THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK



Commissioner of Education President of the University of the State of New York 89 Washington Avenue, Room 111 Albany, New York 12234 E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov Twitter:@NYSEDNews Tel: (518) 474-5844 Fax: (518) 473-4909

July 29, 2016

Revised

Timothy Farrell, Superintendent Minerva Central School District P.O. Box 39 1466 County Route 29 Olmstedville, NY 12857

Dear Superintendent Farrell:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

MaryEllen Elia Commissioner

Attachment

c: James P. Dexter

NOTE:

Pursuant to sections 30-2.14 and 30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must calculate transition scores and ratings for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 ELA and math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores. For the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must establish alternate SLOs for affected teachers and principals who, as a result of the above exclusions, have no remaining measures in the Student Performance Category.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 1. School District Information - Tasks 1.1, 1.2

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

Task 1) Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

The Department will review the contents of each school district's/BOCES' Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's/BOCES' plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from a district/BOCES to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. As such, each district/BOCES is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of a district's/BOCES' plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1.1) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- Assure that the content of this form represents the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that a detailed version of the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district/BOCES website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.
- Assure that it is understood that this district's/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.

1.2) Submission Status

Is this a first-time submission under Education Law §3012-d or the submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d?

First-time submission under Education Law §3012-d

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 1 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 07/28/2016

Task 2) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

- (A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

2.1) Assurances

Please note: NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math Assessments and State-provided growth scores cannot be used for the purposes of providing transition scores and ratings during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, and should be used for advisory purposes only until the 2019-20 school year. Alternate SLOs to be used during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 transition period should be entered in Task 2 (Transition).

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all 4-8 ELA and Math teachers in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that teacher.
- For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for any grade/subject that requires a back-up SLO, but for which there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a teacher-specific SLO from being created, the superintendent or another trained administrator shall develop a school-wide back-up SLO using available State/Regents assessments.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

2.2) Grades 4-8 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a growth score and rating. That rating will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and takes into consideration students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided scores and ratings, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided growth measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score and rating from the State for the full Student Performance category of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Student Performance category of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided growth measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those teachers who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that teacher.

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs for the grade/subject listed beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math
State Assessment	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 2 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 07/28/2016

	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math
State Assessment	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math
	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math
State Assessment	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math
	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math
	Grade 8 ELA	Grade 8 Math
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 8 ELA	Grade 8 Math

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 3 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.3 (3 ELA/Math), 2.4 (4/8 SCI)

Page Last Modified: 07/28/2016

2.3) Grade 3 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math
State Assessment	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math

2.4) Grades 4 and 8 Science: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 4 Science	Grade 8 Science
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Common branch	Grade 8 Science

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 4 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 07/28/2016

2.5) High School Courses Ending in a Regents Exam: Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Global 2	US History
Regents Assessment	Global 2	US History

	Living Environment	Earth Science	Chemistry	Physics
Regents Assessment	Living Environment	Earth Science	Chemistry	Physics

	Algebra I	Geometry	Algebra II/Trigonometry
Regents Assessment(s)	Common Core Algebra	Common Core Geometry	Common Core Algebra II and
			Algebra II/Trigonometry

2.6) High School English Language Arts Courses: Measures and Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school English Language Arts courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: High School English Language Arts

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For high school English Language Arts, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

· State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required in at least one year of high school English Language Arts

For grade levels where the Regents exam is not used:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 5 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 07/28/2016

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 6 of 47

Status Date: 07/29/2016 11:23 AM

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 07/28/2016

				<u></u>
	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-Developed Course-	Third Party
9 ELA		Assessment(s)	Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)
JULIA	District- or BOCES-wide			
	results	☐ Grade 4 ELA		
		☐ Grade 5 ELA		
		☐ Grade 6 ELA		
		☐ Grade 7 ELA		
		☐ Grade 8 ELA		
		☐ Grade 3 Math		
		☐ Grade 4 Math		
		☐ Grade 5 Math		
		☑ Grade 6 Math☑ Grade 7 Math		
		☑ Grade 7 Math☑ Grade 8 Math		
		☐ Grade 4 Science		
		☑ Grade 4 Science☑ Grade 8 Science		
		✓ Common Core English		
		✓ Common Core Algebra		
		☑ Living Environment		
		☑ Earth Science		
		☑ Global 2		
		☑ US History		
10 ELA				
10 22/	District- or BOCES-wide			
	results	☐ Grade 4 ELA		
		☐ Grade 5 ELA		
		☐ Grade 6 ELA		
		☐ Grade 7 ELA		
		☐ Grade 8 ELA		
		☐ Grade 3 Math		
		☑ Grade 4 Math☑ Grade 5 Math		
		☐ Grade 6 Math		
		☐ Grade 7 Math		
		☐ Grade 8 Math		
		☑ Grade 4 Science		
		☑ Grade 4 Science		
		☑ Common Core English		
		 ☑ Common Core Algebra 		
		☑ Living Environment		
		☑ Earth Science		
		☐ Global 2		
		☑ US History		
11 ELA	Teacher-specific results	☑ Common Core English		
12 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide			
	results	☐ Grade 3 ELA☐ ☐ Grade 4 ELA		
	resuits	☐ Grade 4 ELA☐ ☐ Grade 5 ELA☐		
		☐ Grade 6 ELA		
		☐ Grade 7 ELA		
		☐ Grade 8 ELA		
		☐ Grade 3 Math		
		- Grade o Matri		

07/29/2016 11:26 AM

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 07/28/2016

N. C.	Grade 4 Math	
	Grade 5 Math	
	Grade 6 Math	
	Grade 7 Math	
	Grade 8 Math	
	Grade 4 Science	
	Grade 8 Science	
	Common Core English	
	Common Core Algebra	
	Living Environment	
	Earth Science	
	Global 2	
	US History	

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 8 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

2.7) Grades K-2 ELA and Math: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 9 of 47

Status Date: 07/29/2016 11:23 AM

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results	Assessment(s) Grade 3 ELA Grade 4 ELA Grade 5 ELA Grade 6 ELA Grade 7 ELA Grade 8 ELA Grade 3 Math Grade 5 Math Grade 5 Math Grade 6 Math Grade 7 Math Grade 8 Science Grade 8 Science Grade 8 Science Common Core English Common Core Algebra Living Environment Earth Science	Specific Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)
K Math	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results	☐ Global 2 ☐ US History ☐ Grade 3 ELA ☐ Grade 4 ELA ☐ Grade 5 ELA ☐ Grade 6 ELA ☐ Grade 8 ELA ☐ Grade 3 Math ☐ Grade 4 Math ☐ Grade 5 Math ☐ Grade 5 Math ☐ Grade 6 Math ☐ Grade 7 ELA ☐ Grade 8 ELA ☐ Grade 1 Math ☐ Grade 2 Math ☐ Grade 3 Math ☐ Grade 6 Math ☐ Grade 8 Math ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ Common Core English ☐ Common Core Algebra ☐ Living Environment ☐ Earth Science ☐ Global 2 ☐ US History		
1 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results			

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 10 of 47

Status Date: 07/29/2016 11:23 AM

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

		☑ Grade 5 Math	
		☑ Grade 6 Math	
		☑ Grade 7 Math	
		☑ Grade 8 Math	
		☑ Grade 4 Science	
		☑ Grade 8 Science	
		☑ Common Core English	
		☑ Common Core Algebra	
		☑ Living Environment	
		☑ Earth Science	
		☑ Global 2	
4 Math		✓ US History	
1 Math	District- or BOCES-wide		
	results	☑ Grade 4 ELA	
		☑ Grade 5 ELA	
		☑ Grade 6 ELA	
		☐ Grade 7 ELA	
		☐ Grade 8 ELA	
		☑ Grade 3 Math	
		☐ Grade 4 Math	
		☑ Grade 5 Math☑ Grade 6 Math	
		☐ Grade 6 Math	
		✓ Grade 8 Math	
		☑ Grade 4 Science	
		☑ Grade 8 Science	
		☑ Common Core English	
		☑ Common Core Algebra	
		☑ Living Environment	
		☑ Earth Science	
		☑ Global 2	
		☑ US History	
2 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide	☑ Grade 3 ELA	
	results	☑ Grade 4 ELA	
		☑ Grade 5 ELA	
		☑ Grade 6 ELA	
		☑ Grade 7 ELA	
		☑ Grade 8 ELA	
		☑ Grade 3 Math	
		☑ Grade 4 Math	
		☑ Grade 5 Math	
		☑ Grade 6 Math	
		☑ Grade 7 Math	
		☑ Grade 8 Math	
		☐ Grade 4 Science	
		☑ Grade 8 Science	
		☑ Common Core English	
		☑ Common Core Algebra	
		☑ Living Environment	
		☑ Earth Science☑ Global 2	
		☑ Global 2 ☑ US History	
		E OSTIISIOI Y	

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

2 Math	District- or BOCES-wide	N	Grade 3 ELA	
	results	⊌	Grade 4 ELA	
		⊌	Grade 5 ELA	
		⊌	Grade 6 ELA	
		⊌	Grade 7 ELA	
		⊌	Grade 8 ELA	
		⊌	Grade 3 Math	
		⊌	Grade 4 Math	
		⊌	Grade 5 Math	
		⊌	Grade 6 Math	
		⊌	Grade 7 Math	
		⊌	Grade 8 Math	
		⊌	Grade 4 Science	
		⊌	Grade 8 Science	
		⊌	Common Core English	
		ゼ	Common Core Algebra	
		ゼ	Living Environment	
		⊌	Earth Science	
		ゼ	Global 2	
		₩.	US History	

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 12 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

2.8) Grades 6-7 Science and Grades 6-8 Social Studies: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 13 of 47

Status Date: 07/29/2016 11:23 AM

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
6 Science	Common branch			
7 Science	District- or BOCES-wide results	☐ Grade 3 ELA ☐ Grade 4 ELA ☐ Grade 5 ELA ☐ Grade 6 ELA ☐ Grade 7 ELA ☐ Grade 8 ELA ☐ Grade 3 Math ☐ Grade 4 Math ☐ Grade 5 Math ☐ Grade 6 Math ☐ Grade 7 Math ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ Common Core ☐ English ☐ Common Core ☐ Algebra ☐ Living Environment ☐ Earth Science ☐ Global 2 ☐ US History		
6 Social Studies	Common branch	,		
7 Social Studies 8 Social Studies	District- or BOCES-wide results	☐ Grade 3 ELA ☐ Grade 4 ELA ☐ Grade 5 ELA ☐ Grade 6 ELA ☐ Grade 7 ELA ☐ Grade 8 ELA ☐ Grade 3 Math ☐ Grade 4 Math ☐ Grade 5 Math ☐ Grade 6 Math ☐ Grade 7 Math ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ Common Core ☐ English ☐ Common Core ☐ Algebra ☐ Living Environment ☐ Earth Science ☐ Global 2 ☐ US History		

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

District- or BOCES-	V	Grade 3 ELA	
wide results	ゼ	Grade 4 ELA	
	₩.	Grade 5 ELA	
	₩.	Grade 6 ELA	
	₩.	Grade 7 ELA	
	₩.	Grade 8 ELA	
	ゼ	Grade 3 Math	
	ゼ	Grade 4 Math	
	⊻	Grade 5 Math	
	⊻	Grade 6 Math	
	⊻	Grade 7 Math	
	⊻	Grade 8 Math	
	ゼ	Grade 4 Science	
	ゼ	Grade 8 Science	
	ゼ	Common Core	
		English	
	ゼ	Common Core	
		Algebra	
	ゼ	Living Environment	
	ゼ	Earth Science	
	ゼ	Global 2	
	¥	US History	

2.9) Regents Global Studies 1: Measure and Assessment(s) (Original)

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for Global Studies 1.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 15 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
Global 1	District- or BOCES-	☑ Grade 3 ELA		
	wide results	☐ Grade 4 ELA		
	Wide recalls	☐ Grade 5 ELA		
		☐ Grade 6 ELA		
		☐ Grade 7 ELA		
		☐ Grade 8 ELA		
		☐ Grade 3 Math		
		☐ Grade 4 Math		
		☐ Grade 5 Math		
		☐ Grade 6 Math		
		☐ Grade 7 Math		
		☐ Grade 8 Math		
		☐ Grade 4 Science		
		☐ Grade 8 Science		
		☑ Common Core English		
		☐ Common Core Algebra		
		☐ Living Environment		
		☑ Earth Science		
		☑ Global 2		
		☑ US History		

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 16 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

2.10) All Other Courses (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

Fill in the following, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have SLOs (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course
- · Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 3: subject of the course
- · Column 4: measure used
- Columns 5-6: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade (2)		(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-6) assessment(s)	
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra	
K-3 Art	i-3 Art K		3 Art		Questar III BOCES	
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12		wide group team or	All Regents given in building/district	

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 17 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

Grade From	Grade To	Subject	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
К	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	☐ Grade 3 ELA ☐ Grade 4 ELA ☐ Grade 5 ELA ☐ Grade 6 ELA ☐ Grade 8 ELA ☐ Grade 3 Math ☐ Grade 4 Math ☐ Grade 5 Math ☐ Grade 6 Math ☐ Grade 6 Math ☐ Grade 7 Math ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ Grade 8 Science ☐ Common Core ☐ English ☐ Common Core ☐ Algebra ☐ Living ☐ Environment ☐ Earth Science ☐ Global 2 ☐ US History		

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 97- 93- 90- 85- 80- 75- 67- 60- 55- 49- 44- 39- 34- 29- 25- 21- 17- 13- 9- 100 96 92 89 84 79 74 66 59 54 48 43 38 33 28 24 20 16 12	Highly	Effectiv	ve	Effectiv	ve .		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
% % % % % % % % % %	100	96	92	89	84	79	74	66	59	54	48	43	38	33	28	24	20	16	12		0- 4%

2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one 0-20 score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Common branch teacher with State-provided growth measures for both ELA and Math in grade 4; middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 18 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

2.13) Assurances

For guidance on SLOs and the development of back-up SLOs, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the teacher has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 2.11, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance
- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

2.14) Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- · If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 19 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 07/28/2016

Task 2) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

- (A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, grades 3-8 NYS ELA/math assessments and any State-provided growth scores may only be used for advisory purposes and may not be used for the purpose of calculating transition scores and ratings.

If grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores are the entirety of the Student Performance category, districts/BOCES must also develop an alternate SLO based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or on State-provided growth scores for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

2.2-2.10) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLO during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, then indicate the applicable courses.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 20 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 07/28/2016

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effectiv	ve	Effectiv	ve .		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100		90- 92				ll l	l I					1						9- 12	5-	0-
						ll l												%	8%	4%

2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 21 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 07/28/2016

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 22 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 3.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Teachers) - Task 3.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

Task 3) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the Required subcomponent, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

3.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent of the Student Performance Category

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 23 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.
Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2011 Revised Edition)	(No Response)

4.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

4.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

4.4) Calculating Observation Ratings

Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 4.5 below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check the boxes below.

- Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(1) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the district's/BOCES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(2) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 24 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

	Overall Observation Score and Rating	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating					
	Minimum	Maximum					
н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0					
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74					
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74					
I	0	1.49 to 1.74					

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Effective:	2.50	3.49	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Developing:	1.50	2.49	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49	

4.5) Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponents:

- Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators: At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score
- $\textbf{-} Observations \ by \ Impartial \ Independent \ Trained \ Evaluator (s)*: At least \ 10\%, but \ no \ more \ than \ 20\%, of the \ Teacher \ Observation \ category \ score$

Optional Subcomponent:

- Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 25 of 47

^{*} If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

Required - Principal/ Administrator	Required - Independent Evaluator(s)	' ' '	Grades and subjects for which Peer Observers will be used
80%	20%	N/A	(No Response)

4.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these teacher(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

4.7) Number and Method of Observations

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations for each type of observer, as well as the method of observation, in the tables below.

Tenured Teachers

	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Observation method	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method
Unannounced	0	N/A	1	In person	0	N/A
Announced	1	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A

Probationary Teachers

	Principal/	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Observation method	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method
Unannounced	0	N/A	1	In person	0	N/A
Announced	2	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 26 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 5. Overall Scoring (Teachers) - Tasks 5.1-5.3

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

5.1) Scoring Ranges

Student Performance

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

Teacher Observation

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating			Overall Observation Category Score and Rating		
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum	
Н	18	20	Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0	
E	15	17	E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74	
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74	
I	0	12	I	0	1.49 to 1.74	

5.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

oiz, cooming man is not the everal in		Teacher Observation Category				
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)	
Student Performance Category	Highly Effective (H)	Н	Н	Е	D	
	Effective (E)	Н	Е	Е	D	
	Developing (D)	E	Е	D	I	
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I	

^{*} If a teacher is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the teacher can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

5.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same school district who have received Ineffective ratings under Education Law §3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the district has received a waiver from the Department.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 27 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

6.1) Assurances: Teacher Improvement Plans

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

TIP Appendix.docx

6.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

6.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 28 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

Teacher Annual Professional Performance Reviews Appeals Process

1. APPEALS OF INEFFECTIVE AND DEVELOPING RATINGS

This appeals procedure will apply to tenured teachers who have received a composite rating of ineffective or developing. Probationary and parttime teachers may submit a rebuttal statement which will be attached to the APPR.

2. WHAT MAY BE CHALLENGED IN AN APPEAL

Appeal procedures in connection with an ineffective or developing rating will limit the scope of appeals to the following subjects:

- (1) The substance of the annual professional performance review;
 - (a) The instance of a Highly Effective on the observation subcomponent and an Ineffective on the student performance subcomponent based on an anomaly, as determined locally.
- (2) The school district's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews;
- (3) The adherence to the Commissioner's regulations, as applicable to such reviews;
- (4) Compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures applicable to annual professional performance reviews or improvement plans; and
 - (5) The school district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan in connection with an ineffective or development rating.

3. PROHIBITION AGAINST MORE THAN ONE APPEAL

A teacher may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review OR Teacher Improvement Plan. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity within one appeal.

4. BURDEN OF PROOF

In the appeal, the teacher has the burden of demonstrating a clear legal right to the relief requested and the burden of establishing the facts upon which petitioner seeks relief.

- 5. TIME FRAME FOR FILING APPEAL
- a. All appeals, whether based on performance rating or Teacher Improvement Plan, must be submitted to the evaluator, who issued the performance review or Teacher Improvement Plan, in writing no later than 15 calendar days from the date when the teacher receives his/her Annual

Professional Performance Review rating or 15 calendar days from the issuance of the Teacher Improvement Plan.

b. All APPR's sent to teachers over the summer will be hand delivered and/or sent by return-receipt certified mail to the teacher's home address.

The failure to file an appeal within these time frames shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned

unless extended by mutual agreement, but in no case will it be extended beyond 30 calendar days.

- c. When filing an appeal, the teacher must submit to the evaluator:
 - (1) A detailed written description of the specific area(s) of his/her performance review which may include the terms of his/her teacher improvement plan that is being challenged; and
 - (2) Any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal; and
 - (3) The performance review and the teacher improvement plan being challenged.

6. TIME FRAME FOR EVALUATOR RESPONSE

- a. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of an appeal, the evaluator who issued the performance review must submit a detailed written response to the appeal.
- b. The evaluator's response must include:
 - (1) A detailed written response to the appeal addressing the specific area(s) being challenged; and
- (2) Any and all additional documents or written materials specific to the point(s) being challenged that support the evaluator's response and are relevant to the resolution of the appeal; and
 - (3) Any modifications to the Teacher Improvement Plan.
- c. The teacher initiating the appeal shall receive a copy of the response filed by the evaluator, and any and all additional information submitted with the response.

7. PANEL APPEAL

a. If the teacher is not satisfied with the response from the evaluator and the matter has not been resolved to his/her satisfaction, within 15 calendar days of receipt of the decision of the evaluator, the teacher may request an appeal to a three-person panel as described herein.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 29 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

b. The parties agree to formulate a three-person panel to hear the appeal. All members of the panel shall be trained evaluators.

The Appeals Panel will consist of:

- (1) The Superintendent (or his/her designee)
- (2) The MCSTA President (or his/her designee)
- (3) The Principal (or his/her designee)
- c. The decision/deliberations of the appeals panel shall be based on a written record which is comprised of:
 - (1) The teacher's appeal papers and any documentary evidence accompanying the appeal
 - (2) The evaluator's response to the appeal and any documentary evidence accompanying the response

8. DECISION

- a. A written decision from the Appeals Panel based on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than 45 calendar days from the date upon which the teacher filed his/her appeal. The decision may be to deny the appeal, to sustain the appeal and grant remedy sought, or to sustain the appeal and modify the remedy.
- b. The determination of the appeal by the Appeals Panel pursuant to the above process is final and binding and not subject to any further appeal through the grievance process except as otherwise authorized by law.
- c. Nothing in this appeals procedure will restrict the right of the district or the obligation of the teacher to proceed in accordance with otherwise standard practice, e.g., implementation of an improvement plan, while an appeal is pending. Further, nothing herein shall be construed to alter or diminish the authority of the governing body of the school district to grant or deny tenure to or terminate probationary teachers for statutorily and constitutionally permissible reasons.

9. EXCLUSIVITY OF APPEAL PROCEDURE

a. The APPR appeal procedure shall constitute the exclusive means for initiating, reviewing and resolving any and all challenges and appeals related to a teacher performance review and/or improvement plan. A teacher may not resort to any other contractual grievance procedures for the resolution of challenges and appeals related to a professional performance review and/or improvement plan, except otherwise authorized by law.

10. MISCELLANEOUS

- a. The Regulations of the NYS Commissioner of Education state, tenured teachers and principals who receive two or more consecutive annual "ineffective" ratings may be charged and considered for termination through an expedited hearing process. Addititionally, where an educator receives three or more consecutive ineffective ratings, charges must be brought.
 - b. The entire appeals record will be part of the teacher's APPR.

11. RIGHT FOR FUTURE APPEAL PROCEDURE AMENDMENTS

The details of the District's procedure for resolving appeals of annual professional performance review are determined through collective negotiations with the bargaining agent of the covered teachers. Upon the completion of future negotiations, the district's APPR Appeals Procedure may be amended by mutual agreement to reflect changes to the procedure of resolving appeals of Annual Professional Performance Review. Any material changes to the appeals process will be submitted to the Department for approval.

6.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

☐ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 30 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

6.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

Duration and Nature of Training Provided to Evaluators

- The "lead evaluator" is the administrator who is primarily responsible for a teacher's APPR composite rating. The term "independent evaluator" shall include any administrator or department chair, trained and selected by the District, who is not assigned to the teacher's same school BEDS code. The term "peer evaluator" shall include any trained peer teacher rated Effective or Highly Effective last year in the District.
- 2. All evaluators shall successfully complete a training course that meets the minimum requirements prescribed by the law and shall include application and use of teacher practice rubrics selected for use by the parties in evaluations. Initial evaluator training will consist of two (2) days and recertification training will consist of one (1) day.
- 3. Training for Lead Evaluators will consist of:
- 1. The Teaching Standards/ISLLC Standards
- 2. Research-based evidence-based observation techniques
- 3. The Growth Model
- 4. Application and use of the rubrics
- 5. Application and use of any assessment tools used in district
- 6. Application and use of any locally-selected assessment measures used in district
- 7. Use of the SIRS system
- 8. Scoring methodology used by both the state and the district including:
- 9. 3012-D Regulations on Teachers, Weights of subcomponents and how subcomponent scores are generated, How composites are generated and how the matrix is used
- 10. Specific considerations for evaluating educators of ELLs and SwDs
- 4. Training for Independent/Peer Evaluators will consist of:
- 1. The Teaching Standards/ISLLC Standards
- 2. Research-based evidence-based observation techniques
- 3. Application and use of the rubric
- 5. The parties will review the APPR on an annual basis and agree to negotiate changes to the plan, where required, to insure the success of the program. Any material changes to the training and certification process will be submitted to the Department for approval.
- 6. Nothing herein shall be construed to prohibit an evaluator who is properly certified by the state as a school administrator from conducting classroom observations or school visits as part of an Annual Professional Performance Review prior to completion of the training required by said chapter or the regulations thereunder, as long as such training is successfully completed prior to completion of the Annual Professional Performance Review.
- 7. After receiving training all evaluators will be certified by the Board of Education and all evaluators will be recertified on an annual basis.

6.7) Assurances: Teacher Evaluation

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 31 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law \$3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide teachers whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

6.8) Assurances: Assessments

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- Assure that, where applicable, if your district/BOCES has indicated that more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

6.9) Assurances: Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores and ratings for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- 🗷 Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 32 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

Task 7) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

- (A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

7.1) State-Provided Measures of Student Growth (Original)

For principals with at least 30% of their students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model. Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth measure, (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.). For principals where less than 30% of their students are covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principals shall have an SLO consistent with a goal setting process determined or developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score; provided that for any grade-level/course that ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO. (See Guidance for more detail on principals with State-provided measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those principals who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that principal.

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where State-provided growth measures will apply beginning in the 2019-20 school year (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 7-12, 9-12). For each configuration, also indicate assessment(s) used for the back-up SLO beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program ${\bf r}$
- · Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) assessment(s)
Grades K-6 Building	К	6	NYS Grade 4 ELA, NYS Grade 5 ELA, NYS Grade 6 ELA, NYS Grade 4 Math, NYS Grade 5 Math, NYS Grade 6 Math
Grades 7-12 Building	7	12	All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs beginning in the

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 33 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

2019-20 school year for each grade configuration listed. The SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

Grade From	Grade To	State or Regents Assessment(s)
К	12	☑ Grade 4 ELA
		☑ Grade 5 ELA
		☑ Grade 6 ELA
		☑ Grade 7 ELA
		☑ Grade 8 ELA
		☑ Grade 4 Math
		☑ Grade 5 Math
		☑ Grade 6 Math
		☑ Grade 7 Math
		☑ Grade 8 Math
		☑ All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-
		provided growth score

7.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all principals who receive a State-provided growth score in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that principal.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 34 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Tasks 7.2 (SLOs), 7.3-7.6

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effectiv	/e	Effectiv	ve		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
100	96	92	89	84		74	66	59	54	48	43	38	33	28	24	20	16	9- 12 %	5- 8%	0- 4%

7.4) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and math grades 4-8.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

7.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the principal has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☑ Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a principal's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 7.3, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- $oxed{\square}$ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

7.6) Student Performance Subcomponent Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 35 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

Task 7) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

- (A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, if excluding grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores results in no remaining student performance measures, districts/BOCES must develop alternate SLOs based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance Category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

7.1-7.2) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where alternate SLOs will apply. For each configuration, also indicate the measure and assessment(s) used for the alternate SLO.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- · Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- · Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: measure used
- Column 4: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) measure	(4) assessment(s)
Grades K-2 Building	K	2	District- or BOCES-wide	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra, Living Environment, Global 2, US History
Grades 11-12 Building	11	12	Principal-specific results	Common Core English, US History

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLOs during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years for each grade configuration listed. In all other school years, the SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 36 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

Grade From	Grade To	Measure	State or Re Assessme	_	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K	12	District- or BOCES-wide results	☐ Grade Science ☐ Grade Science ☐ Comm Core E ☐ Comm Core A ☐ Living Enviror ☐ Earth S ☐ Global ☐ US His	e 8 e on inglish on algebra nament Science 2		

7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly 1	Effectiv	ve	Effectiv	/e		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
100	96		89	84	79	74	66	59	54	48	43	38	33	28	24	20	16	12	5- 8%	0- 4%
%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	0,0	170

7.4) Principals with More than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 37 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 8.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Principals) - Task 8.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

Task 8) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that a different measure is used than that for the Required subcomponent in the Student Performance category, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

8.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent for Student Performance Measures

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 38 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized,
Tradio Palife	please indicate the group(s) of
	principals each rubric applies to.
Marshall's Principal Evaluation Rubric	(No Response)

9.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different grade level configurations or building types.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

9.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

9.4) Calculating School Visit Ratings

Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 9.5 below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check the boxes below.

- Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that if the district/BOCES is grated an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the district's/BOCES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 39 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

	Overall School Visit Score and Rating	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating					
	Minimum	Maximum					
н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0					
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74					
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74					
I	0	1.49 to 1.74					

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00	
	-	·	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Effective:	2.50	3.49	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Developing:	1.50	2.49	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49	

9.5) Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponents:

- School Visits by Supervisor(s) or other Trained Administrator(s): At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score
- School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent:

- School Visits by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 40 of 47

^{*} If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

Required - Supervisor/ Adminstrator	Required - Independent Evaluator(s)	' '	Grade configurations for which Peer Observers will be used
80%	20%	N/A	(No Response)

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- ☑ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

9.7) Number of School Visits

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits for each type of observer in the tables below.

Tenured Principals

	' '	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits
Unannounced	0	1	0
Announced	1	0	0

Probationary Principals

	' '	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits
Unannounced	0	1	0
Announced	2	0	0

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 41 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 10. Overall Scoring (Principals) - Tasks 10.1-10.3

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

10.1) Scoring Ranges

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

Principal School Visit Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall Studer Performance Category Sco			Overall Schoo Category Sco	l Visit re and Rating
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum
Н	18	20	Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	15	17	E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	12	ı	0	1.49 to 1.74

10.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

7012) 00011119 1110		Principal School Visit C	ategory		
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
	Highly Effective (H)	Н	Н	Е	D
Student Performance	Effective (E)	н	Е	Е	D
Category	Developing (D)	Е	Е	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I

^{*} If a principal is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the principal can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

10.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 42 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

11.1) Assurances: Improvement Plans

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

PIP 2016.docx

11.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

11.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 43 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

Annual Professional Performance Review Principal Appeals Process

- 1. Probationary principals may not appeal the APPR. A probationary principal who earns a composite rating of ineffective or developing may submit a written rebuttal that will be attached to the APPR in the principal's personnel file.
- 2. A tenured principal who earns a composite rating of ineffective or developing may appeal his/her Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) and the school district's issuance and/or implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) in accordance with the procedures and conditions set forth in this section. Such procedures and conditions constitute the exclusive means for initiating, reviewing and resolving any and all challenges and appeals related to a principal's APPR and/or PIP.
- 3. Tenured principals may only appeal the substance of the review, the District's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such review, adherence to the Commissioner's Regulations, and/or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of a PIP, in connection with "Ineffective" and "Developing" determinations.
- 1. The instance of a Highly Effective on the observation subcomponent and an Ineffective on the student performance subcomponent based on an anomaly, as determined locally.
- 4. A principal may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review. All grounds for appealing a particular performance review must be raised within the same appeal. Any grounds not raised in the initial appeal shall be deemed waived.
- 5. The appeal must be submitted in writing to the Superintendent within fifteen (15) calendar days of the issuance of the APPR or implementation of the PIP and shall set forth the basis of the appeal. The superintendent will have ten (10) days to convene an Appeal Hearing, which will be facilitated by a single Hearing Officer. The Hearing Officer will be a superintendent from a neighboring school district and shall be chosen by mutual agreement between the superintendent and the principal. If consensus can't be reached, the superintendent will select the Hearing Officer. The Hearing Officer can uphold or deny the appeal. A written determination will be rendered within fifteen (15) school days. The determination pursuant to the above process is final and binding. Only the failure of the district or principal to abide by the above agreed upon process is subject to the grievance procedure.
- 6. The burden of proof to establish a rational basis for the appeal rests with the principal.

11.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

☐ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

11.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- $2) \ the \ process \ for \ the \ certification \ and \ re-certification \ of \ lead \ evaluators;$
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 44 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

Duration and Nature of Training Provided to Evaluators

- The "lead evaluator" is the administrator who is primarily responsible for a principal's APPR composite rating. The term "independent evaluator" shall include any administrator, trained and selected by the District, who is not assigned to the principal's same school BEDS code. The term "peer evaluator" shall include any trained peer principal rated Effective or Highly Effective last year in the District.
- 2. All evaluators shall successfully complete a training course that meets the minimum requirements prescribed by the law and shall include application and use of teacher and principal practice rubrics selected for use by the parties in evaluations. Initial evaluator training will consist of two (2) days and recertification training will consist of one (1) day.
- 3. Training for Lead Evaluators will consist of:
- 1. The Teaching Standards/ISLLC Standards
- 2. Research-based evidence-based observation techniques
- 3. The Growth Model
- 4. Application and use of the rubrics
- 5. Application and use of any assessment tools used in district
- 6. Application and use of any locally-selected assessment measures used in district
- 7. Use of the SIRS system
- 8. Scoring methodology used by both the state and the district including:
- 9. 3012-D Regulations on Principals, Weights of subcomponents and how subcomponent scores are generated, How composites are generated and how the matrix is used
- 10. Specific considerations for evaluating educators of ELLs and SwDs
- 4. Training for Independent/Peer Evaluators will consist of:
- 1. The Teaching Standards/ISLLC Standards
- 2. Research-based evidence-based observation techniques
- 3. Application and use of the rubric
- 5. The parties will review the APPR on an annual basis and agree to negotiate changes to the plan, where required, to insure the success of the program. Any material changes to the training and certification process will be submitted to the Department for approval.
- 6. Nothing herein shall be construed to prohibit an evaluator who is properly certified by the state as a school administrator from conducting school visits as part of an Annual Professional Performance Review prior to completion of the training required by said chapter or the regulations thereunder, as long as such training is successfully completed prior to completion of the Annual Professional Performance Review.
- 7. After receiving training all evaluators will be certified by the Board of Education and all evaluators will be recertified on an annual basis.

11.7) Assurances: Principal Evaluation

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 45 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 07/25/2016

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such principals with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

11.8) Assurances: Assessments

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- Assure that, where applicable, if your district/BOCES has indicated that more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

11.9) Assurances Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores and ratings for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- 🗷 Assure that enrolled students in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.
- oxdot Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 46 of 47

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 07/29/2016

Task 12) Upload APPR District Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form.

MCSD APPR CERT 7.29.16-07292016110645.pdf

07/29/2016 11:26 AM Page 47 of 47

TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN- *Issuance*

Name							
School year plan is based on Ensuing School Year Date of related APPR		Grade/Subject					
				AREA(S) NEEDING IMPROVEMENT	ACTION PLAN (Detail Steps to be taken)	TIMELINE FOR COMPLETION	EVIDENCE
Teacher's Comments:							
Administrator's Commo	ents:						
Date outcome plan is to	be evaluated by:						
Teacher's Signature			Date				
Administrator's signatu	ıre	_	Date				
Union Rep Signature			Date				
Teacher Waiver of Unic	n Representation		Date				

Minerva Central School

Principal Improvement Plan

Date	Date
Princip	Dal Superintendent
5.	Evidence to demonstrate satisfactory completion of PIP, and document progress in th area(s) needing improvement.
4.	Timeline of meetings between the Principal and Superintendent to monitor progress i area(s) identified as needing improvement.
3.	Strategies and actions the District will make available to the Principal.
2.	Strategies and actions the Principal will implement to address areas identified as needing improvement.
1.	Area(s) needing improvement as identified by APPR process:

Principal Improvement Plan Guideline:

- 1. For any Principal whose performance, based on overall composite effectiveness rating, is evaluated as developing or ineffective based upon the evidence clearly documented in the Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR), a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) will be developed between the Superintendent and the Principal. The PIP shall be provided as soon as practicable, but in no case later than October 1st of the ensuing school year. The PIP shall be developed in consultation with the Principal.
- 2. The parties understand and agree that the sole and exclusive purpose of the PIP is the improvement of leadership practice and that the issuance of a PIP is not a disciplinary action. The PIP shall address areas identified as in need of improvement. Supportive interventions may include but are not limited to observation, assignment of a peer mentor, and in-service courses relevant to areas of weakness. A peer mentor, if assigned, will maintain a confidential relationship with the Principal involved in the PIP. The District will support, to the extent practicable, the costs associated with the implementation of the PIP. If agreed upon, a third person or persons may become part of a PIP.
- 3. The PIP will become the Principal's plan for that school year. The Superintendent and Principal shall establish a schedule of meetings to periodically monitor progress in the areas in need of improvement.
- 4. A Principal who believes that the terms of the PIP are arbitrary, unreasonable, inappropriate or defective, or that the District has failed to meet its obligation to properly implement the terms of a PIP, may seek relief through the APPR appeals process.

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-d as implemented by Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The district/BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, transition scores and ratings will be calculated for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 English Language Arts (ELA) and Math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores; that the district/BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures in their approved APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents during the transition period; and that original APPR scores and ratings will be provided for advisory purposes only, and will have no impact on employment decisions, including tenure determinations, or teacher and principal improvement plans.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11), as added by Chapter 56 of the Laws of 2015.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the overall transition rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES will continue to provide teachers
 and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures described in this APPR plan
 without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, original APPR scores and ratings will not be used as the basis for employment decisions and will only be used for advisory purposes;
- Assure that beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, the original overall APPR score pursuant to the district or BOCES
 approved APPR plan shall be used as the basis for employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher
 and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES, shall provide teachers and
 principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math
 State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings calculated
 pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September
 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers and
 principals with their original composite APPR rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year
 for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall continue to provide teachers
 and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are not based on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State
 assessments or State-provided growth scores with their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if

the Rules of the Board Regents, during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years only;

- Assure that, beginning in the 2019-20 school year, no transition scores and ratings will be generated and the district or BOCES' original APPR Plan will apply to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the statute, regulations, and SED guidance without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements as a result of the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by
 State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the
 minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does
 not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time
 devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not
 be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall
 not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to
 supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to
 English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:	Date:
Towns	7/29/16
Teachers Union President Signature:	Date:
From Stone	7/20/16
Administrative Union President Signature:	Date:
NOT ATPLICABLE	
Board of Education President Signature:	Date:
Mujula Cours	1-29-16