to the Leadership Standards and selected practice rubric.

Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- ☒ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different programs or grade configurations as indicated in the table above.
- ☒ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., Domains 2 and 3 will be weighted 30% each and Domains 1, 4, 5 and 6 will be weighted 10% each).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

Scoring Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- ☒ Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

The overall School Visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 02/14/2020

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0.00*	1.49 to 1.74

* In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.62	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.62	3.61

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.62	2.61

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.61

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits**

Page Last Modified: 02/14/2020

Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting**Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators**

- At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

- No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

** If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.*

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Supervisor/Administrator [Required]	Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]	Peer School Visit(s) [Optional]	Grade configurations for which Peer School Visits will be used
80%	20%	0% [N/A]	(No Response)

School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☒ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- ☒ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one school visit must be conducted by supervisor or other trained administrator and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by superintendent or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of a board of education or superintendent of schools from conducting additional school visits for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by supervisor(s) or other trained administrators in the table below.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits

Page Last Modified: 02/14/2020

PRINCIPALS <i>Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.</i>	SUBGROUP <i>If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.</i>	UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits	ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	1	1

Required Subcomponent 2: School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one school visit must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA but may not be assigned to the same school building as the principal being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs/directors, or peers, so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the principal being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) in the table below.

PRINCIPALS <i>Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.</i>	SUBGROUP <i>If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.</i>	UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits	ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	0	1

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & § 3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits**

Page Last Modified: 02/14/2020

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- ☒ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Optional School Visits

Page Last Modified: 02/14/2020

Optional Subcomponent: School Visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by trained peer principal(s).

- Peer principals are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer principals must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by trained peer principal(s) in the table below.

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number for both unannounced and announced school visits for "All Principals."

PRINCIPALS <i>Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.</i>	SUBGROUP <i>If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.</i>	UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits	ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	N/A	N/A

Peer Principal School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that peer principal(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- ☒ Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 10. PRINCIPALS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall School Visit category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	18	20
E	15	17
D	13	14
I	0	12

Principal School Visit Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0.00	1.49 to 1.74

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

		Principal School Visit Category			
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
Student Performance Category	Highly Effective (H)	H	H	E	D
	Effective (E)	H	E	E	D
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D	D	I	I

Category and Overall Rating Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & § 3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Principal Improvement Plans**

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☒ Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the LEA.

PIP_2016.docx

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Appeals**

Page Last Modified: 05/29/2020

Appeal Assurance**Please check the box below.**

- ☒ Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious manner.

According to the district plan administrators have 15 days after receipt of their rating to file an appeal of their rating. The district must respond within 15 days of receipt of the appeal. If needed, a hearing officer will be chosen within 10 days of the district's response. The hearing will be held within 10 days of the selection of the hearing officer. A decision will be rendered no later than 10 days from the conclusion of the hearing. The decision of the hearing officer will be final and binding.

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Training**

Page Last Modified: 05/29/2020

Training Assurance**Please check the box below.**

- ☒ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent evaluators and peer principals need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Evaluators, and Peer Principals and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent evaluators and peer principals;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

All evaluators,(lead, independent and other evaluators)will receive training required by Education Law Section 3012-d and Part 30-3 of the rules of the Board of Regents. Training and retrainingwill be performed by BOCES or the Director of Curriculum and Instruction. All evaluatorswill be certified by the Board of Education after receivingtraining to meet the criteria required for evaluators by Part 30-3.10 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Approximately 3 hours of training will be received prior to an administrator being certified as aevaluator. All evaluators will be re-certified by the Board of Education on an annual basis, after receipt of training necessary for such recertification. The District will ensure that all evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability via annual calibration sessions across evaluators and period comparisons of a lead evaluator's assessment with those conducted by other evaluators.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 02/14/2020

Principal Evaluation Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- ☒ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☒ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- ☒ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide principals with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- ☒ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- ☒ Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☒ Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☒ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 06/08/2020

Upload APPR LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

APPR 2020 6-8-20.pdf

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law & §3012-d, amended in 2019Additional Documents - Additional Documents

Page Last Modified: 06/08/2020

Additional Documents

The Department will not review any documents other than those required in the online form (Tasks 1-12).

Any additional documents supplied by the LEA are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional documents have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the LEA, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

Upload Documents

APPR 2020 6-8-20.pdf

TEACHERS' IMPROVEMENT PLAN_____
Teacher_____
Overall Rating_____
Subject/Grade Level

--	--

Rating
Breakdown_____
Administrator

Date(s)

Preconference_____
Observation(s)_____
Post conference

Meeting Date(s)

Other

Standards Chosen for Further Development	Action(s) to be Taken	Administrator's Responsibilities	Materials & Resource Needed	Teacher's Responsibilities	Timeline for Progress	Indicators of Success	Improvements Made and Documented

Administrator's Signature: _____

Date: _____

Teacher's Signature: _____

Date: _____

Representative/Witness Signature: _____

Date: _____

Or Teacher's Signature Waiving Representation: _____

Date: _____

PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLANS

Principal Improvement Plan Process

Upon rating a principal as ineffective or developing, an improvement plan designed to rectify perceived or demonstrated deficiencies must be developed and commenced no later than October 1st of the next school year. The superintendent, in conjunction with the principal, must develop an improvement plan that contains:

1. A clear delineation of the deficiencies that resulted in the ineffective or developing assessment.
2. Specific improvement goal/outcome statements.
3. Specific improvement action steps/activities.
4. A reasonable time line for achieving improvement.
5. Required and accessible resources to achieve goal.
6. A formative evaluation process documenting meetings strategically scheduled throughout the year to assess progress. These meetings shall occur at least twice during the year: the first between December 1 and December 15 and the second between March 1 and March 15. A written summary of feedback on progress shall be given within 5 business days of each meeting.
7. A clear manner in which improvement efforts will be assessed, including evidence demonstrating improvement.
8. A formal, final written summative assessment delineating progress made with an opportunity for comments by the principal.

Taconic Hills Central School District

Principal Improvement Plan (PIP)

Name of Principal: _____

School Building: _____

Academic Year: _____

Development Date: _____

Rational for Principal Improvement Plan:

Goals of Principal Improvement Plan:

Supports and Resources to be Provided:

Completion Timeline: A meeting with the Superintendent will occur on _____. The purpose of this meeting will be to evaluate progress made on this Principal Improvement Plan (PIP).

Signatures:

Principal

Date

Superintendent

Date

Taconic Hills Central School District

Principal Improvement Plan (PIP)

Progress Report

Date: _____

Evidence of Goal Achievement:

Outcome:

_____ PIP No Longer Necessary

_____ PIP Continued as Currently Written With a Review Date on _____

_____ PIP Continued With Modifications (Revised Plan Attached)

Signatures:

Principal

Date

Superintendent

Date

LEA CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan.

By signing this document, the LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s) certify that the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval constitutes the school LEA's complete APPR plan, that all provisions of the plan that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, and that such plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d as amended by the Laws of 2019 and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and has been adopted by the governing body of the LEA.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify, upon information and belief, that all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the LEA's complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the LEA; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11).

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the overall APPR rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including but not limited to: tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured;
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal his or her score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher's or principal's APPR, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured;
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the LEA's office and made available to the public on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that complete and accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA will continue to report to the State individual subcomponent scores and the overall rating for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities;
- Assure that any teacher or principal who receives an Overall Rating of Developing or Ineffective in any school year will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 of the school year following the year in which such teacher's or principal's performance was measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations;
- Assure that LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the LEA;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school


visits;

- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the LEA shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;
- Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth or achievement will be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject, for teachers, or similar building configurations/programs, for principals, in the LEA will be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth;
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year;
- Assure that the LEA will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:

Date:

 6/7/20

Superintendent Name (print):

NEIL L. HOWARD JR

Teachers Union President Signature:

Date:


 6/8/2020

Teachers Union President Name (print):

Kevin C. Reis

Administrative Union President Signature:

Date:


 6/8/2020

Administrative Union President Name (print):

John V. Gulisene, Jr.

Board of Education President Signature:

Date:

 6/8/2020

Board of Education President Name (print):

Bonnie Torchia 6/8/2020