November 9, 2021

Revised

Bryna Booth, Superintendent
Pine Valley Central School District (South Dayton)
7755 Rte. 83
South Dayton, NY 14138

Dear Superintendent Booth:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your educator evaluation plan (“plan”) meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner’s Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your educator evaluation form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visit category, and/or if the teachers’ or principals’ overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visit category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Betty A. Rosa
Commissioner

Attachment

c: David O’rourke
NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your educator evaluation plan have been reviewed and are considered as part of your plan; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your plan but are not incorporated by reference in your plan have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your plan and/or require corrective action.
Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

The Department will review the contents of each local educational agency's (LEA) Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in an LEA’s plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from an LEA to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Each LEA is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of an LEA’s plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the LEA are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the LEA, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject or disapprove this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

APPR Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- Assure that the content of this form represents the LEA’s entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that a detailed version of the LEA’s entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- Assure that it is understood that this LEA's APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website* following approval.
Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Each teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) locally determined, consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

• identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;
• identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA’s focus on a specific priority area(s);
• the impact on the LEA’s ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator’s effectiveness; and
• when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> School- or program-wide

• School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
• School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
• School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.
• State assessment(s); or
  Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

• third party assessments; or
• locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

### HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SLO Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assume that the teacher has an SLO as determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- Assume that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- Assume that student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- Assume that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- Assume that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assume that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.
- Assume that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
Common Branch Kindergarten Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for kindergarten teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for kindergarten:
- Complete this section accordingly for common branch teachers.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select the “Elementary” option for applicable subjects in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

  • Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

  • School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  
  • School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
  
  • School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

  • District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  
  • District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

### Kindergarten: Measure Type

- School- or program-wide

### Kindergarten: School or Program-Wide Measure

- School- or program-wide results

### Kindergarten: Assessment Type(s)

- State or Regents assessment(s)

#### Kindergarten: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- Grade 3 ELA
- Grade 4 ELA
- Grade 5 ELA
- Grade 6 ELA
- Grade 3 Math
- Grade 4 Math
- Grade 5 Math
- Grade 6 Math
Common Branch Grade One Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade one teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade one:
- Complete this section accordingly for common branch teachers.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select the “Elementary” option for applicable subjects in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 1: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 1: School- or Program-Wide Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 1: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 1: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ Grade 3 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Grade 4 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Grade 5 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Grade 6 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Grade 3 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Grade 4 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Grade 5 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Grade 6 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Common Branch Grade Two Measures and Asssessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade two teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade two:
- Complete this section accordingly for common branch teachers.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select the “Elementary” option for applicable subjects in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

### Grade 2: Measure Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School- or program-wide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Grade 2: School- or Program-Wide Measure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School- or program-wide results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Grade 2: Assessment Type(s)

- **State or Regents assessment(s)**

### Grade 2: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- Grade 3 ELA
- Grade 4 ELA
- Grade 5 ELA
- Grade 6 ELA
- Grade 3 Math
- Grade 4 Math
- Grade 5 Math
- Grade 6 Math
Common Branch Grade Three Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade three teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade three:
- Complete this section accordingly for common branch teachers.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select the “Elementary” option for applicable subjects in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 3: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teacher and course-specific</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 3: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒ State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 3: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Grade 3 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Grade 3 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grade Four

Please identify below whether grade four instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade four teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade four:
- Select the applicable “Departmentalized” option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select “Common Branch” in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure
> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure
> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade four in your LEA.

- [ ] Common branch
Grade Four (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 4: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teacher and course-specific</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Four: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Four: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grade Five

Please identify below whether grade five instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade five teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade five:
- Select the applicable “Departmentalized” option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select “Common Branch” in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure
- Teacher and course-specific
  - **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure
- School- or program-wide
  - **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
  - **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

- District- or BOCES-wide
  - **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/group of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade five in your LEA.

- [x] Common branch
### Grade Five (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

**Grade 5: Measure Type**
- Teacher and course-specific

**Grade 5: Assessment Type(s)**
- State or Regents assessment(s)

**Grade 5: State or Regents Assessment(s)**
- Grade 5 ELA
- Grade 5 Math
Grade Six
Please identify below whether grade six instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade six teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade six:
- Select the applicable “Departmentalized” option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select “Common Branch” in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

**An individually attributed SLO measure**

- **Teacher and course-specific**
  - **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

**A collectively attributed SLO measure**

- **School- or program-wide**
  - **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
  - **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

- **District- or BOCES-wide**
  - **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade six in your LEA.

- [ ] Common branch
### Grade Six (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 6: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teacher and course-specific</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 6: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 6: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 6 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 6 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grade Seven

Please identify below whether grade seven instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade seven teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade seven:
- Select the applicable “Departmentalized” option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select “Common Branch” in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade seven in your LEA.

☑ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)
Grade Seven (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)
Grade seven departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 7: Measure Type
- School- or program-wide

Grade 7: School- or Program-Wide Measure
- School- or program-wide results

Grade 7: Assessment Type(s)
- State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 7: State or Regents Assessment(s)
- Grade 7 ELA
- Grade 8 ELA
- Grade 7 Math
- Grade 8 Math
- Grade 8 Science
Grade Eight Measures and Assessments

Please identify below whether grade eight instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade eight teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade eight:
- Select the applicable “Departmentalized” option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select “Common Branch” in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

• **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

• **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade eight in your LEA.

☐ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)
Grade Eight (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade eight departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 8: Measure Type</th>
<th>School- or program-wide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 8: School- or Program-Wide Measure</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Eight: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Eight: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 7 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 8 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 7 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 8 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 8 Science</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
High School English Language Arts

Note: Additional high school English courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school ELA teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

• School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether grades 9 through 12 ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☐ All high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)
**High School ELA (All Grades) Measure and Assessment(s)**

**High School ELA: Measure Type**
- School- or program-wide

**High School ELA: School- or Program-Wide Measure**
- School- or program-wide group or team results

**High School ELA: Assessment Type(s)**
- State or Regents assessment(s)

**High School ELA: State or Regents Assessment(s)**
- ELA Regents
High School Regents Math

Note: Additional high school math courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents math teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

  • Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

  • School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

  • School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

  • School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

  • District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

  • District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents math teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

- All high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)
Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS Regents Math (all courses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School Regents Math: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School Regents Math: School- or Program-Wide Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide group or team results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School Regents Math: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School Regents Math: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algebra I Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometry Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra II Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
High School Regents Science

Note: Additional high school science courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents science teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

• School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents science teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑ All high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)
### High School Regents Science (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School Regents Science: Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School Regents Science: School- or Program-Wide Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide group or team results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School Regents Science: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School Regents Science: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ Living Environment Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Earth Science Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Chemistry Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Physics Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
High School Regents Social Studies: Measures and Assessments

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents social studies teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

• School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents social studies teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

- All high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)
Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS Regents SS (all courses)

### High School Regents Social Studies (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School Regents Social Studies: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**High School Regents Social Studies: School- or Program-Wide Measure**

- School- or program-wide group or team results

**High School Regents Social Studies: Assessment Type(s)**

- State or Regents assessment(s)

**High School Regents Social Studies: State or Regents Assessment(s)**

- Global History Regents
- US History Regents
Other Courses

Please identify below the ‘other courses’ in your LEA; indicate which of the six available measures will be used for for each group of teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*

For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for any of grades four to eight:
- Select one of the "Departmentalized" options at each applicable grade level and complete the remainder of the corresponding departmentalized section(s) accordingly.
- For the “Other Courses” entry below, select “Common Branch” in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of the information as appropriate.

For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for any of grades kindergarten to three:
- Complete each applicable common branch grade level at the beginning of Task 2 accordingly.
- For the “Other Courses” entry below, select the “Elementary” option for applicable subjects in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of the information as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

- Teacher and course-specific
  • Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- School- or program-wide
  • School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  • School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
  • School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- District- or BOCES-wide
  • District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  • District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Complete the following, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

| Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course |
| Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course |
| Column 3: subject of the course |
| Column 4: measure used |
| Columns 5-7: assessment(s) used |

Follow the examples below to list other courses.
## Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) subject</th>
<th>(4) measure</th>
<th>(5-7) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Other Courses</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>Distrcit- or BOCES-wide results, ELA Regents, Algebra I Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-3 Art</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Art</td>
<td>Teacher and course-specific results, Questar III BOCES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 9-12 English Electives</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>English Electives</td>
<td>School- or program-wide linked results, All Regents given in LEA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Art</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>Grade 3 ELA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Physical Education</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>Grade 3 ELA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>Grade 3 ELA</td>
<td>All Regents given in LEA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>Grade 3 ELA</td>
<td>All Regents given in LEA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Language</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>Grade 3 ELA</td>
<td>All Regents given in LEA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**

**Task 2: TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>CTE</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>All Regents given in LEA</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Family and Consumer Science</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>All Regents given in LEA</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Health</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>All Regents given in LEA</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Art</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>All Regents given in LEA</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Physical Education</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>All Regents given in LEA</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Music</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>All Regents given in LEA</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Physical Education</td>
<td>District- or BOCE S-wide results</td>
<td>All Regents given in LEA</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Library</td>
<td>District- or BOCE S-wide results</td>
<td>All Regents given in LEA</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Music</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>Grade 3 ELA</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade From</td>
<td>Grade To</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>State or Regents Assessment(s)</td>
<td>Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</td>
<td>Third Party Assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>Grade 4 ELA Grade 5 ELA Grade 6 ELA Grade 3 Math Grade 4 Math Grade 5 Math Grade 6 Math</td>
<td>District- or BOCE S-wide results</td>
<td>All Regents given in LEA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Special Education</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>All Regents given in LEA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Resource</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>All Regents given in LEA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Special Education</td>
<td>Teacher and course-specific results</td>
<td>NYSAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Resource</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>Grade 7 ELA Grade 8 ELA Grade 7 Math Grade 8 Math</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Resource</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>Grade 3 ELA Grade 4 ELA Grade 5 ELA Grade 6 ELA Grade 3 Math Grade 4 Math Grade 5 Math</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

### Grade Grade To Subject Measure State or Regents Assessment(s) Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s) Third Party Assessment(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Special Education</td>
<td>District- or BOCE S-wide results</td>
<td>☐ Grade 6 Math</td>
<td>All Regents given in LEA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA’s evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.
Teacher Observation Category
For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Practice Rubric
Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Rubric Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.

☑ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year as indicated in the table above.

☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents
For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.
Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department’s regulations. Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:

- The process for designating observable components (please note: all educators of the same grade/subject must be evaluated based on the same set of observable components);
- The level at which components of the chosen rubric are rated (i.e., domain, subdomain, indicator, etc.);
- How the final score and rating for each observable component of the practice rubric is determined for each observer; and
- How the final score for the required (i.e., lead evaluator/evaluator; independent evaluator) and/or optional (peer observer, as applicable) subcomponent of the Observation category is determined based on the final score and rating for each observable component.

Example: All subcomponents of Domains 2-4 of the Danielson rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 2 and 3 are weighted as 40% each, and Domain 4 is weighted as 20%. For each observation, all observed subcomponents in a domain are weighted equally and averaged to create a domain score, which is then weighted as above and averaged to reach a final score for each observation. Scores for each observation are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each observation type. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the observation cycle.

All observable domains will be weighted equally and averaged. When conducting announced observations, principals and district level administrators will conduct a pre-conference, live observation, and a post conference to collect data for all components that are observable using the Danielson rubric. Using the Danielson rubric each domain is weighted equally and averaged. Data used from observations, interactions, pre conferences and post conferences are used to compile the information necessary to score domains 1 and 4 holistically. The holistic scores from domains 1, 2, 3, and 4 are then averaged to produce the overall Observation score. All Domains of the Danielson rubric are deemed observable. For non-tenured teachers the 2 observation scores are combined.

When observing a teacher, data is collected by using a computer to capture what is said by the teacher and students in the classroom. Notes are also taken regarding the classroom set up and atmosphere. These pieces of evidence are then reviewed individually and coded to each of the subcategories in domains 2 and 3. Administrators have access to a computer program to analyze the evidence and the Danielson rubric book. Each component is then looked at by examining the tagged evidence. Component scores are equally averaged. Each principal maintains an excel workbook for each teacher. There is a summative tab where the information is recorded for the state assessment score provided based on the state/regent’s assessment used to measure that teachers student performance category. There is also a tab for each component of domains 1 and 4 to have information recorded. The source of this information is from everyday observations as well as the formal announced and unannounced observations. As the data is entered into the excel spreadsheet the formulas are set to combine the scores to provide the holistic score.

Scoring Assurances
Please check each of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.

☑ Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands
The overall Observation score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.
Overall Observation Category Score and Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

**HEDI Ranges**

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ineffective</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators
- At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*
- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)
- No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal/Administrator [Required]</th>
<th>Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]</th>
<th>Peer Observer(s) [Optional]</th>
<th>Group of teachers for which this weighting will apply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0% (N/A)</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ✓ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ✓ Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ✓ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one observation must be conducted by building principal or other trained administrator and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by principal or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of management to conduct observations in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.
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## Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one observation must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the teacher being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers (e.g., teacher leaders on career ladder pathways), so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the teacher being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup of Teachers</th>
<th>Tenured</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>Live</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Remaining Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column)</td>
<td>Untenured</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Live</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.
Please also check each of the following boxes.

- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by trained peer observer(s).

- Peer teachers are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer teachers must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

**Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by trained peer observer(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.**

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number and "N/A" for the observation method for both unannounced and announced observations for "All Teachers."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEACHERS</th>
<th>SUBGROUP</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observation</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Observation Method</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Observation Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Peer Observation Assurances**

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☐ Assure that peer observers, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- ☐ Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observers, these teachers received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall Observation category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Performance</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher Observation</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Performance Category</th>
<th>Highly Effective (H)</th>
<th>Effective (E)</th>
<th>Developing (D)</th>
<th>Ineffective (I)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Category and Overall Rating Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same LEA, each of whom received an Ineffective rating under Education Law Section 3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher’s classroom unless the LEA has a Department-approved waiver from this requirement.
Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Teacher Improvement Plans

Additional Requirements
For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances
Please check each of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

☑ Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

Teacher Improvement Plan Forms
All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the LEA.

Teacher_Improvement_Plan_PVTA_11_20.docx
Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
   (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way:

**APPEAL PROCESS FOR TEACHERS DESIGNATED AS “DEVELOPING” OR “INEFFECTIVE”**

**APPEAL PROCEDURE**

All tenured and probationary employees who meet the appeal process criteria identified below may use this appeal process. A teacher may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review or TIP. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity and within one appeal, provided that the teacher knew or could have reasonably known the ground(s) existed at the time the appeal was initiated, in which instance a further appeal may be filed but only based upon such previously unknown ground(s). Any grounds not raised at the time the appeal is filed shall be deemed waived.

**APPEAL PROCESS**

Any unit member aggrieved by an APPR rating of either “ineffective” or “developing” may challenge that APPR in accordance with Regents rule 30-3.12. An APPR which is subject of a pending appeal shall not be sought to be offered in evidence or placed in evidence in any Education Law Section §3020-b proceeding, or any locally negotiated procedure, until the appeal process is concluded.

**GROUNDS FOR AN APPEAL**

An appeal may be filed challenging the APPR based upon one of more of the following grounds:

a. The substance of the Annual Professional Performance Review; which shall include the instance of a teacher rated ineffective on the Student Performance Category but rated High Effective on the Observation Category based on an anomaly, as determined locally.

b. The district’s failure to adhere to the standards and methodologies required for the Annual Professional Performance Review, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of Commissioner’s regulations;

c. The adherence to the Commissioner’s regulations, as applicable to such reviews and compliance with an applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d; and

d. The district’s failure to issuance and/or implementation the terms of the Teacher Improvement Plan, where applicable, as required under Education Law §3012-d.

**TIME LIMITS**

Time limits set forth in the procedure shall be strictly adhered to by all parties and persons. Any appeal not initiated or taken to the next stop within these time limits will be considered settled on the basis of the last answer by the District. Time limits may be extended by mutual agreement of the District and the teacher, or his representative, if any, which agreement shall be in writing and signed by the teacher, or his representative, if any, and the District. Consent to an extension shall not be unreasonably withheld. All steps in the appeals process will be timely and expeditious in accordance with Education Law §3012-d.

**STEPS FOR APPEAL PROCESS**

The entire appeal process will be completed within 60 school days.

**Step 1**

The teacher, with input and guidance from his/her PVTA representative, shall present his/her appeal, in writing, to his/her evaluator in an attempt to resolve it provided he/she does so within fifteen (15) school days of the date he/she is presented his/her summative evaluation, which shall include scores from all phases of the APPR. When filing an appeal, the teacher must submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his or her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his or her improvement plan and any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal.

**Step 2**
Within ten (10) school days of receipt of an appeal, the administrator who issued the performance review must submit a detailed written response to the appeal. The response must include any and all additional documents or written materials specific to the point(s) of disagreement that support the district’s response and are relevant to the resolution of the appeal. Any such information that is not submitted at the time the response is filed shall not be considered in the deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal. The teacher initiating the appeal shall receive a copy of the response filed by the district, and any and all additional information submitted with the response, at the same time the school district files its response.

Step 3
If the teacher is not satisfied with the written response of the evaluator, then a meeting will be scheduled with the teacher, their union representative, peer coach, the evaluator, and, if the evaluator chooses, another administrator. This meeting will occur within five (5) school days from the date of the written response in Step 2.

Step 4
If after the meeting, in Step 3, the teacher wished to appeal the decision of the evaluator set forth above, the teacher shall present his/her appeal from the decision of the evaluator, in writing within 10 school days of the receipt of the decision, to the Superintendent of Schools, or his/her designee. When filing an appeal, the teacher must submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement of his or her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his or her improvement plan and any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal. The performance review and/or improvement plan being challenged and the decision of the evaluator to the teacher’s appeal must also be submitted with the appeal. Any information not submitted at the time the appeal is filed shall not be considered. A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than ten (10) school days from the date upon which the teacher filed his or her appeal with the Superintendent of Schools. The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for determination on each of the specific issues raised in the teacher’s appeal. If the appeal is sustained, the reviewer may set aside a rating if it has been affected by substantial error or defect, or the reviewer may modify a rating if it is affected by substantial error or defect or if procedures have been violated. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the teacher and the evaluator.

EXCLUSIVITY OF SECTION 3012-d APPEAL PROCEDURE
The 3012-d appeal procedure shall constitute the exclusive means for initiating, reviewing, and resolving any and all challenges and appeals related to a teacher performance review and/or improvement plan. A teacher may not resort to any other contractual grievance procedures for the resolution of challenges and appeals related to a professional performance review and/or improvement plan, except as otherwise authorized by law.
Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

Item 1: TRAINING OF EVALUATORS

Pine Valley uses Lead evaluators such as Principals and the Director of Curriculum/Special Education. We also use an independent observer to perform unannounced observations. We do not use peer observers as part of our APPR process. All district evaluators will be trained and retrained annually through their participation in the teacher evaluator training series offered through the BOCES Integrated Education Services (IES) Team. Trainings will be done virtually, at a BOCES location, or by having a trainer onsite at Pine Valley Central School.

Item 2: CERTIFICATION

All district evaluators will participate in annual sessions that will be led by certified trainers who are deeply familiar with the Danielson Framework. The District will ensure that lead evaluators and independent observers are properly trained and certificated. The District will ensure that lead evaluators and independent observers are re-trained and re-certified on a regular basis and receive updated training on any changes in the law, regulations or applicable collective bargaining agreements. The BOCES established requirements for the workshops that lead to certification or refresher will be adhered to.

ITEM 3: INTER-RATER RELIABILITY

The trainings will include a process to maintain inter-rater reliability over time in accordance with NYSED guidance and protocols recommended in training for lead evaluators. The inter-rater reliability training will be at minimum 3 hours of training conducted by the BOCES IES team. Following the annual inter-rater reliability training the district evaluators will meet monthly to review the 9 elements of the Danielson rubric, do work to ensure that we aren’t having bias impact our observations, and engage in activities that will continue to maintain inter-rater reliability. We will watch online lessons, score them independently and then discuss the scores to reach consensus ensuring that we are viewing the lessons and scoring them in similar manners.

ITEM 4: CONTENT OF TRAINING

The initial training process for lead evaluators of approximately 15 hours will address all of the nine elements required by Section 30-3.10 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Independent evaluators will also participate in training of approximately 15 hours that addresses the three elements required pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. All training will be conducted by the BOCES IES Team or another entity that has expertise on the State's APPR laws and regulations. The training will be scheduled as part of professional development days and administrative meetings. The Pine Valley Central School District administrative team which includes district administrators and building leader who complete APPR evaluations will attend the annual training offered by the BOCES IES Team during the each school year centering on teacher evaluation, bias, the Danielson framework, and inter-rater rater reliability.
Teacher Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher’s Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher’s performance is being measured.

☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.

☑ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.

☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher’s evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner.

☑ Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide teachers with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher’s performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.

☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

☑ Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.

☑ Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.

☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Required Student Performance Subcomponent
For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Required Student Performance Measures
Student performance for principals may be measured by either a student learning objectives (SLO) or an Input Model where the principal’s overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES
For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures
An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal’s building or program.

> Principal and building/program-specific
  • Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal’s building/program in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures
A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

  • identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
  • identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA’s focus on a specific priority area(s);
  • the impact on the LEA’s ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator’s effectiveness; and
  • when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> District- or BOCES-wide
  • District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  • District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals’ buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS
Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

  • State assessment(s); or
    Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

  • third party assessments; or
  • locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

INPUT MODEL
Selection of the Input Model will require:
• a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
• a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
• a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
• a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that such SLO is determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that if the principal's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- For principals evaluated using an input model, assure that all applicable principals will be evaluated using the procedures described herein and approved by the Commissioner.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs and input models.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

Required Student Performance for Principals

Please choose the option that best describes the required student performance subcomponent for principals in your LEA.

- The same measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for all principals
- Different measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for different grade configurations/programs
Applicable Principals [1]
If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each must be described on a separate page. Complete this section for the first combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in the following sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Principal Measures [1]
Please indicate how student performance will be measured for the principals listed above, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure
- Principal and building/program-specific
  - Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal’s building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure
- District- or BOCES-wide
  - District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals’ buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:
- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All Principals: Measure Type</th>
<th>Student Learning Objective (SLO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Learning Objective</td>
<td>Principal and building/program-specific results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Principal Assessments [1]
Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure. Assessments should only be selected if applicable to the
Principals: Assessment Type(s)

- State or Regents assessment(s)

Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- Grade 3 ELA
- Grade 4 ELA
- Grade 5 ELA
- Grade 6 ELA
- Grade 3 Math
- Grade 4 Math
- Grade 5 Math
- Grade 6 Math
- Elementary Science (when available)

Additional Principals

Please be sure all principals in your LEA are included in Task 7.

- Check this box to list additional principal(s) who will be evaluated using a different measure and assessment(s) included in this section.
Applicable Principals [2]

If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each must be described on a separate page. Complete this section for the second combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in this section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Principal Measures [2]

Please indicate how student performance will be measured for the principals listed above, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

- Principal and building/program-specific
  - Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal’s building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- District- or BOCES-wide
  - District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals’ buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

### Principals: Measure Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Learning Objective (SLO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Principal and building/program-specific results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Principal Assessment(s) [2]

Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure. Assessments should only be selected if applicable to the
Principals: Assessment Type(s)
☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)
☑ All Regents given in LEA
Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;

Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;

Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;

Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;

Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;

Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;

Option (G) An input model where the principal’s overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student achievement related to the Leadership Standards; or

Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA’s evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.
Principal School Visit Category
For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principals’ professional performance shall be evaluated based on a State-approved rubric using multiple sources of evidence collected and incorporated into the school visit protocol. Where appropriate, such evidence may be aligned to building or district goals; provided, however, that professional goal-setting may not be used as evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness. Such evidence shall reflect school leadership practice aligned to the Leadership Standards and selected practice rubric.

Principal Practice Rubric
Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rubric Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different programs or grade configurations as indicated in the table above.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents
For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.
Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department’s regulations. Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:

- The process for designating observable components (please note: all principals of the same building configuration/program must be evaluated based on the same set of observable components);
- The level at which components of the chosen rubric are rated (i.e., domain, subdomain, indicator, etc.);
- How the final score and rating for each observable component of the practice rubric is determined for each observer; and
- How the final score for the required (i.e., lead evaluator/evaluator; independent evaluator) and/or optional (peer principal, as applicable) subcomponent of the School Visit category is determined based on the final score and rating for each observable component.

Example: All subcomponents of Domains 1-4 of the MPPR rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 1, 2 and 3 are weighted as 30% each, and Domain 4 is weighted as 10%. For each school visit, all observed subcomponents in a domain are weighted equally and averaged to create a domain score, which is then weighted as above and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit. Scores for each school visit are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit type. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the school visit cycle.

**OBSERVABLE COMPONENTS**

The district designates indicators of the rubric as observable and ensures that they align with the ISLLC standards. The same rubric and evaluating system will be used for both the Elementary Principal and the Jr/Sr High School Principal.

**RUBRIC USE**

The rubric will be used to evaluate the principals through formal and informal school visits. The Superintendent or his/her designee will be the individual observing these components as the supervisor (85%) and an independent and impartial evaluator will conduct a school visit (15%), and there will be no peer school visit. These visits can occur during the school day, faculty meetings, grade level meetings, data meetings, team meetings, trainings lead, or other events related to the operation of the building.

**FINAL SCORES**

During the school visit the evaluator will provide scores holistically by component. Scores from each component will be weighted equally and averaged to obtain a total score for each school visit. The scores in each of the 2 school visits by the supervisor will be weighted equally and averaged to get a final rating for that category. No peer observation scores will be used as part of this evaluation process.

**Scoring Assurances**

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.

- Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

**Principal School Visit Scoring Bands**

The overall School Visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.
**Educator Evaluation - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019**

**Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring**

**Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>H</strong></td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E</strong></td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D</strong></td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I</strong></td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.*

**HEDI Ranges**

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the **Highly Effective** range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the **Effective** range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the **Developing** range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developing</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the **Ineffective** range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators
- At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*
- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)
- No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visits required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supervisor/Administrator [Required]</th>
<th>Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]</th>
<th>Peer School Visit(s) [Optional]</th>
<th>Group of principals for which this weighting will apply</th>
<th>N/A [No Response]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0% [N/A]</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one school visit must be conducted by supervisor or other trained administrator and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by superintendent or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of a board of education or superintendent of schools from conducting additional school visits for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by supervisor(s) or other trained administrators in the table below.
### Required Subcomponent 2: School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one school visit must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- **Unannounced Minimum Number of School Visits**
- **Announced Minimum Number of School Visits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINCIPALS</th>
<th>SUBGROUP</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCE</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.</td>
<td>If &quot;Subgroup of Principals&quot; is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter &quot;N/A.&quot; For additional subgroups, add another row.</td>
<td>Minimum Number of School Visits</td>
<td>Minimum Number of School Visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgroup of Principals</td>
<td>Tenured</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgroup of Principals</td>
<td>Non Tenured</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Independent Evaluator Assurances

- Please check all of the boxes below.
- **Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.**
- **Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.**
Please also check each of the following boxes.

☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Optional Subcomponent: School Visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by trained peer principal(s).

- Peer principals are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer principals must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by trained peer principal(s) in the table below.

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number for both unannounced and announced school visits for "All Principals."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINCIPALS</th>
<th>SUBGROUP</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.</td>
<td>If &quot;Subgroup of Principals&quot; is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter &quot;N/A.&quot; For additional subgroups, add another row.</td>
<td>Minimum Number of School Visits</td>
<td>Minimum Number of School Visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peer Principal School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that peer principal(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall School Visit category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Principal School Visit Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal School Visit Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Performance Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Category and Overall Rating Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- [x] Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- [x] Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- [x] Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
Additional Requirements
For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances
Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

- Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

Principal Improvement Plan Forms
All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the LEA.

Principal_Improvement_Plan.docx
Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

   (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

Appeals are limited to those identified by Education Law §3012-d, as follows:

Challenges in an Appeal:

A. The substance of an annual professional performance review, which shall include the following:
   a. The issuance or implementation of the principal improvement plan.

B. The school district’s or board of cooperative educational services’ adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews.

C. The adherence to the Commissioner’s regulations, as applicable to such reviews.

D. Compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures applicable to annual professional performance reviews or improvement plans.

E. The parties shall exchange an anticipated witness list no less than two (2) business days before the scheduled hearing date.

F. The parties shall have the ability to be represented by either legal counsel, union representative, or appear pro se.

G. The hearing shall be conducted in no more than one business day unless extenuating circumstances are present and the panel agrees to a second day.

H. The failure to file an appeal within these timeframes shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned.

I. The Superintendent upon written request may grant an extension of the time in which to appeal.

J. The implementation of an improvement plan may be appealed upon each alleged breach thereof. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity within such appeal. Any grounds not raised shall be deemed waived.

J. The parties agree that:
   a. A panel will be selected for the appeal. The panel will consist of the chosen hearing officer, one district administrator, and one building level principal of the appellant’s choice.
   b. The panel shall hear appeals in a timely manner after the appeal is made, but in no event shall it be less than five (5) business days or more than fifteen (15) business days after the panel is selected.
   c. The hearing shall be conducted in no more than one business day unless extenuating circumstances are present and the panel agrees to a second day.
   d. The parties shall have the ability to be represented by either legal counsel, union representative, or appear pro se.
   e. The parties shall exchange an anticipated witness list no less than two (2) business days before the scheduled hearing date.
   f. The principal shall have the prerogative to determine whether the appeal shall be open to the public or not.
   g. The district shall have the opportunity to present its case supporting the rating or improvement plan and then the principal may request the presentation. These may include the presentation of maternal, witnesses and/or affidavits in lieu of testimony.
DECISION
A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than ten (10) business days from the close of the hearing. Such decision shall be a final administrative decision. The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for the determination on each of the specific issues raised in the appeal. The reviewer must either, affirm, set aside or modify a district’s rating or improvement plan. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the principal and the district representative.

Exclusivity of The Appeal Procedure
This appeal procedure shall constitute the means for initiating, reviewing and resolving challenges to a principal performance rating or improvement plan.

Other
1. The district and bargaining unit for the principal shall maintain a list of no less than three (3) mutually agreed upon hearing officers.
2. Appeals shall be assigned to hearing officers on a rotational basis, alphabetically by last name.
3. The cost of a hearing officer shall be the responsibility of the district.
4. In addition to any further limitations agreed to within the APPR agreement, an evaluation shall not be placed in a principal’s personnel file until either the expiration of the fifteen (15) business day period in which to file a notice of appeal without action being taken by the principal of the conclusion of the appeal process described herein, whichever is later.
5. A principal who takes advantage of the appeals process described herein does not waive his/her right to submit a written rebuttal to the final evaluation. A principal who elects to submit a written rebuttal to his or her evaluation prior to the expiration of the fifteen (15) business days in which to file a notice of appeal does not waive her/his right to file an appeal.
6. The appeals process will be timely and expeditious.
Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

☐ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal’s evaluation. Note: independent evaluators and peer principals need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Evaluators, and Peer Principals and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent evaluators and peer principals;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

Item 1: TRAINING OF EVALUATORS

Pine Valley uses Lead evaluators such as district level administrators. We also use an independent observer to perform unannounced observations. We do not use peer observers as part of our APPR process. All district evaluators will be trained and retrained annually through their participation in the APPR evaluator training series offered through the BOCES Integrated Education Services (IES) Team. Trainings will be done virtually, at a BOCES location, or by having a trainer onsite at Pine Valley Central School.

Item 2: CERTIFICATION

All district evaluators will participate in annual sessions that will be led by certified trainers who are deeply familiar with the Danielson Framework and the MPPR Rubric. The District will ensure that lead evaluators and independent observers are properly trained and certificated. The District will ensure that lead evaluators and independent observers are re-trained and re-certified on a regular basis and receive updated training on any changes in the law, regulations or applicable collective bargaining agreements. The BOCES established requirements for the workshops that lead to certification or refresher will be adhered to.

ITEM 3: INTER-RATER RELIABILITY

The trainings will include a process to maintain inter-rater reliability over time in accordance with NYSED guidance and protocols recommended in training for lead evaluators. The inter-rater reliability training will be at minimum 3 hours of training conducted by the BOCES IES team. Following the annual inter-rater reliability training the district evaluators will meet monthly to review the observations based on the Danielson rubric and the MPPR rubric, do work to ensure that we aren’t having bias impact our observations and engage in activities that will continue to maintain inter-rater reliability. We will watch online lessons, score them independently and then discuss the scores to reach consensus ensuring that we are viewing the lessons and scoring them in similar manners.

ITEM 4: CONTENT OF TRAINING

The initial training process for lead evaluators of approximately 15 hours will address all nine elements required by Section 30-3.10 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Independent evaluators will also participate in training of approximately 15 hours that addresses the three elements required pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. All training will be conducted by the BOCES IES Team or another entity that has expertise on the State’s APPR laws and regulations. The training will be scheduled as part of professional development days and administrative meetings. The Pine Valley Central School District district-level administrators who complete APPR evaluations will attend the annual training offered by the BOCES IES Team during the each school year centering on APPR evaluation, bias, the Danielson framework, MPPR Rubric, and inter-rater reliability.
Principal Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.

☑ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.

☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide principals with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.

☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

☑ Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.

☑ Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.

☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Upload APPR LEA Certification Form

*Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.*

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

AAPRCert21.pdf
APPRCERTBOE21.pdf
APPRCERT10_21.pdf
PV_Appr21_11_5.pdf
**Teacher Improvement Plan**

As described in the *New York State APPR Requirements, Sub-Part 30-3 of the Regents Rules*, the Pine Valley Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) is designed to provide support through communication, discussion and collaboration in the area(s) of significant concern as the result of an annual summative evaluation.

An employee will be required to develop a TIP upon receiving an evaluation rating of “Developing” or “Ineffective” through an Annual Professional Performance Review. The Pine Valley School District must develop and commence implementation of a TIP for such teacher.

The administrator and employee will jointly determine the strategies to be taken to improve instruction, but it is agreed that the primary responsibility for correction remains with the employee.

While it would be preferable and advantageous to develop the TIP prior to the closing of school, a TIP must be in place by October 1 or as soon as practicable thereafter for APPRs completed pursuant to Education Law 3012d.

The administrator and employee will agree on a mutual time-line to improve any noted deficiencies. This timeline shall include benchmarks at the ten, twenty, thirty and forty-week points to assess progress on the TIP.

**Purpose:**

- improve employee performance;
- provide a targeted assistive process;
- provide specific support;
- provide information to determine tenure

**Some examples of specific support include, but are not limited to:**

- Attendance of at least one conference, but no more than three, and application to classroom;
- Observing other classrooms;
- Informal observation by a colleague;
- Informal collegial conversation;
- An informal evaluation by the administrator named in the TIP to offer suggestions;
- An informal evaluation by a second administrator to offer feedback;

**TIP Process:**
The Teacher Improvement Plan Worksheets will be made available to the employee. They include:

- **TIP Worksheet to Identify Areas of Need**
  - Employee completes checklist based on their previous summative evaluation

- **Teacher Improvement Plan**
  - To be completed by employee and administrator
  - A TIP must be in place by no later than 10 days after the date on which teachers are required to report prior to the opening of classes for the school year
  - Action steps that detail what the employee will do;
    - Utilizes Danielson’s critical attributes to develop an action plan

- **Evidence Log**
  - Teacher creates and maintains a compilation of evidence and data to support improvement in each area of need
  - Information can be collected via hard copy or electronically
  - The teacher must produce artifacts to serve as evidence for their improvement which may include lessons, student work, unit plans, reflections, and summaries, etc.

### Teacher Improvement Plan Need Identification Worksheet

#### Domain 1: Planning and Preparation

**Component 1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy**

- Knowledge of content
- Knowledge of prerequisite relationships
- Knowledge of content-related pedagogy

**Component 1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students**

- Knowledge of characteristics of age group
- Knowledge of students’ varied approaches to learning
- Knowledge of students’ skills and knowledge
- Knowledge of students’ interests and cultural heritage

**Component 1c: Selecting Instructional Goals**

- Value
- Clarity
- Suitability for diverse students
- Balance
Component 1d: *Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources*
- Resources for teaching
- Resources for students

Component 1e: *Designing Coherent Instruction*
- Learning activities
- Instructional materials and resources
- Instructional groups
- Lesson and unit structure

Component 1f: *Assessing Student Learning*
- Congruence with instructional goals
- Criteria and standards
- Use for planning

**Domain 2: The Classroom Environment**

Component 2a: *Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport*
- Teacher interaction with students
- Student interaction

Component 2b: *Establishing a Culture for Learning*
- Importance of the content
- Student pride in work
- Expectations for learning and achievement

Component 2c: *Managing Classroom Procedures*
- Management of instructional groups
- Management of transitions
- Management of materials and supplies
- Performance of non-instructional duties
- Supervision of volunteers and paraprofessionals

Component 2d: *Managing Student Behavior*
- Expectations
- Monitoring of student behavior
- Response to student misbehavior
Component 2e: *Organizing Physical Space*

- Safety and arrangement of furniture
- Accessibility to learning and use of physical resources
Domain 3: Instruction

Component 3a: Communicating Clearly and Accurately
- Directions and procedures
- Oral and written language

Component 3b: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques
- Quality of questions
- Discussion techniques
- Student participation

Component 3c: Engaging Students in Learning
- Representation of content
- Activities and assignments
- Grouping of students
- Instructional materials and resources
- Structure and pacing

Component 3d: Providing Feedback to Students
- Quality: accurate, substantive, constructive, and specific
- Timeliness

Component 3e: Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness
- Lesson adjustment
- Response to students
- Persistence
Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities

Component 4a: Reflecting on Teaching

- Accuracy
- Use in future teaching

Component 4b: Maintaining Accurate Records

- Student completion of assignments
- Student progress in learning
- Non-instructional records

Component 4c: Communicating with Families

- Information about the instructional program
- Information about individual students
- Engagement of families in the instructional program

Component 4d: Contributing to the School and District

- Relationships with colleagues
- Service to the school
- Participation in school and district projects

Component 4e: Growing and Developing Professionally

- Enhancement of content knowledge and pedagogical skill
- Service to the profession

Component 4f: Showing Professionalism

- Service to students
- Advocacy
- Decision making
TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN  
(To be completed jointly by teacher and administrator)

Name________________________________________________ Building__________________________________________

Grade/Subject________________________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIMELINE FOR PROGRESS</th>
<th>Initial plan (based on TIP worksheet)</th>
<th>10 weeks</th>
<th>20 weeks</th>
<th>30 weeks</th>
<th>40 weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Date:</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOCUSED DOMAIN</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTION STEPS</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Provide detailed description)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMIN. NOTES EVIDENCE OF PROGRESS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee’s Comments</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMELINE FOR PROGRESS</td>
<td>Initial plan (based on TIP worksheet)</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
<td>20 weeks</td>
<td>30 weeks</td>
<td>40 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Date:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOCUSED DOMAIN</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Administrator’s Comments

Employee Signature
PVTA Rep. Signature
Administrator Signature
# Teacher Improvement Plan Evidence Log

Teacher Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Principal Improvement Plan

As described in the New York State APPR Requirements, Sub-Part 30-3 of the Regents Rules, the Pine Valley Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) is designed to provide support through communication, discussion and collaboration in the area(s) of significant concern as the result of an annual summative evaluation.

An employee will be required to develop a PIP upon receiving an evaluation rating of “Developing” or “Ineffective” through an Annual Professional Performance Review. The Pine Valley School District must develop and commence implementation of a PIP for such Principal.

The administrator and employee will jointly determine the strategies to be taken to improve instruction, but it is agreed that the primary responsibility for correction remains with the Principal.

While it would be preferable and advantageous to develop the PIP prior to the closing of school, a PIP must be in place by no later than October 1 or as soon as practicable thereafter for APPRs completed pursuant to Education Law 3012d.

The administrator and employee will agree on a mutual time-line to improve any noted deficiencies. This timeline shall include benchmarks at the ten, twenty, thirty and forty-week points to assess progress on the PIP.

Purpose:

- improve employee performance;
- provide a targeted assistive process;
- provide specific support;
- provide information to determine tenure

Some examples of specific support include, but are not limited to:

- Attendance of at least one conference, but no more than three, and application to classroom;
- Observing other buildings/districts;
- Informal observation by a colleague;
- Informal collegial conversation;
- An informal evaluation by the administrator named in the PIP to offer suggestions;
- An informal evaluation by a second administrator to offer feedback;
**PIP Process:**

The Principal Improvement Plan Worksheets will be made available to the Principal. They include:

- PIP Worksheet to Identify Areas of Need
  - Employee completes checklist based on their previous summative evaluation

- Principal Improvement Plan
  - To be completed by employee and administrator
  - A PIP must be in place by no later than 10 days after the date on which Principals are required to report prior to the opening of classes for the school year
  - Action steps that detail what the employee will do;
    - Utilizes Multidimensional Framework’s critical attributes to develop an action plan

- Evidence Log
  - Principal creates and maintains a compilation of evidence and data to support improvement in each area of need
  - Information can be collected via hard copy or electronically
  - The Principal must produce artifacts to serve as evidence for their improvement which may include lessons, student work, unit plans, reflections, and summaries, etc.

**Principal Improvement Plan Need Identification Worksheet**

**Domain 1: Shared Vision of Learning**

- Culture
- Sustainability

**Domain 2: School Culture and Instructional Program**

- Culture
- Instructional program
- Capacity Building
- Sustainability
- Strategic Planning Process

**Domain 3: Safe, Efficient, Learning Environment**

- Capacity Building
- Culture
- Sustainability
- Instructional Program
Domain 4: Community

☐ Strategic Planning Process: Inquiry
☐ Culture
☐ Sustainability

Domain 5: Integrity, Fairness, Ethics

☐ Sustainability
☐ Culture

Domain 6: Political, Social, Economic, Legal and Cultural Context

☐ Sustainability
☐ Culture

Other Domain: Goal Setting and Attainment

☐ Uncovering Goals
☐ Strategic Planning
☐ Taking Action
☐ Evaluating Attainment
# PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

(To be completed jointly by Principal and administrator)

**Name_____________________________________________ Building________________________________**

## TIMELINE FOR PROGRESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIMEFRAME</th>
<th>Initial plan (based on PIP worksheet)</th>
<th>10 weeks</th>
<th>20 weeks</th>
<th>30 weeks</th>
<th>40 weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Date:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOCUSED DOMAIN</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTION STEPS</td>
<td>(Provide detailed description)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## SUPT. NOTES

EVIDENCE OF PROGRESS

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Principal Comments

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIMELINE FOR PROGRESS</th>
<th>Initial plan (based on PIP worksheet)</th>
<th>10 weeks</th>
<th>20 weeks</th>
<th>30 weeks</th>
<th>40 weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Date:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOCUSED DOMAIN</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Principal’s Comments

Principal Signature

Superintendent Signature
## Principal Improvement Plan Evidence Log

**Principal Name**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LEA CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Educator Evaluation plan.

By signing this document, the LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s) certify that the Educator Evaluation plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval constitutes the school LEA's complete Educator Evaluation plan, that all provisions of the plan that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, and that such plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d as amended by the Laws of 2019 and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and has been adopted by the governing body of the LEA.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this Educator Evaluation plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11).

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that the Educator Evaluation plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval constitutes the school LEA's complete Educator Evaluation plan, that all provisions of the plan that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, and that such plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d as amended by the Laws of 2019 and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and has been adopted by the governing body of the LEA.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify, upon information and belief, that all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using the Educator Evaluation plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this Educator Evaluation plan is the LEA's complete Educator Evaluation plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the LEA; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the Educator Evaluation plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this Educator Evaluation plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this Educator Evaluation plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11).

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their Educator Evaluation plan:

- Assure that the overall Educator Evaluation rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including but not limited to: tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that the entire Educator Evaluation will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured;
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher's or principal's APPR, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured;
- Assure that the Educator Evaluation plan will be filed in the LEA's office and made available to the public on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that complete and accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA will continue to report to the State individual subcomponent scores and the overall rating for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities;
- Assure that any teacher or principal who receives an Overall Rating of Developing or Ineffective in any school year will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 of the school year following the year in which such teacher's or principal's performance was measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or their designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations;
- Assure that LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the LEA;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school
visits;

• Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the LEA shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;

• Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth or achievement will be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject, for teachers, or similar building configurations/programs, for principals, in the LEA will be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;

• Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth;

• Assure that any material changes to this Educator Evaluation plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year;

• Assure that the LEA will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;

• Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and

• Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.
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