



Understanding the CRQ

Document 1
Question 1
Historical/Geographic Context
[May be a primary or secondary source]



The response to question 1 requires the student to include historical circumstances **OR** to include geographic context.

Document 2
Questions 2a and 2b
Sourcing
[Will most likely be a primary source]



The response to question 2a provides the opportunity for students to address

- Bias **OR**
- Point of View **OR**
- Audience **OR**
- Purpose

The response to question 2b provides the opportunity for students to address reliability for a specific use.

Documents 1 and 2
Question 3
Relationship between Document 1 & Document 2
[Synthesis]

The response to question 3 will be based on relationships between documents 1 and 2 allowing students to identify and explain these relationships:

- Identify and Explain a **Cause-and-Effect** relationship between events, ideas, or historical developments
- Identify a **Turning Point** associated with the historic change that took place in the period between the documents AND Explain why it is a turning point
- Identify a **Similarity** or a **Difference** between XXX and YYY AND Explain why it is a similarity or a difference

The response to question 3 **must** include evidence from **both** documents 1 and 2.

Understanding the CRQ

Short-Answer Constructed-Response Question (CRQ) Set Types

Always	One of the Following	
Causation: Cause & Effect	Turning Point	Comparison: Similarities & Differences
<p>Student uses information from Document 1 to respond.</p> <p>Question 1 — Historical/geographic context: Requires response to address the historical circumstances <i>or</i> geographic context [1]</p>	<p>Student uses information from Document 1 to respond.</p> <p>Question 1 — Historical/geographic context: Requires response to address the historical circumstances <i>or</i> geographic context [1]</p>	<p>Student uses information from Document 1 to respond.</p> <p>Question 1 — Historical/geographic context: Requires response to address the historical circumstances <i>or</i> geographic context [1]</p>
<p>Student uses information from Document 2 to respond.</p> <p>Question 2a — Requires response to provide information about bias, point of view, audience, <i>or</i> purpose [1]</p> <p>Question 2b — Requires response to address the reliability of the document for a specific use [1]</p>	<p>Student uses information from Document 2 to respond.</p> <p>Question 2a — Requires response to provide information about bias, point of view, audience, <i>or</i> purpose [1]</p> <p>Question 2b — Requires response to address the reliability of the document for a specific use [1]</p>	<p>Student uses information from Document 2 to respond.</p> <p>Question 2a — Requires response to provide information about bias, point of view, audience, <i>or</i> purpose [1]</p> <p>Question 2b — Requires response to address the reliability of the document for a specific use [1]</p>
<p>Student uses information from Documents 1 & 2 to respond.</p> <p>Question 3 — Requires response to identify a cause-and-effect relationship between events, ideas, or historical developments [1]</p>	<p>Student uses information from Documents 1 & 2 to respond.</p> <p>Question 3a — Requires response to identify a turning point associated with the events, ideas, or historical developments [1]</p> <p>Question 3b — Requires response to explain why the events, ideas, or historical developments are considered a turning point [1]</p>	<p>Student uses information from Documents 1 & 2 to respond.</p> <p>Question 3a — Requires response to identify a similarity or a difference between the events, ideas, or historical developments [1]</p> <p>Question 3b — Requires response to explain a similarity or a difference between the events, ideas, or historical developments [1]</p>
4 Points	5 Points	5 Points

Understanding the CRQ

Questions 1, 2, and 3 of CRQ

Identify—means to put a name to or to name.

Explain—means to make plain or understandable; to give reasons for or causes of; to show the logical development or relationship of something.

Each examination will include two CRQs: one Cause-and-Effect and EITHER a Turning Point OR a Similarity/Difference CRQ.

Question 1

- **Historical Context**—refers to the historical circumstances that led to this event/idea/historical development.
- **Geographic Context**—refers to where this historical development/event is taking place and why it is taking place there.

The response to the context question will not usually come directly from the document, but it will be related to information in the document.

Question 2

- **Reliability**—is determined by how accurate and useful the information found in a source is for a specific purpose.

The question will always be about reliability, but the structure and intent of the question will vary. The question is intentionally designed to align with the specific source provided.

Question 3—Causation

- **Cause**—refers to something that contributes to the occurrence of an event, the rise of an idea, or the bringing about of a development.
- **Effect**—refers to what happens as a consequence (result, impact, outcome) of an event, an idea, or a development.

The response will need to identify and explain a cause-and-effect relationship using evidence from *both* documents 1 and 2. The explanation should make clear what the cause is and what the effect is.

Understanding the CRQ

Question 3—Turning Point

Questions 3a and 3b

- **Turning point**—is a major event, idea, or historical development that brings about significant change. It can be local, regional, national, or global.

Responses will need to both identify the turning point **and** explain why it is a turning point. The explanation **must** include evidence from **both** documents 1 and 2.

Scoring Note: If a response provides a correct explanation for question 3b, the response is awarded one credit even if the explanation is not tied to the turning point identified in question 3a.

Question 3—Comparison

Questions 3a and 3b

- **Similarity**—tells how something is alike or the same as something else.
- **Difference**—tells how something is not alike or not the same as something else.

Responses will need to clearly identify a similarity or a difference **and** explain that similarity or difference using evidence from **both** documents 1 and 2.

Scoring Note: If a response provides a correct explanation for question 3b, the response is awarded one credit even if the explanation is not tied to the similarity or difference identified in question 3a.

Scoring information for Question 3b:

For **turning point** and **similarity/difference** CRQs, an answer for 3b is incorrect if it

- only identifies and does not explain
- is not using evidence related to information in both documents
- provides incorrect information
- does not answer the question

Scoring:

1. **3a is correct; 3b is correct but unrelated to 3a = one credit for each question**
2. **3a is correct; 3b is correct and related to 3a = one credit for each question**
3. **3a is incorrect; 3b is correct = one credit for 3b**
4. **3a is correct; 3b is incorrect = one credit for 3a**

Understanding the CRQ

Sources and Evidence

Questions 1, 2 and 3 of the CRQ

Primary Source: For historians, primary sources are materials from the time period being studied. These original documents offer the freshness that comes from direct personal observation, but lack the benefit that only comes from hindsight. These materials include letters, speeches, diaries, newspaper articles, oral history interviews, documents, photographs, and artifacts. They can also include less obvious sources (songs, plays, poems, advertisements, survey data, legal documents, and financial documents) as long as they come directly from the time period in question and provide relevant historical evidence.

Secondary Source: For historians, secondary sources are works of synthesis, analysis, and interpretation based on primary sources as well as the work of other authors. Some examples include textbooks, history books, scholarly journal articles, biographies, and encyclopedias. Secondary sources are interpretive works created or written *after* the time period being studied and have the benefit of hindsight, but lack the benefit of immediacy.

Considerations when using historical sources:

- Meanings of words sometimes change over time.
- Values can be different in different time periods as well as in different cultures.

Document 1 may be a primary source or a secondary source.

Document 2 will most likely be a primary source.

Analysis of Sources

Questions 2a and 2b of the CRQ

Evidence: Evidence refers to information or details from a source that can be used for a specific purpose, such as drawing a conclusion or formulating an argument.

Determining evidence from a source:

- Is based on the interpretation of the source(s)
- Is based on the examination/questioning of sources to determine/judge/interpret if a source is authentic, if it is biased, if it is accurate, and therefore if it is reliable

Evidence from a source can be used to support, extend, or challenge a historical argument. Evidence and sources are NOT the same thing. Evidence, like sources, needs to be analyzed and evaluated.

- All evidence is not of equal validity.
- All valid evidence is not of equal relevance.
- All valid relevant evidence is not of equal significance.

Understanding the CRQ

Question 2a of CRQ

Bias: Bias refers to one-sidedness. It always implies the opposite of objectivity. Instead of presenting facts in a neutral way, without inserting one's particular slant or opinion, bias is usually expressed in one of several ways:

- Through the use of “loaded” language, including appeals to emotion, exaggeration, or propaganda designed to frame a person, event, group, or institution in an overly positive or overly negative manner, e.g., the wicked, barbaric soldiers who rampage the countryside, wantonly destroying the property of innocent, unsuspecting civilians
- Through the deliberate inclusion or deliberate exclusion of certain facts to support a particular interpretation, including a lack of balance or an argument where only one side is presented and specific details are overemphasized, downplayed, or omitted
- Through character attacks and slurs, including subjective statements against a particular race, nation, or group within a society

An author may have a reason for being one-sided. Bias may result from limited access to information, unquestioned traditions, and/or life experiences. Unreasoned judgment or a prejudiced outlook can produce bias. Bias may be indicated by knowledge about the background of the author who may have a specific point of view: political, economic, social, religious, or moral.

Being biased does not limit the value of a source; however, it does affect how evidence from the biased source is used.

Scoring Note: When answering question 2a, a response cannot simply state the author is biased. It must explain the answer by stating which part of the story the author left out or what the author inaccurately reported.

Point of View: Point of view is an opinion. Historians use point of view *differently* than English teachers who define point of view as first person, second person, and third person.

Difference between point of view and bias: Point of view and bias exist on a spectrum between objectivity and subjectivity. An author may express an objective point of view on an issue in a balanced way OR may express an opinion that shows bias by providing unreasoned or poorly supported beliefs and/or strong personal feelings.

Audience: Audience refers to the group for whom a given document or source was produced or intended. When thinking about audience ask:

- Why does this work/document exist?
- Who was the author thinking would receive this work/document?
- Does the author of the work/document indicate who the intended audience is?

Purpose: Purpose refers to the reason a record, document, or source was produced.

When thinking about purpose ask:

- Why does this work/document exist?
- Why did the author create this work/document?
- What is the intent of this work/document?

Understanding the CRQ

Question 2b of CRQ

Reliability: Reliability in history refers to how accurate and useful a source is for a specific purpose. No source is necessarily reliable or unreliable for every purpose. A source such as Paul Revere’s engraving of the Boston Massacre may be unreliable for telling the *facts* about the Boston Massacre but it could be reliable for telling about what the Sons of Liberty *thought* about that event.

Reliability is influenced by factors that include authenticity, bias, point of view, fact and opinion, and accuracy of facts.

Scoring Note: There are two components to the reliability answer. Responses must identify the extent to which a source provides reliable evidence (an evaluation of the source stating the source is reliable, somewhat reliable, or unreliable) **and** they also must explain the reasoning behind the evaluation provided for this source. To earn credit, **both** components must be present in the response.

The graphic organizer below is a possible teaching tool for use in the classroom. This graphic organizer will *not* appear on the actual examination. This teaching tool may be used to help students identify the extent to which the source is reliable. A student could circle one of these options on the graphic organizer and then explain their answer on the lines provided.


Reliable ←————— I —————→ Unreliable
Somewhat reliable

Explain the reasoning behind the evaluation of reliability circled above.

Understanding the CRQ

Some documents will include background/contextual information. If included, this information will appear above the document and should be considered as part of the document. Sourcing citation information will always appear below the document and should be considered as part of the document.

Example of the layout for a document:



Be sure all parts of the document: background/context, content of the document, and sourcing citation are carefully read before formulating answers to the questions in Part II, CRQ.

Understanding the CRQ

References:

- Bailyn, Bernard. *On the Teaching and Writing of History: Responses to a Series of Questions*, Edward Connery Lathem, ed., Chanhassen, MN, Montgomery Press, 1994.
- Barzun, Jacques and Henry F. Graf. *The Modern Researcher*, 3rd edition, New York, Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1977.
- Collingwood, R.G. "What is History?" Susan Miller, ed., *The Written World. Reading and Writing in Social Contexts*, New York, Harper, 1989 (336-341).
- Enright, Kerry, "Why Did It Happen? Making Claims about Cause and Effect," National History Education Clearinghouse, <http://teachinghistory.org/teaching-materials/english-language-learners/24142>.
- Hinton, Chris. *What is Evidence?*, London, John Murray, 1990.
- "Learning about cause and consequence," The Critical Thinking Consortium, 2014, www.tc2.ca.
- Sieborger, Rob, Gail Weldon, and Chris Hinton. *What is Evidence? South Africa During the Years of Apartheid: A Skills-based Approach to Secondary History*, Cape Town, South Africa, Francolin Publishers, 1996.
- Storey, William Kelleher. *Writing History: A Guide for Students*, 4th edition, New York, Oxford University Press, 2013.
- *Writing For Social Studies*, Evanston, Illinois, McDougal Littell, 1998.