



Principal Project Advisory Team (Phase 2)
Wednesday, Mar. 21, 2018 (10:30 am – 2:30 pm)
New York State School Board Association
24 Century Hill Drive, Latham, NY (Lashway Conf Center)

1. Welcome and introductions (10:30 am)
2. Meeting Goal: Reach consensus on final language for recommendations in each area of our 5-part charge
3. Minutes: Review and accept or agree on any needed change
4. Logic Models (10:35 am)
Objective: Decide whether to support a revised logic model
5. “First Principles” (10:40 am)
Objective: Decide how many and which to adopt
6. Straw Poll (11:00 am)
Objective: If we called the question now, what level of support exists for the preliminary recommendations “as is”?
7. Food for thought (11:05 am)
Objective: One by one, each small work group provides a brief flyover on its recommendation(s) and invites input from colleagues
8. Break-out Session for Small Work Groups and Working Lunch (11:30 am)
Objective: Use input from Phase 2 colleagues to finalize recommendations
Directions: Each group refines recommendations (prominently listing proposed changes on chart paper)
 - Questions for Small Group on University Based Preparation Program Standards
 - Is it useful to offer a recommendation concerning MCEAP’s suggestion that cultural responsiveness does not go far enough?
 - Questions for Small Group on Competency Based Assessment
 - Does enough evidence exist to conclude competency-based assessment is worth pursuing (if not what more is needed)?
 - Questions for Small Group on Micro Credentials
 - What means do we recommend so we can confidently say micro-credentials are portable and recognized throughout NYS?
 - Questions for the Small Group on Standards for Supervisors (in this context, the term “SDL” refers to School District Leaders)
 - Do we prefer the term “ensure” or “take steps designed to ensure”?
 - Questions for the Small Group on P20 Partnerships
 - Do we prefer to use the term “best practices” or “promising practices”?
9. Report out (12:45 pm)
Objective: Understand final form of the proposed recommendations from each of our five groups
10. Calling the Question (1:15 pm)
Objective: Identify how many and which recommendations enjoy consensus support of the Phase 2 team.
11. Overview of the Final Report from the Phase 2 Team; contents and timing for production (1:45 pm)

Objective: Identify elements of the final report, to include:

- Thank you to the Commissioner, Board of Regents, Wallace Foundation, NYSSBA, others?
- Members
- How Phase 2 builds on and extends work of our predecessors
- Process used to assemble recommendations and gain consensus (Phase 2 Team chairs, five work groups, small group co-leaders)
- First principles
- Recommendations

12. Possible Next Steps, including possibly a Phase 3 (2:00 pm)

Objective: Decide our response if asked by the Commissioner/Regents about possible next steps.

13. Evaluating this effort (2:15 pm)

Objective: Identify strengths and areas of possible improvement related to the process and product.

14. Adjourn (2:30 pm)