

STUDENT ASSESSMENTS AND ASSOCIATED GROWTH MODELS FOR TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL EVALUATION

PUBLICLY AVAILABLE SERVICES SUMMARY

This form will be posted on the New York State Education Department's Web site and distributed through other means for all applications that are approved in conjunction with this RFQ to allow districts and BOCES to understand proposed offerings in advance of directly contacting Assessment Providers regarding potential further procurements.

Assessment Provider Information			
Name of Assessment Provider:	Wantagh UFSD		
Assessment Provider Contact Information:	John McNamara 516-679-6302		
Name of Assessment:	SLO Assessments Final Exams		
Nature of Assessment:	ASSESSMENT FOR USE WITH STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES WITH A TARGET SETTING MODEL; OR		
	 SUPPLEMENTAL ASSESSMENT WITH AN ASSOCIATED GROWTH MODEL: GAIN SCORE MODEL GROWTH-TO-PROFICIENCY MODEL STUDENT GROWTH PERCENTILES PROJECTION MODELS VALUE-ADDED MODELS OTHER: 		
What are the grade(s) for which the	K-12		
assessment can be used to			
generate a 0-20 APPR score?			
What are the subject area(s) for which the assessment can be used to generate a 0-20 APPR score?	ELA/Reading Courses Not Ending in a NYS Assessment Math Courses Not Ending in a NYS Assessment Science Courses Not Ending in a NYS Assessment Social Studies Courses Not Ending in a NYS Assessment World Language Courses Not Ending in a NYS Assessment FACS Art and Music Courses Business Courses Technology Courses Physical Education and Health Courses		
What are the technology requirements associated with the assessment?	None		
Is the assessment available, either for free or through purchase, to other districts or BOCES in New	☐ YES ⊠ NO		
York State?			

Please provide an overview of the assessment for districts and BOCES. Please include:

- A description of the assessment;
- A description of how the assessment is administered;
- A description of how scores are reported (include links to sample reports as appropriate);
- A description of how the Assessment Provider supports implementation of the assessment, including any technical assistance. (3 pages max)

Final exam for the course to be used as the SLO Assessment. It is administered during class and multiple choice are graded by Scantron. Written responses are graded by different teachers. Scores are reported to parents through a parent portal in eSchool, our student management system.

Please provide an overview of the student-level growth model or target setting model for SLOs for districts and BOCES, along with how student-level growth scores are aggregated to the create teacher-level scores, and how those teacher-level scores are converted to New York State's 0-20 metric.

For the purpose of creating a baseline, previous academic data based on student performance on State assessments and local assessment data will be utilized. Targets will be set for students based on their previous performance. Teachers are rated Highly Effective, Effective, Developing or Ineffective based on the calculation of the percentage of students that meet these targets using a 0-20 scale with 90%-100% (18-20 points) Highly Effective, 75%-89% (15-17 points) Effective, 60%-74% (13-14 points) Developing and 0% - 59% (0-12 points) Ineffective.

New York State Next Generation Assessment Priorities			
	Please provide detail on how the proposed supplemental assessment I or assessment to be		
used with SLOs addresses each of the	used with SLOs addresses each of the Next Generation Assessment Priorities below.		
Characteristics of Good ELA and	All assessments are based on the New York State		
Math Assessments (only	Learning Standards.		
applicable to ELA and math			
assessments):			
Assessments Woven Tightly Into	The assessments are reviewed by the department		
the Curriculum:	administrators to make sure they are aligned to the		
	learning standards and woven into the curriculum to		
	represent authentic and engaging tasks.		
Performance Assessment:	Whenever possible students are asked to perform		
	authentic tasks.		
Efficient Time-Saving	The assessments are part of the course of study and not		
Assessments:	additional exams being given.		
Technology:	Technology is used as needed and appropriate.		
Degree to which the growth			
model must differentiate across			
New York State's four levels of			
teacher effectiveness (only			
applicable to supplemental			
assessments):			



STUDENT ASSESSMENTS FOR TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL EVALUATION



APPLICANT CERTIFICATION FORM –ASSESSMENTS FOR USE WITH STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

Please read each of the items below and check the corresponding box to ensure the fulfillment of the technical criteria.

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE "FORM H" FOR EACH APPLICANT. CO-APPLICANTS SHOULD SUBMIT SEPARATE FORMS.

The Applicant makes the following assurances:

Assurance	Check
The assessment is rigorous, meaning that it is aligned to the New York State learning standards or, in instances where there are no such learning standards that apply to a subject/grade level, alignment to research-based learning standards.	each box:
To the extent practicable, the assessment must be valid and reliable as defined by the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	
The assessment can be used to measure one year's expected growth for individual students.	
For K-2 assessments, the assessment is not a "Traditional Standardized Assessment" as defined in Section 1.3 of this RFQ.	
For assessments previously used under Education Law §3012-c, the assessment results in differentiated student-level performance. If the assessment has not produced differentiated results in prior school years, the applicant assures that the lack of differentiation is justified by equivalently consistent student results based on other measures of student achievement.	
For assessments not previously used in teacher/principal evaluation, the applicant has a plan for collecting evidence of differentiated student results such that the evidence will be available by the end of each school year.	
At the end of each school year, the applicant will collect evidence demonstrating that the assessment has produced differentiated student-level results and will provide such evidence to the Department upon request. ⁴	

Page 47 of 52

⁴ Please note, pursuant to Section 2.3 of this RFQ, an assessment may be removed from the approved list if such assessment does not comply with one or more of the criteria for approval set forth in this RFQ

To be completed by the Copyright Owner/Assessment Representative of the assessment being proposed and, where necessary, the co-applicant LEA:

Wantagh UFSD 1. Name of Organization (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	4. Signature of Authorized Representative
Maureen Goldberg 2. Name of Authorized Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	(PLEASE USE BLUE INK) 5/10/16 5. Date Signed
Superintendent of Schools 3. Title of Authorized Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	
1. Name of LEA (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	4. Signature of School Representative (PLEASE USE BLUE INK)

	(PLEASE USE BLUE INK)
2. School Representative's Name (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	5. Date Signed
3. Title of School Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	