THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK



Interim Commissioner of Education President of the University of the State of New York 89 Washington Avenue, Room 111 Albany, New York 12234 E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov Twitter:@NYSEDNews Tel: (518) 474-5844 Fax: (518) 473-4909

January 29, 2021

APPR Plan - Variance

Michael Patton, Superintendent Saratoga Springs City School District 3 Blue Streak Boulevard Saratoga Springs, NY 12866

Dear Superintendent Patton:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan variance application meets the criteria outlined in section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided in your variance application, including the narrative descriptions, certifications, and assurances that are included in the application. During the approved term of this variance, your LEA will implement the variance along with all other remaining provisions of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan and/or the terms of your approved variance, your LEA must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class school leader to support their professional growth, and every student achieves success.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerel

Betty A. Rosa Interim Commissioner

Attachment

c: James Dexter

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR variance application have been reviewed and are considered as part of your approved APPR variance application; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR variance application but are not incorporated by reference have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan or variance and/or require corrective action.

Pursuant to section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, please note that an LEA with an approved variance shall provide to the Department, upon its request, any documentation related to the implementation and efficacy of the approach proposed in the variance, including but not limited to: reports on the correlation in assigned ratings for different measures of the LEA's evaluation system and differentiation among educators within each subcomponent and category of the evaluation system

Your variance is approved for the 2020-21 school year. Because you requested this variance to address issues related to COVID-19, the approval of this variance for any future school years (up to a three school year period) is contingent on the continuation of the current COVID-19 pandemic notwithstanding your request for this variance to apply in future school years. Upon expiration of state-imposed restrictions or emergency measures related to the pandemic, or abatement of the pandemic, it is expected that your variance will no longer be required. As such, SED may withdraw its approval of this variance for any subsequent school years or may require a separate application or other documentation for continuation of the variance in future school years. Upon expiration of the approved term of your variance, you must implement the terms of your current APPR plan as approved by the Commissioner.

Task 1. General Information - General Information

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2020

Annual Professional Performance Review Variance (Education Law 3012-d)

For guidance related to the Annual Professional Performance Review variance, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

At its October 2019 meeting, the Board of Regents amended sections 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents to allow LEAs to apply for a variance from Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan requirements to permit them to develop and implement new and innovative approaches to evaluation that meet the specific needs of the LEA, upon a finding by the Commissioner that the new and innovative approach demonstrates how it will ensure differentiated results over time and how the results of the evaluation will be used to provide personalized professional learning opportunities to teachers and principals, while complying with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d.

In instances where a variance is approved, the term(s) described in the approved variance will replace the related sections of the LEA's currently approved APPR plan. However, please note that all other terms as are present in the LEA's currently approved plan will remain in effect and must be implemented without modification.

Once a variance is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's APPR plan during the approved term of the variance. In any instance in which there is an approved variance and such variance contains information that conflicts with the information provided in the approved Education Law §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved variance will apply during the approved term of the variance.

Variance Application Timeline

Variance applications must be approved by the Department by December 1 of a school year to be implemented in that school year.

Submission by November 1 is suggested to allow time forreview, revision and approval in order to meet the approval deadline for implementation in the same school year.

Absent a finding by the Commissioner of extraordinary circumstances, a variance application approved after December 1 of a school year will not be implemented until the following school year.

For more information regarding the variance approval deadline, including a possible extension, please contact APPRVariance@nysed.gov.

Variance Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- Assure that the contents of this form are in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's variance is kept on file and that a copy of such variance will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- Assure that this variance will be posted on the LEA's website, in addition to its current full APPR plan, no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- Assure that it is understood that this LEAs variance will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website* following approval.

Teacher Variance

Please check the appropriate box below.

Assure that any task not included in the following variance request(s) for teachers will be carried out in the manner described in the currently approved APPR plan.

Principal Variance

Education Law §3012-d requires that the principal evaluation system be aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluation. Therefore, when completing a variance request for the evaluation of principals, the processes identified must be aligned to such requirements. Please check the appropriate box below.

riease check the appropriate box below.

Assure that any requested variance for principal evaluation is aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluation provided in Education Law Section 3012-d and that any task not included in the following variance request(s) for principals will be carried out in the manner described in the currently approved APPR plan.

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/23/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Education Law §3012-d requires that each teacher havea Student Learning Objective (SLO)consistent with a goal-setting process based on appropriate growth targets. The process must include, at a minimum, the following elements:

A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components),

Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance),

A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20,

A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating.

This requirement

currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an SLO process for a teacher or group of teachers that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

- ☑ A variance is not requested for the required student performance subcomponent for teachers.
- □ The details of the variance request for the required student performance subcomponent for teachers is described in the subsequent section.

must be met through

Task 3. TEACHERS: Optional Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/23/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an optional second measure for a teacher or group of teachers that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

 \blacksquare A variance is not requested for the optional student performance subcomponent for teachers.

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/23/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to evaluate teacher practice in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ The details of the variance request for the teacher observation category is described in the subsequent section.

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Applicability & Rubric

Page Last Modified: 12/21/2020

Applicable Teachers

Please indicate all teachers to whom his teacher observation variance request applies.

Core Teachers

Use the table below to list the core teachers this teacher observation variance request is applicable to (teachers of other courses should be listed in the subsequent section).

	All Core Teachers	Common Branch	ELA	Math	Science	Social Studies
Courses	All core teachers (K-3; 4-8 ELA, math, science, social studies; high school ELA and Regents courses) [if this option is selected, please do not make selections in subsequent columns]					

Other Teachers

□ Teachers of other courses are not included in this teacher observation variance request.

☑ Teachers of other courses included in this teacher observation variance request are listed in the table below.

Fill in the following for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that are included in this teacher observation variance request:

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject
All Other Courses	К	12	All courses not named above
K-3 Art	К	3	Art
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives

Click "Add Row" to add additional courses. Only list additional courses if they are included in this teacher observation variance request.

Grade From	Grade To	Subject
К	12	All courses not named above

Applicable Areas

A variance may be requested for the following components of the teacher observation subcomponent:

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Applicability & Rubric

Page Last Modified: 12/21/2020

- Teacher practice rubric
- Rating and scoring of the teacher practice rubric
- · Weighting of the domains/subcomponents of the teacher practice rubric
- · HEDI scoring bands
- · Weighting of the teacher observation subcomponents
- Required principal/supervisor observations
- · Required independent evaluator observations
- Optional peer observations

Please indicate the area(s) of the teacher observation subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

- ☑ Rating and scoring of the teacher practice rubric
- Required principal/supervisor observations
- ☑ Required independent evaluator observations

Rating and Scoring of the Teacher Practice Rubric Please describe the process for rating and scoring the chosen practice rubric.

The teacher practice rubric will now focus on the 8 components of Danielson's Rubric versus the 22 which were previously scored. These 8 components (listed below) aremost applicable during the COVID-19 pandemic's varied instructional settings while still focusing on the most pertinent aspects of teaching and learning. Consistent with previous year's calculations, the components will be scored individually and averaged for each observation. In this way, all domains are scored.

- The 8 components scored under this variance are:
- 1b Demonstrating knowledge of student
- 1e Designing coherent instruction
- 2a Creating environment of respect and rapport
- 2c Managing classroom procedures
- 3b Using questioning and discussion techniques
- 3c Engaging students in learning
- 3d Using assessment in instruction
- 4c Communicating with families

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Principal/Supervisor Observations

Page Last Modified: 11/23/2020

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or another trained administrator.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

	Minimum number of observations	Observation method (check all that apply)	Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)
Unannounced	1	☑ Live	(No Response)
Announced	1	☑ Live	(No Response)
Totals:	2		

To which teachers does the information in the above table apply?

A subgroup of teachers listed in the 'Applicability' section (provide details below).

Describe the subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table above applies (i.e., probationary teachers), then complete the next page for an additional subgroup.

Probationary Teachers

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Principal/Supervisor Observations

Page Last Modified: 11/23/2020

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or another trained administrator.

Describe the subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table below applies (i.e., tenured teachers).

Tenured Teachers

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

	Minimum number of observations	Observation method (check all that apply)	Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)
Unannounced	0	⊠ N/A	(No Response)
Announced	1	☑ Live	(No Response)
Totals:	1		

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Independent Evaluator Observations

Page Last Modified: 11/23/2020

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.

Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Number and Method of Observation

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below. At least one observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

	Minimum number of observations	Observation method (check all that apply)	Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)
Unannounced	0	☑ N/A	(No Response)
Announced	0	☑ N/A	(No Response)
Totals:	0		

To which teachers does the information in the above table apply?

A subgroup of teachers listed in the 'Applicability' section (provide details below).

Describe the subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table above applies (i.e., probationary teachers), then complete the next page for an additional subgroup.

Probationary Teachers

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Independent Evaluator Observations

Page Last Modified: 11/23/2020

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)

Number and Method of Observation

Describe the subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table below applies (i.e., tenured teachers).

Tenured Teachers

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below. At least one observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

	Minimum number of observations	Observation method (check all that apply)	Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)
Unannounced	0	☑ N/A	(No Response)
Announced	0	☑ N/A	(No Response)
Totals:	0		

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Details & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/21/2020

Variance Details

Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.

Rationale

Please provide a rationale for this variance request.

> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the teacher observation variance request.

Adjustments due to COVID constraints have altered teaching and learning structures along with teacher and administrator responsibilities. Classroom structures and daily teaching requirements are much different. Teachers and administrators needto work closely together to navigate the current challenges of teaching students during this time of COVID.By reducing thenumber of observations for both tenured and untenured teachers, it would allow administrators to spend more time in focused conversations with teachers and support around these new teaching and learning environments. Administrators would be able to reallocate time to support teachers in utilizing new methodologies and new technologies for teaching and learning; and by providing these supports in a non-evaluative environment, teachers are more willing to take risks and to engage in honest reflection to continue to develop and improve what works in the current learning environment. The aim would be to build capacity of all teachers - tenured and untenured - to effectively teach all students in hybrid and fully online instructional settings. Additionally with fewer observational learning, trauma-sensitive strategies, and culturally responsive practices to better address our students' needs during this stressful time. Lastly by asking to not have anindependent evaluator for any teacher observations, the district would be able to furtherminimize the risk of "super spreaders" of COVID across multiple classrooms and/or buildings. By staying with the building administrator(s) for evaluations, it helps to lower the risk of spreading COVID.

Standards and Procedures

Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.

> This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.

> This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures and/or evidence of teacher practice that will be used to evaluate educators.

Probationary Teachers will be evaluated with at least 1 full announced observation and 1 unannounced observation by a trained lead evaluator. The announced observation will be weighted at 90% of the overall observation score and the unannounced observation will be weighted as the other 10% of the observation score. All four domains of the Danielson rubric will be evaluated over the course of the year. Due to COVID, this waiver is requested to reduce the number of observations for probationary teachers from 3 observations to these 2 observations.

For Tenured Teachers, at least 1 full announced observation will be completed by a trained evaluator and will consist of 100% of their overall observation score. All four domains of the Danielson rubric will be evaluated over the course of the year. Due to COVID, this waiver is requested to reduce the number of observation for tenured teachers from 2 observations (1 announced and 1 unannouced) to 1 observation.

In this different and stressful year, both probationary and tenured teachers will have more frequent informal check-ins by administrators throughout the year to support teaching and learning in a timely, ongoing way particularly as teachers navigate any changes in the in-person, hybrid, and fully remote settings. In this waiver we are also requesting that no observations be done by a separate independent evaluator to mitigate the possibility of spread of COVID across "pods" and across buildings.

The district is soliciting more frequent, targeted and timely feedback from teachers in order to provide needed professional learning opportunities than in the past. This is occuring on a monthly basis through meetings, surveys and discussions at all levels, including but not limited to the Professional Learning Committee. In addition to these supports for all teachers, we are mindful aboutcontinuingto support our newer teachers by providing an experienced, tenured teacher mentor as well as targeted new teacher trainings. All teachers participate inprofessional learning teams on a weekly basis, during which the focus is on teaching and learning. All teachers have the opportunity for post obsevation conferences order to ensure thatgrowth opportunities are provided. Administrators also conduct nonevaluative informal walkthroughs as another way to provide growth opportunities for all teachers. This is anothercritical part of the teaching and learning process consisting of rich discussiongenerated from informal walk throughsand follow up conversations centered around professional practice.

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Details & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/21/2020

Rigor

Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.

> This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.

Trained lead evaluators will continue to conduct observations and evaluate teachers utilizing all four domains of the Danielson's Framework for Teaching Rubric as approved in our APPR plan. This rubric will continue to be implemented to promote rigorous discussions and feedback on the teaching and learning that is taking place in the classroom. The evidence collected in each area of the rubric will be used to identify areas of strength as well as areas of growth to help focus ongoing conversations about teaching in this current environment. Rich conversations about the lesson and classroom will occur before and after the observed lesson(s) to provide further evidence of teacher effectiveness and ongoing support as needed. Conversations with administrators concerning teacher observations and APPR occur at the district level to build efficacy and maintain consistency in regards to teaching and learning across the district.

Observation trend data from the past 3-5 years will continue to inform focused conversations and support for individuals. The district will also be providing ongoing opportunities for targeted professional development for all teachers throughout this year to address current areas of need as identified byfeedback from teachers and what has been observed in classrooms. The impact of COVID on teaching and learning has been monumental. Supporting our teachers to redefine their practice in new and largely unknown ways requires in-depth conversations about practice unimpeded by evaluations. By reducing evaluative observations, we open up the opportunities to have these crucial conversations with teachers and about their practice.

Professional Learning

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

- > This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:
- · collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,
- · specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,
- · processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and
- use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.

Administrators will be able to collect plenty of information from the observation(s) including the discussionsbefore and after the observation(s) to provide evidence of teacher effectivenessand to inform any needs for professional learning. Administrators will utilize the regular structures of faculty meetings, department meetings, and/or Professional Learning Team meetings to offer ongoing discussions and trainings around best practices in the current in-person, hybrid, and online environments.

The district will also be providing ongoing opportunities for targeted professional development for all teachers throughout this year to address current areas of need as identified by direct feedback from teachers as well as what has been observed in classrooms. These professional development opportunities include: targeted workshops of choiceduring theSuperintendent's Conference Days, summer and after school technology trainings, in-school supports provided by the Instructional Techs and other IT staff in the building, Technology Instructional Leadership Teams (TILT) at each level (Elementary, Middle, and High School), and the use of BOCES trainings and trainers. Participation and feedback data is collected from professional development opportunities to help inform future sessions. The focus on professional development in this new instructional setting is critical in order to address student needs and adjust to the potential for fluctuation in different teaching environments throughout the year. The district is soliciting targeted and timely feedback from teachers in order to provide needed professional learning opportunities. In addition to these supports for all teachers, we are mindful aboutcontinuingto support our newer teachers by providing an experienced, tenured teacher mentor as well as targeted new teacher trainings. All teachers participate inprofessional learning teams on a weekly basis, during which the focus is on teaching and learning. A critical part of the teaching and learning process is the rich discussiongenerated from informal walk throughs, and follow up conversations centered around professional practice.

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Details & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/21/2020

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

- > This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:
- · collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,
- the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and
- · how results will be used to inform future implementation.

Use of an evaluation platform for teacher observations allows for analysis of observations across individuals, buildings, and the district. The platform data can be used toanalyze individual's strengths and weaknesses over time as well as strengths and weaknesses across the building or district. This will help ensure that targeted professional development is in line with individual, building, and district needs. Student performance and growth data will also be reviewed and analyzed throughout the year to both identify areas of need and to highlight effective instructional practices. The APPR Committee will convene throughout the year to discuss the implementation of the variance processes. Toward the end of the school year, additional benchmark and marking period data will be examined, along with teacher evaluation data and staff feedback in order to ascertain the effectiveness of the variance this year and for potential tweaks for future implementation.

Observation Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below as applicable to all teachers included in this teacher observation variance request.

- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the process for determining an overall Teacher Observation category score and rating will incorporate the evidence collected across all observations to produce an overall Teacher Observation category rating on a HEDI scale.
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher to obtain any number of points in the applicable scoring ranges, including zero, in each subcomponent.

SARATOGA SPRINGS CITY SD

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 5. TEACHERS: Overall Scoring - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/23/2020

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, seeNYSED APPR Guidance.

Education Law §3012-d requires that each teacherbe given a final score for both the Student Performance and Teacher Observation categories, which will be converted to a final category ratingbased on the HEDI scale, and that these ratings be used to provide an Overall Rating using the prescribed scoring matrix.

These requirements must be met through either the LEA's current APPR plan or this variance. To the extent that the variance does not address a requirement, the currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to define the HEDI ranges for the Student Performance and/or Teacher Observation category that is different than those included in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

 \blacksquare A variance is not requested for category ratings for teachers.

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/23/2020

Additional Requirements for Teachers

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, seeNYSED APPR Guidance.

Education Law §3012-d requires that a complete APPR plan must include a process for teacher improvement plans, appeals, and evaluator training as determined by the Commissioner. The following minimum requirements under Education Law §3012-d are applicable to teachers:

A form for development of a Teacher Improvement Plan,

A timely and expeditious process for resolving educator's appeals of APPR ratings,

A process for training all evaluators of applicable educators.

These requirements must be met through either the LEA's current APPR plan or this variance. To the extent that the variance does not address a requirement, the currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process for Teacher Improvement Plans, appeals and/or training in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- A variance is not requested for teacher improvement plans, appeals, or training.
- □ The details of the variance request applicable to teacher improvement plans, appeals, and/or training is described in the subsequent section.

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/23/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Education Law§3012-drequires that the principal evaluation system be aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluations, including the required student performance subcomponent. Therefore, therequired student performance subcomponentvariance request for principalsmust be aligned to the teacher evaluation requirements.

This requirement currently approved APPR plan will apply. must be met through

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process to demonstrate student growth based on principal practice for a principal or group of principals that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☑ A variance is not requested for the required student performance subcomponent for principals.
- □ The details of the variance request for the required student performance subcomponent for principals is described in the subsequent section.

Task 8. PRINCIPALS: Optional Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/23/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an optional second measure for a principal or group of principals that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ A variance is not requested for the optional student performance subcomponent for principals.

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to evaluate principal practice in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ The details of the variance request for the principal school visit category is described in the subsequent section.

SARATOGA SPRINGS CITY SD

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Applicability & Rubric

Page Last Modified: 12/21/2020

Applicable Principals

Please indicate all principals to whom his principal school visit variance request applies.

To add configurations for additional principals, click "Add Row".

Grade From	Grade To
к	12

Applicable Areas

A variance may be requested for the following components of the principal school visit subcomponent:

- Principalpractice rubric
- · Rating and scoring of the principal practice rubric
- Weighting of the domains/subcomponents of the principal practice rubric
- · HEDI scoring bands
- · Weighting of the principal school visitsubcomponents
- · Required supervisor/administratorschool visits
- · Required independent evaluator school visits
- Optional peer school visits

If none of the above components are applicable to the requested variance, please select the last option and complete the next page in a manner that clearly and thoroughly describes the process of evaluating principal practice.

Please indicate the area(s) of the principal school visit subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

- ☑ Rating and scoring of the principal practice rubric
- ☑ Required supervisor/administrator school visits
- Required independent evaluator school visits

Rating and Scoring of the Principal Practice Rubric Please describe the process for rating and scoring the chosen practice rubric.

The Principal Practice rubric will focus on elements of the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric (MPPR). These elements (listed below) aremost applicable during the COVID-19 pandemic's varied instructional settings while still focusing on the most pertinent aspects of principal leadership. Consistent with previous year's calculations, the elementswill be scored individually and domains 2 and 3 will be doubled in the process for averaging the score. In this way, all domains are scored.

The elements of focus within each domain are:

- Domain 1: Shared Vision forLearning- Culture
- Domain 2:Culture and Instructional Program- Culture,
- Domain 3:Safe Efficient Learning Environment Culture, Capacity Building, Instructional Program
- Domain 4: Community Culture, Strategic Planning
- Domain 5: Integrity Fairness and Ethics Culture
- Domain 6: Political, Social, Economic, Legal and Cultural Context Culture

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required Supervisor/Administrator School Visits

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2020

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by supervisor(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method used, in the table below.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the school visit method.

	Minimum number of school visits	``	Other school visit method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)
Unannounced	0	☑ N/A	(No Response)
Announced	1	☑ Live	(No Response)
Totals:	1		

To which principals does the information in the above table apply?

☑ All principals listed in the 'Applicability' section.

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required Independent Evaluator School Visits

Page Last Modified: 11/25/2020

Required Subcomponent 2: School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.

Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Number and Method of School Visit

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method used, in the table below.

If a school visit type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the school visit method.

	Minimum number of school visits	apply)	Other school visit method(only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)
Unannounced	0	☑ N/A	(No Response)
Announced	0	☑ N/A	(No Response)
Totals:	0		

To which principals does the information in the above table apply?

☑ All principals listed in the 'Applicability' section.

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Details & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/21/2020

Variance Details

Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.

Rationale

Please provide a rationale for this variance request.

> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the principal school visit variance request.

Adjustments due to COVID constraints have altered teaching and learning structures along with teacher and administrator responsibilities. While classroom structures and daily teaching requirements are much different, so too are the leadership responsibilities during these times. Additional health and safety precautions, changing guidance in these areas, as well as new instructional settings, and teaching and learning tools reinforce the need to be flexible, nimble and be able to adjust to constantly changing circumstances. Thisrequires building administrators to work even more closely with supervisors (including non-instructional departments) tonavigate the current challenges of leading a building, and being the instructional leader while teaching students during this time of COVID. By reducing the number of school visits for both tenured and untenured principals, it would allow supervisors of spend more time in focused conversations with principals insupport around these new teaching and learning environments, for both the instructional shifts and support necessary, but also the health and safety guidance.

Administrators need to be supportive and a resource more than ever before to be able to reallocate time to model and demonstrateutilizing new methodologies and new technologies for teaching and learning. Byproviding these supports in a non-evaluative environment, teachers are more willing to take risks and to engage in honest reflection to continue to develop and improve what works in the current learning environment when they not only hear, but see their building administrator learning along with them and providing that additional support. The aim would be to build capacity of all principals, so that they in turn are able to supportteachers to effectively teach all students in hybrid and fully online instructional settings. Additionally with fewer school visits, the district would be able to invest critical time for administrative supervisors andbuilding administrators to address ways to implement supports in the areas of social-emotional learning, trauma-sensitive strategies, and culturally responsive practices to better address our students' needs during this stressful time. Research has demonstrated that these areas are directly impacting students' ability to learn during this educational disruption unless targeted and systematic attention is paid to providing this support. Lastly by asking to not have an independent evaluator for any principalobservations, the district would be able to further minimize the risk of "super spreaders" of COVID across the leadership of multiple buildings. By staying with the district evaluator, it helps to lower the risk of spreading COVID.

Standards and Procedures

Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.

> This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.

> This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures and/or evidence of principal practice that will be used to evaluate educators.

All building principals (tenured andnontenured) willbe evaluated with at least 1 full announced school school visit by atrained lead evaluator whichwill consist of 100% of their overall school visitscore. All six domains of the Multidimensional Professional Performance Rubric (MPPR) willbeevaluated over the course of the year according to the weighting in the current principal APPR plan, 3012-d. In this current plan, Domain 2 (School Culture and Instructional Program) and Domain 3 (Safe, Effective Learning Environment) are weighted two times as much as Domain 1 (Shared Vision of Learning), Domain 4 Community, Domain 5 Integrity Fairness and Ethics, and Domain 6 Political, Social, Economic, Legal and Cultural Context. Due to COVID, this waiver is requested to reduce the number of school visitsfor allbuilding principals from 2 school visitsto one school visit.

In thisever evolving,different and stressful year, both probationary and tenuredadministrators have had much more frequent formal andinformal check-ins bysupervisorsthroughout the year to support teaching and learning in a timely, ongoing way particularly as theysupport students and teachers innavigating any changes in/the in-person, hybrid, and fully remote settings. In this waiver we are also requesting that no school visits are done by a separate independent evaluator to mitigate the possibility of spread of COVID across "pods" and across buildings.

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Details & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/21/2020

Rigor

Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.

> This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.

Trained district leadevaluators will continue to conduct the school visits and evaluate principals on all observable domains of the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric as approved in our APPR plan. This rubric and the school visit process will continue to be implemented to promote rigorous discussions and feedback on the various aspects of leadership taking place in the building. The evidence collected from the school visit addressing each domain of the rubric will be used to identify areas of strength as well as areas of growth for that principal and will help focus ongoing conversations and professional learning about school leadership in this current environment. Conversations with the principal during the school visit process as well as throughout the year will focus on what the principal is doing to address teaching and learning in their building including how they are shaping and supporting the school culture, instructional programs, classrooms, and community. These unprecedented times naturally lead to more conversations and study around the best practices in schools, teaching and learning, and school leadership as educational leaders across the nation grapple with how best to support their staff, buildings, and communities. By focusing the evaluative part of the principal APPR process on one school visit, it allows principals and district administrators the space to concentrate on learning and leading through these changing times. Principal school visit, as well as teacher observationtrend data from the past 3-5 years will continue to inform focused conversations and support for principals as they provide leadership in their buildings. The District will also be providing ongoing opportunities for professional development for principals throughout this year to address current areas of need as identified byfeedback from principals and what has been observed in school visits. The impact of COVID on teaching and learning and the field of education, as a whole, has been monumental. Supporting our building leaders as they lead in new and largely unknown ways requires in-depth, ongoing, collaborative conversations unimpeded by evaluations. By reducing evaluative school visits, we open up the opportunities to have these crucial conversations.

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Details & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/21/2020

Professional Learning

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

- > This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:
- · collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,
- · specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,
- · processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and
- use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.

With the discussions before and after the school visit, the school visit observation itself, and other sources of evidence collected and incorporated into the school visit protocol, evaluators will be able to collect plenty of information to provide appropriate evidence of principal effectiveness and to inform any needs for professional learning. District administrators will utilize the regular structures of leadership meetings and individual principal meetings to offer ongoing discussions and trainings around best practices in leading a building and faculty during the current in-person, hybrid, and online environments.

The District will continue to share with the principals ongoing opportunities for professional development available through webinars and virtual conferences. The District will also continue to share best practices through discussions, sharing and summarizing of articles, use of an outside cultural diversity consultant, and providing book study opportunities to address the needs of the principals throughout the year. Additional professional development focused specifically on incorporating technologyinclude: workshops of choiceduring theSuperintendent's Conference Days, summer and after school technology trainings, in-building supports provided by the Instructional Techs and other IT staff in the building, Technology Instructional Leadership Teams (TILT) at each level (Elementary, Middle, and High School), and the use of BOCES trainings and trainers. Participation and feedback data is collected from administrative meetings, webinars and virtual conferences people have attended, and other professional development opportunities os hare learning experiences with the rest of the administrative team and to the District plan future sessions. The focus on professional learning in this new educational environment is critical for district and building administrators and for teachers in order to addressneeds and adjust to the changein school environments this year.

The district is soliciting more frequent, targeted and timely feedback from principalsin order to provide more frequent and needed professional learning opportunities to address leadership during this new normal. This is occuring on a monthly basis through meetings, surveys and discussions at all levels, including but not limited to the Professional Learning Committee. All principals participate inprofessional learning teams on a weekly basis, during which the focus is on teaching and learning. All have the opportunity for post school visitconferences order to ensure that growth opportunities are provided. District lead evaluators also conduct nonevaluative informal walkthroughs as another way to provide growth opportunities for all principals. This is anothercritical part of the leadership in teaching and learning process consisting of rich discussiongenerated from informal walk throughs and follow up conversations centered around professional practice.

In addition we are mindful about continuing to support our newer principals by providing an experienced, tenured principalmentor as well as targeted new principaltrainings. This includes the ability to attend conferences and professional organizations comprised of newer principals.

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

- > This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:
- collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,
- the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and
- how results will be used to inform future implementation.

Similar to the analysis of the effectiveness of implementation for teacher observations, the use of an evaluation platform for principal school visits allows for analysis of school visits across individuals and the district. The platform data can be used to analyze individual's strengths and weaknesses over time as well as strengths and weaknesses across the district. This will help ensure that targeted professional development is in line with individual, building, and district needs. Student performance and growth data will also be reviewed and analyzed throughout the year to both identify areas of need and to highlight effective instructional and leadership practices.

The APPR Committee will convene throughout the year to discuss the implementation of the variance processes. Toward the end of the school year, additional benchmark and marking period data will be examined, along with principalevaluation data and principal feedback in order to ascertain the effectiveness of the variance this year.

Principal School Visit Assurances

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Details & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/21/2020

Please check each of the boxes below as applicable to all principals included in this principal school visit variance request.

- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- Assure that once all school visits are complete, the process for determining an overall Teacher Observation category score and rating will incorporate the evidence collected across all school visits to produce an overall Principal School Visit category rating on a HEDI scale.
- Assure that it is possible for a principal to obtain any number of points in the applicable scoring ranges, including zero, in each subcomponent.

Task 10. PRINCIPALS: Overall Scoring - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/23/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to define the HEDI ranges for the Student Performance and/or Principal School Visit category that is different than those included in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

 \blacksquare A variance is not requested for category ratings for principals.

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/23/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process for Principal Improvement Plans, appeals and/or training in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☑ A variance is not requested for principal improvement plans, appeals, or training.
- □ The details of the variance request applicable to principal improvement plans, appeals, and/or training is described in the subsequent section.

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Variance - Applicability and Certification

Page Last Modified: 01/20/2021

Applicability of Variance

Need for Variance

Please make the appropriate selection below.

 \blacksquare The submission of this variance application is prompted by the impact of COVID-19 on the LEA.

Instructional Model

- □ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if an in-person instructional model is implemented.
- □ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if a remote instructional model is implemented.
- **D** The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if a hybrid instructional model is implemented.
- ☑ The processes identified in this variance application apply regardless of the instructional model implemented.

Variance Duration

An Annual Professional Performance Review Variance under Education Law §3012-d may be approved forup to THREE (3) years.

Please indicate below the school years to which this variance application will apply.

One, two, or three consecutive academic years may be selected.

☑ 2020-21

- ☑ 2021-22
- ☑ 2022-23

Upload APPR Variance Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Variance using the "Variance Certification Form" found in the 'Documents' menu on the left side of the page.

scanned signatures for variance application - all.pdf APPR Variance signatures Jan.pdf

<u>APPR VARIANCE CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, check the assurances, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Variance, Education Law §3012-d application.</u>

Assurances: Please check the boxes below

- Assure that all information provided in this variance application is true and accurate as of the date that the variance application is submitted.
- Assure that once this application is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's approved APPR plan during the effective term of the variance.
- Assure that, upon a revocation or non-renewal of a variance application at the end of its effective term, the district shall implement its approved evaluation plan in its entirety and without modification, consistent with all
- requirements of Subpart 30-3.3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and absent any terms of the variance.
 Assure that, where applicable, collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of this variance application that are subject to collective bargaining.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:	Date:
Mulas Portos	1/18/2021
Superintendent Name (print):	
Michnel Patton	
Teachers Union President Signature:	Date:
Teachers Union President Name (print):	
Administrative Union President Signature:	Date:
Administrative Union President Name (print):	
Board of Education President Signature:	Date:
Board of Education President Name (print):	

<u>APPR VARIANCE CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, check the assurances, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Variance, Education Law §3012-d application.</u>

Assurances: Please check the boxes below

- Assure that all information provided in this variance application is true and accurate as of the date that the variance application is submitted.
- Assure that once this application is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's approved APPR plan during the effective term of the variance.
- Assure that, upon a revocation or non-renewal of a variance application at the end of its effective term, the district shall implement its approved evaluation plan in its entirety and without modification, consistent with all /requirements of Subpart 30-3.3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and absent any terms of the variance.
- Assure that, where applicable, collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of this variance application that are subject to collective bargaining.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:	Date:
Superintendent Name (print):	
	······
ייין איז	ן : איז ערשהען אווינטיאון אריי די ידי אין איז אווינטיאי אוויע-ער <mark>קר איז דערע איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז אי</mark> וי איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז
Teachers Union President Signature:	Date:
Muina De	1/18/2021
Teachers Union President Name (print):	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Melissa Duntsch	
	، ‹‹››››› المَّانِينَ المَّانِينَ المَّانِينَ المَّانَةِ عَلَيْهِ المَّانِينَ المَّانِينَ المَّانِينَ المَانِي مُن مَانِينَ المَانِينَ المَانِينَ المَّانِينَ المَّانِينَ المَانِينَ المَانِينَ المَانِينَ المَانِينَ المَانِي
Administrative Union President Signature:	Date:
Administrative Union President Name (print):	
₩₩₩ [₩] ₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩	ر ا مراجع المراجع المراجع (مراجع المراجع ال
Board of Education President Signature:	Date:
Board of Education President Name (print):	م بر میں اور

APPR VARIANCE CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, check the assurances, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Variance, Education Law §3012-d application.

Assurances: Please check the baxes below

- Assure that all information provided in this variance application is true and accurate as of the date that the variance , application is submitted.
- Assure that once this application is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's approved APPR plan during the effective term of the variance.
- Assure that, upon a revocation or non-renewal of a variance application at the end of its effective term, the district shall implement its approved evaluation plan in its entirety and without modification, consistent with all /requirements of Subpart 30-3.3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and absent any terms of the variance.
- Assure that, where applicable, collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of this variance application that are subject to collective bargaining.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:	Date:
	1 5
Superintendent Name (print):	
	, ,
Teachers Union President Signature:	Date:
Teachers Union President Name (print):	
Administrative Union President Signature:	Date:
In Wohnt	18/8021
Administrative Union President Name (print):	and the state of t
Kevin Wolpert	
Board of Education President Signature:	Date:

Board of Education President Name (print):

A<u>PPR VARIANC</u>E CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, check the assurances, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Variance, Education Law §3012-d application.

Assurances: Please check the boxes below

- Assure that all information provided in this variance application is true and accurate as of the date that the variance , application is submitted.
- Assure that once this application is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's approved APPR plan during the effective term of the variance.
- Assure that, upon a revocation or non-renewal of a variance application at the end of its effective term, the district shall implement its approved evaluation plan in its entirety and without modification, consistent with all /requirements of Subpart 30-3.3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and absent any terms of the variance.
- Assure that, where applicable, collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of this variance application that are subject to collective bargaining.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:	Date:
Superintendent Name (print):	
	in the second
and an	and the second se
Teachers Union President Signature:	Date:
sta 21 d Alexandro de contra d	
Teachers Union President Name (print):	
۵۶۶ - Calender 4, - Connected Internet (Connected Connected Connected Connected Connected Connected Connected Co	
Administrative Union President Signature:	Date:
	and when we have a many second se
Administrative Union President Name (print):	an a
سین دو این اور ا	***************************************
Board of Education President Signature:	Date:
My Emeke	118/2021
Board of Education President Name (print):	
Anjeanette Emeka	ĸĸĔĊĊĊĊĸĸĸĸĊĸŢĊĊĊŦŎĔĊĸŢĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸĸ

AND AND A DESCRIPTION OF A

THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK



Commissioner of Education President of the University of the State of New York 89 Washington Avenue, Room 111 Albany, New York 12234 E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov Twitter:@NYSEDNews Tel: (518) 474-5844 Fax: (518) 473-4909

April 26, 2021

Revised

Michael Patton, Superintendent Saratoga Springs City School District 3 Blue Streak Boulevard Saratoga Springs, NY 12866

Dear Superintendent Patton:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincere Betty A Commissioner

Attachment

c: James Dexter

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Task 1. General Information - Disclaimers and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

The Department will review the contents of each local educational agency's (LEA) Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in an LEA'splan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from an LEA to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Each LEA is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of an LEA's plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the LEA are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the LEA, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject or disapprove this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

APPR Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- Assure that the content of this form represents the LEA's entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- Assure that it is understood that this LEA's APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website* following approval.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Each teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) locally determined, consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs,see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- · when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.
- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

• State assessment(s);or

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- third party assessments; or
- locally-developed assessments(district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effectiv	e	Effectiv	re		Develop	ping	Ineffect	tive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100 %		92	89	84	79	74	66		54	48	43	38	33		24	20	13- 16 %	9- 12 %	5- 8%	0- 4%

SLO Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the teacher has an SLO as determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- Assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- 🗵 Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- \blacksquare Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.
- Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Kindergarten

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Common Branch Kindergarten Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for kindergarten teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branchanddepartmentalized model for kindergarten:

- Complete this section accordinglyforcommon branch teachers.

- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select the "Elementary" option for applicable subjects in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Kindergarten: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Kindergarten: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Kindergarten: Assessment Type(s)

State or Regents assessment(s)

Kindergarten: State or Regents Assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade One

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Common Branch Grade One Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade one teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branchanddepartmentalized model for grade one:

- Complete this section accordinglyforcommon branch teachers.

- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select the "Elementary" option for applicable subjects in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Grade 1: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 1: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 1: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 1: State or Regents Assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Two

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Common Branch Grade Two Measures and Asssessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade two teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branchanddepartmentalized model for grade two:

- Complete this section accordinglyforcommon branch teachers.

- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select the "Elementary" option for applicable subjects in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Grade 2: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 2: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 2: Assessment Type(s)

State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 2: State or Regents Assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Three

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Common Branch Grade Three Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade three teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branchanddepartmentalized model for grade three:

- Complete this section accordinglyforcommon branch teachers.

- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select the "Elementary" option for applicable subjects in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Grade 3: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 3: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 3: Assessment Type(s)

State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 3: State or Regents Assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Four

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Grade Four

Please identify below whether grade four instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade four teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branch anddepartmentalized model for grade four:

- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option belowand complete the remainder of this section accordingly.

- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade four in your LEA.

Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Four (uniform departmentalized)

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Grade Four (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade four departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 4: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 4: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade Four: Assessment Type(s)

State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade Four: State or Regents Assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Five

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Grade Five

Please identify below whether grade five instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade five teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branch anddepartmentalized model for grade five:

- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option belowand complete the remainder of this section accordingly.

- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade five in your LEA.

Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Five (uniform departmentalized)

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Grade Five (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade fivedepartmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 5: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 5: District- or BOCES Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 5: Assessment Type(s)

State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 5: State or Regents Assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Six

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Grade Six

Please identify below whether grade six instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade six teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branch anddepartmentalized model for grade six:

- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option belowand complete the remainder of this section accordingly.

- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade six in your LEA.

Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Six (uniform departmentalized)

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Grade Six (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade six departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 6: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 6: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 6: Assessment Type(s)

State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 6: State or Regents Assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Seven

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Grade Seven

Please identify below whether grade seven instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade seven teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branch anddepartmentalized model for grade seven:

- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option belowand complete the remainder of this section accordingly.

- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade seven in your LEA.

Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Seven (uniform departmentalized)

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Grade Seven (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade seven departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 7: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 7: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 7: Assessment Type(s)

State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 7: State or Regents Assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Eight

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Grade Eight Measures and Assessments

Please identify below whether grade eight instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade eight teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branch anddepartmentalized model for grade eight:

- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option belowand complete the remainder of this section accordingly.

- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade eight in your LEA.

☑ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Eight (uniform departmentalized)

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Grade Eight (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade eight departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 8: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 8: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade Eight: Assessment Type(s)

State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade Eight: State or Regents Assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School ELA

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

High School English Language Arts

Note: Additional high school English courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school ELA teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please indicate whether grades 9 through 12 ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

All high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS ELA (all grades)

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

High School ELA (All Grades) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School ELA: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

High School ELA: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

High School ELA: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School ELA: State or Regents Assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School Regents Math

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

High School Regents Math

Note: Additional high school math courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents math teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents math teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

All high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS Regents Math (all courses)

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

High School Regents Math (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Math: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

High School Regents Math: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

High School Regents Math: Assessment Type(s)

State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Math: State or Regents Assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School Regents Science

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

High School Regents Science

Note: Additional high school science courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents science teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents science teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

All high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS Regents Science (all courses)

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

High School Regents Science (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Science: Measure

District- or BOCES-wide

High School Regents Science: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

High School Regents Science: Assessment Type(s)

State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Science: State or Regents Assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School Regents Social Studies

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

High School Regents Social Studies: Measures and Assessments

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents social studies teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents social studies teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

All high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS Regents SS (all courses)

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

High School Regents Social Studies (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Social Studies: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

High School Regents Social Studies: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

High School Regents Social Studies: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Social Studies: State or Regents Assessment(s)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Other Courses

Please identify below the 'other courses' in your LEA; indicate which of the six available measures will be used for for each group of teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

Note

For LEAs that may use both a common branch anddepartmentalized model forany of gradesfour to eight:

- Select one of the "Departmentalized" options at each applicable grade level and complete the remainder of the corresponding departmentalized section(s)accordingly.

- Forthe "Other Courses" entry below, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of the information as appropriate.

For LEAs that may use both a common branch anddepartmentalized model forany of gradeskindergarten to three:

- Completeeach applicable common branch grade level at the beginning of Task 2 accordingly.

- For the "Other Courses" entry below, select the "Elementary" option for applicable subjects in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of the information as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Complete the following, as applicable, for all 'other teachers' in additional grades/subjects (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measureand assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Column 4: measure used

Columns 5-7: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-7) assessment(s)
All Other Courses	К	12			ELARegents, Algebra IRegents
K-3 Art	К	3	Art	Teacher and course- specific results	Questar III BOCES
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives	School- or program-wide linked results	All Regents given in LEA

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

Grade From	Grade To	Subject	Measure	Ű	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
к	12	All course s not named above	District- or BOCE S-wide results	All Regents given in LEA		
4	5	Comm on Branch	District- or BOCE S-wide results	All Regents given in LEA		

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent willbe locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 3. TEACHERS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or Statedesigned supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 02/28/2021

Teacher Observation Category

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.
Danielson's Framework for Teaching	(No Response)

Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year as indicated in the table above.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department's regulations.

Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:

- The process for designating observable components (please note: all educators of the same grade/subject must be evaluated based on the same set of observable components);
- The level at which components of the chosen rubric are rated (i.e., domain, subdomain, indicator, etc.);
- How the final score and rating for each observable component of the practice rubric is determined for each observer; and
- How the final score for the required (i.e., lead evaluator/evaluator; independent evaluator) and/or optional (peer observer, as applicable) subcomponent of the Observation category is determined based on the final score and rating for each observable component.

Example: All subcomponents of Domains 2-4 of the Danielson rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 2 and 3 are weighted as 40% each, and Domain 4 is weighted as 20%. For each observation, all observed subcomponents in a domain are weighted equally and averaged to create a domain score, which is then weighted as above and averaged to reach a final score for each observation. Scores for each observation are weighted equally and averaged to type. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the observation cycle.

The Danielson rubric consists of 4 domains broken down into 22 components. All 22 components have been negotiated as observable and will be scored. The components are weighted equally and the scores for the components are averaged together to reach a final score for each observation type. The district will ensure that all 22 components designated as observable will be observed at least once across the observation cycle.

Scoring Assurances

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 02/28/2021

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

The overall Observation score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating				
	Minimum	Maximum			
н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0			
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74			
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74			
I	0.00*	1.49 to 1.74			

* In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 02/28/2021

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Observations

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators - At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)* - At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

- No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Principal/Administrator [Required]	Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]		Group of teachers for which this weighting will apply
90%	10%	0% (N/A)	(No Response)

Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

<u>At least one</u> observation must be conducted by building principal or other trained administrator and <u>at least one of the required observations</u> must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- · LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by principal or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of management to conduct observations in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in personor by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Observations

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

TEACHERS Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers.	teachers the number and method	CED Minimum	CED Observation	ANNOUNCE D Minimum Number of Observation s	ANNOUNCE D Observation Method
Subgroup of Teachers	Tenured Teachers	0	N/A	1	Live
Subgroup of Teachers	Untenured Year 1 & 2 Teachers	0	N/A	2	Live
Subgroup of Teachers	Untenured Year 3 & 4 Teachers	1	Live	1	Live

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

<u>At least one</u> observation must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and <u>at least one of the required observations</u> must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the teacher being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers (e.g., teacher leaders on career ladder pathways), so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the teacher being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers.	teachers the number and method	CED Minimum		ANNOUNCE D Minimum Number of Observation s	ANNOUNCE D Observation Method
All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	1	Live	0	N/A

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Observations

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Optional Observations

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by trained peer observer(s).

• Peer teachers are trained and selected by the LEA.

- Trained peer teachers must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- · Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by trained peer observer(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number and "N/A" for the observation method for both unannounced and announced observations for "All Teachers."

TEACHERS Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers.	teachers the number and method	UNANNOUN CED Minimum Number of Observation s	CED Observation	ANNOUNCE D Minimum Number of Observation s	ANNOUNCE D Observation Method
All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A

Peer Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that peer observers, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observers, these teachers received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

Task 5. TEACHERS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall Observation category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

Teacher Observation

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

		Ident Performance Score and Rating			rvation Category ore and Rating
	Minimum Maximum			Minimum	Maximum
н	18	20	н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	15	17	E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0 12		I	0.00	1.49 to 1.74

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

		Teacher Observation Category					
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)		
	Highly Effective (H)	Н	Н	Е	D		
Student Performance	Effective (E)	Н	Е	Е	D		
Category	Developing (D)	E	Е	D	I		
	Ineffective (I)	D	D	I	Ι		

Category and Overall Rating Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- \blacksquare Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same LEA, each of whom received an Ineffective rating under Education Law Section 3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the LEA has a Department-approved waiver from this requirement.

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Teacher Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 03/29/2021

Additional Requirements

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the LEA.

TIP_Progress_Report_REV_Feb2021 2.docx

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 02/28/2021

Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law§3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the StudentPerformance category, but rated Highly Effective ontheObservationcategory based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA'sadherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law§3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 02/28/2021

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

The Appeals Process

A. Overview

Probationary teachers may submit a written rebuttal that will be attached to the APPR in the teacher's personnel file. Probationary teachers may not appeal the APPR.

Tenured teachers may only appeal an overall evaluation for one of the following reasons and the burden of proof rests with the appellant:

1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d. Tenured teachers may submit written rebuttals of determination of "effective" and "highly effective" if desired, but may not appeal the rating. B. Procedure

Please note that all steps in the appeal process will be conducted in a timely and expeditious manner.

All appeals must be made after the tenured teacher receives their overall rating. The appeal must be made within ten (10) school days of the teacher formally being assigned the rating, or the issuance of the Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP). The written appeal must be submitted to the Appeals Panel, the District Superintendent, and the Association President within ten (10) days of the concern with regard to the implementation of the TIP.
 The Appeals Panel will schedule and conduct an appeal hearing within ten (10) school days of receipt of the appeal. The Panel will consist of three members. One member will be appointed by the District and one member appointed by the Association. The third member will be randomly selected from a group of teachers and administrators previously approved by the District and Association. All members of the Appeals Panel will be fully trained evaluators. The District will bear the cost of eight (8) teachers to participate in the Lead Evaluator Training. The Appeals Panel may set aside the rating, uphold the rating, or modify the Teacher Improvement Plan. A written decision will be rendered within three (3) school days of the hearing by the appeals panel.

3. If the teacher is not satisfied with the outcome presented by the Appeals Panel, then he/she may appeal further to the Superintendent. This must be done within five (5) school days of receiving the decision of the Appeals Panel. The Superintendent may set aside the rating, uphold the rating, or modify the Teacher Improvement Plan. The decision of the Superintendent is final and must be made within five (5) school days of receiving the decision from the appeals panel.

4. A copy of the final decision will be made available to the appellant, the Superintendent and the Association President.

5. The determination of the appeal pursuant to the above process is final and binding and not subject to further appeal. Failure of either the district or the association to abide by the above agreed upon process is subject to the grievance procedure.

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 03/29/2021

Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;

2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;

3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and

4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The Districtensures that lead evaluators and independent evaluators are properly trained as lead evaluators and maintain inter-rater reliability over time. The District ensures that they are re-certified on a regular basis and receive updated training on any changes in the law, regulations or applicable collective bargaining agreements. This training consists of approximately 5 hours of training annually.

All training is conducted by the Washington-Saratoga-Warren-Hamilton-Essex BOCES, New York State Council of School Superintendents or another entity that has expertise on the State's APPR law and regulation. The training is on a scheduleas recommended by the same. The training includes the required elements listed in Education Law §3012-d and subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. The Board of Education certifies and re-certifies all trained lead evaluators annually.

Protocols for maintaining inter-rater reliability include measures such as: data analysis; periodic comparisons of assessments; and/or annual calibration sessions across evaluators. Periodic norming and calibration is conducted at least once throughout the year as well as during the annual training sessions.

All lead evaluators are re-certified yearly and all new lead and independent evaluators receive the full lead evaluator training as required by law.

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Teacher Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- \blacksquare Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- \blacksquare Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide teachers with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Required Student Performance Measures

Student performance for prinicipals may be measured by either a student learning objectives (SLO) or an Input Model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal's building or program.

> Principal and building/program-specific

• Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configurationor across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/programindirectly contributeto student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA'sfocus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

State assessment(s);or

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- third party assessments; or
- locally-developed assessments(district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

INPUT MODEL

Selection of the Input Model will require:

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

- adescription of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- adescription of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- adescription of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that such SLO is determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that if the principal's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- For principals evaluated using an input model, assure that all applicable principals will be evaluated using the procedures described herein and approved by the Commissioner.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs and input models.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

Required Student Performance for Principals

Please choose the option that best describes the required student performance subcomponent for principals in your LEA.

- ☑ The same measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for all principals
- Different measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for different grade configurations/programs

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - All Principals

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

All Principals

Please indicate how student performance will be measured for principals, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Principal and building/program-specific

• Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- adescription of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- adescription of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- · adescription of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

All Principals: Measure Type

Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - All Principals: SLO

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Principal Student Learning Objective

Please indicate the type of SLO that will be used for principals, then choose the corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s).

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Principal and building/program-specific

• Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to principals.

District- or BOCES-wide results

Principal Assessments

All Principals: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

All Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ All Regents given in LEA

HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effectiv	e	Effectiv	re		Develoj	oing	Ineffect	tive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
100	96		89	84	79	74	66		54	48	43	38	33	28	24	20	16	9- 12	5- 8%	0- 4%
%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	0,0	. / 0

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent willbe locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 8. PRINCIPALS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or Statedesigned supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;
- Option (G) An input model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student achievement related to the Leadership Standards; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 02/28/2021

Principal School Visit Category

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principals' professional performance shall be evaluated based on a State-approved rubric using multiple sources of evidence collected and incorporated into the school visit protocol. Where appropriate, such evidence may be aligned to building or district goals; provided, however, that professional goal-setting may not be used as evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness. Such evidence shall reflect school leadership practice aligned to the Leadership Standards and selected practice rubric.

Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different programs or grade configurations as indicated in the table above.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 02/28/2021

Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department's regulations.

Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:

- The process for designating observable components (please note: all principals of the same building configuration/program must be evaluated based on the same set of observable components);
- The level at which components of the chosen rubric are rated (i.e., domain, subdomain, indicator, etc.);
- How the final score and rating for each observable component of the practice rubric is determined for each observer; and
- How the final score for the required (i.e., lead evaluator/evaluator; independent evaluator) and/or optional (peer principal, as applicable) subcomponent of the School Visit category is determined based on the final score and rating for each observable component.

Example: All subcomponents of Domains 1-4 of the MPPR rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 1, 2 and 3 are weighted as 30% each, and Domain 4 is weighted as 10%. For each school visit, all observed subcomponents in a domain are weighted equally and averaged to create a domain score, which is then weighted as above and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit. Scores for each school visit are weighted equally and averaged to reach school visit are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit type. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the school visit cycle.

The Multidimensional Principal Performance rubric consists of 6 observable domains. The rubric will be scored at the domain level with Domains 2 and 3 counting double in the process of averaging the score across the domains to reach a final score for each observation type. The district will ensure that all 6 domains designated as observable will be observed at least once across the observation cycle.

Scoring Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

The overall School Visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating			
	Minimum	Maximum		
н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0		
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74		
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74		
1	0.00*	1.49 to 1.74		

* In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 02/28/2021

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators - At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)* - At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s) - No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Supervisor/Administrator [Required]	1 ()	()	Group of principals for which this weighting will apply
90%	10%	0% [N/A]	(No Response)

School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators

<u>At least one</u> school visit must be conducted by supervisor or other trained administrator and <u>at least one of the required school visits</u> must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by superintendent or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of a board of education or superintendent of schools from conducting additional school visits for nonevaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- · School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by supervisor(s) or other trained administrators in the table below.

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

PRINCIPALS Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.	SUBGROUP If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.		ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	0	1

Required Subcomponent 2: School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

<u>At least one</u> school visit must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and<u>at least one of the required school visits</u> must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA but may not be assigned to the same school building as the principal being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs/directors, or peers, so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the principal being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) in the table below.

PRINCIPALS Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.	indicate which principals the number and method selected	Minimum	ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	1	0

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Optional School Visits

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Optional Subcomponent: School Visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by trained peer principal(s).

- Peer principals are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer principals must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by trained peer principal(s) in the table below.

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number for both unannounced and announced school visits for "All Principals."

PRINCIPALS	SUBGROUP	UNANNOUNCE	ANNOUNCED
Indicate whether the number and	If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column,	D	Minimum
method selected applies to all	indicate which principals the number and method selected	Minimum	Number of
principals or to a subgroup of	applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups,	Number of	School Visits
principals.	add another row.	School Visits	
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	0	0

Peer Principal School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- \blacksquare Assure that peer principal(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

Task 10. PRINCIPALS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall School Visit category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

Principal School Visit Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating			Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum
н	18	20	н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	15	17	E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	12	I	0.00	1.49 to 1.74

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

Principal School Visit Category					
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
	Highly Effective (H)	Н	Н	Е	D
Student Performance	Effective (E)	Н	Е	Е	D
Category	Developing (D)	Е	Е	D	Ι
	Ineffective (I)	D	D	I	I

Category and Overall Rating Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- \blacksquare Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Principal Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 03/29/2021

Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the LEA.

SAA_PIP_Progress_Report_Form_REV_Feb2021.doc

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 02/28/2021

Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law§3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law§3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

• A Principal has the right to appeal a developing or ineffective rating.

• Appeals are allowed for all grounds provided in education law 3012-d.

• A Principal's lead evaluator will meet with a Principal who receives a developing or ineffective rating within three days of the principal's receipt of the overall rating.

• All appeals of Principal Improvement Plans (PIPs) must occur within three days of the issuance of the improvement plan.

• Pre-Appeal: A Principal can request a meeting with the Superintendent within three (3) working days of meeting with the lead evaluator. The Superintendent will schedule a meeting with the Principal within five (5) working days of the date the meeting was requested. The Superintendent will make a decision within three (3) working days of meeting with the principal. If the principal is not satisfied with the Superintendent's decision, they can make a formal appeal to the committee within twelve (12) working days of meeting with the Superintendent. The committee within twelve (12) working days of the receipt of the formal appeal from the Principal.

• The appeal committee consists of one district office administrator selected by the district (cannot be the lead evaluator who wrote the evaluation, and cannot be the Superintendent), and one SAA member selected by the Principal. The third member of the committee is chosen by the Principal from a mutually agreed upon list developed by the SAA and the district. The committee provides the finding in writing within twelve (12) working days of the meeting (raise the rating or uphold the rating).

• If the decision is in favor of the Principal, then the rating is raised. If the decision is not in favor of the Principal, then the Principal can appeal it to the Superintendent within twelve (12) working days of receiving the committee's finding.

• A meeting with the Superintendent would take place within five (5) working days of the request for the appeal. The Superintendent would provide a written finding to the Principal within five (5) working days of this appeals meeting.

• The Superintendent's decision would be to raise or uphold the rating. The Superintendent's decision is final.

• If the rating is upheld, the Principals' Improvement Plan would remain in effect.

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 03/29/2021

Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent evaluators and peer principals need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Evaluators, and Peer Principals and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent evaluators and peer principals;

2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;

3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and

4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The District ensures that lead evaluators and independent evaluators are properly trained as lead evaluators and maintain inter-rater reliability over time. The District ensures that they are re-certified on a regular basis and receive updated training on any changes in the law, regulations or applicable collective bargaining agreements. This training consists of approximately 5 hours of training annually.

All training is conducted by the Washington-Saratoga-Warren-Hamilton-Essex BOCES, New York State Council of School Superintendents or another entity that has expertise on the State's APPR law and regulation. The training is on a schedule, as recommended by the same. The training includes the required elements listed in 30-3.10 of the Regents rules. The Board of Education certifies and re-certifies all trained lead evaluators annually.

Protocols for maintaining inter-rater reliability include measures such as: data analysis; periodic comparisons of assessments; and/or annual calibration sessions across evaluators. Periodic norming and calibration is conducted at least once throughout the year as well as during the annual training sessions.

All lead evaluators are re-certified yearly and all new lead and independent evaluators receive the full lead evaluator training as required by law.

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/18/2020

Principal Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- \blacksquare Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide principals with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 04/20/2021

Upload APPR LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

4-16 3012D-Amend. Full Document.pdf

Saratoga Springs City School District Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP)

Upon an overall rating of developing or ineffective through an annual professional performance review (APPR) conducted pursuant to Education law section 3012-d, a district shall formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan. The purpose of TIP is to address the instructional effectiveness and/or management related issues deemed unsatisfactory as determined by an administrator. The TIP will be developed by the district administration in consultation with the identified teacher.

ied to:	ed to: Position:		
ued by:		Position:	
e Issue	ed://		
	following marked ($$) performance relisted teacher as determined by		evaluated as unsatisfactory for the ministrator:
	Content Knowledge		Communication with
	Preparation		Students/Parents
	Classroom Management		Reflective and Responsive Practice
	Student Development		Professional Conduct
	Student Assessment		Other
	Collaboration		
Spec	vific Notes:		
Spec	eific Notes:		

The following is a chart of targets and corresponding action plans established in relation to the performance criteria identified as unsatisfactory as specified above:

Target(s)	Plan(s) of Action	Deadlines	How will improvement be assessed?

following resources will be applied to support the above mentioned teacher's ressional growth:
Mentoring
Professional Development/Workshops
Peer Observation
Classroom Observations in same school/different school
Instructional Media/Resources
Progress meetings
Collaboration with curriculum specialist
Reflective and Responsive Practice
Other

As a result of this TIP, we expect that said teacher will substantially improve in the areas identified as unsatisfactory. Regular meetings will be held between the building administrator, Director of Human Resources, the teacher, and a SSTA representative to discuss progress and make adjustments in the plan when/where applicable.

Teacher Comments:

Teacher Signature:	Date:/
Administrator Comments:	
Administrator Signature:	Date:/

Date:	/	' /	/

Teacher Name:				
Completed by:	Date:	/	/	

<u>Progress Report</u>: To be completed by the building principal and reviewed with the teacher, SSTA representative, and department head (if applicable) during regular TIP meetings to monitor and assess progress towards targets.

Date of Progress Meeting	Targets	Status of Action Plans	Names of Meeting Attendees	Initials of the Person evaluating the Progress

C: Assistant Superintendent for Secondary Ed. Assistant Superintendent for Elementary Ed. Director of Human Resources Personnel File



Saratoga Springs City School District

Principal Improvement Plan (PIP)

Upon an overall rating of developing or ineffective through an annual professional performance review (APPR) conducted pursuant to Education law section 3012-d, a district shall formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan. The purpose of PIP is to address the instructional effectiveness and/or management related issues deemed unsatisfactory as determined by an evaluator. The PIP will be developed by the district administration in consultation with the identified principal.

Issued to: _		Position:	
Issued by: _		Position:	
Date Issued	l://		
	ollowing marked ($$) performance cr e listed principal as determined by hi		evaluated as unsatisfactory for the
	Content Knowledge		Communication with

	Content Knowledge	Communication with
	Preparation	Students/Parents/teachers
	Building Management	Reflective and Responsive Practice
	Student Development	Professional Conduct
	Student Assessment	Other
	Collaboration	
Specif	fic Notes:	

The following is a chart of targets and corresponding action plans established in relation to the performance criteria identified as unsatisfactory as specified above:

Target(s)	Plan(s) of Action	Deadlines	How will improvement be assessed?

The following resources will be applied to support the above mentioned principal's professional growth:				
	Mentoring			
	Professional Development/Workshops			
	Peer Observation			
	Building Observations in same school/different school			
	Instructional Media/Resources			
	Progress meetings			
	Collaboration with specialists			
	Reflective and Responsive Practice			
	Other			

As a result of this PIP, we expect that said principal will substantially improve in the areas identified as unsatisfactory. Regular meetings will be held between the evaluator, Director of Human Resources, the principal, and a SAA representative to discuss progress and make adjustments in the plan when/where applicable.

Principal Comments:

Principal Signature:	Date://
Administrator Comments:	
Administrator Signature:	Date:/

SAA Representative Signature:	 Date: _	//	

Princi	pal	Name	e:	

Completed by: _____Date: ___/__/___

Progress Report: To be completed by the evaluator and reviewed with the principal, SAA representative, and the Director of Human Resources during regular PIP meetings to monitor and assess progress towards targets.

Date of Progress Meeting	Targets	Status of Action Plans	Names of Meeting Attendees	Initials of the Person evaluating the Progress

C: Assistant Superintendent for Secondary Ed. Assistant Superintendent for Elementary Ed. Director of Human Resources Personnel File



SARATOGA SPRINGS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) Progress Report Form

This form will be completed by the Principal, Lead Evaluator, and SAA Representative during the regularly scheduled PIP Progress Meetings. Adjustments may be made to the plan as mutually agreed upon.

Principal's Name	
Lead Evaluator's Name	Title:
Date of Progress Meeting:	
The following represent the targeted areas in need of improvement	and the respective plan:
Date of Progress Meeting:	
Areas of Improvement:	
Status of Action Plan:	
Action Plan Adjustments Needed:	
Names of Meeting Attendees:	
Satisfactory Progress Made: YES I	NO
Principal's Signature:	
Lead Evaluator's Signature:	
SAA Representative's Signature:	

LEA CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan.

By signing this document, the LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s) certify that the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval constitutes the school LEA's complete APPR plan, that all provisions of the plan that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, and that such plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d as amended by the Laws of 2019 and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and has been adopted by the governing body of the LEA.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify, upon information and belief, that all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the LEA's complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the LEA; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11).

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the overall APPR rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including but not limited to: tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured;
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal his or her score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher's or principal's APPR, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured;
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the LEA's office and made available to the public on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that complete and accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline
 prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA will continue to report to the State Individual subcomponent scores and the overall rating for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including
 specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities;
- Assure that any teacher or principal who receives an Overall Rating of Developing or Ineffective in any school year will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statues and regulations, by October 1 of the school year following the year in which such teacher's or principal's performance was measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of their
 pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service
 Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be
 properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable
 statutes and regulations;
- Assure that LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the LEA;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school

visits;

- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the LEA shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;
- Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth or achievement will be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject, for teachers, or similar building configurations/programs, for principals, In the LEA will be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable:
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth;
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year;
- Assure that the LEA will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:	Date:		
Michnel Dar	to	4/2/21	
Superintendent Name (print):		<u> ' </u>	
Midrel Porto	2		
Teachers Union President Signature:	Date:		
VH4	* L	11421	
Teachers Union President Name (print):			
Timetty ? Mulugged	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Administrative Union President Signature:	Date:		
Administrative Union President Name (print): :		
		······································	
Board of Education President Signature:	Date:		
anyennette ame	Ka)	4/12/2/	
Board of Education Prosident Name (print):		1-1-1-	
Manufle		12/2/]
	1	'/ '	

visits:

- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the LEA shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;
- Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth or achievement will be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject, for teachers, or similar building configurations/programs, for principals, in the LEA will be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable:
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth; Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year;
- Assure that the LEA will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to Exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum requires annual instructional neutral to story grade time devices to teacher administered classmoon gubzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and disgnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede the requirements of a section S04 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners. or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates

5

uporintendent Signature:	Date:	
uperintendent Name (print):		
eachers Union President Signature:	Date:	
eschers Union President Name (print):		
Administrative Union President Signature:		
Us ph		
Administrative Union Presidentiams (pri	nert	
Board of Education President Signature:	Date:	
Board of Education President Name (print)		