



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Interim Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov
Twitter: @NYSEDNews
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

January 4, 2021

APPR Plan - Variance

David Blanchard, Superintendent
Schoharie Central School District
136 Academy Drive
Schoharie, NY 12157

Dear Superintendent Blanchard:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan variance application meets the criteria outlined in section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided in your variance application, including the narrative descriptions, certifications, and assurances that are included in the application. During the approved term of this variance, your LEA will implement the variance along with all other remaining provisions of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan and/or the terms of your approved variance, your LEA must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class school leader to support their professional growth, and every student achieves success.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Betty A. Rosa
Interim Commissioner

Attachment

c: Anita Murphy

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR variance application have been reviewed and are considered as part of your approved APPR variance application; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR variance application but are not incorporated by reference have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan or variance and/or require corrective action.

Pursuant to section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, please note that an LEA with an approved variance shall provide to the Department, upon its request, any documentation related to the implementation and efficacy of the approach proposed in the variance, including but not limited to: reports on the correlation in assigned ratings for different measures of the LEA's evaluation system and differentiation among educators within each subcomponent and category of the evaluation system

Your variance is approved for the 2020-21 school year. Because you requested this variance to address issues related to COVID-19, the approval of this variance for any future school years (up to a three school year period) is contingent on the continuation of the current COVID-19 pandemic notwithstanding your request for this variance to apply in future school years. Upon expiration of state-imposed restrictions or emergency measures related to the pandemic, or abatement of the pandemic, it is expected that your variance will no longer be required. As such, SED may withdraw its approval of this variance for any subsequent school years or may require a separate application or other documentation for continuation of the variance in future school years. Upon expiration of the approved term of your variance, you must implement the terms of your current APPR plan as approved by the Commissioner.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 1. General Information - General Information**

Page Last Modified: 11/10/2020

Annual Professional Performance Review Variance (Education Law 3012-d)

For guidance related to the Annual Professional Performance Review variance, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

At its October 2019 meeting, the Board of Regents amended sections 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents to allow LEAs to apply for a variance from Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan requirements to permit them to develop and implement new and innovative approaches to evaluation that meet the specific needs of the LEA, upon a finding by the Commissioner that the new and innovative approach demonstrates how it will ensure differentiated results over time and how the results of the evaluation will be used to provide personalized professional learning opportunities to teachers and principals, while complying with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d.

In instances where a variance is approved, the term(s) described in the approved variance will replace the related sections of the LEA's currently approved APPR plan. However, please note that all other terms as are present in the LEA's currently approved plan will remain in effect and must be implemented without modification.

Once a variance is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's APPR plan during the approved term of the variance. **In any instance in which there is an approved variance and such variance contains information that conflicts with the information provided in the approved Education Law §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved variance will apply during the approved term of the variance.**

Variance Application Timeline

Variance applications must be approved by the Department by December 1 of a school year to be implemented in that school year.

Submission by November 1 is suggested to allow time for review, revision and approval in order to meet the approval deadline for implementation in the same school year.

Absent a finding by the Commissioner of extraordinary circumstances, a variance application approved after December 1 of a school year will not be implemented until the following school year.

For more information regarding the variance approval deadline, including a possible extension, please contact APPRVariance@nysed.gov.

Variance Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below**

- ☒ Assure that the contents of this form are in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- ☒ Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's variance is kept on file and that a copy of such variance will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- ☒ Assure that this variance will be posted on the LEA's website, in addition to its current full APPR plan, no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- ☒ Assure that it is understood that this LEA's variance will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website* following approval.

Teacher Variance**Please check the appropriate box below.**

- ☒ Assure that any task not included in the following variance request(s) for teachers will be carried out in the manner described in the currently approved APPR plan.

Principal Variance

Education Law §3012-d requires that the principal evaluation system be aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluation. Therefore, when completing a variance request for the evaluation of principals, the processes identified must be aligned to such requirements.

Please check the appropriate box below.

- ☒ Assure that any requested variance for principal evaluation is aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluation provided in Education Law Section 3012-d and that any task not included in the following variance request(s) for principals will be carried out in the manner described in the currently approved APPR plan.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Request**

Page Last Modified: 11/10/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Education Law §3012-d requires that each teacher have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) consistent with a goal-setting process based on appropriate growth targets. The process must include, at a minimum, the following elements:

A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components),

Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance),

A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20,

A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating.

This requirement

currently approved APPR plan will apply.

must be met through

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an SLO process for a teacher or group of teachers that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

- ☒ A variance is not requested for the required student performance subcomponent for teachers.
- ☐ The details of the variance request for the required student performance subcomponent for teachers is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 3. TEACHERS: Optional Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/10/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an optional second measure for a teacher or group of teachers that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for the optional student performance subcomponent for teachers.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/10/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to evaluate teacher practice in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

- ☒ The details of the variance request for the teacher observation category is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Applicability & Rubric

Page Last Modified: 11/17/2020

Applicable Teachers

Please indicate all teachers to whom this teacher observation variance request applies.

Core Teachers

Use the table below to list the core teachers this teacher observation variance request is applicable to (teachers of other courses should be listed in the subsequent section).

	All Core Teachers	Common Branch	ELA	Math	Science	Social Studies
Courses	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All core teachers (K-3; 4-8 ELA, math, science, social studies; high school ELA and Regents courses) [if this option is selected, please do not make selections in subsequent columns]					

Other Teachers

- ☐ Teachers of other courses are not included in this teacher observation variance request.
☒ Teachers of other courses included in this teacher observation variance request are listed in the table below.

Fill in the following for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that are included in this teacher observation variance request:

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above
K-3 Art	K	3	Art
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives

Click "Add Row" to add additional courses. Only list additional courses if they are included in this teacher observation variance request.

Grade From	Grade To	Subject
K	12	All courses not named above

Applicable Areas

A variance may be requested for the following components of the teacher observation subcomponent:

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Applicability & Rubric

Page Last Modified: 11/17/2020

- Teacher practice rubric
- Rating and scoring of the teacher practice rubric
- Weighting of the domains/subcomponents of the teacher practice rubric
- HEDI scoring bands
- Weighting of the teacher observation subcomponents
- Required principal/supervisor observations
- Required independent evaluator observations
- Optional peer observations

Please indicate the area(s) of the teacher observation subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

- ☒ Required principal/supervisor observations
- ☒ Required independent evaluator observations

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Principal/Supervisor Observations

Page Last Modified: 11/24/2020

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained AdministratorsAt least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or another trained administrator.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

	Minimum number of observations	Observation method (check all that apply)	Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)
Unannounced	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(No Response)
Announced	1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Live	(No Response)
Totals:	1		

To which teachers does the information in the above table apply?☒ A subgroup of teachers listed in the 'Applicability' section (provide details below).

Describe the subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table above applies (i.e., probationary teachers), then complete the next page for an additional subgroup.

Tenured teachers

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Principal/Supervisor Observations

Page Last Modified: 11/24/2020

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained AdministratorsAt least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or another trained administrator.**Describe the subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table below applies (i.e., tenured teachers).**

Probationary Teachers

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.**If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.**

	Minimum number of observations	Observation method (check all that apply)	Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)
Unannounced	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(No Response)
Announced	2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Live	(No Response)
Totals:	2		

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Independent Evaluator Observations

Page Last Modified: 11/24/2020

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Number and Method of Observation

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below. At least one observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

	Minimum number of observations	Observation method (check all that apply)	Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)
Unannounced	1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Live	(No Response)
Announced	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(No Response)
Totals:	1		

To which teachers does the information in the above table apply?

- ☒ A subgroup of teachers listed in the 'Applicability' section (provide details below).

Describe the subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table above applies (i.e., probationary teachers), then complete the next page for an additional subgroup.

probationary teachers

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Independent Evaluator Observations

Page Last Modified: 11/17/2020

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)**Number and Method of Observation**

Describe the subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table below applies (i.e., tenured teachers).

Tenured Teachers

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below. At least one observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

	Minimum number of observations	Observation method (check all that apply)	Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)
Unannounced	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(No Response)
Announced	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(No Response)
Totals:	0		

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Details & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 12/21/2020

Variance Details*Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.***Rationale****Please provide a rationale for this variance request.****> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the teacher observation variance request.**

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic time to conduct observations has become a challenge. There is less time in the school day for administrators and teachers to conduct their work. The district reduced the time allotted for instruction in the Master Schedule. This occurred in both buildings in order to create two bus runs for transportation purposes. The Jr./Sr. High School now arrives one hour and ten minutes before the elementary school. Dismissal for the Jr./Sr. High School is one hour and ten minutes before the dismissal of the elementary school. The district had one start and end time in the past and all students were transported at the same time. To accomplish this the district had to shorten the school day for all students by forty minutes. It should also be noted the daily management of schools in following the district and building reopening plans takes considerable time and presents as an additional challenge.

Adjustments in the organization due to COVID-19 have altered teaching practices, administrative responsibilities and entire school systems and structures. Reducing the number of observations for tenured teachers would allow principals and instructional leaders more time in focused conversations and support around new teaching and learning environments. Instructional leaders could reallocate time to support all staff as they navigate the challenges of teaching during COVID-19. Teachers utilizing new methodologies for teaching and learning need the non-evaluative environment in which to take risks and reflect on successes and challenges. This is a prime opportunity for instructional leaders to talk to teachers about their practices and work those challenges out together. Additionally, reducing the number of observations would minimize the number of people coming in and out of buildings and classrooms.

Standards and Procedures**Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.****> This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.****> This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures and/or evidence of teacher practice that will be used to evaluate educators.**

Trained lead evaluators will continue to observe and evaluate all subcomponents of the four domains, with pre and post conferences for announced observations of all teachers. The district will continue to use the Danielson's Framework for Teaching 2011 rubric for the purpose of evaluating teachers. All subcomponents of the rubric will be observed. The district will use the score obtained for all subcomponents of the rubric. All observable subcomponents of the rubric will be weighted equally and averaged in order to obtain a score for each observation. The score of the announced observation will equal 100% of the tenured teacher's observation score. Probationary teacher's will obtain a score by calculating the average of the two announced observations which will be combined to account for 90% of their observation score. The one unannounced observation will account for 10% of their score. Untenured teachers will still be evaluated with two full announced observations weighted at 90% of the overall observation score by a trained lead evaluator/supervisor. The other 10% of the overall observation score will still be acquired by the independent trained evaluator after an unannounced observation. All four domains will be evaluated over the course of the year.

Due to COVID-19, the waiver is requested to reduce the number of observations for tenured teachers. The schools trained lead evaluator will plan to conduct one full, announced observation with pre and post conferences to count for 100% of the observation component in the overall APPR score. The district will not conduct an unannounced observation for tenured teachers by the independent evaluator. Tenured teachers can expect more frequent informal check-ins to support innovative teaching in hybrid and remote settings. In lieu of the independent evaluation the lead evaluator will conduct frequent informal classroom visits on a regular basis.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Details & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 12/21/2020

Rigor

Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.

> This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.

Trained lead evaluators will continue to observe and evaluate all four domains with pre and postconferences for announced observations of teachers. The district has found the Danielson's Framework for Teaching 2011 rubric to be rigorous and will continue to use this tool for observation purposes. The required pre and post observation conferences also assist in ensuring the district's process is rigorous. These conversations as a required component to the evaluation process are invaluable. It's also important to note that the use of all components of the Danielson rubric weighted equally enhance rigor. The process used for probationary teachers will not be changing as a result of this variance. A rigorous process of teacher evaluation has been established for probationary teachers and will continue. The evidence collected in each area of the rubric will continue to be used to identify areas of strength as well as areas of growth as a means to focus informal, formative conversations between the teacher and the instructional leader. Ongoing analysis of teacher observation data will help inform the district's process and assist in maintaining a high standard of teaching and learning. The district will review annually teacher observation trends looking back over a five year period. ESSA data and school report card data along with state and local assessment data will inform decisions on how to improve the observation process. Observation trend data will continue to inform targeted support and professional development. The impact of COVID-19 on teaching and learning has been exponential. Supporting our teachers to grow at that rate requires time to talk about practice outside of the evaluation process. Removing an unannounced observation for experienced teachers does not lower the bar, it affords the necessary opening to have conversations about growth in practice that are not evaluative in nature.

Professional Learning

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:

- **collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,**
- **specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,**
- **processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and**
- **use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.**

The information collected through the evaluation process will inform the work of the District Professional Development Committee. The committee will work together with district administration to look for trends, patterns and areas to improve instructional practice. The pre-conference, formal observation and post conference, provides ample opportunity for lead evaluators to deliver personalized learning to teachers. Other opportunities for personalized professional development are during monthly curriculum coordinator meetings and department chair meetings. Principals will share analysis of information collected as part of the evaluation process so curriculum leaders can better inform teachers on areas of need. The process of evaluation will lead to opportunities for instructional leaders to collect evidence and build upon professional conversations. Trained instructional leaders will utilize faculty meeting time to offer experiences around best practice. Instructional leaders, who know the strengths and areas of need of faculty, will share articles, resources and opportunities for professional development to promote growth in practice. In addition, teachers participate in PLCs, and other collaborative meetings throughout the year to identify student needs and effective, innovative practices to meet those needs, especially in the diverse learning environments brought on by COVID-19.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Details & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 12/21/2020

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:

- **collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,**
- **the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and**
- **how results will be used to inform future implementation.**

Analysis of evaluation platforms will ensure that the district is able to determine areas of strength and areas of need, both with the individual teacher and across the organization. This will drive district wide and individual support and professional development that is tightly aligned with those findings. Student performance and growth data can also be reviewed and analyzed to highlight effective instructional opportunities. If it is determined that one observation per year is not generating sufficient data that aligns with student outcomes, the process can be further reviewed. The current evaluation will be compared to historical evaluations to look for trends and inform the evaluation process.

Observation Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below as applicable to all teachers included in this teacher observation variance request.

- ☒ **Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.**
- ☒ **Assure that once all observations are complete, the process for determining an overall Teacher Observation category score and rating will incorporate the evidence collected across all observations to produce an overall Teacher Observation category rating on a HEDI scale.**
- ☒ **Assure that it is possible for a teacher to obtain any number of points in the applicable scoring ranges, including zero, in each subcomponent.**

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 5. TEACHERS: Overall Scoring - Variance Request**

Page Last Modified: 11/17/2020

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Education Law §3012-d requires that each teacher be given a final score for both the Student Performance and Teacher Observation categories, which will be converted to a final category rating based on the HEDI scale, and that these ratings be used to provide an Overall Rating using the prescribed scoring matrix.

These requirements must be met through either the LEA's current APPR plan or this variance. To the extent that the variance does not address a requirement, the currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to define the HEDI ranges for the Student Performance and/or Teacher Observation category that is different than those included in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for category ratings for teachers.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Variance Request**

Page Last Modified: 11/17/2020

Additional Requirements for Teachers

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Education Law §3012-d requires that a complete APPR plan must include a process for teacher improvement plans, appeals, and evaluator training as determined by the Commissioner. The following minimum requirements under Education Law §3012-d are applicable to teachers:

- A form for development of a Teacher Improvement Plan,
- A timely and expeditious process for resolving educator's appeals of APPR ratings,
- A process for training all evaluators of applicable educators.

These requirements must be met through either the LEA's current APPR plan or this variance. To the extent that the variance does not address a requirement, the currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process for Teacher Improvement Plans, appeals and/or training in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☒ A variance is not requested for teacher improvement plans, appeals, or training.
- ☐ The details of the variance request applicable to teacher improvement plans, appeals, and/or training is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Request**

Page Last Modified: 11/17/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Education Law §3012-d requires that the principal evaluation system be aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluations, including the required student performance subcomponent. Therefore, the required student performance subcomponent variance request for principals must be aligned to the teacher evaluation requirements.

This requirement

must be met through

currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process to demonstrate student growth based on principal practice for a principal or group of principals that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☒ A variance is not requested for the required student performance subcomponent for principals.
- ☐ The details of the variance request for the required student performance subcomponent for principals is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 8. PRINCIPALS: Optional Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/17/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an optional second measure for a principal or group of principals that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for the optional student performance subcomponent for principals.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/17/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to evaluate principal practice in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

- ☒ The details of the variance request for the principal school visit category is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Applicability & Rubric**

Page Last Modified: 12/22/2020

Applicable Principals

Please indicate all principals to whom this principal school visit variance request applies.

To add configurations for additional principals, click "Add Row".

Grade From	Grade To
K	5
6	12

Applicable Areas**A variance may be requested for the following components of the principal school visit subcomponent:**

- Principal practice rubric
- Rating and scoring of the principal practice rubric
- Weighting of the domains/subcomponents of the principal practice rubric
- HEDI scoring bands
- Weighting of the principal school visit subcomponents
- Required supervisor/administrator school visits
- Required independent evaluator school visits
- Optional peer school visits

If none of the above components are applicable to the requested variance, please select the last option and complete the next page in a manner that clearly and thoroughly describes the process of evaluating principal practice.**Please indicate the area(s) of the principal school visit subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.**

- ☒ Required supervisor/administrator school visits
- ☒ Required independent evaluator school visits

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-dTask 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required Supervisor/Administrator School Visits

Page Last Modified: 12/22/2020

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by supervisor(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method used, in the table below.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the school visit method.

	Minimum number of school visits	School visit method (check all that apply)	Other school visit method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)
Unannounced	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(No Response)
Announced	1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In person	(No Response)
Totals:	1		

To which principals does the information in the above table apply?

☒ All principals listed in the 'Applicability' section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-dTask 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required Independent Evaluator School Visits

Page Last Modified: 12/22/2020

Required Subcomponent 2: School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)**Independent Evaluator Assurances****Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Number and Method of School Visit

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method used, in the table below.

If a school visit type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the school visit method.

	Minimum number of school visits	School visit method(<i>check all that apply</i>)	Other school visit method(<i>only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column</i>)
Unannounced	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(No Response)
Announced	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(No Response)
Totals:	0		

To which principals does the information in the above table apply?

- ☒ All principals listed in the 'Applicability' section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Details & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 12/21/2020

Variance Details*Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.***Rationale****Please provide a rationale for this variance request.****> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the principal school visit variance request.**

The district reopening plan limits the number of hours teachers, students and administrators are in the school each day. The district plan reduced the time teachers and administrators are in the school by more than one hour each day. This was done to make sure the maximum amount of students could be in school each day as opposed to learning virtually. The challenge to the district is in managing the issues brought on by the pandemic while managing state and local mandates. Simply put there is limited time to get work done. Organizational change has been necessary due to the COVID-19 pandemic. There are no visitors allowed in district at this time as per the district's reopening plan. The district has worked closely with the local health department to keep staff and students safe. This has required the district to alter instructional practice and administrative responsibilities. It takes considerable amounts of time to adhere to the changes brought about by the pandemic. Reducing the number of observations for principals would ensure a safer school community. Currently, the district is focused on conversations and support around new teaching and learning environments. Instructional leaders have to reallocate time to support all staff as they navigate the challenges of teaching during COVID-19. Teachers utilizing new methodologies for teaching and learning need principals support and guidance in a non-evaluative environment in which to take risks and reflect on successes and challenges. This is a prime opportunity for instructional leaders to talk to teachers about their practices and work those challenges out together. Additionally, reducing the number of observations would minimize the number of people coming in and out of buildings and classrooms.

Standards and Procedures**Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.****> This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.****> This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures and/or evidence of principal practice that will be used to evaluate educators.**

Principals will still be evaluated with 1 full announced observation by a trained lead evaluator. All components of all domains of the Marshall Rubric will be evaluated over the course of the year. All components of all domains are weighted equally and averaged. Due to COVID-19, the waiver is requested to reduce the number of observations for principals. The district's trained lead evaluator will plan to conduct one full, announced observation with pre and post conferences to count for 100% of the observation component in the overall APPR score. The district will not conduct an observation for principals using an independent evaluator. Principals will benefit from the process of the announced observation and, in addition, more frequent informal check-ins to support innovative practice.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Details & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 12/21/2020

Rigor

Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.

> This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.

Adjustments in the organization due to COVID-19 have altered teaching, administrative responsibilities and school systems and structures. Reducing the number of observations for

principals would allow leaders more time in focused conversations and time to provide support around new teaching and learning environments.

Instructional leaders can reallocate time to support all staff as they navigate the challenges of teaching during COVID-19. Teachers utilizing new methodologies for teaching and learning need the non-evaluative environment in which to take risks and reflect on successes and challenges.

Principals need this same consideration.

That said, analysis of trends in evaluations over the past five years will assist in informing the district in decision made to improve the school. Data from school report cards such as student and staff attendance as well as student growth data will inform decisions for school improvement. This is a prime opportunity for instructional leaders to talk to teachers about their practices and work those challenges out together. The lead evaluator for principals will ensure rigor through frequent informal visits to each school. Mandatory staff meetings will be monitored by the lead evaluator on a regular basis to maintain rigor in each school.

Professional Learning

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:

- **collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,**
- **specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,**
- **processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and**
- **use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.**

Student growth data will be used to determine and inform the effectiveness of this variance. The district will collect short term and long term data using State Assessments to inform the district on how to proceed with professional growth for principals. The attainment of district and building level goals will also inform the district administration on the effectiveness of the variance and professional learning. Pre and post observation conversations with the lead evaluator allow for personalized learning opportunities for principals. Principal observation results will be reviewed annually and compared back to the previous years evaluations. The district and building ESSA data show our need to grow and develop better instructional practice for diverse students. This need will be part of evaluations and linked to principal professional learning.

In a small rural district there is plenty of opportunity to work as a team to provide professional learning experiences to principals. The principals in Schoharie have worked with mentors for the past five years. This process is ongoing. They also gain valuable experience as they work with educational organizations outside the district. Currently this includes Harvard University, CASDA and the Capital Region BOCES. Principals are able to seek out professional growth experiences and are encouraged to bring innovative practice back to the district. Most recently attending trainings on Co-Teaching in Chicago and Boston. District equity goals are the area of primary focus in the current climate and principals are seeking opportunities for training. The district has shown a need for advancing in this area.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Details & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 12/21/2020

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:

- **collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,**
- **the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and**
- **how results will be used to inform future implementation.**

The district will use our reported data on student achievement to determine the successful implementation of the variance. ESSA data and student achievement data on state and local assessments will help the district determine future strategies to assist principals in attaining district goals and meeting measures of performance in both the short term and over time. Data will be collected and reviewed at the beginning, middle and end of each school year. Data collected will assist in determining the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance and will inform future implementation. If it is determined that one observation per year is not generating sufficient data to determine effective implementation the process can be further reviewed.

Principal School Visit Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below as applicable to all principals included in this principal school visit variance request.

- ☒ **Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.**
- ☒ **Assure that once all school visits are complete, the process for determining an overall Teacher Observation category score and rating will incorporate the evidence collected across all school visits to produce an overall Principal School Visit category rating on a HEDI scale.**
- ☒ **Assure that it is possible for a principal to obtain any number of points in the applicable scoring ranges, including zero, in each subcomponent.**

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 10. PRINCIPALS: Overall Scoring - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/17/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to define the HEDI ranges for the Student Performance and/or Principal School Visit category that is different than those included in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for category ratings for principals.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-dTask 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/17/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process for Principal Improvement Plans, appeals and/or training in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☒ A variance is not requested for principal improvement plans, appeals, or training.
- ☐ The details of the variance request applicable to principal improvement plans, appeals, and/or training is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Variance - Applicability and Certification**

Page Last Modified: 12/23/2020

Applicability of Variance**Need for Variance****Please make the appropriate selection below.**

- ☒ The submission of this variance application is prompted by the impact of COVID-19 on the LEA.

Instructional Model

- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if an in-person instructional model is implemented.
- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if a remote instructional model is implemented.
- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if a hybrid instructional model is implemented.
- ☒ The processes identified in this variance application apply regardless of the instructional model implemented.

Variance Duration

An Annual Professional Performance Review Variance under Education Law §3012-d may be approved for up to THREE (3) years.

Please indicate below the school years to which this variance application will apply.

One, two, or three consecutive academic years may be selected.

- ☒ 2020-21
- ☒ 2021-22
- ☒ 2022-23

Upload APPR Variance Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Variance using the "Variance Certification Form" found in the 'Documents' menu on the left side of the page.

APPR Variance Signature 12-23-20.pdf

APPR VARIANCE CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, check the assurances, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Variance, Education Law §3012-d application.

Assurances: Please check the boxes below

- ☒ Assure that all information provided in this variance application is true and accurate as of the date that the variance application is submitted.
- ☒ Assure that once this application is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's approved APPR plan during the effective term of the variance.
- ☒ Assure that, upon a revocation or non-renewal of a variance application at the end of its effective term, the district shall implement its approved evaluation plan in its entirety and without modification, consistent with all requirements of Subpart 30-3.3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and absent any terms of the variance.
- ☒ Assure that, where applicable, collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of this variance application that are subject to collective bargaining.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:

Date:

David M Blanchard

Superintendent Name (print):

David M Blanchard 12-23-20

Teachers Union President Signature:

Date:

Natalie McKay 12-23-20

Teachers Union President Name (print):

Natalie McKay

Administrative Union President Signature:

Date:

Kerri Calarone 12-23-2020

Administrative Union President Name (print):

Kerri Calarone

Board of Education President Signature:

Date:

Daniel Guasp 12-23-20

Board of Education President Name (print):

Daniel Guasp



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov
Twitter: @NYSEDNews
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

February 11, 2021

Revised

David Blanchard, Superintendent
Schoharie Central School District
136 Academy Drive
Schoharie, NY 12157

Dear Superintendent Blanchard:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Betty A. Rosa
Commissioner

Attachment

c: Anita Murphy

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 1. General Information - Disclaimers and Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

The Department will review the contents of each local educational agency's (LEA) Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in an LEA's plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from an LEA to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Each LEA is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of an LEA's plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the LEA are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the LEA, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject or disapprove this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

APPR Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below**

- ☒ Assure that the content of this form represents the LEA's entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- ☒ Assure that it is understood that this LEA's APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website* following approval.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Each teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) locally determined, consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

- **State assessment(s);**or

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- **third party assessments;** or
- **locally-developed assessments**(district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

SLO Assurances**Please check the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the teacher has an SLO as determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- ☒ Assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- ☒ Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- ☒ Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- ☒ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- ☒ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.
- ☒ Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Kindergarten

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Common Branch Kindergarten Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for kindergarten teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for kindergarten:

- Complete this section accordingly for common branch teachers.

- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select the "Elementary" option for applicable subjects in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Kindergarten: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Kindergarten: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Kindergarten: Assessment Type(s)

☒ State or Regents assessment(s)

Kindergarten: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade One

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Common Branch Grade One Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade one teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branchanddepartmentalized model for grade one:

- Complete this section accordinglyforcommon branch teachers.

- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select the"Elementary" option for applicable subjects in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s)and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:**scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:**scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or programwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:**scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEAwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Grade 1: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 1: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 1: Assessment Type(s)

☒ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 1: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Two

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Common Branch Grade Two Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade two teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade two:

- Complete this section accordingly for common branch teachers.

- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select the "Elementary" option for applicable subjects in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Grade 2: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 2: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 2: Assessment Type(s)

☒ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 2: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Three

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Common Branch Grade Three Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade three teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branchanddepartmentalized model for grade three:

- Complete this section accordinglyforcommon branch teachers.

- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select the"Elementary" option for applicable subjects in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s)and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:**scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:**scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or programwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:**scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEAwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Grade 3: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 3: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 3: Assessment Type(s)

☒ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 3: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Four

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Grade Four

Please identify below whether grade four instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade four teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade four:

- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade four in your LEA.

☒ Common branch

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Four (common branch)

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Grade Four (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade 4: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 4: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade Four: Assessment Type(s)

☒ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade Four: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Five

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Grade Five

Please identify below whether grade five instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade five teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade five:

- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade five in your LEA.

☒ Common branch

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Five (common branch)

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Grade Five (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade 5: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 5: District- or BOCES Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 5: Assessment Type(s)

☒ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 5: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Six

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Grade Six

Please identify below whether grade six instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade six teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade six:

- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade six in your LEA.

- ☒ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Six (uniform departmentalized)

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Grade Six (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade six departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 6: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

Grade 6: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

Grade 6: Assessment Type(s)☒ State or Regents assessment(s)**Grade 6: State or Regents Assessment(s)**☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Seven

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Grade Seven

Please identify below whether grade seven instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade seven teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade seven:

- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade seven in your LEA.

- ☒ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Seven (uniform departmentalized)

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Grade Seven (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade seven departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 7: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

Grade 7: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

Grade 7: Assessment Type(s)☒ State or Regents assessment(s)**Grade 7: State or Regents Assessment(s)**☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Eight

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Grade Eight Measures and Assessments

Please identify below whether grade eight instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade eight teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade eight:

- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade eight in your LEA.

- ☒ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Eight (uniform departmentalized)

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Grade Eight (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade eight departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 8: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

Grade 8: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

Grade Eight: Assessment Type(s)☒ State or Regents assessment(s)**Grade Eight: State or Regents Assessment(s)**☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School ELA

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

High School English Language Arts*Note: Additional high school English courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.*

Please identify below whether all high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school ELA teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether grades 9 through 12 ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☒ All high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS ELA (all grades)

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

High School ELA (All Grades) Measure and Assessment(s)**High School ELA: Measure Type**

School- or program-wide

High School ELA: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School ELA: Assessment Type(s)☒ State or Regents assessment(s)**High School ELA: State or Regents Assessment(s)**☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School Regents Math

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

High School Regents Math*Note: Additional high school math courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.*

Please identify below whether all high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents math teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents math teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☒ All high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS Regents Math (all courses)

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

High School Regents Math (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Math: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Math: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Math: Assessment Type(s)

☒ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Math: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School Regents Science

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

High School Regents Science*Note: Additional high school science courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.*

Please identify below whether all high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents science teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents science teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☒ All high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS Regents Science (all courses)

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

High School Regents Science (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)**High School Regents Science: Measure**

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Science: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Science: Assessment Type(s)☒ State or Regents assessment(s)**High School Regents Science: State or Regents Assessment(s)**☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School Regents Social Studies

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

High School Regents Social Studies: Measures and Assessments*Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.*

Please identify below whether all high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents social studies teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents social studies teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☒ All high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS Regents SS (all courses)

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

High School Regents Social Studies (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)**High School Regents Social Studies: Measure Type**

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Social Studies: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Social Studies: Assessment Type(s)☒ State or Regents assessment(s)**High School Regents Social Studies: State or Regents Assessment(s)**☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses**

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Other Courses

Please identify below the 'other courses' in your LEA; indicate which of the six available measures will be used for each group of teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

Note

For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for any of grades four to eight:

- Select one of the "Departmentalized" options at each applicable grade level and complete the remainder of the corresponding departmentalized section(s) accordingly.
- For the "Other Courses" entry below, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of the information as appropriate.

For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for any of grades kindergarten to three:

- Complete each applicable common branch grade level at the beginning of Task 2 accordingly.
- For the "Other Courses" entry below, select the "Elementary" option for applicable subjects in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of the information as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Complete the following, as applicable, for all 'other teachers' in additional grades/subjects (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Column 4: measure used

Columns 5-7: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-7) assessment(s)
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	ELARegents, Algebra IRegents
K-3 Art	K	3	Art	Teacher and course-specific results	Questar III BOCES
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives	School- or program-wide linked results	All Regents given in LEA

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

Grade From	Grade To	Subject	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K	5	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in LEA		
6	12	All courses not named above	School- or program-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in LEA		

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Weighting**

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 3. TEACHERS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent**

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring**

Page Last Modified: 01/19/2021

Teacher Observation Category

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.
Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2011 Revised Edition)	NA

Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- ☒ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year as indicated in the table above.
- ☒ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring**

Page Last Modified: 01/19/2021

Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department's regulations.

Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:

- The process for designating observable components (please note: all educators of the same grade/subject must be evaluated based on the same set of observable components);
- The level at which components of the chosen rubric are rated (i.e., domain, subdomain, indicator, etc.);
- How the final score and rating for each observable component of the practice rubric is determined for each observer; and
- How the final score for the required (i.e., lead evaluator/evaluator; independent evaluator) and/or optional (peer observer, as applicable) subcomponent of the Observation category is determined based on the final score and rating for each observable component.

Example: All subcomponents of Domains 2-4 of the Danielson rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 2 and 3 are weighted as 40% each, and Domain 4 is weighted as 20%. For each observation, all observed subcomponents in a domain are weighted equally and averaged to create a domain score, which is then weighted as above and averaged to reach a final score for each observation. Scores for each observation are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each observation type. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the observation cycle.

Trained lead evaluators will continue to observe and evaluate all subcomponents of the four domains, with pre and postconferences for announced observations of all teachers. The district will continue to use the Danielson's Framework for Teaching 2011 rubric for the purpose of evaluating teachers. All subcomponents of the rubric will be observed. The district will use the score obtained for all subcomponents of the rubric. All observable subcomponents of the rubric will be weighted equally and averaged in order to obtain a score for each observation. The score of the announced observation will equal 90% of the teacher's observation score. The one unannounced observation will account for 10% of their score. All four domains will be evaluated over the course of the year. Where more than one observation is performed by the supervisor, final scores for each observation will be weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for that category of evaluator.

Scoring Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- ☒ Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

The overall Observation score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0.00*	1.49 to 1.74

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring**

Page Last Modified: 01/19/2021

** In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.***HEDI Ranges**

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Observations**

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting**Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators**

- At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

- No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

** If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.*

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Principal/Administrator [Required]	Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]	Peer Observer(s) [Optional]	Group of teachers for which this weighting will apply
90%	10%	0% (N/A)	(No Response)

Observation Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☒ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one observation must be conducted by building principal or other trained administrator and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by principal or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of management to conduct observations in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Observations

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

TEACHERS <i>Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers.</i>	SUBGROUP <i>If "Subgroup of Teachers" is selected in the previous column, indicate which teachers the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.</i>	UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations	UNANNOUNCED Observation Method	ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations	ANNOUNCED Observation Method
Subgroup of Teachers	Tenured Teachers	0	N/A	1	Live
Subgroup of Teachers	Probationary Teachers	0	N/A	2	Live

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one observation must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the teacher being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers (e.g., teacher leaders on career ladder pathways), so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the teacher being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

TEACHERS <i>Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers.</i>	SUBGROUP <i>If "Subgroup of Teachers" is selected in the previous column, indicate which teachers the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.</i>	UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations	UNANNOUNCED Observation Method	ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations	ANNOUNCED Observation Method
All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	NA	1	Live	0	N/A

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Observations**

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- ☒ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Optional Observations

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by trained peer observer(s).

- Peer teachers are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer teachers must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by trained peer observer(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number and "N/A" for the observation method for both unannounced and announced observations for "All Teachers."

TEACHERS <i>Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers.</i>	SUBGROUP <i>If "Subgroup of Teachers" is selected in the previous column, indicate which teachers the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.</i>	UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations	UNANNOUNCED Observation Method	ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations	ANNOUNCED Observation Method
All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	NA	0	N/A	0	N/A

Peer Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒
Assure that peer observers, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- ☒
Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observers, these teachers received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 5. TEACHERS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall Observation category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

Teacher Observation

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating			Overall Observation Category Score and Rating		
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum
H	18	20	H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	15	17	E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	12	I	0.00	1.49 to 1.74

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

		Teacher Observation Category			
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
Student Performance Category	Highly Effective (H)	H	H	E	D
	Effective (E)	H	E	E	D
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D	D	I	I

Category and Overall Rating Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- ☒ Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same LEA, each of whom received an Ineffective rating under Education Law Section 3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the LEA has a Department-approved waiver from this requirement.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Teacher Improvement Plans**

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Additional Requirements

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☒ Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the LEA.

TeacherImprovementPlanTEMPLATE_1.doc

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals**

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Appeal Assurance**Please check the box below.**

- ☒ Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals**

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

J. APPR Appeals**1. Teacher Request for Supporting Documents**

Within five (5) school days of receipt of the APPR, a teacher may request, in writing, that the administrator issuing the APPR provide the teacher a copy of any and all documents and written materials upon which the APPR was based. The authoring administrator shall provide all such documents to the teacher within five (5) school days of the request. Only materials provided in response to the request shall be considered in the deliberations as to the validity of the APPR.

2. Right to Appeal

a. Only tenured teachers who receive an APPR rating of "ineffective" or "developing" may appeal their APPR through the procedure herein. A teacher may file only one appeal from a single APPR.

b. Probationary teachers may not file appeals through the procedure established herein but may file a written rebuttal which shall be attached to the APPR. Probationary teachers may only challenge claims of APPR procedural violations through the contractual grievance procedure.

3. Filing of Appeal by Tenured Teacher

A tenured teacher may file a written appeal of the APPR within twenty-five (25) school days of the receipt of the requested supporting documents. Any appeal shall be filed with the Superintendent of Schools.

An appeal of an APPR must be based upon one or more of the following grounds:

- a. The substance of the APPR;
- b. The District's failure to adhere to the standards and methodologies required for the APPR that are set forth in Education Law 3012-d and applicable rules and regulations;
- c. The District's failure to comply with locally negotiated procedures and timelines;
- d. The District's failure to issue and/or implement the terms of the Teacher Improvement Plan, where applicable, as required under Education Law 3012-d.

The written appeal document shall be a letter or an email; it must clearly identify the grounds for appeal, and shall explain, in detail, why the appealing teacher believes the APPR should be modified or redone.

Levels of Appeal: (see chart below)

LEVEL I: After receiving the Overall rating, the teacher will first try to resolve the appeal with the administrator/evaluator of record.

LEVEL II: If the teacher and the administrator cannot resolve the appeal informally, the teacher will make the appeal in writing with the necessary supporting documentation attached. The administrator/evaluator will have ten (10) school days to respond in writing to the appeal.

If s/he finds the points valid, changes in the rating will be made.

If s/he denies the Level II appeal, it shall be done so in writing with explanation/rationale.

LEVEL III: If the administrator denied the request and chooses not to re-score the observation, the denial shall be reduced to writing. If the teacher is unsatisfied with the written response from the administrator/evaluator, a formal appeal request shall be made by email or written letter to the Superintendent of Schools within ten (10) school days after receipt of the Level II response.

4. Review by APPR Appeals Committee

Formal appeals shall be referred for consideration by the APPR Appeals Committee, a standing committee made up of two (2) administrators from within the District appointed by the Superintendent of Schools, and seven (7) tenured teachers from within the District appointed by the president of the Schoharie Teachers Association. Two (2) of the seven (7) teachers appointed by the Association will be included with the approval of the Superintendent of the Schoharie CSD. The hearing committee will be selected from this pool and will serve on an appeal by appeal basis. The hearing committee will consist of two (2) administrators appointed by the superintendent of schools, two (2) teachers appointed by the president of the Association and 1 (one) teacher mutually agreed upon by both the superintendent and Association president. All members of the standing committee shall be appointed annually, and all members shall be required to complete the training required of lead evaluators under the APPR regulations. In the event that an administrator assigned to the Committee has authored the APPR in question, an alternate administrator will be assigned by the Superintendent. In the event that a teacher filing an appeal is a Committee member, an alternate teacher will be assigned by the president of the Teachers Association. Any/all alternates are required to have completed the training required of lead evaluators under the APPR regulations.

The APPR Appeals Committee shall convene to consider the appeal within ten (10) school days of the filing of the request to move to level III. The committee shall determine its own rules and procedures, which may be altered as the Committee sees fit as it performs its duties. The committee shall determine, for example, whether to allow committee members to review the documents underlying an APPR prior to the convening of the committee, and whether to invite either the appealing teacher or the authoring administrator, or both, to address or be questioned by the committee. It shall be the duty of the committee to answer the question, "has the teacher demonstrated that the APPR should be modified or recalculated?" In the course of answering this question, the committee may consider the claims of the procedural violations and shall determine whether the claimed violations are significant enough to warrant modifying or recalculate the APPR.

5. Determination of Appeal

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals**

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Upon the conclusion of its consideration of an appeal (no later than five school days from the date the committee convenes), each member of the committee shall vote to uphold the APPR, modify the APPR, or recalculate the APPR. If the committee agrees by majority vote on one of these choices, the committee shall give written notice of its decision to the appealing teacher, the president of the Schoharie Teachers Association and the Superintendent of Schools. The committee's decision shall be final and binding.

In the event the committee does not have majority support for one of the above stated options in its decision on an appeal, each member of the committee shall write a brief statement setting forth and explaining his or her recommendation for disposition of the appeal. The committee members' written statements, together with the full record of the appeal, shall then be forwarded to the Superintendent of Schools within the same 5 day period as above. The Superintendent shall have final authority to resolve the appeal. The Superintendent's decision shall be in writing and will have as attachments all of the committee members' written statements attached thereto. The decision of the superintendent shall be rendered within five (5) school days of receipt of the reports from the appeals committee members.

6. Exclusivity of Appeal Process

The APPR Appeals Process set forth herein shall be the sole method of appealing either an APPR or claimed violation of the procedural or substantive requirements of the APPR process. Except as specifically allowed in Section II, there shall be no appeal allowed through the contractual grievance procedure or to any administrative or judicial tribunal. The Superintendent's decision will be final and binding and not subject to the grievance process.

Timeline Chart Summary

Cumulative Days Duration in Days Action Taken Level

0 0 Teacher receives overall rating and requests supporting documentation from evaluator Level 1

5 +5 Documentation provided to teacher by evaluator Level 1

15 +10 Written request presented to evaluator for written response, and evaluator has 10 days to respond Level 2

25 +10 Request made to superintendent to convene an appeals committee Level 3

35 (max) +10 (max) Appeals committee is formulated and meets to render a decision (no more than 10 days to convene committee) Level 3

40 (max) +5 (max) Appeals committee renders decision to superintendent Level 3

45 (max) +5 (max) Superintendent renders decision if the appeals committee is unable to render a decision

*max is the maximum number of days this portion of the process can take, indicated in school days

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Training**

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Training Assurance**Please check the box below.**

- ☒ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

All evaluators will be trained and all lead evaluators will be trained and certified by the Board of Education under the nine requirements prescribed in §30-3.10 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Impartial independent observers will receive training on the three requirements prescribed in §30-3.10(c). All evaluators, lead evaluators and independent evaluators are retrained annually. Training for all evaluators will be conducted by Capital Region BOCES teacher and principal leaders on career ladder pathways and will consist of approximately five school days throughout the school year. Inter-rater reliability will be maintained over time through various activities, including data analysis to detect disparities on the part of one or more evaluators, periodic comparisons of a lead evaluator's assessment with another evaluator's assessment of the same educator, and annual calibration sessions across evaluators. Certified school administrators or superintendents of schools serving as lead evaluators will not be prohibited from conducting classroom observations or school visits as part of an APPR prior to completion of the training required by §30-3.10 provided such training is successfully completed prior to completion of the evaluation. Lead evaluators will be re-certified by the Board of Education annually. Additionally, all impartial independent observers will also be retrained annually to maintain calibration levels consistent with district expectations. Annual retraining for all evaluators, lead evaluators and independent evaluators will be completed during half day training sessions provided by Capital Region BOCES.

Schoharie CSD does not plan to use peer observers.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Teacher Evaluation Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ☒ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☒ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- ☒ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide teachers with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- ☒ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- ☒ Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☒ Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☒ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Required Student Performance Measures

Student performance for principals may be measured by either a student learning objectives (SLO) or an Input Model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal's building or program.

> Principal and building/program-specific

- **Principal and building/program-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

- **State assessment(s);** or
Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:
- **third party assessments;** or
- **locally-developed assessments** (district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

INPUT MODEL

Selection of the Input Model will require:

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
- ☒ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that such SLO is determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- ☒ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- ☒ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- ☒ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that if the principal's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- ☒ For principals evaluated using an input model, assure that all applicable principals will be evaluated using the procedures described herein and approved by the Commissioner.
- ☒ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs and input models.
- ☒ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

Required Student Performance for Principals**Please choose the option that best describes the required student performance subcomponent for principals in your LEA.**

- ☐ The same measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for all principals
- ☒ Different measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for different grade configurations/programs

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - All Principals: SLO

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Principal Student Learning Objective

Please indicate the type of SLO that will be used for principals, then choose the corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s).

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)*An individually attributed SLO measure*> Principal and building/program-specific

- **Principal and building/program-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to principals.

District- or BOCES-wide results

Principal Assessments**All Principals: Assessment Type(s)**☒ State or Regents assessment(s)**All Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)**☒ All Regents given in LEA**HEDI Scoring Bands**

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [1]**

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Applicable Principals [1]

If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each must be described on a separate page. Complete this section for the first combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in the following sections.

Grade From	Grade To
K	5

Principal Measures [1]

Please indicate how student performance will be measured for the principals listed above, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Principal and building/program-specific

- **Principal and building/program-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

All Principals: Measure Type

Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Student Learning Objective

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to the principals listed above.

District- or BOCES-wide results

Principal Assessments [1]

Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure. Assessments should only be selected if applicable to the

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [1]

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

measure indicated.

Principals: Assessment Type(s)

☒ State or Regents assessment(s)

Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☒ All Regents given in LEA

Additional Principals

Please be sure all principals in your LEA are included in Task 7.

☒ Check this box to list additional principal(s) who will be evaluated using a different measure and assessment(s) included in this section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [2]**

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Applicable Principals [2]

If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each must be described on a separate page. Complete this section for the second combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in this section.

Grade From	Grade To
6	12

Principal Measures [2]

Please indicate how student performance will be measured for the principals listed above, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Principal and building/program-specific

- **Principal and building/program-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Principals: Measure Type

Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Student Learning Objective

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to the principals listed above.

Principal and building/program-specific results

Principal Assessment(s) [2]

Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure. Assessments should only be selected if applicable to the

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [2]

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

measure indicated.

Principals: Assessment Type(s)

☒ State or Regents assessment(s)

Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☒ All Regents given in LEA

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Weighting**

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 8. PRINCIPALS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent**

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;
- Option (G) An input model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student achievement related to the Leadership Standards; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring**

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Principal School Visit Category

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principals' professional performance shall be evaluated based on a State-approved rubric using multiple sources of evidence collected and incorporated into the school visit protocol. Where appropriate, such evidence may be aligned to building or district goals; provided, however, that professional goal-setting may not be used as evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness. Such evidence shall reflect school leadership practice aligned to the Leadership Standards and selected practice rubric.

Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- ☒ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different programs or grade configurations as indicated in the table above.
- ☒ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department's regulations.

Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:

- The process for designating observable components (please note: all principals of the same building configuration/program must be evaluated based on the same set of observable components);
- The level at which components of the chosen rubric are rated (i.e., domain, subdomain, indicator, etc.);
- How the final score and rating for each observable component of the practice rubric is determined for each observer; and
- How the final score for the required (i.e., lead evaluator/evaluator; independent evaluator) and/or optional (peer principal, as applicable) subcomponent of the School Visit category is determined based on the final score and rating for each observable component.

Example: All subcomponents of Domains 1-4 of the MPPR rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 1, 2 and 3 are weighted as 30% each, and Domain 4 is weighted as 10%. For each school visit, all observed subcomponents in a domain are weighted equally and averaged to create a domain score, which is then weighted as above and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit. Scores for each school visit are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit type. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the school visit cycle.

Principals will still be evaluated with 1 full announced observation by a trained lead evaluator. All components of all domains of the Marshall Rubric will be evaluated over the course of the year. All components of all domains are weighted equally and averaged. The district's trained lead evaluator will plan to conduct one full, announced observation with pre and post conferences to count for 90% of the observation component in the overall APPR score. The district will conduct an observation for principals using an independent evaluator that will count for 10% of the observation component in the overall APPR score.

Scoring Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- ☒ Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

The overall School Visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0.00*	1.49 to 1.74

* In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits**

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting**Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators**

- At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

- No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

** If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.*

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Supervisor/Administrator [Required]	Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]	Peer School Visit(s) [Optional]	Group of principals for which this weighting will apply
90%	10%	0% [N/A]	(No Response)

School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☒ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- ☒ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one school visit must be conducted by supervisor or other trained administrator and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by superintendent or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of a board of education or superintendent of schools from conducting additional school visits for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by supervisor(s) or other trained administrators in the table below.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

PRINCIPALS <i>Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.</i>	SUBGROUP <i>If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.</i>	UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits	ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	0	1

Required Subcomponent 2: School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one school visit must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA but may not be assigned to the same school building as the principal being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs/directors, or peers, so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the principal being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) in the table below.

PRINCIPALS <i>Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.</i>	SUBGROUP <i>If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.</i>	UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits	ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	1	0

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits**

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- ☒ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Optional School Visits

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Optional Subcomponent: School Visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by trained peer principal(s).

- Peer principals are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer principals must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by trained peer principal(s) in the table below.

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number for both unannounced and announced school visits for "All Principals."

PRINCIPALS <i>Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.</i>	SUBGROUP <i>If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.</i>	UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits	ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	N/A	N/A

Peer Principal School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that peer principal(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- ☒ Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 10. PRINCIPALS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings**

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall School Visit category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	18	20
E	15	17
D	13	14
I	0	12

Principal School Visit Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0.00	1.49 to 1.74

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

		Principal School Visit Category			
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
Student Performance Category	Highly Effective (H)	H	H	E	D
	Effective (E)	H	E	E	D
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D	D	I	I

Category and Overall Rating Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Principal Improvement Plans**

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☒ Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the LEA.

appr_11_2_pp_398934512-Admin Action Plan - Improvement Plan.pdf

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Appeals**

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Appeal Assurance**Please check the box below.**

- ☒ Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Appeals**

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.Schoharie Central School District Administrator Appeals ProcessAppeals Process

The purpose of the internal APPR appeal process is to foster and nurture growth of the respective administrator in order to maintain a highly qualified and effective workforce. The appeal procedures shall provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of the appeal. Appeals are reserved for tenured administrators.

Appeals may be filed by tenured administrators only under the circumstances set forth below. An administrator may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review or Administrator Action Plan (AAP).

APPR Subject to Appeal Procedure

Only tenured unit bargaining member aggrieved by an APPR rating of ineffective or developing may challenge the APPR.

An APPR subject to a pending appeal shall not be offered in evidence in any Education Law 3020-a or 3020b proceedings until the appeal process can be concluded.

Grounds for an Appeal

An appeal may be filed challenging the APPR based upon one or more of the following grounds:

- The substance of the APPR
- The district's failure to adhere to the standards and methodologies required for the APPR, pursuant to Educational Law 3012-d and applicable rules and regulations
- The district's failure to comply with the applicable regulations of the Commissioner of Education
- The district's failure to issue and /or implement a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) a/k/a, an Administrator Action Plan(AAP) as required under Educational Law 3012-d

Appeal

The district and the association will maintain an appeal panel list. The appeal panel will include trained lead evaluators; one chosen by the district, one chosen by the administrative unit and a third, mutually agreed upon administrator willing to conduct a review at no cost to either party.

In order to be timely, an APPR appeal shall be filed in writing with the superintendent within fifteen (15) calendar days in receipt of the APPR. The appeal must state specifically the grounds for appeal and include any documents relevant to the appeal. Failure to include a particular ground for the appeal within the principal 's written appeal shall be deemed a waiver of that claim.

Superintendent's Written Response to Appeal

Within fifteen (15) calendar days of receipt of an appeal, the superintendent must submit a detailed written response that includes all documents or materials that are specific to the points of the disagreement and/or relevant to the resolution of the appeal. Material not submitted at the time of the response filing will not be considered in deliberations related to the appeal.

Decision of the Appeal

Within ten (10) calendar days after receipt of the superintendent's response to the appeal, if the administrator still is aggrieved the parties will engage their respective representatives. This will require a meeting with the review panel and the administrator and their representatives. The review panel will consist of the lead evaluator, one district level administrator and a building level administrator. Each party may present written and verbal information in support of the challenge and the rating. The parties may ask questions of each other, and the reviewer panel may ask questions of both parties.

There is no provision for witnesses nor a formal hearing; each party may each have one representative present at the review.

Within ten (10) calendar days the review panel will present its finding in writing. The panel may uphold the rating, raise the rating, or vacate the rating.

The decision of the panel shall be final and binding.

The final determination of the review panel rendered following this review process shall be final and binding and shall not be grievable, arbitrable, nor reviewable in any other forum.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Training**

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2021

Training Assurance**Please check the box below.**

- ☒ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent evaluators and peer principals need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Evaluators, and Peer Principals and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent evaluators and peer principals;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

All evaluators will be trained and all lead evaluators will be trained and certified by the Board of Education under the nine requirements prescribed in §30-3.10 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Impartial independent observers will receive training on the three requirements prescribed in §30-3.10(c). All evaluators, lead evaluators and independent evaluators are retrained annually. Training for all evaluators will be conducted by Capital Region BOCES teacher and principal leaders on career ladder pathways and will consist of approximately five school days throughout the school year. Inter-rater reliability will be maintained over time through various activities, including data analysis to detect disparities on the part of one or more evaluators, periodic comparisons of a lead evaluator's assessment with another evaluator's assessment of the same educator, and annual calibration sessions across evaluators. Certified school administrators or superintendents of schools serving as lead evaluators will not be prohibited from conducting classroom observations or school visits as part of an APPR prior to completion of the training required by §30-3.10 provided such training is successfully completed prior to completion of the evaluation. Lead evaluators will be re-certified by the Board of Education annually. Additionally, all impartial independent observers will also be retrained annually to maintain calibration levels consistent with district expectations. Annual retraining for all evaluators, lead evaluators and independent evaluators will be completed during half day training sessions provided by Capital Region BOCES.

Schoharie CSD does not plan to use peer observers.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 11/02/2020

Principal Evaluation Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- ☒ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☒ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- ☒ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide principals with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- ☒ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- ☒ Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☒ Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☒ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 01/21/2021

Upload APPR LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

APPR Revision 2021 signature.pdf

TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Schoharie Central School District

Teacher: _____ Principal: _____ School: _____ Date: _____

PROBLEM	GOAL	STRATEGIES	EVIDENCE OF COMPLETION	COMPLETION DATE

Teacher Signature: _____

Date: _____

Principal's Signature: _____

Date: _____

Page __ of __

TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN (FORMAT)

Schoharie Central School District

Teacher: _____ Principal: _____ School: _____ Date: _____

PROBLEM	GOAL	STRATEGIES	EVIDENCE OF COMPLETION	COMPLETION DATE
Only <u>one</u> problem per page	There can be multiple goals for a problem.	There can be multiple strategies per problem. Strategies are what the teacher is to do. Include specific times and dates for each strategy, where appropriate.	There should be evidence for each strategy. Please keep one across from the other on this page. Evidences are what <u>you</u> do in response to what the teacher will be doing in the strategy. Include specific times, dates, or frequency for each evidence.	Must be overseen by Principal or other Administrator. The evidence of completion should establish reasonable time frames in which the Principal or other administrator will evaluate.

Employee Signature: _____

Date: _____

Principal's Signature: _____

Date: _____

Page ___ of ___

ADMINISTRATOR ACTION PLAN (AAP)

Schoharie Central School District

Administrator: _____ **Evaluator:** _____ **School:** _____ **Date:** _____

Areas of Needed Improvement (based on MPPR)	Task / Activity to Support Improvement	Evidence of Improvement	Time Frame	Manner of Assessment	Additional Support and Assistance (for administrator to execute his / her improvement plan)

Administrator Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

Evaluator Signature: _____ **Date:** _____ **Page** _____ **of** _____

Assessment Summary: Superintendent is to attach a narrative summary of improvement progress, including verification of the provision of support and resources as outlined above no later than 10 days after the identified completion date. Such summary shall be signed by the superintendent and principal with the opportunity for the principal to attach comments.

LEA CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan.

By signing this document, the LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s) certify that the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval constitutes the school LEA's complete APPR plan, that all provisions of the plan that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, and that such plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d as amended by the Laws of 2019 and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and has been adopted by the governing body of the LEA.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify, upon information and belief, that all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the LEA's complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the LEA; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11).

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the overall APPR rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including but not limited to: tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured;
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal his or her score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher's or principal's APPR, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured;
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the LEA's office and made available to the public on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that complete and accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA will continue to report to the State individual subcomponent scores and the overall rating for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities;
- Assure that any teacher or principal who receives an Overall Rating of Developing or Ineffective in any school year will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 of the school year following the year in which such teacher's or principal's performance was measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations;
- Assure that LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the LEA;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school

visits;

- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the LEA shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;
- Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth or achievement will be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject, for teachers, or similar building configurations/programs, for principals, in the LEA will be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth;
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year;
- Assure that the LEA will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:

Date:

David M Blanchard 1-11-21

Superintendent Name (print):

David Blanchard

Teachers Union President Signature:

Date:

Natalie McKay 1-20-21

Teachers Union President Name (print):

Natalie McKay

Administrative Union President Signature:

Date:

K.C. 1/20/21

Administrative Union President Name (print):

Kevin Calacone

Board of Education President Signature:

Date:

Terry Burton 1-21-21

Board of Education President Name (print):

Terry Burton