



**STUDENT ASSESSMENTS
AND ASSOCIATED GROWTH MODELS FOR
TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL EVALUATION**

FORM C

PUBLICLY AVAILABLE SERVICES SUMMARY

This form will be posted on the New York State Education Department's Web site and distributed through other means for all applications that are approved in conjunction with this RFQ to allow districts and BOCES to understand proposed offerings in advance of directly contacting Assessment Providers regarding potential further procurements.

Assessment Provider Information	
Name of Assessment Provider:	Western Suffolk BOCES
Assessment Provider Contact Information:	507 Deer Park Road PO Box 8007 Huntington Station, NY 11746
Name of Assessment:	WSB developed course specific assessments
Nature of Assessment:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ASSESSMENT FOR USE WITH STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES WITH A TARGET SETTING MODEL; OR <input type="checkbox"/> SUPPLEMENTAL ASSESSMENT WITH AN ASSOCIATED GROWTH MODEL: <input type="checkbox"/> GAIN SCORE MODEL <input type="checkbox"/> GROWTH-TO-PROFICIENCY MODEL <input type="checkbox"/> STUDENT GROWTH PERCENTILES <input type="checkbox"/> PROJECTION MODELS <input type="checkbox"/> VALUE-ADDED MODELS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
What are the grade(s) for which the assessment can be used to generate a 0-20 APPR score?	Grades 9-12 for courses that do not culminate in a State Assessment as checked and listed in Form B1
What are the subject area(s) for which the assessment can be used to generate a 0-20 APPR score?	All subject areas listed in Form B1 that do not culminate in a State Assessment.
What are the technology requirements associated with the assessment?	Technology/adaptive devices can be used in accordance with student IEP.
Is the assessment available, either for free or through purchase, to other districts or BOCES in New York State?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Please provide an overview of the assessment for districts and BOCES. Please include:

- A description of the assessment;
- A description of how the assessment is administered;
- A description of how scores are reported (include links to sample reports as appropriate);
- A description of how the Assessment Provider supports implementation of the assessment, including any technical assistance. (3 pages max)

Assessments are directly linked to NYS Standards for the subject area. The assessments are available for pre and post assessment.

The assessments are administered during one class period. Pre-assessments are administered at the beginning of the school year. Post-assessments are administered at the end of the school year.

Scores are reported through our student/teacher management system.

All assessments are implemented using the same criteria found in the Examination Administration Documents for Regents exam administration. All exams are kept locked until the day of administration.

Please provide an overview of the student-level growth model or target setting model for SLOs for districts and BOCES, along with how student-level growth scores are aggregated to create teacher-level scores, and how those teacher-level scores are converted to New York State's 0-20 metric.

Assessments are administered for pre and post assessment. Assessments are aligned to NYS Standards for each course. Targets are set for each student to achieve a passing score on the exam. The percentage of students that met their targets is calculated to determine teacher scores, accounted for in the teachers SLO and are applied to the approved HEDI scale.

New York State Next Generation Assessment Priorities

Please provide detail on how the proposed supplemental assessment I or assessment to be used with SLOs addresses each of the Next Generation Assessment Priorities below.

Characteristics of Good ELA and Math Assessments (only applicable to ELA and math assessments):	Good ELA and Math assessments are directly tied to the NYS standards.
Assessments Woven Tightly Into the Curriculum:	The assessments are seamlessly administered in conjunction with class instruction, the goals of the teacher and NYS Learning Standards
Performance Assessment:	Performance assessments are strongly encouraged, if applicable for the course.
Efficient Time-Saving Assessments:	Assessments are multiple choice and allow for the student to demonstrate their individual knowledge and understanding. An administration window is developed to ensure consistency across the programs. Scantron sheets are utilized for efficiency.
Technology:	Technology/adaptive devices can be used in accordance with student IEP.
Degree to which the growth model must differentiate across New York State's four levels of teacher effectiveness (only applicable to supplemental assessments):	Not applicable



STUDENT ASSESSMENTS FOR
TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL EVALUATION

FORM H

APPLICANT CERTIFICATION FORM –ASSESSMENTS FOR USE WITH STUDENT
LEARNING OBJECTIVES

Please read each of the items below and check the corresponding box to ensure the fulfillment of the technical criteria.


PLEASE SUBMIT ONE “FORM H” FOR EACH APPLICANT. CO-APPLICANTS SHOULD SUBMIT SEPARATE FORMS.

The Applicant makes the following assurances:

Assurance	Check each box:
The assessment is rigorous, meaning that it is aligned to the New York State learning standards or, in instances where there are no such learning standards that apply to a subject/grade level, alignment to research-based learning standards.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
To the extent practicable, the assessment must be valid and reliable as defined by the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The assessment can be used to measure one year’s expected growth for individual students.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
For K-2 assessments, the assessment is not a “Traditional Standardized Assessment” as defined in Section 1.3 of this RFQ.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
For assessments previously used under Education Law §3012-c, the assessment results in differentiated student-level performance. If the assessment has not produced differentiated results in prior school years, the applicant assures that the lack of differentiation is justified by equivalently consistent student results based on other measures of student achievement.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
For assessments not previously used in teacher/principal evaluation, the applicant has a plan for collecting evidence of differentiated student results such that the evidence will be available by the end of each school year.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
At the end of each school year, the applicant will collect evidence demonstrating that the assessment has produced differentiated student-level results and will provide such evidence to the Department upon request. ³	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

³ Please note, pursuant to Section 2.3 of this RFQ, an assessment may be removed from the approved list if such assessment does not comply with one or more of the criteria for approval set forth in this RFQ

To be completed by the Copyright Owner/Assessment Representative of the assessment being proposed and, where necessary, the co-applicant LEA:

<p>Western Suffolk BOCES 1. Name of Organization (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)</p>	<p> 4. Signature of Authorized Representative (PLEASE USE BLUE INK)</p>
<p>Nancy Kelsey 2. Name of Authorized Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)</p>	<p>12/11/15 5. Date Signed</p>
<p>Executive Director, CTE 3. Title of Authorized Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)</p>	

<p>1. Name of LEA (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)</p>	<p>4. Signature of School Representative (PLEASE USE BLUE INK)</p>
<p>2. School Representative's Name (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)</p>	<p>5. Date Signed</p>
<p>3. Title of School Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)</p>	