



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

---

Commissioner of Education  
President of the University of the State of New York  
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111  
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov  
Twitter: @NYSEDNews  
Tel: (518) 474-5844  
Fax: (518) 473-4909

June 15, 2016

**Revised**

Dr. Colleen Taggerty, Superintendent  
Olean City School District  
410 West Sullivan Street  
Olean, NY 14760

Dear Superintendent Taggerty:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

MaryEllen Elia  
Commissioner

Attachment

c: Lynda Quick

**NOTE:**

Pursuant to sections 30-2.14 and 30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must calculate transition scores and ratings for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 ELA and math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores. For the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must establish alternate SLOs for affected teachers and principals who, as a result of the above exclusions, have no remaining measures in the Student Performance Category.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

## Task 1. School District Information - Tasks 1.1, 1.2

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

**Task 1) Disclaimers**

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

The Department will review the contents of each school district's/BOCES' Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's/BOCES' plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from a district/BOCES to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. As such, each district/BOCES is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of a district's/BOCES' plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

**1.1) Assurances****Please check all of the boxes below**

- Assure that the content of this form represents the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that a detailed version of the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district/BOCES website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.
- Assure that it is understood that this district's/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.

**1.2) Submission Status**

**Is this a first-time submission under Education Law §3012-d or the submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d?**

First-time submission under Education Law §3012-d

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

## Task 2) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

*100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.*

**(A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher’s students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.**

**(B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher’s students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.**

### 2.1) Assurances

*Please note: NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math Assessments and State-provided growth scores cannot be used for the purposes of providing transition scores and ratings during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, and should be used for advisory purposes only until the 2019-20 school year. Alternate SLOs to be used during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 transition period should be entered in Task 2 (Transition).*

**Please check the boxes below.**

- Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all 4-8 ELA and Math teachers in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that teacher.
- For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for any grade/subject that requires a back-up SLO, but for which there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a teacher-specific SLO from being created, the superintendent or another trained administrator shall develop a school-wide back-up SLO using available State/Regents assessments.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

### 2.2) Grades 4-8 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

#### STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a growth score and rating. That rating will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and takes into consideration students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided scores and ratings, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided growth measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score and rating from the State for the full Student Performance category of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Student Performance category of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided growth measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those teachers who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that teacher.

**Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs for the grade/subject listed beginning in the 2019-20 school year.**

	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math
State Assessment	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

	<b>Grade 5 ELA</b>	<b>Grade 5 Math</b>
State Assessment	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math

	<b>Grade 6 ELA</b>	<b>Grade 6 Math</b>
State Assessment	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math

	<b>Grade 7 ELA</b>	<b>Grade 7 Math</b>
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math

	<b>Grade 8 ELA</b>	<b>Grade 8 Math</b>
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 8 ELA	Grade 8 Math and Common Core Algebra

## Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.3 (3 ELA/Math), 2.4 (4/8 SCI)

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

### 2.3) Grade 3 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

**STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams**

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

**For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:**

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

**Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.**

	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math
State Assessment	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math

### 2.4) Grades 4 and 8 Science: Assessments (Original)

**STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams**

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

**For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:**

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

**Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.**

	Grade 4 Science	Grade 8 Science
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 4 Science	Grade 8 Science

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

## 2.5) High School Courses Ending in a Regents Exam: Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

### STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

**For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:**

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

**Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.**

	<b>Global 2</b>	<b>US History</b>
Regents Assessment	Global 2	US History

	<b>Living Environment</b>	<b>Earth Science</b>	Chemistry	Physics
Regents Assessment	Living Environment	Earth Science	Chemistry	Physics

	<b>Algebra I</b>	<b>Geometry</b>	<b>Algebra II/Trigonometry</b>
Regents Assessment(s)	Common Core Algebra	Common Core Geometry	Common Core Algebra II

## 2.6) High School English Language Arts Courses: Measures and Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school English Language Arts courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

### STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: High School English Language Arts

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

**For high school English Language Arts, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:**

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required in at least one year of high school English Language Arts

*For grade levels where the Regents exam is not used:*

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

**Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the**

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
9 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History		
10 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History		
11 ELA	Teacher-specific results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English		
12 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History		



**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)

---

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

**2.7)Grades K-2 ELA and Math: Measures and Assessments (Original)****STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams**For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

**For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:**

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

**Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.**

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Grade 3 ELA</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History</li> </ul>		
K Math	District- or BOCES-wide results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 3 Math</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History</li> </ul>		
1 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 3 ELA</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History</li> </ul>		
1 Math	District- or BOCES-wide results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 3 Math</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History</li> </ul>		
2 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 3 ELA</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History</li> </ul>		
2 Math	District- or BOCES-wide results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 3 Math</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History</li> </ul>		

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

---

**2.8)Grades 6-7 Science and Grades 6-8 Social Studies: Measures and Assessments (Original)****STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams**For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

**For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:**

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

**Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.**

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
6 Science	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History		
7 Science	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History		
6 Social Studies	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History		
7 Social Studies	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History		
8 Social Studies	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History		

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

**2.9) Regents Global Studies 1: Measure and Assessment(s) (Original)**

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the “All Other Courses” section of this form (Task 2.10).

**STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams**

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

**For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:**

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

**Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for Global Studies 1.**

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
Global 1	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History		

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

## 2.10) All Other Courses (Original)

### STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

**For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:**

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Fill in the following, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have SLOs (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

- **Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course**
- **Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course**
- **Column 3: subject of the course**
- **Column 4: measure used**
- **Columns 5-6: assessment(s) used**

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-6) assessment(s)
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra
K-3 Art	K	3	Art	Teacher-specific results	Questar III BOCES
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives	School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results	All Regents given in building/district

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

Grade From	Grade To	Subject	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History		

## 2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
------------------	-----------	------------	-------------

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

**2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)**

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one 0-20 score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Common branch teacher with State-provided growth measures for both ELA and Math in grade 4; middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

**2.13) Assurances**

For guidance on SLOs and the development of back-up SLOs, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

**Please check the boxes below.**

- Assure that the teacher has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 2.11, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

**2.14) Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting**

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

**Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.**

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

## Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

### Task 2) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

*The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.*

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations>.

**100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.**

(A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher’s students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher’s students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

**During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, grades 3-8 NYS ELA/math assessments and any State-provided growth scores may only be used for advisory purposes and may not be used for the purpose of calculating transition scores and ratings.**

If grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores are the entirety of the Student Performance category, districts/BOCES must also develop an alternate SLO based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or on State-provided growth scores for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

### 2.2-2.10) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

**Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLO during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, then indicate the applicable courses.**

Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)	Applicable Course(s)
District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> K ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> K Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8 Math

### 2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
------------------	-----------	------------	-------------



**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

**2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Transition)**

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO

Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 3.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Teachers) - Task 3.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

**Task 3) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent**

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

*Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.*

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the Required subcomponent, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

**3.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent of the Student Performance Category**

**Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.**

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

## Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

### 4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.
NYSUT Teacher Practice Rubric	(No Response)

### 4.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

### 4.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All negotiated observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

### 4.4) Calculating Observation Ratings

#### Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 4.5 below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please check the box below in the event that your district/BOCES is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department.

- Assure that if the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator.

#### Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
<b>H</b>	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
<b>E</b>	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
<b>D</b>	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
<b>I</b>	0	1.49 to 1.74

**HEDI Ranges**

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

**4.5) Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting**

**Required Subcomponents:**

- Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators: At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score
- Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)\*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

**Optional Subcomponent:**

- Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

\* If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator.

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

Required - Principal/ Administrator	Required - Independent Evaluator(s)	Optional - Peer Observer(s)	<b>Grades and subjects for which Peer Observers will be used</b>
90%	10%	N/A	(No Response)

4.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these teacher(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness.
- Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

4.7) Number and Method of Observations

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations for each type of observer, as well as the method of observation, in the tables below.

Tenured Teachers

	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Observation method	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method
Unannounced	0	N/A	1	In person	0	N/A
Announced	1	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A

Probationary Teachers

	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Observation method	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method
Unannounced	1	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A
Announced	1	In person	1	In person	0	N/A

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

## Task 5. Overall Scoring (Teachers) - Tasks 5.1-5.3

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

### 5.1) Scoring Ranges

#### Student Performance

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

#### Teacher Observation

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating			Overall Observation Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum
<b>H</b>	18	20	<b>H</b>	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
<b>E</b>	15	17	<b>E</b>	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
<b>D</b>	13	14	<b>D</b>	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
<b>I</b>	0	12	<b>I</b>	0	1.49 to 1.74

### 5.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

		Teacher Observation Category			
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
Student Performance Category	Highly Effective (H)	H	H	E	D
	Effective (E)	H	E	E	D
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I

\* If a teacher is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the teacher can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

### 5.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same school district who have received Ineffective ratings under Education Law §3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the district has received a waiver from the Department.

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

## Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

**6.1) Assurances: Teacher Improvement Plans**

**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

**6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms**

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed;and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

**As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.**

APPR TIP.docx

**6.3) Assurance: Appeals**

**Please check the box below.**

- Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

**6.4) Appeals**

Pursuant to Education Law§3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review;which shall include the following:
  - (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the StudentPerformance category, but rated Highly Effective ontheObservationcategory based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law§3012-d; and
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law§3012-d.

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

## Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

**Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.**

Describe the District appeal procedure:

**Appeals Procedures**

A teacher may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review or TIP. All grounds for appeal must be raised within one appeal, provided that the teacher knew or could have reasonably known the ground(s) existed at the time the appeal was initiated, in which instance a further appeal may be filed within seven calendar days but only based upon such previously unknown ground(s). The bargaining unit member shall, upon request, be entitled to Association representation at any time during the appeal process. Time limits specified in the appeals procedures may be extended by mutual agreement. Any extensions of the listed timeframes will still be timely and expeditious. In no case will the written decision on the merits of the appeal be rendered more than 45 school days from the date upon which the teacher filed his/her appeal.

**APPR Subject to Appeal Procedure**

Any teacher in receipt of an APPR rating of either "ineffective" or "developing," may challenge that APPR according to the following procedures. In accordance with Education Law §3012d and 30-3.12 of the rules of the Board of Regents, an APPR which is the subject of a pending appeal shall not be sought to be offered in evidence or placed in evidence in any Education Law §3020-b proceeding, or any locally negotiated procedure, until the appeal process is concluded.

**Grounds for an Appeal**

An appeal may be filed challenging the APPR based upon one or more of the following grounds:

- a. The substance of the Annual Professional Performance Review;
- b. The district's alleged failure to adhere to the standards and methodologies required for the Annual Professional Performance Review, pursuant to Education Law §3012(d) and 30-3.12 and applicable rules and regulations;
- c. The district's alleged failure to comply with either the applicable regulations of the Commissioner of Education, or locally negotiated procedures;
- d. The district's alleged failure to issue and/or implement the terms of the Teacher Improvement Plan, where applicable, as required under Education Law §3012-d.

**Appeal Process****Notification of the Appeal**

A teacher may appeal his or her evaluation using the following procedure:

**Step 1**

Within seven (7) calendar days after receipt of his or her composite rating, the teacher who questions his/her rating may request, via email, a meeting with the lead evaluator who authored his or her evaluation. The teacher will also forward to the lead evaluator, along with his or her request for a meeting, the Appeal Form found in Appendix J.

Within seven (7) calendar days after receiving the teacher's request for a meeting, the lead evaluator and the teacher will meet to try and resolve the evaluation issue(s) in dispute. If the teacher and the authoring lead evaluator resolve the evaluation issue(s) in dispute, the appeal will be considered resolved.

**Step 2**

If the teacher and the authoring lead evaluator meet and fail to resolve the evaluation issue in dispute, the teacher may request to have his or her evaluation reviewed by another lead evaluator employed by the District. This second lead evaluator will be chosen by the District; however the second lead evaluator will not directly supervise the teacher. The teacher will forward his or her evaluation and a written description of the nature of the appeal and supporting documents (using the form found in Appendix J) to the second lead evaluator within seven (7) calendar days after the meeting with the first lead evaluator. Failure to submit this form to the second lead evaluator within this time will be considered a termination of the appeal.

The teacher and the second lead evaluator will meet if either party requests a meeting.

The second lead evaluator will review the evaluation in question and the supporting documentation and will render a written decision to the teacher within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of the evaluation in question. A copy of the decision will be sent to the authoring evaluator and the Superintendent.

In the event both the lead evaluators decide that the appeal is without merit and therefore the evaluation completed by the first lead evaluator is accurate and should stand, the disputed issue will be considered resolved and not subject to further appeal processes. A copy of the second lead evaluator's decision will be sent to the teacher, the authoring lead evaluator and the Superintendent.

**Step 3**

In the event the two lead evaluators' decisions do not concur, the teacher may request the Superintendent review the opinions of both evaluators and the written appeal filed by the teacher. This request must be submitted within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of the second lead evaluator's decision. The Superintendent will then render a final, binding written decision and further directives to the teacher within seven (7) calendar days of submission of the appeal to the Superintendent. The Superintendent's decision is not subject to the grievance process. However, failure to abide by the agreed upon appeal process is subject to the grievance procedure.



Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

Upon completion of the appeal at any level of the above-described process, copies of the appeal and supporting documentation will be attached to the evaluation and placed in the teacher's personnel file.

Appendix J

Appendix j

Appeals

Olean City School District Composite Rating

APPR Appeal Form

Teacher \_\_\_\_\_

Grade/Subject \_\_\_\_\_

Lead Evaluator \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Any classroom teacher in receipt of an APPR compositing of either "ineffective," or "developing" may challenge that APPR.

Grounds for an Appeal:

Indicate the grounds for the appeal, if there are several, they must all be indicated within one appeal. Any grounds not raised at the time the appeal is filed shall be deemed waived.

- oThe substance of the annual professional performance review;
- oThe district's failure to adhere to the standards and methodologies required for the APPR, pursuant to *Education Law 3012-d and* §30-3.12 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- oThe district's failure to comply with applicable locally negotiated procedures;
- oThe district's failure to issue and/or implement the terms of the teacher improvement plan (TIP), as required under *Education Law 3012-d and* §30-3.11 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Statement of Appeal

List and attach the supporting documentation

Filed with

Date \_\_\_\_\_ Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Olean City School District APPR Appeals Form

Meeting with Lead Evaluator # 1

Stage 1 Conference with Evaluator

Date of Meeting: \_\_\_\_\_

Issues Resolved (All, None, Some – please indicate below):

Outcome \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator Comments:

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

Teacher Comments:

Teacher Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Lead Evaluator (#1) Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

If all issues regarding the evaluation dispute have been resolved, the evaluation issue will be considered resolved and not subject to further appeal. If (all) issues regarding the evaluation dispute have not been resolved, the teacher may request to have a second lead evaluator review the evaluation in question. The teacher must forward his or her evaluation in question, the written nature of the appeal and any supporting documentation to the Superintendent's designee within seven (7) calendar days after the meeting with the first lead evaluator.

Olean City School DistrictAPPR Appeals

Lead Evaluator # 2

Review of Evaluation

Stage 2 Review of Evaluation by Lead Evaluator #2

Date of Review \_\_\_\_\_

Outcome of the Review Process (check one) :

I agree with the first lead evaluators rating

Reason(s):

I do not agree with the first lead evaluators rating

Reason(s):

In the event the two lead evaluators decide that the appeal is without merit and therefore the evaluation completed by the first lead evaluator is accurate and should stand, the disputed issue will be considered resolved and not subject to further appeal processes. A copy of the second lead evaluator's decision will be sent to the teacher.

In the event the two lead evaluators' decisions do not concur, the teacher may request the Superintendent review the opinions of both evaluators and the written appeal filed by the teacher. This request must be submitted within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of the second lead evaluator's decision.

Olean City School DistrictAPPR Appeals

Superintendent Review

Stage 3 Final Decision Superintendent Review

Decision \_\_\_\_\_

## Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

### Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

Superintendent Comments:

Further Directives:

Superintendent's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

*The Superintendent will then render a final, binding written decision and further directives to the teacher within seven (7) calendar days of the submission of the appeal to the Superintendent.*

*The Superintendent's decision is final and binding. The Superintendent's decision is not subject to the grievance process. However, failure to abide by the agreed upon appeal process is subject to the grievance procedure.*

*Copies of the appeal and all supporting documentation will be attached to the evaluation and placed in the teacher's personnel file.*

The duly authorized representatives of the parties subscribe their names on this Annual Professional Performance Review Memorandum of Agreement.

\_\_\_\_\_

For the Association

\_\_\_\_\_

Date

\_\_\_\_\_

For the District

\_\_\_\_\_

Date

### 6.5) Assurance: Evaluators

**Please check the box below.**

- The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

### 6.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

## Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

**Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.**

## Required certifications:

1. The Olean City School District ensures that all evaluators have appropriate training before conducting evaluations as part of the observation category. All evaluators will be appropriately trained on the new APPR requirements, but only lead evaluators need to be certified. The District shall provide appropriate training and certify lead evaluators that will be on-going throughout the year and will align to the nine elements as required by the rules of the Board of Regents 30-3.10.
2. The lead evaluator is the primary person responsible for a teacher's evaluation. Typically, the lead evaluator is the person who completes and signs the summative APPR. To the extent possible, the principal or his/her designee shall be the lead evaluator of a classroom teacher.
3. A lead evaluator is any individual who conducts an evaluation of a teacher, including any person who conducts an observation or assessment as part of a teacher evaluation. For teachers, an evaluator may be a principal or other trained administrator.
4. The District has chosen to use the SED training program issued through the Cattaraugus/Allegany BOCES network teams for their certification process. The two day primary training will be conducted during the summer of 2016. New evaluators will receive equivalent training as required. The District additionally has contracted lead evaluator training which will be turnkey trained for all lead evaluators as part of the certification process. Each year evaluators must do a minimum of one practice scoring video for each rubric component for classroom observation.
5. Training is linked to the selected NYSUT rubric 2011 edition. The District coordinated with the selected rubric provider in regards to the training and certification of evaluators, lead evaluators and independent evaluators. Such training and recertification, shall, as required by the Commissioner's regulations, include a process for ensuring maintenance of certification, a process for ensuring inter-rater reliability and a process for recertifying lead evaluators. Lead evaluators will be recertified by the Superintendent annually based on the above criteria.
6. In order to address the issue that there may not be a sufficient number of certified evaluators for any given school year, the APPR regulations were adjusted to allow for certified school administrators and superintendents of schools to conduct classroom observations as part of an APPR. The certified school administrator, however, must be enrolled in the training and successfully complete the program prior to the completion of the teacher's evaluation.
7. The District has identified the following administrators as Lead Evaluators and will conduct observations:
  - a. Building principals
  - b. Assistant Building principals
  - c. Director of Special Education
  - d. Director of Federal and State Grants
  - e. Director of Technology
  - f. Superintendent of Schools

**6.7) Assurances: Teacher Evaluation****Please check all of the boxes below.**

- Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide teachers whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

**6.8) Assurances: Assessments**

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

## Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.

**6.9) Assurances: Data****Please check all of the boxes below.**

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores and ratings for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.
- Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

## Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

### Task 7) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

**100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent**

**(A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.**

**(B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.**

### 7.1) State-Provided Measures of Student Growth (Original)

For principals with at least 30% of their students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model. Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth measure, (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.). For principals where less than 30% of their students are covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principals shall have an SLO consistent with a goal setting process determined or developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score; provided that for any grade-level/course that ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO. (See Guidance for more detail on principals with State-provided measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those principals who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that principal.

**Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where State-provided growth measures will apply beginning in the 2019-20 school year (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 7-12, 9-12). For each configuration, also indicate assessment(s) used for the back-up SLO beginning in the 2019-20 school year.**

**For each grade configuration indicate the following:**

- **Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program**
- **Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program**
- **Column 3: assessment(s) used**

*Follow the examples below.*

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) assessment(s)
Grades K-6 Building	K	6	NYS Grade 4 ELA, NYS Grade 5 ELA, NYS Grade 6 ELA, NYS Grade 4 Math, NYS Grade 5 Math, NYS Grade 6 Math
Grades 7-12 Building	7	12	All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score

**Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs beginning in the**

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

**2019-20 school year for each grade configuration listed. The SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.**

Grade From	Grade To	State or Regents Assessment(s)
(No Response)	(No Response)	
(No Response)	(No Response)	
4	7	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 5 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 5 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Math
8	12	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score

**7.1) Assurances**

**Please check the boxes below.**

- Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all principals who receive a State-provided growth score in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that principal.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Tasks 7.2 (SLOs), 7.3-7.6

Page Last Modified: 06/07/2016

## 7.2) Student Learning Objectives (Original)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

SLOs must be used for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, math, and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the grades/courses covering the most students in the school or program and continuing until at least 30% of students in the school or program are covered by SLOs. The district/BOCES must select the type of assessment that will be used with the SLO from the options below.

- If any grade/course in the building has a State-provided growth measure AND the principal must have SLOs because fewer than 30% of students in the building are covered, then the SLOs must begin first with the SGResults.
- Additional SLOs must then be set based on grades/subjects with State assessments for which there is no State-provided growth measure, where applicable.
- If additional SLOs are necessary, principals must begin with the grade(s)/course(s) that have the largest number of students using school-wide student results from one of the following assessment options:
- State-approved 3rdparty assessment; or
- State-approveddistrict, regionally, or BOCES-developedcourse-specificassessment.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- **Column 1:** lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- **Column 2:** highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- **Column 3:** measure used
- **Column 4:** assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) measure	(4) assessment(s)
Grades K-2 Building	K	2	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra, Living Environment, Global 2, US History
Grades 11-12 Building	11	12	Principal-specificresults	Common Core English, US History

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for each grade configuration listed. During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, SLOs that use

Grade From	Grade To	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K	3	Principal-specific results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 3 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 3 Math		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STAR Early Literacy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STAR Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STAR Reading

## 7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
------------------	-----------	------------	-------------



**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Tasks 7.2 (SLOs), 7.3-7.6

Page Last Modified: 06/07/2016

20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

**7.4) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)**

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and math grades 4-8.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

**7.5) Assurances**

**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- Assure that the principal has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a principal's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 7.3, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

**7.6) Student Performance Subcomponent Weighting**

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

**Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.**

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

**Task 7) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)**

*The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.*

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations>.

**100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent**

(A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

**During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, if excluding grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores results in no remaining student performance measures, districts/BOCES must develop alternate SLOs based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance Category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.**

**7.1-7.2) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)**

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where alternate SLOs will apply. For each configuration, also indicate the measure and assessment(s) used for the alternate SLO.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- **Column 1: lowest grade** that corresponds to the building or program
- **Column 2: highest grade** that corresponds to the building or program
- **Column 3: measure used**
- **Column 4: assessment(s) used**

*Follow the examples below.*

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) measure	(4) assessment(s)
Grades K-2 Building	K	2	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra, Living Environment, Global 2, US History
Grades 11-12 Building	11	12	Principal-specific results	Common Core English, US History

**Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLOs during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years for each grade configuration listed. In all other school years, the SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.**

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

Grade From	Grade To	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
4	7	District- or BOCES-wide results	Grade 4 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History		
K	3	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History		
8	12	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History		

**7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands**

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

**7.4) Principals with More than One Growth Measure (Transition)**

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

## Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 8.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Principals) - Task 8.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

---

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

### Task 8) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

*Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.*

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that a different measure is used than that for the Required subcomponent in the Student Performance category, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

#### 8.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent for Student Performance Measures

**Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.**

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

# Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

## Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

### 9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

### 9.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different grade level configurations or building types.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

### 9.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

Observable subcomponents under each standard will be scored on a scale of 1-4 and then averaged.

### 9.4) Calculating School Visit Ratings

#### Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 9.5 below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please check the box below in the event that your district/BOCES is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department.

- Assure that if the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee.

#### Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
<b>H</b>	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
<b>E</b>	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
<b>D</b>	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
<b>I</b>	0	1.49 to 1.74

**HEDI Ranges**

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

**9.5) Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting**

**Required Subcomponents:**

- School Visits by Supervisor(s) or other Trained Administrator(s): At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score
- School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)\*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

**Optional Subcomponent:**

- School Visits by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

*\* If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee.*

**Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.**

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 06/09/2016

Required - Supervisor/ Adminstrator	Required - Independent Evaluator(s)	Optional - Peer Observer(s)	Grade configurations for which Peer Observers will be used
90%	10%	N/A	(No Response)

**9.6) Assurances**

**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness.
- Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

**9.7) Number of School Visits**

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits for each type of observer in the tables below.

**Tenured Principals**

	Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits
Unannounced	0	1	0
Announced	1	0	0

**Probationary Principals**

	Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits
Unannounced	0	1	0
Announced	2	0	0

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 10. Overall Scoring (Principals) - Tasks 10.1-10.3

Page Last Modified: 06/07/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

**10.1) Scoring Ranges**

**Student Performance Category**

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

**Principal School Visit Category**

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating			Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum
<b>H</b>	18	20	<b>H</b>	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
<b>E</b>	15	17	<b>E</b>	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
<b>D</b>	13	14	<b>D</b>	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
<b>I</b>	0	12	<b>I</b>	0	1.49 to 1.74

**10.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating**

		Principal School Visit Category			
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
Student Performance Category	Highly Effective (H)	H	H	E	D
	Effective (E)	H	E	E	D
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I

\* If a principal is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the principal can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

**10.3) Assurances**

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.



**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

## Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.**11.1) Assurances: Improvement Plans****Please check all of the boxes below.**

- Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

**11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms**

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed;and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

**As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.**

Administrator PIP 2016.docx

**11.3) Assurance: Appeals****Please check the box below.**

- Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

**11.4) Appeals**

Pursuant to Education Law§3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review;which shall include the following:
  - (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the studentperformance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law§3012-d; and
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law§3012-d.

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

**Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.****Appeals Procedures**

All grounds for appeal must be raised within one appeal, provided that the administrator knew or could have reasonably known the ground(s) existed at the time the appeal was initiated, in which instance a further appeal may be filed but only based upon such previously unknown ground(s). The bargaining unit member shall, upon request, be entitled to Association representation at any time during the appeal process. Time limits specified in the appeals procedures may be extended by mutual agreement of the District and the Association. Any extension of the listed timeframe will be timely and expeditious.

**APPR Subject to Appeal Procedure**

Any administrator in receipt of an APPR compositing of either "ineffective," or "developing" may challenge that APPR according to the following procedures.

In accordance with Education Law §3012 (d) 30-3.12, an APPR which is the subject of a pending appeal shall not be sought to be offered in evidence or placed in evidence in any Education Law §3020-b proceeding, or any locally negotiated procedure, until the appeal process is concluded.

**Grounds for an Appeal**

An appeal may be filed challenging the APPR based upon one or more of the following grounds:

1. The substance of the Annual Professional Performance Review;
2. The district's alleged failure to adhere to the standards and methodologies required for the Annual Professional Performance Review, pursuant to *Education Law* §3012-d and applicable rules and regulations;
3. The district's alleged failure to comply with either the applicable regulations of the Commissioner of Education, or locally negotiated procedures; effective beginning of the 2016-17 school year.
4. The district's alleged failure to issue and/or implement the terms of the Administrator/Principal Improvement Plan, where applicable, as required under *Education Law* §3012-d.

**Appeal Process****Notification of the Appeal**

An administrator may appeal his or her evaluation using the following procedure:

**Step 1**

Within seven (7) calendar days after receipt of his or her composite rating, the administrator who questions his/her rating may request, via email, a meeting with the Superintendent. The administrator will also forward to the Superintendent, along with his or her request for a meeting, the Appeal Form.

Within seven (7) calendar days after receiving the administrator's request for a meeting, the Superintendent will meet with the administrator to try and resolve the evaluation issue(s) in dispute. If the evaluation issues in dispute are resolved, the appeal will be considered resolved.

**Step 2**

If the administrator and the Superintendent meet and fail to resolve the evaluation issue in dispute, the administrator may request to have his or her evaluation reviewed by a panel of 3 administrators to consist of; the Director of Human Resources, OASA Association President or his/her designee, (the President may not represent him/herself) and a local superintendent mutually agreed upon between the Superintendent and Association President. The administrator will forward his or her evaluation and a written description of the nature of the appeal and supporting documents to each of the Review Panel members within seven (7) calendar days after the meeting with the Superintendent. Failure to submit this form to each of the Review Panel members or within this time will be considered a termination of the appeal. The Review Panel will review the evaluation in question and the

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

supporting documentation and will render a written decision to the Superintendent within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of the evaluation in question.

In the event the majority of Review Panel members decide that the appeal is without merit and therefore the evaluation completed by the Superintendent is accurate and should stand, the disputed issue may be considered resolved or subject to further appeal processes based upon the administrator's independent decision to move the appeals process forward. A copy of the findings of the panel's decision and rationale will be sent to the administrator and attached to the administrator's evaluation and placed in the personnel file.

In the event the majority of the Review Panel members' decisions do not concur, the administrator's appeal will be found to have merit and the evaluation compositing will be adjusted accordingly unless the administrator or the District elects to move the appeals process forward.

Step 3:

Hearing Officer Review Process

Upon the request of a Hearing Officer Review, the review will be as timely and expedient as permitted by the Hearing Officer's availability. Upon the completion of the appeal at any level of the above-described process, copies of the appeal and supporting documentation will be attached to the evaluation and placed in the administrator's personnel file. The Hearing Officer's decision is final and binding.

Olean City School District APPR Appeals Form

Administrator: \_\_\_\_\_

Building/Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Superintendent: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Any administrator in receipt of an APPR rating of either "ineffective" or "developing" may challenge that APPR rating.

Grounds for an Appeal:

- o The substance of the annual professional performance review;
o The district's failure to adhere to the standards and methodologies required for the APPR, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d and applicable rules and regulations;
o The district's failure to comply with either the applicable regulations of the Commissioner of Education, or locally negotiated procedures; effective beginning the 2016-17 school year
o The district's failure to issue and/or implement the terms of the administrator improvement plan (A/PIP), as required under Education Law §3012-d and 30-3.12.

Statement of Appeal

List and attach the supporting documentation

Filed with

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Signature

Olean City School District APPR Appeals

Meeting with Superintendent

Step 1 Conference with Superintendent

Date of Meeting: \_\_\_\_\_

Issues Resolved (All, None, Some - please indicate below):

Outcome \_\_\_\_\_

Superintendent's Comments:

Administrator's Comments:

Administrator's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

Superintendent's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

If all issues regarding the evaluation dispute have been resolved, the evaluation issue will be considered resolved and not subject to further appeal. If (all) issues regarding the evaluation dispute have not been resolved, the administrator may request to appeal to a review panel who have been trained as Lead Evaluators. The administrator must forward his or her evaluation in question, the written nature of the appeal and any supporting documentation to the review Panel within seven (7) calendar days after the meeting with the Superintendent. All documents may be transmitted electronically.

Olean City School District APPR Appeals
Panel Review of Evaluation

Step 2 Panel Review

Date of Review \_\_\_\_\_

Outcome of the Review Process (check one) :

\_\_\_ We agree with the Superintendent's rating

Reason(s):

\_\_\_ We do not agree with the Superintendent's rating

Reason(s):

Name: (please print) \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Administrator: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: (please print) \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of OASA President or Designee \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: (please print) \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Director of Human Resources: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: (please print) \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Panel Superintendent: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

If all issues regarding the evaluation dispute have been resolved, copies of the appeal and all supporting documentation will be attached to the evaluation and placed in the administrator's personnel file.

In the event the majority of Review Panel members decide that the appeal is without merit and therefore the evaluation completed by the Superintendent is accurate and should stand, the disputed issue may be considered resolved or subject to further appeal processes based upon the administrator's independent decision to move the appeals process forward. A copy of the findings of the panel's decision and rationale will be sent to the administrator. All supporting documentation will be attached to the administrator's evaluation and placed in the personnel file.

In the event the majority of the Review Panel members' decisions do not concur, the administrator's appeal will be found to have merit and the evaluation composite rating will be adjusted accordingly unless the administrator or the District elects to move the appeals process forward to Step 3 - review by a Hearing Officer at which time the decision will be final and binding.

Step 3 Hearing Officer Review to be timely, expeditious, final and binding.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

Date of Review \_\_\_\_\_

**Outcome of the Hearing Officer Review Process**

Name: (please print) \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Administrator: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: (please print) \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of OASA President or Designee: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: (please print) \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Director of Human Resources: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

*Copies of the appeal and all supporting documentation will be attached to the evaluation and placed in the administrator's personnel file.*

11.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

- The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

11.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

## Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

**Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.**

## Required certifications:

1. The Olean City School District ensures that all evaluators have appropriate training before conducting evaluations as part of the school visit category. All evaluators will be appropriately trained on the new APPR requirements, but only lead evaluators need to be certified. The District shall provide appropriate training and certify lead evaluators that will be on-going throughout the year and will align to the nine elements as required by the rules of the Board of Regents.
2. The lead evaluator is the primary person responsible for a principal's evaluation. Typically, the lead evaluator is the person who completes and signs the summative APPR. To the extent possible, the superintendent will be the lead evaluator for the principals.
3. A lead evaluator is any individual who conducts an evaluation of a principal, including any person who conducts an observation or assessment as part of a principal evaluation. For principals, an evaluator may be the superintendent or trained administrator outside of the bargaining unit.
4. The District has chosen to use the SED training program issued through the Cattaraugus/Allegany BOCES network teams for our certification process.
5. Training is linked to the selected Multi-Dimensional rubric. The District coordinated with the selected rubric provider in regards to the training and certification of evaluators and lead evaluators. Such training and recertification, shall, as required by the Commissioner's regulations, include a process for ensuring maintenance of certification, a process for ensuring inter-rater reliability and a process for recertifying lead evaluators.
6. Lead Evaluators will have a minimum of two days of training on the 9 components outlined in 30-3.10(b) to qualify for certification as lead evaluators. Independent Evaluators will be expected to complete the same minimum duration and rigor of training in the three areas as described in 30-3.10(c).

**11.7) Assurances: Principal Evaluation****Please check all of the boxes below.**

- Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such principals with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

**11.8) Assurances: Assessments****Please check all of the boxes below.**

- Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.

## Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

---

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

### 11.9) Assurances Data

**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores and ratings for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- Assure that enrolled students in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.
- Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

**Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan - Upload Certification Form

---

Page Last Modified: 06/15/2016

**Task 12) Upload APPR District Certification Form**

*Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.*

**Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form.**

APPR cert form signed June152016.pdf



Olean City School District  
410 West Sullivan Street  
Olean, NY 14760

**Annual Professional Performance Review**  
**Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP)**

The sole purpose of the TIP is the improvement of teaching practice. The goal is to provide resources and support for teachers who have been rated as “developing” or “ineffective.” The evaluator and teacher will jointly determine the strategies to be undertaken to correct the deficiencies.

Teacher Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Grade/Subject: \_\_\_\_\_  
School: \_\_\_\_\_ Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_  
Association Rep: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**List the area(s) needing improvement. If there are several, indicate the priority order for addressing them**

Priority	Area needing improvement	Performance goal

**Describe the plan for improvement with specific, measurable objectives, timeline and process the teacher must meet in order to achieve an effective rating.**

**Describe the professional development opportunities, materials, resources and supports the District will make available.**

**Assignment of a mentor teacher**  **yes**  **no**

Name of Mentor \_\_\_\_\_

The teacher, evaluator, mentor (if applicable) and an Association representative (if requested by the teacher) shall meet \_\_\_\_\_ to assess the effectiveness and appropriateness of the TIP in assisting the teacher to achieve the goals set forth in the TIP. Based on the outcome of this assessment, the TIP shall be modified accordingly.

Evaluator's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Teacher's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

**Meeting Dates:**

Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Evaluator Comments

Teacher Comments

Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Evaluator Comments:

Teacher Comments:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Evaluator Comments:

Teacher Comments:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Evaluator Comments:

Teacher Comments:

## Recommendation for Results of TIP

- The teacher has met the performance goals identified through the TIP.
- The teacher has not met the performance goals.

### Next Steps

Evaluator's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Teacher's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Teacher's signature does not constitute agreement but merely signifies s/he has examined and discussed the materials with her evaluator. Teachers shall have the right to insert written explanation or response to written feedback of the evaluator within 10 days, which may be considered during the Appeals process.



Olean City School District  
410 West Sullivan Street

Olean, NY 14760

**Annual Professional Performance Review**

**Administrator/Principal Improvement Plan (A/PIP)**

The sole purpose of the A/PIP is the improvement of instructional leadership practice. The goal is to provide resources and support for administrators who have been rated as “developing” or “ineffective.” The Superintendent and administrator will jointly determine the strategies to be undertaken to correct the deficiencies.

Administrator Name:	_____	Title:	_____
School:	_____	Superintendent:	_____
Association Rep:	_____	Date:	_____

**List the area(s) needing improvement. If there are several, indicate the priority order for addressing them and establish future meeting dates.**

Priority	Area needing improvement	Performance goal	Meeting Dates:

**Describe the plan for improvement with specific, measurable objectives, timeline and process the administrator must meet in order to achieve an effective rating.**

**Describe the professional development opportunities, materials, resources and supports the District will make available.**

**Assignment of a mentor administrator**  **yes**  **no**

Name of Mentor \_\_\_\_\_

The administrator, superintendent, mentor (if applicable) and an association representative (if requested by the administrator) shall meet at least quarterly to assess the effectiveness and appropriateness of the A/PIP in assisting the administrator to achieve the goals set forth in the A/PIP. Based on the outcome of this assessment, the A/PIP shall be modified accordingly and/or terminated.

Superintendent's Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Administrator's Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

**Meeting Dates:**

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Superintendent Comments

Administrator Comments

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Superintendent Comments

Administrator Comments

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Superintendent Comments

Administrator Comments

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Superintendent Comments

Administrator Comments

**Recommendation for Results of A/PIP**

- The administrator has met the performance goals identified through the A/PIP.
- The Administrator has not met the performance goals.

**Next Steps**

Superintendent's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Administrator's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Administrator's signature does not constitute agreement but merely signifies she/he has examined and discussed the materials with her/his superintendent. Administrators shall have the right to insert written explanation or response to written feedback of the superintendent within 10 days, which may be considered during the appeals process.

**DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form**

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-d as implemented by Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The district/BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, transition scores and ratings will be calculated for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 English Language Arts (ELA) and Math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores; that the district/BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures in their approved APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents during the transition period; and that original APPR scores and ratings will be provided for advisory purposes only, and will have no impact on employment decisions, including tenure determinations, or teacher and principal improvement plans.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11), as added by Chapter 56 of the Laws of 2015.

**The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:**

- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the overall transition rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures described in this APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, original APPR scores and ratings will not be used as the basis for employment decisions and will only be used for advisory purposes;
- Assure that beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, the original overall APPR score pursuant to the district or BOCES approved APPR plan shall be used as the basis for employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES, shall provide teachers and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings calculated pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers and principals with their original composite APPR rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall continue to provide teachers and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are not based on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments or State-provided growth scores with their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if



available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of their annual professional performance reviews, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured, and that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured

- Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured;
- Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the district or BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured;
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the district office and made available to the public on the district's or BOCES' website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school year, the district or BOCES will continue to report both the original and transition individual category and subcomponent scores and the overall original and transition ratings to the State for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, any educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating as their overall transition rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year in which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, any educator who receives a Developing or Ineffective rating on their original overall rating pursuant to this APPR plan will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year for which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter;
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations;
- Assure that the district or BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the district/BOCES;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits;
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the district and BOCES shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;
- Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES must be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator;
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year, on a form prescribed by the Commissioner, to the Commissioner for approval;
- Assure that the alternate SLOs described in Tasks 2 and 7 of this APPR plan will be used as the basis for certain teachers' and principals' transition APPR scores and ratings, where applicable and consistent with section 30-3.17 of


the Rules of the Board Regents, during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years only;

- Assure that, beginning in the 2019-20 school year, no transition scores and ratings will be generated and the district or BOCES' original APPR Plan will apply to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the statute, regulations, and SED guidance without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements as a result of the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

**Signatures, dates**


Superintendent Signature:

Date:

 6/15/16


Teachers Union President Signature:

Date:

 6/15/16

Administrative Union President Signature:

Date:

 6/15/16

Board of Education President Signature:

Date:

 6/15/2016