THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK



Interim Commissioner of Education President of the University of the State of New York 89 Washington Avenue, Room 111 Albany, New York 12234 E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov Twitter:@NYSEDNews Tel: (518) 474-5844 Fax: (518) 473-4909

March 2, 2020

Revised – §3012-d Supplemental Form for Removal of aimsweb (Material Change)

Mr. Robert J. Breidenstein, Superintendent Salamanca City Central School District 50 Iroquois Drive Salamanca, NY 14779

Dear Superintendent Breidenstein:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that the material change you submitted to your currently approved Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan on the Supplemental Form for Removal of aimsweb meets the criteria outlined in Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan and those found in the Supplemental Form for Alternate SLOs. If any additional changes need to be made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit a new plan to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Shannon L. Tahoe Interim Commissioner

Sharron & Jakoe

Attachment

c: Scott Payne

PLEASE NOTE:

In April 2019, the Governor signed into law an amendment to Education Law 3012-d. Those statutory changes allow a school district/BOCES to continue to implement its currently approved APPR plan, including the transition measures that are to replace any measures based on the grades three through eight English language arts and mathematics State tests, until such time as its existing collective bargaining agreement expires and until entry into a successor agreement.

Accordingly, your district/BOCES may continue to implement its currently approved plan, including the measures described in the Supplemental Form for Removal of aimsweb, until such time that as a new collective bargaining agreement is entered into between the district/BOCES and its bargaining units.

Until such time as your district/BOCES received approval of a new APPR plan consistent with the requirements of Education Law 3012-d as amended by the Laws of 2019, teachers and principals must continue to be provided with their APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures in your currently approved APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR plan have been reviewed and are considered as part of your district's/BOCES' approved APPR plan; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your plan but not incorporated by reference have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Removal of aimsweb

Removal of aimsweb supplemental form - Information and Instructions

Page Last Modified: 01/28/2020

Instructions

This form is applicable to APPR plans approved prior to April 12, 2019 for the removal of aimsweb only. To make any other changes to an APPR plan, submit a material change using the "Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019" form.

 $The \ measures \ indicated \ on \ this form \ apply \ beginning \ in \ the \ 2019-20 \ school \ year.$

Pearson discontinued the aimsweb assessment at the conclusion of the 2018-19 school year. aimswebPlus is available for use in APPR plans beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

If aimsweb is used in an LEA's APPR plan, the LEA must indicate which assessment(s) will be used beginning in the 2019-20 school year and beyond for applicable educators.

Use the following pages to select the measures and assessments that will be used in the 2019-20 and 2020-21 school years and in 2021-22 and beyond for all educators whose evaluation includes aimsweb per the currently approved APPR plan.

03/30/2020 02:46 PM Page 1 of 6

Annual Professional Performance Review - Removal of aimsweb

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Teachers

Page Last Modified: 01/28/2020

Teachers

Please make an appropriate selection below.

- ☐ The measures and assessments selected in this section will apply to applicable teachers beginning in 2019-20 school year.
- ☑ aimsweb is not included in the currently approved APPR plan for any teacher. (Proceed to Task 7)

03/30/2020 02:46 PM Page 2 of 6

Annual Professional Performance Review - Removal of aimsweb

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Principals

Page Last Modified: 01/28/2020

Applicable Principals

Please note, this form is to be used only for the removal of aimsweb with an associated Student Learning Objective (SLO). To make any other changes to an APPR plan, such as a change to an Input Model for principals, submit a material change using the "Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019" form.

Please make an appropriate selection below.

- ☑ The measures and assessments selected in this section will apply to applicable principals beginning in 2019-20 school year.
- □ aimsweb is not included in the currently approved APPR plan for any principals. (Proceed to Certification)

03/30/2020 02:46 PM Page 3 of 6

Annual Professional Performance Review - Removal of aimsweb

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - The 2019-20 School Year

Page Last Modified: 01/28/2020

Measures and Assessments 2019-20

MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal's building or program.

> Principal and building/program-specific

• Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
- · identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- · the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- · when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

· State assessment(s); or

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- third party assessments; or
- locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used in the 2019-20 school year, then indicate the applicable grade configuration(s).

Measures for the 2020-21 school year and beyond will be addressed in the following sections.

Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	1 '	Applicable Grade Configuration(s)
Principal and building/program- specific results			☑ aimswebPlus	☑ K-3

03/30/2020 02:46 PM Page 4 of 6

Annual Professional Performance Review - Removal of aimsweb

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - The 2019-20 School Year

Page Last Modified: 01/28/2020

The measures and assessments selected above are applicable only to the 2019-20 school year. Please indicate below whether those that will be used in the 2020-21 school year and beginning in the 2021-22 school year are the same or different as the measures indicated for 2019-20.

☐ The measures and assessments that will be used beginning in the 2020-21 school year for applicable principals are the same as those selected for the 2019-20 school year. (Proceed to Certification)

03/30/2020 02:46 PM Page 5 of 6

Annual Professional Performance Review - Removal of aimsweb

Statement of Assurances - Upload Statement of Assurances

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Upload Statement of Assurances

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each page revision individually and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. Clicking 'Save' or 'Save & Continue' on any page will alter the last date modified timestamp for that page.

Please download the "Removal of aimsweb assurances" from the list of documents on the left, obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload the signed and dated form.

SCCSDAimsweb.pdf

03/30/2020 02:46 PM Page 6 of 6

Removal of aimsweb supplemental form: Certification

Please complete the assurances and signatures below and upload this certification form via the SED Monitoring and Vendor Performance System through the NYSED Business Portal.

Assurances Please check the boxes below		
Assure that all information provided in accurate as of the date the form is sub-	n the Removal of aimsweb supplemen mitted.	tal form is true and
Assure that, once this form is approve currently approved APPR plan.	d by the Department, it shall be consid	lered part of the LEA's
Assure that only those educators whose are based, in whole or part, on aimsweet form and the measures and assessment Performance scores/ratings for these educators whose are based, in whole or part, on aimsweet form and the measures and assessment performance scores/ratings for these educators whose are based, in whole or part, on aimsweet form and the measures and assessment performance scores/ratings for these educators whose are based, in whole or part, on aimsweet form and the measures and assessment performance scores/ratings for these educators whose are based, in whole or part, on aimsweet form and the measures and assessment performance scores/ratings for these educators whose are based, in whole or part, on aimsweet form and the measures and assessment performance scores/ratings for these educators.	eb will be included on the Removal of ts described on such form shall be used	aimsweb supplemental
Assure that the educators for whom the continue to have their Observation/Scl determined consistent with the LEA's	hool Visit category scores and ratings	form applies shall and Overall ratings
Assure that the educators for whom th will continue to have their Overall rati APPR plan without modification.	e Removal of aimsweb supplemental to ng calculated consistent with the LEA	form does NOT apply 's currently approved
Assure that only the measures and asso form for the 2019-20 school year will Performance category for the applicab	be used to calculate the required subco	imsweb supplemental omponent of the Student
Assure that only the measures and asset form for the 2020-21 school year will Performance category for the applicab	be used to calculate the required subco	imsweb supplemental omponent of the Student
Assure that only the measures and assertion for the 2021-22 school year and the Student Performance category for the Stude	beyond will be used to calculate the re-	quired subcomponent of
Assure that, where applicable, collective application that are subject to collective	ve negotiations have been completed of	
Signatures, dates		
lux y 5	Robert J. Breidenstein	2/23/2020
Superintendent Signature	Name (print) THOUSA RUY	Date Date
Board of Education President Signature	Name (print)	Date
Teachers Union President Signature	Name (print)	

Administrative Union President Signature Name (print)

THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK



Commissioner of Education President of the University of the State of New York 89 Washington Avenue, Room 111 Albany, New York 12234 E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov Twitter:@NYSEDNews Tel: (518) 474-5844 Fax: (518) 473-4909

December 18, 2016

Revised

Mr. Robert J. Breidenstein, Superintendent Salamanca City Central School District 50 Iroquois Drive Salamanca, NY 14779

Dear Superintendent Breidenstein:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

MaryEllen Elia Commissioner

Attachment

c: Lynda Quick

NOTE:

Pursuant to sections 30-2.14 and 30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must calculate transition scores and ratings for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 ELA and math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores. For the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must establish alternate SLOs for affected teachers and principals who, as a result of the above exclusions, have no remaining measures in the Student Performance Category.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Task 1. School District Information - Tasks 1.1, 1.2

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Task 1) Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

The Department will review the contents of each school district's/BOCES' Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's/BOCES' plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from a district/BOCES to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. As such, each district/BOCES is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of a district's/BOCES' plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1.1) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- Assure that the content of this form represents the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that a detailed version of the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district/BOCES website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.
- Assure that it is understood that this district's/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.

1.2) Submission Status

Is this a first-time submission under Education Law §3012-d or the submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d?

First-time submission under Education Law §3012-d

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 1 of 49

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Task 2) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

- (A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

2.1) Assurances

Please note: NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math Assessments and State-provided growth scores cannot be used for the purposes of providing transition scores and ratings during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, and should be used for advisory purposes only until the 2019-20 school year. Alternate SLOs to be used during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 transition period should be entered in Task 2 (Transition).

Please check the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all 4-8 ELA and Math teachers in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that teacher.
- ☑ For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for any grade/subject that requires a back-up SLO, but for which there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a teacher-specific SLO from being created, the superintendent or another trained administrator shall develop a school-wide back-up SLO using available State/Regents assessments.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

2.2) Grades 4-8 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a growth score and rating. That rating will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and takes into consideration students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided scores and ratings, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided growth measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score and rating from the State for the full Student Performance category of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Student Performance category of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided growth measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those teachers who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that teacher.

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs for the grade/subject listed beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math
State Assessment	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 2 of 49

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math	
State Assessment	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math	
	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math	
State Assessment	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math	
	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math	
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math	
	Grade 8 ELA	Grade 8 Math	
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 8 ELA	Grade 8 Math	

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 3 of 49

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.3 (3 ELA/Math), 2.4 (4/8 SCI)

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

2.3) Grade 3 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math
State Assessment	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math

2.4) Grades 4 and 8 Science: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 4 Science	Grade 8 Science
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Common branch	Grade 8 Science

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 4 of 49

SALAMANCA CITY SD

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

2.5) High School Courses Ending in a Regents Exam: Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Global 2	US History
Regents Assessment	Global 2	US History

	Living Environment	Earth Science	Chemistry	Physics
Regents Assessment	Living Environment	Earth Science	Chemistry	Physics

	Algebra I	Geometry	Algebra II/Trigonometry
Regents Assessment(s)	Common Core Algebra	Common Core Geometry	Common Core Algebra II and
		and Geometry	Algebra II/Trigonometry

2.6) High School English Language Arts Courses: Measures and Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school English Language Arts courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: High School English Language Arts

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For high school English Language Arts, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

· State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required in at least one year of high school English Language Arts

For grade levels where the Regents exam is not used:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 5 of 49

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

Page 6 of 49 12/15/2016 09:26 AM

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-Developed Course-	Third Party
		Assessment(s)	Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)
9 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results		Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)
10 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	☐ Grade 3 ELA ☐ Grade 4 ELA ☐ Grade 5 ELA ☐ Grade 6 ELA ☐ Grade 8 ELA ☐ Grade 3 Math ☐ Grade 4 Math ☐ Grade 5 Math ☐ Grade 6 Math ☐ Grade 7 Math ☐ Grade 8 Math ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ All Regents given in building/district		
11 ELA	Teacher-specific results	Comprehensive English & Common Core English		
12 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results			

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 7 of 49

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

	☑ All Regents given in building/district	
	bulluling/ulstrict	

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 8 of 49

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

2.7) Grades K-2 ELA and Math: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 9 of 49

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-Developed Course-	Third Party
	i weasure	Assessment(s)	Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)
K ELA	D		Opecine Assessment(s)	/A3C33HICH(3)
	District- or BOCES-wide results			
	resuits	☐ Grade 4 ELA		
		☑ Grade 5 ELA☑ Grade 6 ELA		
		☑ Grade 7 ELA		
		☐ Grade 8 ELA		
		☐ Grade 3 Math		
		☐ Grade 4 Math		
		☐ Grade 5 Math		
		☐ Grade 6 Math		
		☐ Grade 7 Math		
		☐ Grade 8 Math		
		☑ Grade 4 Science		
		☑ Grade 8 Science		
		☑ All Regents given in		
		building/district		
K Math	District- or BOCES-wide	☑ Grade 3 ELA		
	results	☑ Grade 4 ELA		
	results	☐ Grade 5 ELA		
		☐ Grade 6 ELA		
		☑ Grade 7 ELA		
		☐ Grade 8 ELA		
		☐ Grade 3 Math		
		☐ Grade 4 Math		
		☑ Grade 5 Math		
		☑ Grade 6 Math		
		☑ Grade 7 Math		
		☑ Grade 8 Math		
		☑ Grade 4 Science		
		☑ Grade 8 Science		
		☑ All Regents given in		
		building/district		
1 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide	☐ Grade 3 ELA		
	results	☐ Grade 4 ELA		
		☑ Grade 5 ELA		
		☑ Grade 6 ELA		
		☑ Grade 7 ELA		
		☑ Grade 8 ELA		
		☑ Grade 3 Math		
		☑ Grade 4 Math		
		☑ Grade 5 Math		
		☑ Grade 6 Math		
		☑ Grade 7 Math		
		☑ Grade 8 Math		
		☑ Grade 4 Science		
		☑ Grade 8 Science		
		☑ All Regents given in		
		building/district		

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 10 of 49

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

1 Math	District- or BOCES-wide	⊌	Grade 3 ELA	
	results	⊌	Grade 4 ELA	
		⊌	Grade 5 ELA	
		⊌	Grade 6 ELA	
		⊌	Grade 7 ELA	
		⊌	Grade 8 ELA	
		⊌	Grade 3 Math	
		⊌	Grade 4 Math	
		፟	Grade 5 Math	
		⊌	Grade 6 Math	
		Ø	Grade 7 Math	
		Ø	Grade 8 Math	
		☑	Grade 4 Science	
		☑	Grade 8 Science	
		☑	All Regents given in	
			building/district	
2 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide		Grade 3 ELA	
	results	⊌	Grade 4 ELA	
		⊌	Grade 5 ELA	
		⊌	Grade 6 ELA	
		⊌	Grade 7 ELA	
		⊌	Grade 8 ELA	
		⊌	Grade 3 Math	
		⊌	Grade 4 Math	
		⊌	Grade 5 Math	
		፟	Grade 6 Math	
		☑	Grade 7 Math	
		Ø	Grade 8 Math	
		☑	Grade 4 Science	
		☑	Grade 8 Science	
		⊌	All Regents given in	
			building/district	
2 Math	District- or BOCES-wide		Grade 3 ELA	
	results	 ☑	Grade 4 ELA	
			Grade 5 ELA	
			Grade 6 ELA	
		⊌	Grade 7 ELA	
		Ø	Grade 8 ELA	
		⊌	Grade 3 Math	
		⊌	Grade 4 Math	
		⊌	Grade 5 Math	
		⊌	Grade 6 Math	
		⊌	Grade 7 Math	
		Ø	Grade 8 Math	
		Ø	Grade 4 Science	
		⊌	Grade 8 Science	
		⊌	All Regents given in	
			building/district	

Page 11 of 49 12/15/2016 09:26 AM

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

2.8) Grades 6-7 Science and Grades 6-8 Social Studies: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 12 of 49

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
6 Science	District- or BOCES-wide results	☐ Grade 3 ELA ☐ Grade 4 ELA ☐ Grade 5 ELA ☐ Grade 6 ELA ☐ Grade 7 ELA ☐ Grade 8 ELA ☐ Grade 3 Math ☐ Grade 4 Math ☐ Grade 5 Math ☐ Grade 6 Math ☐ Grade 7 Math ☐ Grade 7 Math ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ All Regents given in building/district		
7 Science	District- or BOCES-wide results	☐ Grade 3 ELA ☐ Grade 4 ELA ☐ Grade 5 ELA ☐ Grade 6 ELA ☐ Grade 8 ELA ☐ Grade 3 Math ☐ Grade 4 Math ☐ Grade 5 Math ☐ Grade 6 Math ☐ Grade 7 Math ☐ Grade 8 Math ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ All Regents given in building/district		
6 Social Studies	District- or BOCES-wide results	☐ Grade 3 ELA ☐ Grade 4 ELA ☐ Grade 5 ELA ☐ Grade 6 ELA ☐ Grade 7 ELA ☐ Grade 8 ELA ☐ Grade 3 Math ☐ Grade 4 Math ☐ Grade 5 Math ☐ Grade 6 Math ☐ Grade 8 Math ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ All Regents given in building/district		

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 13 of 49

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

				1	
7 Social Studies	District- or BOCES-	☑	Grade 3 ELA		
	wide results	⊌	Grade 4 ELA		
		⊌	Grade 5 ELA		
		☑	Grade 6 ELA		
		☑	Grade 7 ELA		
		⊌	Grade 8 ELA		
		⊌	Grade 3 Math		
		⊌	Grade 4 Math		
		⊌	Grade 5 Math		
		☑	Grade 6 Math		
		☑	Grade 7 Math		
		☑	Grade 8 Math		
		☑	Grade 4 Science		
		⊌	Grade 8 Science		
		⊌	All Regents given in		
			building/district		
8 Social Studies	District- or BOCES-	⊌	Grade 3 ELA		
	wide results	⊌	Grade 4 ELA		
		⊌	Grade 5 ELA		
		⊌	Grade 6 ELA		
		⊌	Grade 7 ELA		
		⊌	Grade 8 ELA		
		⊌	Grade 3 Math		
		⊌	Grade 4 Math		
		⊌	Grade 5 Math		
		☑	Grade 6 Math		
		⊌	Grade 7 Math		
		⊌	Grade 8 Math		
		⊌	Grade 4 Science		
		⊌	Grade 8 Science		
		☑	All Regents given in		
			building/district		

2.9) Regents Global Studies 1: Measure and Assessment(s) (Original)

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for Global Studies 1.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 14 of 49

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-Developed Course-	Third Party
		Assessment(s)	Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)
Global 1	District- or BOCES-	☑ Grade 3 ELA		
	wide results	☑ Grade 4 ELA		
		☑ Grade 5 ELA		
		☑ Grade 6 ELA		
		☑ Grade 7 ELA		
		☑ Grade 8 ELA		
		☑ Grade 3 Math		
		☑ Grade 4 Math		
		☑ Grade 5 Math		
		☑ Grade 6 Math		
		☑ Grade 7 Math		
		☑ Grade 8 Math		
		☑ Grade 4 Science		
		☑ Grade 8 Science		
		☑ All Regents given in		
		building/district		

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 15 of 49

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

2.10) All Other Courses (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

Fill in the following, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have SLOs (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course
- · Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 3: subject of the course
- · Column 4: measure used
- Columns 5-6: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-6) assessment(s)
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra
K-3 Art	K	3	Art	Teacher-specific results	Questar III BOCES
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12		wide group team or	All Regents given in building/district

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 16 of 49

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Grade From	Grade To	Subject	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	☐ Grade 3 ELA ☐ Grade 4 ELA ☐ Grade 5 ELA ☐ Grade 6 ELA ☐ Grade 7 ELA ☐ Grade 8 ELA ☐ Grade 3 Math ☐ Grade 4 Math ☐ Grade 5 Math ☐ Grade 6 Math ☐ Grade 7 Math ☐ Grade 7 Math ☐ Grade 8 Math ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ All Regents giver in building/distric	n	

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effectiv	ve	Effectiv	ve		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-	93-	90-	85-	80-	75-	67-	60-	55-	49-	44-	39-	34-	29-	25-	21-	17-	13-	9-	F	
100	96	92	89	84	79	74	66	II .	54	48	43	38	33	28	24	20	16	12	ľ	0- 4%
%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	0 /0	4 /0

2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one 0-20 score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Common branch teacher with State-provided growth measures for both ELA and Math in grade 4; middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

2.13) Assurances

For guidance on SLOs and the development of back-up SLOs, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 17 of 49

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Please check the boxes below.

Assure that the teacher has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- ☑ Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 2.11, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

2.14) Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 18 of 49

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Task 2) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

- (A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, grades 3-8 NYS ELA/math assessments and any State-provided growth scores may only be used for advisory purposes and may not be used for the purpose of calculating transition scores and ratings.

If grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores are the entirety of the Student Performance category, districts/BOCES must also develop an alternate SLO based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or on State-provided growth scores for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

2.2-2.10) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLO during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, then indicate the applicable courses.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 19 of 49

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)	Applicable Course(s)
District- or BOCES-wide results	-		· ·	Course(s) K ELA
				☑ Global 1☑ OtherCourses as listed inOriginal Task2.10

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effectiv	ve	Effectiv	ve		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100		90- 92	ll .	80- 84		ll .										17- 20		9- 12	5-	0-
%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	8%	4%

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 20 of 49

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 21 of 49

Task 3.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Teachers) - Task 3.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Task 3) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the Required subcomponent, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

3.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent of the Student Performance Category

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 22 of 49

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.
NYSUT Teacher Practice Rubric (2014 Edition)	(No Response)

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

4.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year.
- 🗷 Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

4.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged

4.4) Calculating Observation Ratings

Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 4.5 below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check the boxes below.

- Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(1) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the district's/BOCES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(2) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 23 of 49

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating				
	Minimum	Maximum			
Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0			
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74			
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74			
I	0	1.49 to 1.74			

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Effective:	2.50	3.49	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Developing:	1.50	2.49	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49	

4.5) Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponents:

- Observations by Principal (s) or Other Trained Administrators: At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score
- Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent:

- Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 24 of 49

^{*} If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator.

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Required - Principal/ Administrator	Required - Independent Evaluator(s)	' '	Grades and subjects for which Peer Observers will be used
80%	20%	N/A	(No Response)

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

4.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these teacher(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

4.7) Number and Method of Observations

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations for each type of observer, as well as the method of observation, in the tables below.

Tenured Teachers

	Required -	Required -	Required -	Required -	Optional - Peer	Optional - Peer
	Principal/	Principal/	Independent	Independent	Observer(s):	Observer(s):
	Administrator:	Administrator:	Evaluator(s):	Evaluator(s):	Minimum	Observation
	Minimum	Observation	Minimum	Observation	observations	method
	observations	method	observations	method		
Unannounced	1	In person	1	In person	0	N/A
Announced	1	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A

Probationary Teachers

	Principal/	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Observation method	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method
Unannounced	1	In person	1	In person	0	N/A
Announced	2	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 25 of 49

Task 5. Overall Scoring (Teachers) - Tasks 5.1-5.3

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

5.1) Scoring Ranges

Student Performance

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

Teacher Observation

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

	Overall Studer Performance Category Sco			Overall Obser Category Score and Ra	
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum
Н	18	20	Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	15	17	E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	12	I	0	1.49 to 1.74

5.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

,		Teacher Observation Category									
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)						
	Highly Effective (H)	Н	Н	Е	D						
Student Performance	Effective (E)	Н	Е	Е	D						
Category	Developing (D)	Е	Е	D	I						
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I						

^{*} If a teacher is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the teacher can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

5.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same school district who have received Ineffective ratings under Education Law §3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the district has received a waiver from the Department.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 26 of 49

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

6.1) Assurances: Teacher Improvement Plans

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

APPR_TIP_Template2.0.docx

6.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

6.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 27 of 49

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

Appeals Process

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review
- (2) the school district's or BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law section 3012-d
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's or BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law section 3012-d

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

- 8.1 Purpose of Appeal: The purpose of the internal appeal process is to foster and nurture growth of the professional staff in order to maintain a highly qualified and effective work force. The following appeal process is designed to further this goal. The burden of proof shall be on the appellant (teacher) to establish by the preponderance of the evidence that the total composite rating given by the lead evaluator was not justified.
- 8.1.1 Who: Tenured and untenured teachers or authoring administrator who meet the appeal process criteria may use this appeal process.
- 8.1.2 Why: Said appeal process shall be available to eligible employees (detailed in 8.1.1) to appeal the overall teacher composite rating.
- 8.1.3 What: Only teachers who receive an overall "Developing" or "Ineffective" rating in the teacher composite rating or the authoring administrator may initiate an appeal to a Level I, Level II or Level II committee.

8.1.4 How:

- 1. The appeal process will begin at Level I.
- 2.Governing Body to Adjudicate the Appeal: The governing body shall be defined as the "Appeal Committee" (hereinafter "Committee").

The Committee shall be identified as Level I, Level II and Level III and make up shall be:

a.Level I

- i. The teacher being evaluated (appellant) and
- ii. The administrator (authoring administrator)
- b. Level II
- i. One tenured administrator appointed to the Committee, selected by the Superintendent. The tenured administrator appointed shall not be the administrator who authored the evaluation; and
- ii. Two tenured teachers shall be appointed to the Committee by the Association President or his/her designee. The tenured teachers shall not be assigned to the department or building of the appellant.
- c. Level III
- i. Is exclusively the Superintendent of Schools.
- d. Level I, II and III APPR Appeal committee meetings shall meet within ten (10) work days from receipt of appeals form and at such time outside of the student attendance day.
- i. The District will annually establish an Appeal Compensation Fund, not to exceed five hundred (\$500) dollars annually to compensate Level II Appeal Committee Members
- 1.Level II Appeal Committee Members will be compensated fifty (\$50) dollars per appeal.
- ii. Once the Appeal Compensation Fund is depleted, no additional compensation for committee members participating in Level II Appeals will be permissible for that academic school year.
- e. The Level I and II committees will sustain or dismiss the appeal using the consensus model. If consensus is not reached, the Committee shall write up and submit to the Superintendent and the Association President within ten (10) workdays of its determination a consensus brief on the prescribed form, detailing the opposing conclusions relative to the appellant's claim.

2.Timeline

- a. The appellant must forward a written evaluation appeal within ten (10) workdays of receipt of the evaluation or Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP). Said appeal must be submitted in writing on the prescribed form to the Superintendent of Schools and the Association President. Electronic communications will not be accepted.
- i. Only the appellant (teacher) may initiate a Level I appeal.
- 1. The appellant may elect to have Association representation at a Level I Appeal Conference.
- ii. Only the appellant (teacher) may initiate a Level II appeal.
- iii. A Level III appeal may only be initiated by the appellant or authoring administrator.
- iv. Appeals can only be requested by the impacted teacher or authoring administrator.
- b. The Superintendent and Association President shall charge the Level I or II Committee to hold an Appeal Conference within ten (10) workdays of receipt of any appeal.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 28 of 49

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

c. The Committee shall issue its written findings to the Superintendent, Association President, the employee and the authoring administrator within ten (10) workdays of the conference. The Level I or II committee members will determine who shall be responsible for communicating the findings to the required parties.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

3. Appeal Conferencing:

- a. The committee shall have the right to ask questions of the appellant or evaluating administrator and have the right to request additional information necessary to make an informed decision.b.The appeal conferences are closed meetings and only by unanimous decree from the committee may individuals attend or observe the proceedings.
- c. Additional evidence or artifacts may be submitted by the appellant or authoring administrator during the Level I Appeal in support of the appeal.

 Any additional evidence or artifact submitted during a Level I Appeal will be considered as part of the record and will be used in the determination to sustain or dismiss at Levels I, II or III of the appeal process.
- d. No additional evidence or artifacts will be accepted after the expiration of the Level I appeal timeframe.
- 4. Committee Findings:
- a. APPR Teacher Total Composite Rating may be appealed pursuant to the New York State 3012-d regulations.
- b. The Committee is empowered to affirm or overturn only sections of the formal evaluation where an ineffective or developing rating occurred. The committee may indicate what the section rating should be and include this determination in the final determination. Said ability to overturn a section(s) of the evaluation does not negate the fact that the evaluation was completed in a timely manner nor can the committee at anytime lower a section rating.
- c. The committee (Level I or II) will issue a written statement detailing the final determination of the appeal to the Superintendent, Association President, the appellant and the authoring administrator.
- d. Within ten (10) work days, from the receipt of the written determination of the committee's (Level II) decision, the appellant or the authoring administrator may appeal the committee's decision for a final adjudication to the Superintendent (Level III appeal).
- e. The Level III appeal to the Superintendent must be in written form and received no later than ten (10) work days from the receipt of the Level II committee's decision
- f. The Superintendent has sole authority to dismiss or affirm the appeal committee's decision in part or in whole within 10 work days from receipt of the Level II decision.
- g. Within ten (10) workdays from the receipt of the Level III appeal, the Superintendent will affirm or reject the appeal and issue a written statement to the appellant and authoring administrator outlining the affirmation or rejection of said appeal.
- h. The Level III appeal, issued by the Superintendent will be final and binding and may not be appealed further by either the appellant or authoring administrator
- i. Failure of any party to comply with the requirements of this procedure shall result in a waiver and/or denial of any further appeal.

6.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

☐ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

6.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 29 of 49

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

Evaluator Training

The superintendent will ensure that all evaluators including lead evaluators, evaluators, and independent evaluators (the district will not use peer evaluators) will be trained and certified in accordance with the regulation. The district will utilize BOCES provided training for all evaluators in accordance with SED procedures and processes. All evaluator training will include training on the required components identified in Regents Rule 30-3.10. The superintendent will initially certify all evaluators upon completion of training and will recertify annually upon completion of retraining. The superintendent will ensure that all evaluators participate in initial training of 3 hours as described above. Training for recertification will be ongoing for a minimum of 10 hours annually via Administrative Cabinet meetings, Individual Superintendent/Lead Evaluator meetings and BOCES/Regional trainings ensuring inter rater reliability. Any evaluator who fails to achieve required training, certification or recertification, as applicable, shall not conduct or complete evaluations.

6.7) Assurances: Teacher Evaluation

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☑ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide teachers whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

6.8) Assurances: Assessments

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- Assure that, where applicable, if your district/BOCES has indicated that more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

6.9) Assurances: Data

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 30 of 49

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

- Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores and ratings for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☑ Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 31 of 49

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Task 7) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

- (A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

7.1) State-Provided Measures of Student Growth (Original)

For principals with at least 30% of their students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model. Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth measure, (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.). For principals where less than 30% of their students are covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principals shall have an SLO consistent with a goal setting process determined or developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score; provided that for any grade-level/course that ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO. (See Guidance for more detail on principals with State-provided measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those principals who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that principal.

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where State-provided growth measures will apply beginning in the 2019-20 school year (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 7-12, 9-12). For each configuration, also indicate assessment(s) used for the back-up SLO beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) assessment(s)
Grades K-6 Building	K		NYS Grade 4 ELA, NYS Grade 5 ELA, NYS Grade 6 ELA, NYS Grade 4 Math, NYS Grade 5 Math, NYS Grade 6 Math
Grades 7-12 Building	7	12	All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 32 of 49

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs beginning in the 2019-20 school year for each grade configuration listed. The SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

Grade From	Grade To	State or Regents Assessment(s)
4	6	☑ Grade 4 ELA
7		☑ Grade 5 ELA
		☑ Grade 6 ELA
		☑ Grade 4 Math
		☑ Grade 5 Math
		☑ Grade 6 Math
7	12	☑ Grade 7 ELA
		☑ Grade 8 ELA
		☑ Grade 7 Math
		☑ Grade 8 Math
		☑ All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-
		provided growth score

7.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all principals who receive a State-provided growth score in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that principal.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 33 of 49

SALAMANCA CITY SD

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Tasks 7.2 (SLOs), 7.3-7.6

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

7.2) Student Learning Objectives (Original)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs must be used for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, math, and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the grades/courses covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. The district/BOCES must select the type of assessment that will be used with the SLO from the options below.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

- If any grade/course in the building has a State-provided growth measure AND the principal must have SLOs because fewer than 30% of students in the building are covered, then the SLOs must begin first with the SGP results.
- Additional SLOs must then be set based on grades/subjects with State assessments for which there is no State-provided growth measure, where applicable.
- If additional SLOs are necessary, principals must begin with the grade(s)/courses(s) that have the largest number of students using school-wide student results from one of the following assessment options:
- · State-approved 3rd party assessment; or
- · State-approved district, regionally, or BOCES-developed course-specific assessment.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- · Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: measure used
- Column 4: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) measure	(4) assessment(s)
Grades K-2 Building	К	2	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra, Living Environment, Global 2, US History
Grades 11-12 Building	11	12	Principal-specific results	Common Core English, US History

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for each grade configuration listed. During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, SLOs that use grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments as their underlying assessments must be excluded from the calculation of transition scores and ratings.

Grade From	Grade To	Measure	_	Third Party Assessment(s)
К	3	Principal- specific results	☑ Grade 3 ELA☑ Grade 3 Math	☑ aimsweb

7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective Effective Developing	Ineffective
---------------------------------------	-------------

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 34 of 49

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Tasks 7.2 (SLOs), 7.3-7.6

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100 %	96	92	89	84	79	74	66	59	54	48	43	38	33	28	24	20	16	9- 12 %	5- 8%	0- 4%

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

7.4) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and math grades 4-8.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

7.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the principal has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history.
- oxdot Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a principal's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 7.3, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

7.6) Student Performance Subcomponent Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 35 of 49

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Task 7) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

- (A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, if excluding grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores results in no remaining student performance measures, districts/BOCES must develop alternate SLOs based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance Category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

7.1-7.2) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where alternate SLOs will apply. For each configuration, also indicate the measure and assessment(s) used for the alternate SLO.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: measure used
- Column 4: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) measure	(4) assessment(s)
Grades K-2 Building	K	2	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra, Living Environment, Global 2, US History
Grades 11-12 Building	11	12	Principal-specific results	Common Core English, US History

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLOs during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years for each grade configuration listed. In all other school years, the SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 36 of 49

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Grade From	Grade To	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)			
К	3	District- or BOCES-wide results	 ☑ Grade 4 Science ☑ Grade 8 Science ☑ All Regents given in building/distric t 					
4	6	District- or BOCES-wide results	 ☑ Grade 4 Science ☑ Grade 8 Science ☑ All Regents given in building/distric 					
7	12	District- or BOCES-wide results	 ☑ Grade 4 Science ☑ Grade 8 Science ☑ All Regents given in building/distric t 					

7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly 1	Effectiv	⁄e	Effectiv	ve .		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-		90-		80-	75-	67-	60-	55-	49-	44-	39-	34-	29-	25-	21-	17-	13-	9-	5-	0-
100	96	92	ll .	84		II			54	48	43	38	33	28	24	20	16	12	ľ	4%
%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	070	7.0

7.4) Principals with More than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 37 of 49

Task 8.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Principals) - Task 8.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Task 8) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that a different measure is used than that for the Required subcomponent in the Student Performance category, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

8.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent for Student Performance Measures

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 38 of 49

SALAMANCA CITY SD

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

9.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different grade level configurations or building types.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

9.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable domains will be weighted equally and averaged

9.4) Calculating School Visit Ratings

Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 9.5 below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check the boxes below.

- Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the district's/BOCES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 39 of 49

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating			
	Minimum Maximum			
Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0		
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74		
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74		
I	0	1.49 to 1.74		

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Effective:	2.50	3.49	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Developing:	1.50	2.49	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49	

9.5) Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponents:

- School Visits by Supervisor (s) or other Trained Administrator (s) : At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score
- School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent:

- School Visits by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 40 of 49

^{*} If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee.

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

1	Required - Independent Evaluator(s)	' '	Grade configurations for which Peer Observers will be used
80%	20%	N/A	(No Response)

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- ☑ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

9.7) Number of School Visits

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits for each type of observer in the tables below.

Tenured Principals

	Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits
Unannounced	1	1	0
Announced	1	0	0

Probationary Principals

	' '	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits
Unannounced	1	1	0
Announced	2	0	0

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 41 of 49

Task 10. Overall Scoring (Principals) - Tasks 10.1-10.3

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

10.1) Scoring Ranges

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

Principal School Visit Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating			Overall Schoo Category Sco	l Visit re and Rating
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum
н	18	20	н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	15	17	E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	12	I	0	1.49 to 1.74

10.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

Principal School Visit Category Highly Effective (H) Effective (E)			itegory		
			Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)	
Student Performance	Highly Effective (H)	Н	Н	Е	D
	Effective (E)	Н	Е	Е	D
	Developing (D)	Е	Е	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I

^{*} If a principal is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the principal can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

10.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 42 of 49

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

11.1) Assurances: Improvement Plans

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

appr_11_2_pp_362240342-Principal Improvement Plan 8.24.15.docx

11.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

11.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 43 of 49

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

APPEALS OF INEFFECTIVE AND DEVELOPING RATINGS ONLY

Appeals of annual professional performance reviews will be limited to those that rate a principal as Ineffective or Developing only or where compensation decisions are linked to rating categories.

WHAT MAY BE CHALLENGED IN AN APPEAL:

Appeal procedures will limit the scope of appeals under Education Law §3012-d to the following subjects:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include
- i. the instance of the principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category but rated Highly Effective on the observation/school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally.
- (2) the school district's or BOCES adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d and Sub-part 30-3 of commissioner's regulations;
- (3) the adherence to Commissioner's regulations, as applicable to such reviews and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d; and
- (4) the school district's or BOCES issuance and/or implementation of the terms of principal improvement plan under Education law §3012-d. Purpose of Appeal: The purpose of the internal appeal process is to foster and nurture growth of the professional staff in order to maintain a highly qualified and effective work force. The following appeal process is designed to further this goal. The burden of proof shall be on the appellant (administrator) to establish by the preponderance of the evidence that the administrative effectiveness rating given by the lead evaluator was not justified.
- 8.1.1 Who: Tenured and untenured administrators may utilize the appeal process.
- 8.1.2 Why: Said appeal process shall be available to eligible employees (detailed in 8.1.1) to appeal the administrative effectiveness rating.
- 8.1.3 What: Administrators who receive an overall "Developing" or "Ineffective" rating in the administrative effectiveness rating may initiate an appeal.
- 8.1.4 How:
- 1. All appeals must begin with Level I.
- 2. Governing Body to Adjudicate the Appeal: The governing body shall be defined as the "Appeal Committee" (hereinafter "Committee"). The Committee shall be identified as Level I, Level II and Level III and make up shall be:
- a. Level l
- i. The administrator being evaluated (appellant); and
- ii. The Superintendent (authoring administrator)
- b. Level II
- i. The appellant; and
- ii. One designee from the Association mutually agreed upon by the appellant and the Superintendent
- c. Level III
- i. Is exclusively the Superintendent of Schools.
- d. Level I, II and III APPR Appeal committee meetings shall meet within ten (10) work days from receipt of a written request from the appellant.
- e. The Level I committee shall make a non-binding recommendation to the superintendent to sustain or dismiss the appeal. The Committee shall write up and submit to the appellant, Superintendent, and the Association President within ten (10) work days of its determination the non-binding recommendation on the prescribed form, detailing the opposing conclusions relative to the appellant's claim.
- f. The Level II committee shall make a non-binding recommendation to the Superintendent to sustain or dismiss the appeal. The Committee shall write up and submit to the appellant, Superintendent, and the Association President within ten (10) work days of its determination the non-binding recommendation on the prescribed form, detailing the opposing conclusions relative to the appellant's claim.
- g. The Superintendent shall make the final determination within ten (10) work days from receipt of appeal form on the recommendations and final administrative effectiveness rating. This Level III appeal determination is not subject to the grievance process.
- h. The final composite rating of ineffective or developing will necessitate the implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan.
- 2. Timeline:
- a. The appellant must forward a written evaluation appeal within ten (10) work days of receipt of the final APPR composite rating. Said appeal must

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 44 of 49

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

be submitted in writing on the prescribed form to the Superintendent of Schools and the Association President. (See Appeal form attached). Electronic communications will not be accepted.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

- i. Only the appellant (administrator) may initiate a Level I appeal.
- ii. Only the appellant (administrator) may initiate a Level II appeal.
- iii. Appeals can only be requested by the impacted administrator.
- b. The Superintendent shall hold and convene the Level I or Level II Committee to hold an Appeal Conference within ten (10) workdays of receipt of any appeal.
- c. The Committee shall issue its written recommendations to the appellant, Superintendent, and Association President within ten (10) workdays of the conference. The Level II committee members will determine who shall be responsible for communicating the findings to the required parties.
- 3. Appeal Conferencing:
- a. The Level II committee shall have the right to ask questions of the appellant or evaluating administrator and have the right to request additional information necessary to make an informed decision.
- b. The appeal conferences are closed meetings and only by unanimous decree from the committee may individuals attend or observe the proceedings.
- c. Additional evidence or artifacts may be submitted by the appellant or authoring administrator during the Level I Appeal in support of the appeal.

 Any additional evidence or artifact submitted during a Level I Appeal will be considered as part of the record and will be used in the determination to sustain or dismiss at Levels I, II or III of the appeal process.
- d. No additional evidence or artifacts will be accepted after the expiration of the Level I appeal timeframe.
- 4. Committee Findings:
- a. APPR Administrative Total Composite Ratings may be appealed pursuant to the New York State 3012-d regulations.
- b. The Committee is authorized to make non-binding recommendations only related to formal evaluation where an ineffective or developing rating occurred. The ability to make recommendations relative to a specific ineffective or developing rating of the evaluation does not negate the fact that the evaluation was completed in a timely manner nor can the committee at anytime lower a section rating.
- c. The committee (Level I or II) will issue a written statement within ten (10) work days from receipt of appeal form, detailing the final determination of the appeal to the Superintendent, Association President, and the appellant.
- d. The appellant may at his/her discretion based upon the committee's recommendations/decisions ruling initiate further appeals within ten (10) work days from receipt of decision.
- e. Within ten (10) work days, from the receipt of the written determination of the committee's (Level II) recommendation, the appellant may appeal the committee's decision for a final adjudication to the Superintendent (Level III appeal).
- f. The Level III appeal to the Superintendent must be in written form and received no later than ten (10) work days from the receipt of the Level II committee's recommendation.
- g. The Superintendent has sole authority to dismiss or affirm the appeal committee's recommendation in part or in whole within ten (10) work days from receipt of Level II recommendation form.
- h. If the Superintendent affirms or rejects the final appeal, within ten (10) work days from receipt of Level II recommendation form, a written statement will be issued to the appellant and authoring administrator outlining the appropriate remedy.
- i. The Level III appeal, issued by the Superintendent will be final and binding and may not be appealed further by either the appellant or authoring administrator.
- j. Failure of any party to comply with the requirements of this procedure shall result in a waiver and/or denial of any further appeal and shall result in a favorable ruling for the impacted party.
- $k.\ A\ final\ composite\ rating\ of\ ineffective\ or\ developing\ will\ necessitate\ the\ implementation\ of\ a\ Principal\ Improvement\ Plan.$

11.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

☐ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 45 of 49

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

11.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

Evaluator Training

The superintendent will ensure that all evaluators including lead evaluators, evaluators, and independent evaluators (the district will not use peer evaluators) will be trained and certified in accordance with the regulation. The district will utilize BOCES provided training for all evaluators in accordance with SED procedures and processes. All evaluator training will include training on the required components identified in Regents Rule 30-3.10. The superintendent will initially certify all evaluators upon completion of training and will recertify annually upon completion of retraining. The superintendent will ensure that all evaluators participate in initial training of 3 hours as described above. Training for recertification will be ongoing for a minimum of 10 hours annually via Administrative Cabinet meetings, Individual Superintendent/Lead Evaluator meetings and BOCES/Regional trainings ensuring inter rater reliability. Any evaluator who fails to achieve required training, certification or recertification, as applicable, shall not conduct or complete evaluations.

11.7) Assurances: Principal Evaluation

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such principals with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

11.8) Assurances: Assessments

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 46 of 49

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- Assure that, where applicable, if your district/BOCES has indicated that more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

11.9) Assurances Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores and ratings for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- Assure that enrolled students in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 47 of 49

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 12/15/2016

Task 12) Upload APPR District Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

SalamancaCert12_13.pdf

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 48 of 49

Additional Documents - Additional Documents

Page Last Modified: 12/13/2016

Additional Documents

The Department will not review any documents other than those required in the online form (Tasks 1-12).

Any additional documents supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional documents have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

Status Date: 12/15/2016 09:24 AM

Upload Documents

Administrators Appeals Form.docx Teachers Appeals Form.docx

12/15/2016 09:26 AM Page 49 of 49

Salamanca City Central School District Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP)

The sole purpose of the TIP is the improvement of teaching practice. The goal is to provide resources and support for teachers who have been rated as "developing" or "ineffective." The evaluator and teacher will jointly determine the strategies to be undertaken to correct the deficiencies.

When a TIP is created between a teacher, a union representative, and an administrator, an agreed upon time frame will be established. An improvement plan defines specific standards-based goals that a teacher must make progress toward attaining within a specific period of time, such as a 12-month period, and may include the identification of areas that need improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiate, differentiated activities to support improvement in these areas.

The plan should clearly describe the professional learning activities that the educator must complete. These activities should be connected directly to the areas needing improvement. The artifacts that the teacher must produce that can serve as benchmarks of their improvement plan should be described and could include items such as lessons, student work, or unit plans. The supervisor must clearly state in the plan the additional support and assistance that the educator will receive. In the final stage of the improvement plan, the teacher should meet with their supervisor to review the plan in order to provide a final, summative rating for the teacher or principal.

Teacher	
Grade/Subject	
Evaluator	
[Teacher Association Representative_]
Date	

List the area(s) needing improvement. If there are several, indicate the priority order for addressing them

Priority	Area needing improvement	Performance goal

Describe the plan for improvement with specific, measurable objectives, timeline and process the teacher must meet in order to achieve an effective rating.

Describe the professional development opportunities, materials, resources and supports the District will make available.

Assignment of a Name of Mento		•			
The teacher, ev requested by th appropriateness Based on the ou	e teacher) shal s of the TIP in as	I meet sisting the teac	to assess her to achieve	the effectivene the goals set fo	ess and orth in the TIP.
Evaluator's Sign Date					
Teacher's Signa Date					
Meeting Dates					
Evaluator Comr	nents		Meeting Da	ite	
Teacher Comm	ents				
Evaluator Comr	ments		Meeting Da	ite	
Teacher Comm	ents				

Evaluator Comments	Meeting Date
Teacher Comments	
Evaluator Comments	Meeting Date
Teacher Comments	Recommendation for Results of TIP
	met the performance goals identified through the TIP. not met the performance goals.
Next Steps	
Evaluator's Signature _ Date	
Teacher's Signature Date	

Teacher's signature does not constitute agreement but merely signifies s/he has examined and discussed the materials with the evaluator. Teachers shall have the right to insert written explanation or response to written feedback of the evaluator within 10 days, which may be considered during the Appeals process.

Salamanca City Central School District Principal Improvement Plan

Principal's Name:		
Area(s) of Improvement:		
Timeline for Achieving Improvement:		
Manner in Which Improvement will be Asse	essed:	
Differentiated Activities to Support Improve	ement:	
Principal's Name	Signature	 Date
Administrator's Name	Signature	

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-d as implemented by Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The district/BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, transition scores and ratings will be calculated for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 English Language Arts (ELA) and Math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores; that the district/BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures in their approved APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents during the transition period; and that original APPR scores and ratings will be provided for advisory purposes only, and will have no impact on employment decisions, including tenure determinations, or teacher and principal improvement plans.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11), as added by Chapter 56 of the Laws of 2015.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the overall transition rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES will continue to provide teachers
 and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures described in this APPR plan
 without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, original APPR scores and ratings will not be used as the basis for employment decisions and will only be used for advisory purposes;
- Assure that beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, the original overall APPR score pursuant to the district or BOCES
 approved APPR plan shall be used as the basis for employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher
 and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES, shall provide teachers and
 principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math
 State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings calculated
 pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September
 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers and principals with their original composite APPR rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall continue to provide teachers
 and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are not based on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State
 assessments or State-provided growth scores with their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if

available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of their annual professional performance reviews, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured, and that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured

- Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured;
- Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the district or BOCES shall compute and provide to the
 teacher/principal their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher
 Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance
 review, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured,
 but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's or
 principal's performance is measured;
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the district office and made available to the public on the district's or BOCES' website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school year, the district or BOCES will continue to report both the original and transition individual category and subcomponent scores and the overall original and transition ratings to the State for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, any educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective
 rating as their overall transition rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in
 accordance with all applicable statues and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year in
 which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, any educator who receives a Developing or Ineffective rating on their original overall rating pursuant to this APPR plan will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year for which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter:
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of
 their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the
 Civil Service Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations;
- Assure that the district or BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and
 regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the district/BOCES;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits;
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the district and BOCES shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;
- Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES must be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator;
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of
 each school year, on a form prescribed by the Commissioner, to the Commissioner for approval;
- Assure that the alternate SLOs described in Tasks 2 and 7 of this APPR plan will be used as the basis for certain teachers' and principals' transition APPR scores and ratings, where applicable and consistent with section 30-3.17 of

- the Rules of the Board Regents, during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years only;
- Assure that, beginning in the 2019-20 school year, no transition scores and ratings will be generated and the district or BOCES' original APPR Plan will apply to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the statute, regulations, and SED guidance without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements as a result of the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by
 State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the
 minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does
 not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time
 devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not
 be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall
 not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to
 supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to
 English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates				
Superintendent Signature:	Date:			
((and) (n)	12/13/16			
Teachers Union President Signature:	Date:			
Paris Cutt	12/13/16			
Administrative Union President Signature: Date:				
how bull	1 12/13/16			
Board of Education President Signature:	Date:			
Musy Aho	12/13/16			
	, , ,			