Instructional Sample Practice for an Eighth Grade Bilingual Education Classroom Aligned to the Next Generation Learning Standards

Underlined sentences or words constitute hyperlinks. Sentences and words in bold are classroom activities that thread oral language, metalinguistic development, and flexible groupings throughout this unit.
Instructional Sample Practice for an Eighth Grade Bilingual Education Classroom Aligned to the Next Generation Learning Standards

Gladys Aponte
CUNY Graduate Center

This instructional sample is part of the project, Classroom Practices for Multilingual Learners and the Next Generation English Language Arts Learning Standards, funded by the New York State Education Department Office of Bilingual Education and World Languages and developed with the support of

Cecilia Espinosa
Lehman College
Project Director

and

Patricia Velasco
Queens College
Project Director
This Instructional Sample Practice describes an eighth-grade dual language (Spanish-English) classroom in which oral language development, metalinguistic awareness, and flexible groupings are embedded in an English Language Arts unit that focuses on first- and second-hand accounts of Japanese American incarceration during WWII. This sample is meant to be a tool for observation and reflection, as it illustrates how educators of Multilingual Learners (MLs) can develop instruction aligned to the eighth-grade New York State Next Generation English Language Arts Learning Standards, as well as the Lifelong Practices for Readers and Writers that are embedded within them. The segment highlights oral language through conversations that take place within flexible student groupings. The unit also incorporates activities that target metalinguistic awareness to support the gradual and dynamic development of linguistic knowledge within and across languages.

A common thread throughout the eighth-grade Next Generation Learning Standards is to analyze, compare, and find evidence to make connections between texts, perspectives, and personal experiences. This particular spotlight centers on a unit of the ELA curriculum that seeks to answer the essential questions: “How are authors’ perspectives conveyed in the narratives they write? How can perspectives be challenged with counter narratives?” To answer these questions, the teacher, Ms. McKenna, focused on a historical event in which her students were familiar and interested —Japanese incarceration during WWII. Throughout the unit, Ms. McKenna fostered conversations to deepen comprehension as students engaged in reading and analyzing the perspectives of first- and second-hand accounts of Japanese American experiences. During this process, students built their ability to infer and analyze complex text, both in discussion and through writing. In addition to comparing texts, students developed a critical lens by analyzing the effects that specific word choices have, including euphemisms that have historically been used when referring to the camps in which Japanese-American citizens were incarcerated.

The treatment of Japanese Americans during World War II remains one of the most serious violations of constitutional rights in the history of the United States. The Pearl Harbor attack took place on December 7, 1941 and two months later, Japanese Americans became the target of policies that stripped them of their

Under CR Part 154, “English Language Learners (ELLs)” are defined as students who, by reason of foreign birth or ancestry, speak or understand a language other than English and speak or understand little or no English, and require support in order to become proficient in English.

In addition, a Multilingual Learner (ML) definition was included to the Reopening Guidance in August: All students who speak or are learning one or more language(s) other than English, including: 1) current ELLs, 2) students who were once ELLs but have exited out ELL status, 3) students who were never ELLs but are heritage speakers of a language other than English, and 4) World Languages students.

These abbreviations are used in this document and also in NYSED guidance and other public materials.
rights as American citizens. President Franklin D. Roosevelt signed Executive Order 9066, which resulted in the removal and imprisonment of the entire Japanese American population residing on the west coast of the United States. Singled out by race alone, families were forced to abruptly leave their homes, schools, and businesses and report to detention camps, bringing with them only what they could carry. Many reporters and the government euphemistically referred to this forced removal and incarceration of American citizens as an “evacuation” to “relocation centers.” Critical and first-hand perspectives of this historical event are often left out of history textbooks. However, it is important that students analyze different narratives authors create, as these narratives impact public perception.

The activities described in this instructional sample are not meant to be prescriptive but should be taken as possibilities in which MLs can increase their understanding of a particular content area while increasing their mastery of the languages of instruction. This instructional sample exhibits the importance that Ms. McKenna gave to oral language. Speaking and listening took center stage when launching this unit, as the teacher provided opportunities for students to ask and answer questions about a video used to activate their background knowledge on the incarceration of Japanese Americans during WWII—a topic they had previously covered in their history class.

Comparing texts with different perspectives is a valuable practice for learning both content and language. It provides multilingual learners with an entry point to new content and concepts and allows all students to critically explore various viewpoints and language use. Making connections requires analyzing central ideas, using evidence to build an argument, generating questions, drawing on multiple sources, and analyzing the impact of specific word choices on mood, tone, and meaning. In Ms. McKenna’s class, comparisons were explored verbally and in writing, in pairs and in groups. Activities that developed students’ metalinguistic awareness and oral language development within flexible grouping were embedded in the reading and writing tasks. This practice allowed her to group students flexibly in different ways: e.g., by cross-linguistic language levels, interests, independent reading levels, and ability to work together. These decisions should rest on the teachers’ knowledge of how their students best work together, as well as their reflections on student data. It is important for students to be grouped in different ways so they can leverage their knowledge as they contribute to their group and help each other to build their skills. Flexible grouping opens the door for naturally creating situations in which students have to engage in academic conversations that focus on content and language. It is important that student grouping does not remain static throughout the year. Grouping needs to be intentional and flexible.

---

2 Cross-linguistic language levels in ELL students refer to the different degrees of language proficiency or control that ELLs can demonstrate in the new and home language. A student can be considered Expanding in the home language depending on his/her background knowledge on a particular subject area as well as the student’s mastery of the language; but Emerging in the new language since s/he shows less control over the vocabulary and language structures associated with a particular subject area in the new language.
Oracy plays an important role in developing reading and writing. Talk is an outcome in its own right because it allows students to develop their thinking. In planning, it is essential that oracy be an integral part of literacy instruction (Escamilla et. al, 2014). In addition, since students have various levels of oral language proficiency in each language, teaching objectives should consider students’ linguistic abilities in order to provide scaffolds that support their development. Ms. McKenna wove scaffolds for the Speaking and Listening Standards throughout this unit’s reading and writing engagements. Oracy was embedded as the main vehicle for collaborative ideas, text analysis, and for the planning and revising processes of creating a text. Ms. McKenna also created opportunities for flexible language use within the structures of a unit so students could use their full linguistic repertoire when they were trying to accomplish analytical tasks.

In addition, Ms. McKenna understood that fostering metalinguistic awareness was essential for students to develop an understanding of and the ability to talk about language, both within and across languages. When teachers make cross-language connections in the classroom, they strategically help the students make connections between what they know in one language and what they are learning in another. Ms. McKenna targeted metalinguistic awareness by focusing on vocabulary development (cognates and false cognates), semantic gradients (word parts with multiple cross-linguistic meanings), and the impact of comparative words in both Spanish and English (conjunctions such as however/sin embargo). At this point, it is important to discuss the role of translanguaging in the pedagogies created for ELLs.

Translanguaging is a strategy that brings together the students’ entire linguistic repertoire (home and new languages) in ways that create spaces for deeper and more complex thinking. Translanguaging requires the creation of spaces where learning is intentional, strategic, agentive, and thoughtfully carried out (Fu, Hasioannou, & Zhou, 2019; Espinosa & Lerner-Quam, 2019).

In this instructional practice, you will notice the intentionality that teachers bring to the teaching of MLs in order to foster oral communication that takes place in flexible and dynamic partnerships. Metalinguistic awareness, in particular, is made possible when teachers have opened a translanguaging space (Velasco & Garcia, 2014).

The standards covered throughout the unit appear at the end of each section. In addition, when appropriate, templates pertaining to the Bilingual Progressions are inserted. Even though this instructional unit was developed in an eighth-grade dual-language bilingual class, teachers of MLs will find modifications of the practices presented throughout the text. The road map of how this sample is organized is presented in Table 1.
**Table 1: Road Map of the Instructional Unit for Grade 8 English Language Arts: Analyzing Perspectives on Japanese American Incarceration Camps**

All the classroom practices described below can be mirrored in English and in the language other than English. These by no means limit the variety of strategies that can support MLs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development of the Unit</th>
<th>Classroom Practices</th>
<th>Suggestions for Modifications by Teachers of ELLs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Launching the unit: Students watch a documentary and discuss the ways in which the historical events were portrayed in the video. | • Oral language development and metalinguistic awareness: Students analyzed euphemisms used to report the incarceration experience of Japanese Americans.  
• Students shared observations, generated and answered questions, and made predictions about the article in either language before writing them down. These discussions were scaffolded with bilingual sentence frames and included using comparative phrases that are centered throughout the unit.  
• Flexible groupings: Students were grouped according to their language proficiencies. | • Provide students with questions in their home languages or use web-based translation tools to facilitate the comprehension of these open-ended questions and ensure access to deep content.  
• Teachers can use the students’ English language proficiency and pair recently arrived students with students who are more familiar with the strategies being implemented in the classroom.  
• Provide the sentence frames in the target language. |
| Reading activity 1: Students read and analyze an author’s perspectives and their use of euphemisms in the 1945 article, “Coast Japs Are Interned in Mountain Camp” from Life magazine. | • Metalinguistic awareness: Students analyzed cognates such as evacuación/evacuation and internamiento/Internamiento. Students also analyzed the use of euphemisms in English.  
• Oral language development: Students generated questions, then they were supported with sentence frames to scaffold their discussion about euphemisms before drawing and writing them down. Students were reminded to speak about their analysis and agree as a group before annotating the text. | • Provide articles in other languages from different newspapers.  
• Partner recently arrived students with other speakers who share the same home language who can provide support and aid in comprehension.  
• Point out cognates in other languages and translate examples of euphemisms in students’ home languages. |
| Reading activity 2: In groups, students analyze the impact of specific word choices on the meaning, tone, and mood in multiple news articles. | • Metalinguistic awareness: Students were reminded to use their Spanish to interpret and analyze the impact of words.  
• Flexible groupings: Students chose the articles that interested them the most and were grouped based on their independent reading levels in English and Spanish. | • Support students who speak a variety of home languages by organizing a jigsaw reading in which students are assigned to “home groups” of 3 to 5 students who read an article in their home language. |
| Reading activity 3: Students compare and contrast authors’ perspectives and the impact of specific word choices on the meaning, tone, and mood of multiple news articles. | • Metalinguistic awareness: Students engaged in a cross-linguistic analysis of comparative transitional phrases such as, “on the other hand”. They realized that it cannot be directly translated to “en la otra mano.”  
• Oral language development: Students made comparisons verbally before writing a short response. | • Support their students in comparing English comparative phrases to those used in the target language. |
| Writing activity 1: Students choose an article to counter-narrate and brainstorm counter words. | • Flexible groupings: Students were organized in heterogeneous pairs or groups of three with a mix of reading and writing levels and language proficiencies.  
• Oral language development: Before writing, students were encouraged to brainstorm and verbally share their ideas in either language. | • Provide news articles in other languages and pair students who speak the same language.  
• Invite students at Entering levels in English to use more images to tell their stories. |
| Writing activity 2: Students plan and draft the counter article. | • Oral language development: Although the final article was to be written in English, students brainstormed and outlined their articles using the languages in which they felt most comfortable.  
• Flexible groupings: Students were encouraged to work with a partner who can support them in using Spanish to make meaning. | • Support the writing process in a multimodal and dynamic way by promoting the use of a bilingual dictionaries and inviting students to use their home languages to draw, draft, and revise. |
| Writing activity 3: Provide peer feedback and revisions. | • Oral language development: Students referred to sentence frames while providing feedback that could be useful for their classmates. | • Provide the sentence frames in students’ home languages.  
• Partner recently arrived students with other speakers who share the same home language who can provide support and aid with comprehension. |
|---|---|---|
| Writing activity 4: Publish and share the counter articles. | • Oral language development: Students referred to bilingual sentence frames to present their articles and their takeaways from the unit.  
• Metalinguistic awareness: Students explained how words can influence a public’s perception of events and described how they used words to challenge the perspectives of the original articles. | • Provide sentence frames spoken by family members and allow opportunities for students to translate for family members. |
Overall Description of the Classroom Setting

Ms. McKenna teaches eighth-grade English Language Arts (ELA) at a public middle school (grades 6 to 8). Her eighth-grade dual-language bilingual (Spanish/English) class has 28 students who receive 50% of their science, social studies, and math instruction in Spanish. They also receive Spanish Literacy & Language Arts for Home Language Speakers. A third of the students in this class are classified as ELLs, and at least one of the students has had interrupted or limited formal education (SIFE). One student immigrated from Venezuela this school year, and two students moved from Mexico a couple of years ago. The rest of the students were born in New York. Most students come from bilingual families where at least one parent is Mexican, Dominican, or Ecuadorian. Although three students were not of Hispanic backgrounds, these students have been in a dual-language program since elementary school.

Ms. McKenna has 12 years of experience teaching ELA to students in dual-language settings. She speaks Portuguese at home and understands some Spanish. Ms. McKenna believes that in order to support students' English literacy and language arts development, their bilingual language practices—not only English—need to be part of everyday classroom practices. Although she teaches English literacy and language arts, Ms. McKenna understands that reading is a unified process in which students fluidly use features from both languages to make meaning of texts (Ascenzi-Moreno, 2018). She believes that literacy cannot simply be taught in each language separately, and she supports students in demonstrating skills bilingually and across languages.

In order to gain a holistic understanding of her students, Ms. McKenna collaborated with the Spanish Literacy & Language Arts teacher and with an English as a New Language teacher who worked with students classified as ELLs. This collaboration allowed her to understand and build on her students' Spanish linguistic resources to develop literacy skills in English. Ms. McKenna also collaborated with the social studies teacher to make connections to the content covered, such as Japanese American incarceration camps in this unit segment.

---

3 SIFE are English language learners who have experienced interrupted education due to war, civil unrest, migration, or other factors; who have never had the opportunity to participate in any type of schooling before entering school in the United States; or who have experienced limited education in their home countries due to lack of resources or trained teachers, the type of schooling they participated in, or other circumstances (DeCopua & Marshall, 2010).
Launching the Unit: Developing Metalinguistic Awareness Around the Euphemisms Used in Reporting the Incarceration of Japanese Americans

As part of her preparation to teach these lessons, Ms. McKenna read Densho.org’s critique of terminology and The Power of Words Handbook: A Guide to Language About Japanese Americans in World War II. These texts helped her understand critiques and alternate terminology that could more accurately describe the treatment of Japanese Americans during WWII.

Ms. McKenna launched this part of the unit by showing the video And Then They Came for Us. The purpose of this introductory activity was to activate and build on the knowledge students had gained months earlier in their history class, and to begin developing their critical lens for how words impact readers. After showing the video, Ms. McKenna posed different questions that targeted different language proficiency levels in English. The purpose of these teacher-generated questions was for students to share their thoughts about Japanese internment and analyze how the language used to describe the events impacts the public’s perception of the events. She also used words she wanted to emphasize throughout the unit (such as relocation, evacuation, concentration, alien, and internment).

These are examples of the questions posed:

- What did you see in the video?
- What do you think the difference is between a “non-alien” and a “citizen”?
- How did the Japanese Americans who were imprisoned speak about their experience?
- The public was told that Japanese Americans were being “evacuated.” When do you hear this word? What does it make you think of?
- Do the words “relocated” or “forcibly removed” have a different effect on you? Why?
- What connections do you see between the way Japanese were portrayed during World War II and how Muslims are being portrayed today? What kinds of words are people using to describe these groups in negative ways?

In these conversations, Ms. McKenna decided to group students according to their language proficiencies. Even though students were expected to answer the questions in English, they were invited to

4 To watch the trailer, please visit: https://vimeo.com/ondemand/andthentheycameforus
discuss their ideas and plan out their written answers in both languages. Ms. McKenna provided these sentence frames for support:

- I think that.../Yo pienso que...
- The word______ make me think of... / La palabra_______ me hace pensar en...

As a follow-up activity, students were asked to verbally share the impact that different semantic gradients and euphemisms have on them, such as “stench” vs. “odor,” “yanked” vs. “removed,” and “died” vs. “passed away.” Students gave comments and agreed or disagreed with one another. Ms. McKenna used this as an opportunity for students to practice using transitional words and phrases that would also be used throughout the unit segment. These included, “however,” “because,” and “on the contrary.” Some of the sentence frames and supports she provided were taken from the linguistic demand section for the Speaking and Listening Standard 2 for eighth grade. For example, the following sentence frame was used to scaffold students’ analysis:

The word _____ makes me think of ______. **However, _____.
La palabra_______ me hace pensar en _______. **Sin embargo, la palabra_____ me hace pensar en ________.

| Common Core Grade 8 Standard (SL.8.2): Analyze the purpose of information presented in diverse media and formats (e.g., visually, quantitatively, orally) and evaluate the motives (e.g., social, commercial, political) behind its presentation. a. Use their experience and knowledge of language and logic, as well as culture, to think analytically, address problems creatively and advocate persuasively. | **GRADE LEVEL ACADEMIC DEMAND**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Linguistic Demands:</strong> The following are some examples in English that may vary based on the language of instruction. In the first three levels (entering, emerging and transitioning), students can approach these linguistic demands in the new and/or home language.</td>
<td><strong>Analyze the Purpose of Information Presented in Diverse Media and Formats Evaluate the Motives behind Its Presentation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify the purpose of information and evaluate the motives.</td>
<td>Use signal words (e.g., however, but, nonetheless, even though, notwithstanding) to convey a change of direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify words and phrases (nouns, pronouns and verbs) that are repeated throughout the text and to evaluate the purpose and the main idea.</td>
<td>Use introductory words and phrases (e.g., in fact, an example, in other words, for instance) to present examples.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use sequencing and chronological markers (e.g., then, after that, in the beginning, in the end) to present a topic.</td>
<td>Use transitional words and phrases (e.g., to add, in addition, furthermore, moreover) to convey add information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use words and phrases (e.g., like, unlike, whereas, similar to, contrary to, on the one hand, on the other hand) for comparing and contrasting.</td>
<td>Use concluding words and phrases (e.g., although this may be true, in contrast, different from, of course, but, at the same time) to present evaluation of the text.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example to Address the Linguistic Demands**

This standard does not have an example of a linguistic demand because it requires that students analyze and evaluate the motives behind the presentation. For examples of text excerpts, please consult the Reading for Information and Reading Literature standard 2 for 8th grade.

The following standards were addressed in this section:
As the unit continued, Ms. McKenna presented her students with primary and secondary texts that built on the content that they discussed from the video about the Japanese internment experience. In each reading activity, students were guided in analyzing the words authors use to create a narrative when writing from their particular perspectives. Throughout the unit, Ms. McKenna grouped students according to their understanding of the topic (based on her observations and from feedback from the History teacher), students’ English language proficiencies and English reading levels, and how comfortable the students felt working with each other.

This section describes three sequential activities that the class engaged in:

- **Activity 1**: Students read and analyzed the perspectives and word choices, including euphemisms, in the April 6, 1945 Life magazine article *Coast Japs Are Interned in Mountain Camp*.
- **Activity 2**: In groups, students analyzed the impact of specific word choices on the meaning, tone, and mood in multiple news articles. (See the list of articles in English and Spanish under Activity 2, or find news stories from around the world.)
- **Activity 3**: Students compared and contrasted different perspectives on a topic and the impact of specific word choices on the meaning, tone, and mood of news articles. Students completed a T-chart graphic organizer in the Article Comparison handout, then shared their responses verbally before writing a short response.

The following Reading Standards were addressed in this section:

- **8 Reading 4**: Determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text, including figurative and connotative meanings. Analyze the impact of specific word choices on meaning, tone, and mood, including words with multiple meanings.
- **8 Reading 6**: In literary texts, analyze how the differences between the point of view, perspectives of the characters, the audience, or reader create effects such as mood and tone. In informational texts, analyze how the author addresses conflicting evidence or viewpoints.
- **8 Reading 9**: Choose and develop criteria in order to evaluate the quality of texts. Make connections to other texts, ideas, cultural perspectives, eras, and personal experiences.
Activity 1: Developing Metalinguistic Awareness in English: Analyzing the Word Choices and Perspectives in a 1945 Article

Ms. McKenna began this activity by asking students to **work in groups** to analyze the Summary Table of Accurate Terms from the *Power of Words Handbook* (Table 2). Ms. McKenna grouped students based on their English language proficiencies, English reading levels, and their previous understanding of the topic. She knew that the content vocabulary and major concepts specific to Japanese learned in Spanish in their bilingual history class would support their learning in English. Spanish-dominant students were grouped with students who are comfortable speaking about this content in English and Spanish.

Since the students were already familiar with these terms from their history class, Ms. McKenna gave them a few minutes to review a list of bilingual definitions of each term with a partner. Then, in groups, students **discussed the visual and feeling that each word invoked** in them before drawing or writing those feelings next to the word in the Table of Accurate Terms. Finally, Ms. McKenna elicited a class definition of euphemisms by asking each group of students to categorize the terms “died” and “passed away” from the previous lesson, and then generate their own definition to share with the class.

**LIFELONG PRACTICES OF READERS AND WRITERS:**

ENRICH PERSONAL LANGUAGE, BACKGROUND KNOWLEDGE, AND VOCABULARY THROUGH READING AND COMMUNICATING WITH OTHERS.
Table 2: Summary Table of Accurate Terms from Power of Words Handbook: A Guide to Language About Japanese Americans in World War II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUMMARY TABLE OF ACCURATE TERMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The table below, constructed from Ishizuka’s list (Ishizuka, 2006, p.72), summarizes the various euphemistic terms and their more accurate counterparts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EUPHEMISM</th>
<th>ACCURATE TERM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>evacuation</td>
<td>exclusion, or forced removal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relocation</td>
<td>incarceration in camps; also used after release from camp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-aliens</td>
<td>U.S. citizens of Japanese ancestry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>civilian exclusion</td>
<td>detention orders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>any or all persons</td>
<td>primarily persons of Japanese ancestry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>may be excluded</td>
<td>evicted from one's home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>native American</td>
<td>renunciants (citizens who, under pressure, renounced U.S. citizenship)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assembly center</td>
<td>temporary detention facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relocation center</td>
<td>American concentration camp, incarceration camp, illegal detention center;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>inmates held here are ‘incarcerees’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>internment center</td>
<td>reserve for DOJ or Army camp holding alien enemies under Alien Enemies Act 1798</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ms. McKenna continued the reading activity by asking students to glance at the images in and the title of the article, “Coast Japs Are Interned in Mountain Camp” from Life magazine, April 6, 1945, and share observations, generate questions, and make predictions about the article. These questions established connections to students’ prior knowledge about the content and allowed Ms. McKenna to assess their understandings. Then, Ms. McKenna asked students to work in groups to annotate the titles and subtitles with their reactions and interpretations. As she frequently did, Ms. McKenna reminded students to use their full linguistic repertoires to comprehend the text, since the skills and knowledge that one learns in one language helps them build on what they know and can do in the other language (Escamilla, et. al, 2014). Table 3 demonstrates how one group of students annotated the subtitle “Internees Get Settled in Their Wartime Home High in the Spectacular Sierras.” Together, the students spoke about their interpretations and the impact and meaning of each word. They interpreted settled as “estar cómodo” (to be comfortable), drew a happy face and a house with a heart and flower around the word “home,” and annotated the word “spectacular” with the words “happy,” “vacation,” and “¡wao!” This students’ sample demonstrated that Ms. McKenna has created a classroom environment in which students feel comfortable using all their linguistic and content background knowledge to communicate and construct meaning.
Next, Ms. McKenna engaged the whole class in a discussion about the connection between the euphemisms in the subtitles and the author's perspective. She asked students to infer the type of narrative they expect to be getting from the article. To support students in making and sharing these inferences, Ms. McKenna gave them the option of using this bilingual sentence frame:

- I can assume that ____________ because the author uses words like _____________.
- Yo supongo que ________________ porque el autor usa palabras como _________________.

The next part of the activity asked the students to read the article in groups and analyze the euphemisms and perspectives in each paragraph. First, Ms. McKenna modeled how she analyzed the perspectives and impact of specific word choices on the meaning, tone, mood, and attitude in the first paragraph by annotating and thinking aloud to answer the questions in the Article Analysis handout (see Appendix A). She also modeled referring to the Summary Table of Accurate Terms (Table 2) to help her identify the euphemisms in paragraph 1.
From Life Magazine, April 6, 1945

In a high mountain-walled California valley 240 miles from the sea, the vanguard of 112,000 Japanese residents of the proscribed Pacific Coast combat zone were settled comfortably last week, prepared to wait out the war in willing and not unprofitable internment. Of 1,000-odd Japs who arrived at the Government’s Manzanar “reception center” in Owens Valley, March 22-23, more than four fifths were citizens of the U.S. All were volunteers who had offered their services to help prepare the encampment for those who will necessarily follow. For in the next month, the Army warns, evacuation of all Japanese and all German and Italian aliens from the West Coast’s military zone must be complete.

The questions Ms. McKenna asked her students included:

- Is this a primary source or a secondary source? How do you know?
- Whose perspective are we getting? How can you tell?
- For what audience/readers do you think this article was intended?
- What is the author’s attitude towards Japanese Americans and their incarceration? What words communicate that attitude?
- What is the agenda or objective of the article? How do you know? (Quote specific examples from the text as evidence.)
- What, if any, evidence of prejudice or stereotyping did your group find in this piece?
- What is the mood or tone of the article? What specific word choices create this mood or tone?

After modeling and guiding students in this analysis, Ms. McKenna allowed students to work in groups to examine the narrative in the rest of the article, annotate the text with their own analysis, and answer the questions on the Article Analysis handout. Students were reminded to speak about their
analysis and agree (negotiate) as a group before annotating. At the end of the activity, each group shared one or two of the euphemisms they recorded on their Article Analysis handout.

**Metalinguistic Awareness: Developing Knowledge About Words and Linguistic Structures in Partnerships and Small and Whole Class Settings**

One of the instructional activities that Ms. McKenna considers salient for her students’ growth is the development of metalinguistic understanding that allows the students to center their attention on cross-linguistic comparisons. These pedagogical practices allow the students to develop their content knowledge and language understanding. The first element she focuses on is vocabulary knowledge.

**Cognates:** Before the students read the articles, it was important for Ms. McKenna to analyze and model how understanding the figurative, connotative, and technical words in a text support reading comprehension. She began by looking for words that have cognates in their home languages and then discussed whether they have the same effect on the reader across languages. In this unit, Ms. McKenna reminded the class that students at all levels of English proficiency would benefit from recognizing the various cognates in the articles. For example, while analyzing the text, she asked students to think of what the following cognates mean in Spanish: evacuación, comfortable, campamento, and residente. These words were then added to the Cognates Word Wall in the classroom. Color coding was used to denote the similar and different letters in each cognate, so students could more carefully examine the differences. This activity allowed students to capitalize on their bilingualism to better understand how these terms function as euphemisms in the articles.

**False Cognates:** Ms. McKenna frequently helps her students be conscious of the cross-linguistic benefits they have as bilinguals, but also of the precautions they should take when they encounter visually similar words. She makes a point of frequently reminding students to be aware of false cognates—words that are visually similar but have differing meanings in each language. Analyzing false cognates can increase word consciousness, or metacognition of words, as well as an interest in learning more words and learning more about words (Graves & Watts-Taffe, 2009; Velasco, 2015). Using this strategy, Ms. McKenna presented both words in a sentence for students to encounter the false cognates and reflect on the meaning of each word. Students were then given time to enjoy the interplay between languages and develop a better understanding of the words by creating their own sentences with a partner. Some
examples of the sentences that Ms. McKenna’s students formulated during this particular lesson are included below. The false cognates “deception” and “decepción” appear in italics.

In these examples, deception in English means “betrayal,” but decepción in Spanish means “disappointment.” The students came to better understand the different meanings of each term as they shared their sentences with one another.

He was decepcionado about the government’s deception.
That deception was a major decepción!
Él sentía una gran decepción porque ellos lo engañaron con esa deception.

The following Language Standards were addressed in this section:

- **8 Language 4:** Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases, choosing flexibly from a range of strategies.
- **8 Language 5:** Demonstrate understanding of figurative language, word relationships, and nuances in word meanings

**Activity 2: Analyzing Perspectives and Word Choices in Various News Articles**

For the second reading activity, which took place over the course of four days, Ms. McKenna gave students the opportunity to practice their analytical skills with texts they had previously read. In small groups, students returned to 3 to 5 news articles written in Spanish and/or English that they had recently read with Ms. McKenna or with their history teacher (see Table 4). Students returned to the articles with the purpose of analyzing the authors’ word choices and perspectives, as they had done in the first activity. Each student reread and analyzed at least one article from the 1940s that includes racist tones and euphemistic wording, as well as one contemporary article with more accurate
wording. Students annotated the articles that they read and chose two articles to analyze using the Article Analysis handout (see Appendices A and B).

Ms. McKenna wanted her students to actively help each other build comprehension, so she designed her classroom activities to center on cooperative learning. She recognized that strategic grouping could allow bilingual students to make stronger cross-linguistic comparisons and reflections and support the development of their two languages. For Activities 2 and 3, students must understand and discuss the effect of word choice, sentence construction, the inclusion of transitional words, and overall text structure in both languages. Since students would be rereading articles they had already read and analyzed in their history class, Ms. McKenna allowed students to choose the articles they were most interested in and grouped them accordingly. This also meant that students were grouped based on their independent reading levels in English and Spanish, since the articles corresponded to those.

Flexible grouping: In settings with various home languages, a jigsaw reading can be organized using the following steps:

- Assign each student to a “home group” of 3 to 5 students who read an article in their home language.
- As they read the article, the students should note, underline, or circle the bits of information they believe are key elements. These key elements might include facts, descriptions, or details of events.
- Students can be asked to discuss and complete the Article Analysis handout.
- Create “expert groups” that consist of students across “home groups.” Ask students to join the groups and share what they have learned from their stories.
- Ask each group to take notes about what the stories have in common in terms of the content, perspectives, and word choices.
- Have each group share one or two things the articles have in common.

To effectively engage students in collaborative discussions and reading activities, Ms. McKenna referred to the New Language Arts Progressions (NLAP) Standard 4 of Grade 8 Reading for Information of the Bilingual Common Core Progression. The Linguistic Demand section for Grade 7 also informed Ms. McKenna’s practice since the NLAP for eighth grade does not include a Linguistic Demand section.

---

Cooperative learning is an educational approach which aims to organize classroom activities into academic and social learning experiences that foster positive interdependence.
### HOME LANGUAGE ARTS PROGRESSIONS (ELA/NLA)

| Common Core Anchor Standard (RL.4): Interpret words and phrases as they are used in a text, including determining technical, connotative and figurative meanings, and analyze how specific word choices shape meaning or tone. | MAIN ACADEMIC DEMAND
Analyze the Meaning and Impact of Word Choice |
|---|---|
| Common Core Grade 8 Standard (RI.8.4): Determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text, including figurative, connotative and technical meanings; analyze the impact of specific word choices on meaning and tone, including analogies or allusions to other texts. | GRADE LEVEL ACADEMIC DEMAND
Determine Figurative, Connotative and Technical Meanings of Words and Phrases
Analyze Analogies and Allusions to Texts and Impact of Word Choices on Meaning and Tone |

#### 5 Levels of Literacy Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Entering (Beginner)</th>
<th>Emerging (Low Intermediate)</th>
<th>Transitioning (High Intermediate)</th>
<th>Expanding (Advanced)</th>
<th>Commanding (Proficient)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RECEPTIVE</td>
<td>Listening-Centered Activity: Organize preidentified words and phrases on a semantic web to determine the meanings of figurative, connotative and technical words and phrases, as a teacher reads aloud in partnership and/or teacher-led small groups.</td>
<td>Listening-Centered Activity: Organize a bank of words and phrases on a semantic web to determine the meanings of figurative, connotative and technical words and phrases, as a teacher reads aloud in partnership and/or small groups.</td>
<td>Listening-Centered Activity: Organize words and phrases independently on a partially completed semantic web to determine the meanings of figurative, connotative and technical words and phrases, as a teacher reads aloud in partnership, small group and/or whole class settings.</td>
<td>Listening-Centered Activity: Organize words and phrases, after teacher modeling, on a self-created semantic web to determine the meanings of figurative, connotative and technical words and phrases, as a teacher reads aloud in partnership, small group and/or whole class settings.</td>
<td>Listening-Centered Activity: Organize words and phrases independently on a self-created semantic web to determine the meanings of figurative, connotative and technical words and phrases, as a teacher reads aloud in partnership, small group and/or whole class settings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oracy and Literacy Links</td>
<td>Reading-Centered Activity: Organize preidentified words on a three-column chart (Column 1: Specific sentence from the text; Column 2: Same sentence using different words; Column 3: How do your changes alter the meaning and tone of the text?) to analyze the impact of a specific word choice on meaning and tone.</td>
<td>Reading-Centered Activity: Organize a bank of words on a three-column chart (Column 1: Specific sentence from the text; Column 2: Same sentence using different words; Column 3: How do your changes alter the meaning and tone of the text?) to analyze the impact of a specific word choice on meaning and tone.</td>
<td>Reading-Centered Activity: Organize words independently on a partially completed three-column chart (Column 1: Specific sentence from the text; Column 2: Same sentence using different words; Column 3: How do your changes alter the meaning and tone of the text?) to analyze the impact of a specific word choice on meaning and tone.</td>
<td>Reading-Centered Activity: Organize words, after teacher modeling, on a self-created three-column chart (Column 1: Specific sentence from the text; Column 2: Same sentence using different words; Column 3: How do your changes alter the meaning and tone of the text?) to analyze the impact of a specific word choice on meaning and tone.</td>
<td>Reading-Centered Activity: Organize words independently on a self-created three-column chart to analyze the impact of specific words on meaning and tone.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When developing home language literacy, using grade level texts and appropriate supports, students are able to:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5 Levels of Literacy Development</th>
<th>Entering (Beginner)</th>
<th>Emerging (Low Intermediate)</th>
<th>Transitioning (High Intermediate)</th>
<th>Expanding (Advanced)</th>
<th>Commanding (Proficient)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRODUCTIVE</strong> Oracy and Literacy Links</td>
<td>Speaking Centered Activity: Use preidentified words and phrases and the previously completed graphic organizers to complete sentence starters that explain the impact of analogies or allusions on other texts, in partnership and/or teacher-led small groups.</td>
<td>Speaking Centered Activity: Use a bank of phrases and short sentences and the previously completed graphic organizers to explain the impact of analogies or allusions on other texts, in partnership and/or small groups.</td>
<td>Speaking Centered Activity: Use a glossary and the previously completed graphic organizers to explain the impact of analogies or allusions on other texts, in partnership, small group and/or whole class settings.</td>
<td>Speaking Centered Activity: Use the previously completed graphic organizers, after teacher modeling, to explain the impact of analogies or allusions on other texts, in partnership, small group and/or whole class settings.</td>
<td>Speaking Centered Activity: Use the previously completed graphic organizers to independently explain the impact of analogies or allusions on other texts, in partnership, small group and/or whole class settings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Common Core Grade 8 Standard (RL.8.4):</strong> Determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in a text, including figurative, connotative and technical meanings; analyze the impact of specific word choices on meaning and tone, including analogies or allusions to other texts.</td>
<td><strong>GRADE LEVEL ACADEMIC DEMAND</strong> Determine Figurative, Connotative and Technical Meanings of Words and Phrases Analyze Analogies and Allusions to Texts and Impact of Word Choices on Meaning and Tone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Linguistic Demands:</strong> The following are examples in English that may vary based on the language of instruction. In the first three levels (entering, emerging and transitioning), students can approach these linguistic demands in the new and/or home language.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Identify words and phrases that have a figurative meaning in the text (words that produce an image in the reader’s mind).</td>
<td>• Identify words and phrases that have a connotative meaning in the text.</td>
<td>• Identify allusions to other texts (e.g., the Bible).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Example to Address the Linguistic Demands</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See Grades 1, 4, 7 and 11–12 of this standard for examples of linguistic demands in Spanish, Chinese, Arabic, Bengali and Haitian Creole.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Seventh grade excerpt, RI.7.4:

(Eighth grade does not have a CCLS linguistic demand section for HLAP)

**Linguistic Demands:** The following are examples in Spanish and English that may vary based on the language of instruction. In the first three levels (entering, emerging and transitioning), students can approach these linguistic demands in the new and/or home language.

- Identify words and phrases that have a figurative meaning in the text (words that produce an image in the reader’s mind; but his legacy remains).
- Identify words and phrases that have a technical meaning in the text (e.g., NASA, Voyager, patent).
- Identify words and phrases that have a connotative meaning in the text (e.g., everyone’s jaw dropped).
- Identify the impact of a specific word that is repeated throughout the text (e.g., color).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text Excerpt</th>
<th>Teacher Directions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Guillermo González Camarena, inventor de la televisión a color** Pocas personas saben que el inventor de la televisión a color fue un mexicano nacido en 1917. La construcción de la televisión a color se veía como una misión imposible, pues las piezas que requería no sólo eran difíciles de conseguir, sino que además su precio era muy elevado. Sin embargo, González Camarena no se desanimó. Fue en el año de 1939 cuando presentó su flamante aparato de televisión a color en su misma casa, ante el asombro de todos los asistentes. Todos se quedaron boquiabiertos. El 19 de agosto de 1940, a sus escasos 23 años de edad, se le otorgó la patente de la televisión a color por parte de México y Estados Unidos. González Camarena murió en 1965. **Pero su legado perdura.** Un sistema de televisión en color similar al inventado por González Camarena fue utilizado en la misión *Voyager de la NASA* en 1979, para tomar fotos y video de Júpiter. | In a mini lesson and small group/whole class conversations, analyze how understanding the figurative, connotative and technical words in a text enables comprehension:
- Identify words and phrases (bold) that have a figurative meaning in the text: words that produce an image in the reader’s mind (e.g., Pero su legado perdura/But his legacy remains).
- Identify words and phrases (italics) that have a technical meaning in the text: words and phrases specific to a subject area (e.g., patente/patent, Voyager/Voyager, NASA/NASA).
- Identify words and phrases (underline) that have a connotative meaning in a text: words can gather positive or negative connotations based on the contexts in which they are used (e.g., Todos se quedaron boquiabiertos/Everyone’s jaw dropped has a positive connotation in the text).
- Identify the impact of a specific word that is repeated throughout the text (wavy underline) (e.g., color/color). |

Activity 3: Comparing Authors’ Perspectives

In the third reading activity, students in Ms. McKenna’s class compared and contrasted authors’ perspectives and the impact specific word choices had on the meaning, tone, and mood of multiple news articles. Some students continued to work in their same groups, while others chose to work with partners who analyzed the same articles as them in Activity 2. Students used the T-chart graphic organizer in the Article Comparison handout to guide their analysis (see Appendix B).

Ms. McKenna modeled the practice of referring to both sides of the T-chart to construct a comparative sentence. Students were also asked to share a comparative sentence aloud before writing their short response.

Ms. McKenna provided these sentence frames as scaffolds:

**Both authors** ____________________________________________________________.

**Ambos autores usan** ____________________________________________________.

In ______________ the author uses the words _______, _______, and __________.

**Those words show/create** _______________________________________________. On the contrary, the author of ______________ uses words like _______, _______, and __________ which show/create ____________________________________________________________.

En ______________ la/el autor/a usa las palabras___________, _________, y ________.

**Esas palabras muestran/crean** ____________________________________________.

Al contrario, la/el autor/a de ______________ usa palabras como ______________,

___________, y __________, cuales demuestran/ crean___________________________.

**Although both authors** _________________________________________________,

similarity
In a high mountain-walled California valley 240 miles from the sea, the vanguard of 112,000 Japanese residents of the proscribed Pacific Coast combat zone were settled comfortably last week, prepared to wait out the war in willing and not unprofitable internment. Of 1,000-odd Japs who arrived at the Government’s Manzanar “reception center” in Owens Valley, March 22-23, more than four fifths were citizens of the U.S. All were volunteers who had offered their services to help prepare the encampment for those who will necessarily follow. For in the next month, the Army warns, evacuation of all Japanese and all German and Italian aliens from the West Coast’s military zone must be complete.

MANZANAR - La entrada de Estados Unidos en la Segunda Guerra Mundial tras el ataque a Pearl Harbor dio pie a uno de los capítulos más oscuros y desconocidos de su historia, cuando miles de japoneses fueron confinados como enemigos en campos de concentración. Washington temió represalias tras declararle la guerra al imperio nipón un día después de la ofensiva en Hawái, el 7 de diciembre de 1941, y puso en marcha la maquinaria para proteger su territorio.

La medida más radical fue la orden ejecutiva 9066 que firmó el presidente Franklin D. Roosevelt el 19 de febrero de 1942 y que delimitó las zonas militares de exclusión en las que controlar al rival. El gobierno creó en ellas diez campos de concentración en California, Utah, Idaho, Wyoming, Colorado, Arizona, Arkansas y Georgia. En total albergaron a más de 112.500 japoneses-estadounidenses hasta 1945. “Fuimos llevados como criminales. Perdimos nuestra libertad y tuvimos que acostumbrarnos a
Cross-linguistic Analysis of Transitional Phrases

Examining the functions and structures of transitional phrases in both English and the Language Other Than English (LOTE) can help students become more competent in using both languages. Such metalinguistic awareness also helps students become aware of similarities and differences in the languages they speak and helps them avoid incorrect direct translations, such as how “on the other hand” does not translate correctly into Spanish as “en la otra mano.” Ms. McKenna’s students came to this understanding during a cross-linguistic activity. Students worked with a partner, combining English and Spanish dominant students, to place the unit’s transitional phrases side-by-side with their Spanish counterparts. Students then shared their observations with the class. Ms. McKenna highlighted the differences the class discussed in the chart below:

Table 5: English and Spanish Compare and Contrast Transitional Words and Phrases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compare &amp; Contrast Language</th>
<th>Palabras para comparar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Differences</strong></td>
<td><strong>Diferencias</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>On the contrary,</em></td>
<td><em>Al contrario,</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>In contrast,</em></td>
<td><em>Por el contrario,</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>On the one hand, other hand,</em></td>
<td><em>En contraste,</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Por un lado, por otro lado</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Similarities</strong></td>
<td><strong>Semejanzas</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Likewise,</em></td>
<td><em>De la misma manera,</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Similarly,</em></td>
<td><em>del mismo modo,</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>de manera similar,</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Igualmente</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following standards were addressed in this section:

- **8 Reading 6**: In literary texts, analyze how the differences between the point of view, perspectives of the characters, the audience, or reader create effects such as mood and tone. In informational texts, analyze how the author addresses conflicting evidence or viewpoints.
- **8 Reading 9**: Choose and develop criteria in order to evaluate the quality of texts. Make connections to other texts, ideas, cultural perspectives, eras, and personal experiences.
- **8 Speaking and Listening 1**: Engage effectively in a range of collaborative discussions with diverse partners; express ideas clearly and persuasively and build on those of others.
- **8 Speaking and Listening 4**: Present claims and findings, emphasizing salient points in a focused, coherent manner with relevant evidence, valid reasoning, and well-chosen details; use appropriate eye contact, adequate volume, and clear enunciation.
Common Core Anchor Standard (SL.1): Prepare for and participate effectively in a range of conversations and collaborations with diverse partners, building on others' ideas and expressing their own clearly and persuasively.

Main Academic Demand
Prepare and Participate in Conversations, Expressing Their Point of View Clearly and Persuasively

Common Core Grade 8 Standard (SL.8.1): Engage effectively in a range of collaborative discussions (one-on-one, in groups and teacher-led) with diverse partners on grade 8 topics, texts and issues, building on others' ideas and expressing their own clearly.

- Come to discussions prepared, having read or researched material under study; explicitly draw on that preparation by referring to evidence on the topic, text or issue to probe and reflect on ideas under discussion;
- Follow rules for collegial discussions and decision-making, track progress toward specific goals and deadlines and define individual roles as needed;
- Pose questions that connect the ideas of several speakers and respond to others questions and comments with relevant evidence, observations and ideas;
- Acknowledge new information expressed by others, and, when warranted, qualify or justify their own views in light of the evidence presented;
- Seek to understand other perspectives and cultures and communicate effectively with audiences or individuals from varied backgrounds.

Grade Level Academic Demand
Participate in Collaborative Conversations
Follow Rules for Discussions
Pose and Answer Questions That Connect Ideas
Acknowledge New Information and Justify One's Own Views

Common Core Grade 8 Standard (SL.8.1): Engage effectively in a range of collaborative discussions (one-on-one, in groups and teacher-led) with diverse partners on grade 8 topics, texts and issues, building on others' ideas and expressing their own clearly.

- Come to discussions prepared, having read or researched material under study; explicitly draw on that preparation by referring to evidence on the topic, text or issue to probe and reflect on ideas under discussion;
- Follow rules for collegial discussions and decision-making, track progress toward specific goals and deadlines and define individual roles as needed;
- Pose questions that connect the ideas of several speakers and respond to others questions and comments with relevant evidence, observations and ideas;
- Acknowledge new information expressed by others, and, when warranted, qualify or justify their own views in light of the evidence presented;
- Seek to understand other perspectives and cultures and communicate effectively with audiences or individuals from varied backgrounds.

Grade Level Academic Demand
Participate in Collaborative Conversations
Follow Rules for Discussions
Pose and Answer Questions That Connect Ideas
Acknowledge New Information and Justify One's Own Views

Linguistic Demands: The following are some examples in English that may vary based on the language of instruction. In the first three levels (entering, emerging, and transitioning), students can approach these linguistic demands in the new and/or home language.

- Use words and phrases to contribute to conversations (e.g., I want to add ______; I think that ______).
- Use question forms to elicit exchanges from peers or adults (e.g., What do you think? Do you disagree/agree?).
- Use words and phrases that express an opinion (e.g., I disagree/agree).
- Use question forms to clarify information (Can you repeat that? What did you mean when you said ______?).
- Use words and phrases to explain (What I mean is ______; What you are saying is ______).

- Use words and phrases to review key ideas (e.g., The key ideas that were considered were ______).
- Use sentence structures that facilitate drawing conclusions based on the discussions (e.g., Before I thought ______ but now I think ______; This makes me realize that ______).
- Use sentence structures that facilitate reflection and paraphrasing (e.g., The author thinks that ______ but you think that ______; This is different because ______).
**Common Core Grade 8 Standard (SL.8.2):** Analyze the purpose of information presented in diverse media and formats (e.g., visually, quantitatively, orally) and evaluate the motives (e.g., social, commercial, political) behind its presentation.

  a. Use their experience and their knowledge of language and logic, as well as culture, to think analytically, address problems creatively, and advocate persuasively.

**Grade Level Academic Demand**

Analyse the Purpose of Information Presented in Diverse Media and Formats
Evaluate the Motives behind Its Presentation

**Linguistic Demands:** The following are some examples in English that may vary based on the language of instruction. In the first three levels (entering, emerging and transitioning), students can approach these linguistic demands in the new and/or home language.

- Identify the purpose of information and evaluate the motives.
- Identify words and phrases (nouns, pronouns and verbs) that are repeated throughout the text to evaluate the purpose and the main idea.
- Use sequencing and chronological markers (e.g., then, after that, in the beginning, in the end) to present a topic.
- Use words and phrases (e.g., like, unlike, whereas, similar to, contrary to, on the one hand, on the other hand) for comparing and contrasting.
- Use cause and effect words (e.g., because, since, so, the consequence was, the reason was, yet) to present information.
- Use signal words (e.g., however, but, nonetheless, even though, notwithstanding) to convey a change of direction.
- Use introductory words and phrases (e.g., in fact, an example, in other words, for instance) to present examples.
- Use transitional words and phrases (e.g., to add, in addition, furthermore, moreover) to convey or add information.
- Use concluding words and phrases (e.g., although this may be true, in contrast, different from, of course, but, at the same time) to present evaluation of the text.

**Example to Address the Linguistic Demands**

*See Grades 1, 4, 7 and 11–12 of this standard for examples of the linguistic demand in Spanish, Chinese, Arabic, Bengali and Haitian Creole.*
Writing Counter-Narrative News Articles

As the unit progressed, students in Ms. McKenna’s class made connections to current perspectives on immigration, gentrification, and “fake news” as ways in which narratives are contested in the world today. Students came to understand some of the ways in which language can influence a public’s perception of events and can serve as a powerful manipulation tool for political and economic purposes that can impact human rights. As the National Council of Teachers of English (2019) highlights in their position statement, it is essential for students to “have an informed discussion and engage with current events and civic issues while staying mindful and critical of the difference between the intent and impact of their language.”

In order to close this segment of the unit, Ms. McKenna gave students the opportunity to take multiple perspectives to construct counter-narratives to news articles that used euphemisms. While some students decided to counter articles about the incarceration experiences of Japanese Americans during WWII, many of the students chose news articles of current events that mattered to them, such as immigration, sports, gentrification, and education policy.

For this final task, students were organized in heterogenous pairs or groups of three with a mix of reading and writing levels, as well as various language proficiencies. Students were encouraged to work with a partner who could support them in using each language to make meaning and as a literary device.

In preparation for this activity, Ms. McKenna referred to the Eighth Grade Standard 3 of the Common Core Writing Bilingual Progressions:
### HOME LANGUAGE ARTS PROGRESSIONS (ELA/NLA)

#### Grade 8: Writing 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Core Anchor Standard (W.3): Write narratives to develop real or imagined experiences or events using effective technique, well-chosen details and well-structured event sequences.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Main Academic Demand**
  Write Detailed Real or Imagined Narratives |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Core Grade 8 Standard (W.8.3): Write narratives to develop real or imagined experiences or events using effective technique, relevant descriptive details and well-structured event sequences.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Grade Level Academic Demand**
  Write Well-Structured Real or Imagined Narratives
  Use Techniques Such as Establishing a Point of View, Dialogue, Pacing, Description and Reflection |

#### Linguistic Demands:
The following are examples in English that may vary based on the language of instruction. In the first three levels (entering, emerging and transitioning), students can approach these linguistic demands in the new and/or home language.

- Use introductory words and phrases (e.g., real or imagined writing can be introduced by a description of the setting [place] or character [nouns]) to orient the reader to a context.  
- Use first or third person (e.g., I am or s/he is) to develop point of view in a narrative.  
- Use sequence words and phrases (e.g., in the beginning, at first, then, what followed, until, meanwhile, when, as, initially) to signal event order.  
- Use transitional words and phrases (e.g., to start, in the next episode, the following period) to convey sequence and signal shifts in time frame or setting.  
- Use precise words such as verbs (e.g., said, whispered, answered, shouted, replied, grumbled), adverbs (e.g., slowly, quickly, carefully, cheerfully, initially) and adjectives (e.g., cheerful, enthusiastic) to capture the action and convey experiences and events.  
- Use words and phrases (e.g., finally, in conclusion, in the end, consequently, thus, hence) to provide closure.

#### Example to Address the Linguistic Demands

*See Grades 1, 4, 7 and 11–12 of this standard for examples of the linguistic demands in Spanish, Chinese, Arabic, Bengali and Haitian Creole.*
Activity 1: Choosing an Article to Counter-Narrate and Brainstorming Counter Words

After the groups were established, the students summarized various news articles they had read and discussed the euphemisms in them. Some students brought in articles they were interested in, while others decided to focus on articles they had read during the ELA reading activities. Ms. McKenna also gave students time to search for articles on the internet, and some students selected articles in Spanish. Talking about the articles and the euphemisms allowed students to recall what the articles were about and decide which one they wanted to counter-narrate.

After students had a chance to plan verbally, Ms. McKenna asked students to plan out the perspective and word choices they wanted to incorporate in their counter news article. Ms. McKenna modeled with the article from the first reading activity, “Coast Japs Are Interned in Mountain Camp.” She explained that in her counter-narrative, she would refer to the Japanese Americans as prisoners instead of “residents.” She then asked students to suggest different ways of saying that they “settled comfortably” as the article states. Students discussed this with their writing partner, and many referred to the analysis they had made on the graphic organizers during the second and third reading activities. Although the final article was to be written in English, students brainstormed and outlined their own articles using the languages in which they felt most comfortable. The Counter News Article Planning Sheet (see Appendix C) supported each group as they brainstormed ideas for their articles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What article are you counter? (title):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Narrative being told:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facts and visuals included:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facts and visuals avoided:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Words/Euphemisms used:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

YOUR COUNTER ARTICLE

What title will you give your counter article?

What narrative do you want to create?

Facts and visuals you will include:

What words will you use to create that narrative?

Teachers of ELLs can also invite students at entering levels in English to use more images to tell their stories.
Activity 2: Planning and Drafting the Counter Article

In the second activity, Ms. McKenna asked students to work with their partner to identify and discuss the elements of the article they were countering, including the headline, introduction, photograph, details, and quotes. Before they did this on their own, Ms. McKenna modeled with the article, “Coast Japs Are Interned in Mountain Camp.” To enhance the students’ literary awareness, Ms. McKenna asked the students to read as writers, reminding them of the mutually supportive roles that reading and writing have: a reader’s insights can be turned around to provide insights into his or her own writing, and writing can inform the reading process. To support students in doing this, Ms. McKenna often had them take off their “readers’ glasses” and put on their “writers’ lens.” For this lesson, she asked students to use their writers’ lens to discern the author’s intentionality behind the elements and information was conveyed. Ms. McKenna guided the class in this analysis by collaboratively answering the following questions about “Coast Japs Are Interned in Mountain Camp”:

- Why do you think that the author used the headline, “Coast Japs Are Interned in Mountain Camp”? What effect did the author expect the headline to have on the reader?
- Of the many photographs available for this author to include in the first page, why do you think the author chose this photograph? What effect did the author expect the image to have on the reader?
- Choose one other photograph in the article. Why do you think the author chose to include this photograph? What effect did the author expect the image to have on the reader?
- Imagine you were the author of this article. Why would you choose to include the subtitle, “Interns get settled in their wartime home high in the spectacular Sierras”? What effect did the author expect the subtitle to have on the reader?
- Select a quote that the author included in this article. What do you think was the author’s purpose of including this specific quote? What effect did the author expect the quote to have on the reader?
After this guided practice, students worked in partnerships to **discuss their own articles** and identify and discuss the elements of the article they were countering, including the headline, introduction, photograph, elaboration, and quotes. **These conversations helped them think about how they would incorporate these elements in their counter articles.** After their discussions, students planned their articles on the Countering News Article Planning Sheet (see Appendix C).

Next, students used their completed Newspaper Article Graphic Organizer to draft their counter articles. During this process, Ms. McKenna suggested that students first draw out the different elements that would be part of their article. Drawing before writing was a brainstorming activity that provided a scaffold for students who were at an Entering level in English to participate in the project. It is also important to note that **conversations** in both languages were frequently part of the brainstorming and drafting process. Ms. McKenna’s focus was to ensure deeper dialogue among the students throughout the process, even though the final product was to be produced in the target language.

### Activity 3: Providing Peer Feedback and Revisions

When students finished their first draft, Ms. McKenna asked them to **share their work with a different group** to get feedback (see Appendix D for News Article Elements Checklist).

Ms. McKenna shared the news article checklist and modeled how to provide feedback that could be useful to the writer using the following prompts:

- The narrative I get from your article is ______________ because you use words like ________________.
- Your article is/is not eye-catching because ________________.
- Your article is well developed, with a headline, introduction, body, and ending.
- After the groups reviewed each other’s articles, students made revisions and finalized their articles.

The following Writing Standards were addressed in this section:
Activity 4: Publishing and Sharing the Counter Articles

A publishing celebration provides an opportunity for young authors to share their final published pieces with an audience. A publishing celebration promotes oral language development, reinforces strategies that good writers use, encourages young people to learn from one another as they read each other’s writing, and highlights different ways in which authors write. In addition, knowing that there is an authentic audience for their work can motivate students to work hard during independent work time.

The students in Ms. McKenna’s class invited parents and another eighth grade class to share their articles with them during the publishing celebration. Before the celebration, the students shared their articles with one another, which allowed them to verbally practice explaining what they had learned from the unit. Ms. McKenna asked students to explain how words can influence a public’s perception of events, and to describe how their counter article challenges the perspectives of the original article.

At the beginning of the celebration, Ms. McKenna asked some of the groups to share their work using a document camera. It was important to set a protocol for feedback before sharing work. Ms. Kaplan explained that visitors could use the following prompts to provide feedback and modeled how to do it:

- I like how you… / Me gusta como tu…
- One question that I have is… /Una pregunta que tengo es…
- Next time you could… / La próxima vez, puedes…

Each partnership displayed their article in the room with a notepad for “comments and questions.” Next to the notepad, Ms. McKenna posted the three prompts in English and Spanish. For homework, Ms. McKenna asked students to write a short reflection about their overall presentation and the feedback they received.
Table 6: Summary of the Strategies Ms. McKenna Used to Increase the Understanding, Engagement, and Participation of All Students

**Oral Language Development, Metalinguistic Awareness, and Flexible Groupings**

These instructional strategies are meant to overlap. For instance, students working in a small group can use oral language to discuss word choices, translate words, and gain metalinguistic knowledge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oral Language Development</th>
<th>Metalinguistic Awareness</th>
<th>Flexible Groupings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Built background knowledge in all students and structured conversations in order to provide students with a framework for situating the new information</td>
<td>• Engaged in a cross-linguistic analysis of comparative transitional phrases and transitional words in both languages</td>
<td>Teachers grouped students based on:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Supported the comparative analysis of word meanings: cognates and false cognates</td>
<td>• Cross-linguistic language proficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Emphasized key words throughout the analysis of news articles</td>
<td>• Built understanding of the power words (euphemisms) used to influence a public’s perception of events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Encouraged reading and peer discussion of texts</td>
<td>• Translated segments of texts in order to focus on word choices, word meanings, and linguistic structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Provided opportunities for students to ask their own questions to clarify meaning</td>
<td>• Built understanding of the impact of images and non-linguistic elements of news articles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Used bilingual sentence frames when students needed support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resources
Densho.org https://densho.org/terminology/
https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5e8e0d3e848b7a506128dddff/t/5ffe861741448928cd131066/1610384921163/POW-Handbook-Rev2020-V4.pdf
English Language Learner/Multilingual Learner Educator Resources http://www.nysed.gov/bilingual-ed/english-language-learnermultilingual-learner-educator-resources
Linguistically Diverse Learners and the NYS Next Generation P-12 Learning Standards
Online Newspaper Directory for the Newspapers Around the World organized by region
http://www.onlinenewspapers.com
Editorials, Opinions, and Advice Columns from appropriate and relevant multilingual newspapers recommendations from “Translanguaging in curriculum and instruction: A CUNY-NYSIEB guide for educators.” (p. 97)
• Al-Hoda [Arabic]
• Akhon Samoy [Bengali]
• Du Wei Times [Chinese]
• The Daily News [English]
• The Village Voice [English]
• VOA Novel [Haitian Creole]
• Nowy Dziennik [Polish]
• Russian Bazaar [Russian]
• El Diario La Prensa [Spanish]

News Articles in Spanish and English
Campos de concentración de japoneses, el lado menos conocido de EEUU en la II Guerra Mundial
https://www.elnuevoherald.com/noticias/estados-unidos/article30483810.html

I Know What Incarceration Does to Families. It Happened to Mine.

A short introduction to the history of the exclusion and incarceration of Japanese-Americans during WWII
http://encyclopedia.densho.org/history/

Japanese Seizure Ordered by Biddle, The Times, Dec. 8, 1941

1000 Japs Arrive at Manzanar Colony, The San Francisco News, March 24, 1942
http://www.sfmuseum.org/hist8/evac2.html

Los campos de concentración en Estados unidos durante la Segunda Guerra Mundial

Isamu Carlos Shibayama y la persecución de los japoneses latinoamericanos: un expediente abierto

California Aliens Face Changed Way / Great Areas of the State to Be Affected by Restrictions or Forced Removals, Feb. 5, 1942
https://timesmachine.nytimes.com/timesmachine/1942/02/05/85229953.pdf

El drama de los peruano-japoneses encarcelados en campos de detención en EEUU.
https://www.bbc.com/mundo/noticias/2015/01/141212_eeeu_peru_japoneses_campos_internamiento_o_guerra_mundial_jg
Appendix A: Article Analysis Handout

Name: _____________________________ Date: _____________

Article: _______________________________________________________

Is this a primary source or a secondary source? How do you know?

From what point of view is the article written?

Whose perspective are we getting? How do you know?

What is the mood or tone of the article? What specific word choices create this mood/tone?

What does the author think about Japanese incarceration during WWII? How do you know?

What do you think this author wants the readers to believe? (What is the author’s agenda?)

Do you think other people had an opposing perspective from this author? Who? What do you think an article with an opposing tone would be like?
Appendix B: Article Comparison Handout

Name: ________________________    Date: _____________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article title:</th>
<th>Article title:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of source:</td>
<td>Type of source:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whose</strong> perspective are we getting?</td>
<td><strong>Whose</strong> perspective are we getting?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facts and visuals included:</td>
<td>Facts and visuals included:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facts and visuals avoided:</td>
<td>Facts and visuals avoided:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Words/Euphemisms used:</td>
<td>Words/Euphemisms used:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrative being told:</td>
<td>Narrative being told:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reading Response**

**Answer the following question on a separate piece of paper:**

How do authors of news articles use specific word choices to create a narrative and influence the public's perception of events? Compare and contrast two accounts of the same event.
Appendix C: Counter News Article Planning Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name: ___________________________</th>
<th>Date: ____________</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**What article are you countering? (title):**

**Narrative being told:**

**Facts and visuals *included*:**

**Facts and visuals *avoided*:**

**Words/Euphemisms used:**

---

**YOUR COUNTER ARTICLE**

**What title will you give your counter article?**

**What narrative do you want to create?**

**Facts and visuals you will *include*:**

**What words will you use to create that narrative?**
Appendix D: Checklist of News Article Elements

Name: _____________________________    Date: _____________

Headline
Gives the reader an idea of what the article is about
Contains eye-catching words to grab the attention of the reader

Introduction *(printed in bold font)*
Tells readers in a more detailed way what article is about
Must be interesting so that readers continue reading

Photographs
Incorporates a photograph that can add credibility to your perspective.

Elaboration
Provides more information about the story that is outlined in the introduction.

Narrative
Use words that add to the narrative created.
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