January 25, 2021

Revised

Mary-Margaret Zehr, Superintendent
Oneida City School District
565 Sayles Street
Oneida, NY 13421

Dear Superintendent Zehr:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner’s Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers’ or principals’ overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Betty A. Rosa
Interim Commissioner

Attachment

c:  Scott Budelmann
NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.
Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

The Department will review the contents of each local educational agency's (LEA) Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorse of specific educational approaches in an LEA's plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from an LEA to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Each LEA is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of an LEA's plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the LEA are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the LEA, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject or disapprove this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

APPR Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- Assure that the content of this form represents the LEA's entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- Assure that it is understood that this LEA's APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.
Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Each teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) locally determined, consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

• identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;
• identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA’s focus on a specific priority area(s);
• the impact on the LEA’s ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator’s effectiveness; and
• when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> School- or program-wide

• School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
• School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
• School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.
**HEDI Scoring Bands**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97-93%</td>
<td>90-87%</td>
<td>80-75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SLO Assurances**

- Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the teacher has an SLO as determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- Assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.
- Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
Common Branch Kindergarten Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for kindergarten teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for kindergarten:
- Complete this section accordingly for common branch teachers.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select the “Elementary” option for applicable subjects in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

### Kindergarten: Measure Type

| District- or BOCES-wide |

### Kindergarten: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

| District- or BOCES-wide results |

### Kindergarten: Assessment Type(s)

- State or Regents assessment(s)

### Kindergarten: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ELA Regents
- Algebra I Regents
- Algebra II Regents
- Living Environment Regents
- US History Regents
Common Branch Grade One Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade one teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade one:
- Complete this section accordingly for common branch teachers.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select the “Elementary” option for applicable subjects in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

  - **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

  - **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

  - **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

  - **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

  - **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

  - **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 1: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 1: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 1: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 1: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELA Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra I Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra II Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living Environment Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US History Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Common Branch Grade Two Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade two teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade two:
- Complete this section accordingly for common branch teachers.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select the “Elementary” option for applicable subjects in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 2: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 2: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 2: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 2: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELA Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra I Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra II Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living Environment Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US History Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Common Branch Grade Three Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade three teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade three:
- Complete this section accordingly for common branch teachers.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select the “Elementary” option for applicable subjects in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure
> Teacher and course-specific
  • Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure
> School- or program-wide
  • School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  • School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
  • School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide
  • District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  • District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 3: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 3: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 3: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 3: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELA Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra I Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra II Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living Environment Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US History Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grade Four

Please identify below whether grade four instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade four teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade four:
- Select the applicable “Departmentalized” option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select “Common Branch” in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade four in your LEA.

- Common branch
### Grade Four (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 4: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 4: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Grade Four: Assessment Type(s)

- State or Regents assessment(s)

#### Grade Four: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ELA Regents
- Algebra I Regents
- Algebra II Regents
- Living Environment Regents
- US History Regents
Grade Five

Please identify below whether grade five instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade five teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade five:
- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select “Common Branch” in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific
  
  • **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

  • **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  
  • **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
  
  • **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

  • **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  
  • **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade five in your LEA.

- Common branch
### Grade Five (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

#### Grade 5: Measure Type
- District- or BOCES-wide

#### Grade 5: District- or BOCES Measure
- District- or BOCES-wide results

#### Grade 5: Assessment Type(s)
- [ ] State or Regents assessment(s)

#### Grade 5: State or Regents Assessment(s)
- [ ] ELA Regents
- [ ] Algebra I Regents
- [ ] Algebra II Regents
- [ ] Living Environment Regents
- [ ] US History Regents
Grade Six

Please identify below whether grade six instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade six teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade six:
- Select the applicable “Departmentalized” option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select “Common Branch” in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

- Teacher and course-specific
  - Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- School- or program-wide
  - School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
  - School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

- District- or BOCES-wide
  - District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade six in your LEA.

- [ ] Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)
**Grade Six (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)**

Grade six departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 6: Measure Type</th>
<th>District- or BOCES-wide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grade 6: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure</strong></td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 6: Assessment Type(s)</th>
<th>State or Regents assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grade 6: State or Regents Assessment(s)</strong></td>
<td>ELA Regents, Algebra I Regents, Algebra II Regents, Living Environment Regents, US History Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Seven

Grade Seven

Please identify below whether grade seven instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade seven teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade seven:
- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select “Common Branch” in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade seven in your LEA.

- Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)
### Grade Seven (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade seven departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

| Grade 7: Measure Type |  
|----------------------|--|
| District- or BOCES-wide |

| Grade 7: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure |  
|------------------------------------------|--|
| District- or BOCES-wide results |

| Grade 7: Assessment Type(s) |  
|----------------------------|--|
| State or Regents assessment(s) |

| Grade 7: State or Regents Assessment(s) |  
|----------------------------------------|--|
| ELA Regents |
| Algebra I Regents |
| Algebra II Regents |
| Living Environment Regents |
| US History Regents |
Grade Eight Measures and Assessments

Please identify below whether grade eight instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade eight teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note* For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for grade eight:
- Select the applicable “Departmentalized” option below and complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the “Other Courses” section of Task 2, select “Common Branch” in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

- Teacher and course-specific
  
  **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- School- or program-wide

  **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

  **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

  **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

- District- or BOCES-wide

  **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

  **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade eight in your LEA.

- Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)
Grade Eight (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade eight departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 8: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 8: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Eight: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Eight: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELA Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra I Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra II Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living Environment Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US History Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
High School English Language Arts

Note: Additional high school English courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school ELA teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

• School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether grades 9 through 12 ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑️ All high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)
### High School ELA (All Grades) Measure and Assessment(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School ELA: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School ELA: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School ELA: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑️ State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School ELA: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑️ ELA Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑️ Algebra I Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑️ Algebra II Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑️ Living Environment Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑️ US History Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
High School Regents Math

Note: Additional high school math courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents math teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

  • Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

  • School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

  • School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

  • School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

  • District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

  • District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents math teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑️ All high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)
## High School Regents Math (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

### High School Regents Math: Measure Type
- District- or BOCES-wide

### High School Regents Math: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure
- District- or BOCES-wide results

### High School Regents Math: Assessment Type(s)
- State or Regents assessment(s)

### High School Regents Math: State or Regents Assessment(s)
- ELA Regents
- Algebra I Regents
- Algebra II Regents
- Living Environment Regents
- US History Regents
High School Regents Science

Note: Additional high school science courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents science teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

- Teacher and course-specific
  - Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- School- or program-wide
  - School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
  - School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

- District- or BOCES-wide
  - District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents science teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

- All high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)
### High School Regents Science (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School Regents Science: Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**High School Regents Science: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure**

- District- or BOCES-wide results

**High School Regents Science: Assessment Type(s)**

- [ ] State or Regents assessment(s)

**High School Regents Science: State or Regents Assessment(s)**

- [ ] ELA Regents
- [ ] Algebra I Regents
- [ ] Algebra II Regents
- [ ] Living Environment Regents
- [ ] US History Regents
High School Regents Social Studies: Measures and Assessments

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents social studies teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

• School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents social studies teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑ All high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)
### High School Regents Social Studies (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School Regents Social Studies: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School Regents Social Studies: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School Regents Social Studies: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School Regents Social Studies: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELA Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra I Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra II Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living Environment Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US History Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other Courses

Please identify below the ‘other courses’ in your LEA; indicate which of the six available measures will be used for for each group of teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*

For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for any of grades four to eight:
- Select one of the "Departmentalized" options at each applicable grade level and complete the remainder of the corresponding departmentalized section(s) accordingly.
- For the “Other Courses” entry below, select “Common Branch” in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of the information as appropriate.

For LEAs that may use both a common branch and departmentalized model for any of grades kindergarten to three:
- Complete each applicable common branch grade level at the beginning of Task 2 accordingly.
- For the “Other Courses” entry below, select the “Elementary” option for applicable subjects in the “Subject” column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of the information as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Complete the following, as applicable, for all ‘other teachers’ in additional grades/subjects (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, “All courses not named above”):

| Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course |
| Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course |
| Column 3: subject of the course |
| Column 4: measure used |
| Columns 5-7: assessment(s) used |

Follow the examples below to list other courses.
### Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

#### All Other Courses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>ELA Regents, Algebra I Regents, Algebra II Regents, Living Environment Regents, US History Regents</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results, Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### K-3 Art

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Art</td>
<td>Teacher and course-specific results, Questar III BOCES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Grades 9-12 English Electives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>English Electives</td>
<td>School- or program-wide linked results, All Regents given in LEA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

---

**Note:**
- Grades are listed from K to 12.
- Subjects include ELA, Art, English Electives, and other specified courses.
- Assessment(s) can include district-wide, BOCES-wide, Regents, and Questar III BOCES results.

---

**Status Date:** 01/21/2021 07:54 AM - Submitted
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Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
Task 3. TEACHERS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent

**Optional Student Performance Subcomponent**

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

*Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.*

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA’s evaluation plan.

**Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.**

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.
Teacher Observation Category
For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

### Rubric Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thoughtful Classroom Teacher Effectiveness Framework</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- [x] Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- [x] Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- [x] Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year as indicated in the table above.
- [x] Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

### Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department’s regulations. Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:

- The process for designating observable components (please note: all educators of the same grade/subject must be evaluated based on the same set of observable components);
- The level at which components of the chosen rubric are rated (i.e., domain, subdomain, indicator, etc.);
- How the final score and rating for each observable component of the practice rubric is determined for each observer; and
- How the final score for the required (i.e., lead evaluator/evaluator; independent evaluator) and/or optional (peer observer, as applicable) subcomponent of the Observation category is determined based on the final score and rating for each observable component.

**Example:** All subcomponents of Domains 2-4 of the Danielson rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 2 and 3 are weighted as 40% each, and Domain 4 is weighted as 20%. For each observation, all observed subcomponents in a domain are weighted equally and averaged to create a domain score, which is then weighted as above and averaged to reach a final score for each observation. Scores for each observation are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each observation type. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the observation cycle.

All observable components are weighted equally and averaged during an observation of the Principal or Independent observer. The average from both observers is weighted by 90% for principal observations and weighted by 10% for the Independent evaluator. The two weights are added together to create the final score for observations. As an example:

- Weighted Principal Score (90%): 3.45
- Weighted Independent Score (10%): 0.40
- Teacher Total Score: 3.85
- Teacher Rating: Highly Effective
Scoring Assurances
Please check each of the boxes below.

☐ Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.

☐ Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands
The overall Observation score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Observation Category</th>
<th>Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

HEDI Ranges
Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

### Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developing</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators
- At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*
- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)
- No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal/Administrator</th>
<th>Independent Evaluator(s)</th>
<th>Peer Observer(s)</th>
<th>Group of teachers for which this weighting will apply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0% (N/A)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher’s Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

☑ Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.

☑ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one observation must be conducted by building principal or other trained administrator and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by principal or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of management to conduct observations in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.
### Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one observation must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) under this component, and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the teacher being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers (e.g., teacher leaders on career ladder pathways), so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the teacher being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

*If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

### Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBGROUP</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Observation Method</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Observation Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subgroup of Teachers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenured</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Live</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgroup of Teachers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probationary</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Live</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- [ ] Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- [ ] Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.
Please also check each of the following boxes.

- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by trained peer observer(s).

- Peer teachers are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer teachers must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

**Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by trained peer observer(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.**

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number and "N/A" for the observation method for both unannounced and announced observations for "All Teachers."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEACHERS</th>
<th>SUBGROUP</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Observation Method</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Observation Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Peer Observation Assurances**

**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☑ Assure that peer observers, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- ☑ Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observers, these teachers received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall Observation category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Performance Category</th>
<th>Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher Observation Category</th>
<th>Overall Observation Category Score and Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Observation Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher Observation Category</th>
<th>Highly Effective (H)</th>
<th>Effective (E)</th>
<th>Developing (D)</th>
<th>Ineffective (I)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Performance Category</td>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Category and Overall Rating Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same LEA, each of whom received an Ineffective rating under Education Law Section 3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the LEA has a Department-approved waiver from this requirement.
**Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances**

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

- Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

**Teacher Improvement Plan Forms**

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the LEA.

Oneida CSD TIP January 2021.docx
Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

☐ Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review, which shall include the following:
   (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation/School Visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal:
(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review, which shall include the following:
   (i) in the instance of a teacher who receives a rating of Ineffective on the Student Performance category but receives a rating of Highly Effective on the Observation/School Visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the district's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's regulations;

(3) the adherence to the Commissioner's regulations and in compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d;

(4) the district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's regulations.

Appeals concerning a teacher's performance review must be received in the office of the Superintendent no later than 20 workdays after the date the teacher receives his/her performance review.

A teacher wishing to initiate an appeal must submit such a request in writing to the Superintendent. The request shall identify which of the grounds described above are being challenged by the teacher, and shall specify, in detail, the reason(s) the evaluation is claimed to be deficient. Any documentation that the teacher wishes to be considered in support of the appeal shall be included with the request.

A Tri-Party Panel will be comprised of the Superintendent or his/her designee, an administrator chosen by the teacher, and a neutral administrator (selected by the use of a striking process as carried out by the Superintendent or his/her designee and the OTA President or his/her designee). The Panel will meet with the teacher within ten (10) working days of the Superintendent's receipt of an appeal to hear the appeal. The teacher may have a union representative present at the appeal hearing.

The Tri-Party Panel shall issue a written decision on the merits of the appeal no later than five (5) workdays from the date the appeal hearing ends. If the Tri-Party Panel sustains the appeal, the panel will issue an appropriate remedy. If the Tri-Party Panel dismisses or denies the appeal, the teacher's rating and evaluation shall remain unchanged and the appeal process shall end.

The Tri-Party Panel's decision shall be final and binding and may not be appealed further within the district.
Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

☐ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

All Evaluators including lead evaluators, evaluators, and independent evaluators will be trained according to NYSED requirements. All evaluators currently with evaluation responsibilities will have completed the training provided by the Madison-Oneida BOCES Curriculum and Staff Development Office and will be certified by the Board of Education. The training addresses all nine elements as listed in the regent's rule 30-3.10. Retraining and recertification training will occur annually.

The executive principal and administrator for curriculum, instruction, and assessment will meet with all evaluators to review and ensure that the APPR evaluation process is being implemented consistently in all district schools. Continuous training will be provided by the district and BOCES with opportunities for all evaluators to view videos of classroom instruction, use the evaluation system to rate teachers using the evidence-based model, share ratings, and discuss consistencies and noted variances. Inter-rater reliability of teacher and principal evaluations will be ensured through consistent training provided by Madison-Oneida BOCES.

All evaluators will be re-certified annually according to all NYSED requirements. Required training will address the nine elements required by regulation 30-3.10. All evaluators will receive six hours of initial training and approximately three hours of annual retraining. All documentation of training and development activities will be kept on file. Upon gathering ample documentation that all evaluators have been properly trained, the Superintendent will make the recommendation for the Board of Education to certify each evaluator to conduct evaluations. The in-district activities outlined and participation in regional meetings and training will be ongoing, and documentation of training will continue in order for all evaluators to be re-certified annually by the Board of Education.
Teacher Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher’s Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.

☑ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.

☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide teachers with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.

☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

☑ Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.

☑ Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.

☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Required Student Performance Subcomponent
For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Required Student Performance Measures
Student performance for principals may be measured by either a student learning objectives (SLO) or an Input Model where the principal’s overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES
For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures
An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal’s building or program.

> Principal and building/program-specific
  • Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal’s building/program in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures
A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

• identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
• identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA’s focus on a specific priority area(s);
• the impact on the LEA’s ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator’s effectiveness; and
• when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals’ buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS
Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

• State assessment(s); or
  Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

  • third party assessments; or
  • locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

INPUT MODEL
Selection of the Input Model will require:
• a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
• a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
• a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
• a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that such SLO is determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that if the principal's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- For principals evaluated using an input model, assure that all applicable principals will be evaluated using the procedures described herein and approved by the Commissioner.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs and input models.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

Required Student Performance for Principals

Please choose the option that best describes the required student performance subcomponent for principals in your LEA.

- The same measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for all principals
- Different measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for different grade configurations/programs
Applicable Principals [1]
If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each must be described on a separate page. Complete this section for the first combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in the following sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Principal Measures [1]
Please indicate how student performance will be measured for the principals listed above, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

- Principal and building/program-specific
  - Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal’s building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- District- or BOCES-wide
  - District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals’ buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

All Principals: Measure Type

Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Student Learning Objective
Please choose the type of SLO applicable to the principals listed above.

- District- or BOCES-wide results

Principals Assessments [1]
Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure. Assessments should only be selected if applicable to the
Principals: Assessment Type(s)

- State or Regents assessment(s)

Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- Grade 4 Science (until discontinued)
- Elementary Science (when available)
- ELA Regents
- Algebra I Regents
- Algebra II Regents
- Living Environment Regents
- US History Regents

Additional Principals

Please be sure all principals in your LEA are included in Task 7.

- Check this box to list additional principal(s) who will be evaluated using a different measure and assessment(s) included in this section.
Applicable Principals [2]

If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each must be described on a separate page. Complete this section for the second combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in this section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Principal Measures [2]

Please indicate how student performance will be measured for the principals listed above, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Principal and building/program-specific

• Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal’s building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals’ buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:

• a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;

• a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;

• a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and

• a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Principals: Measure Type

Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to the principals listed above.

District- or BOCES-wide results

Principal Assessment(s) [2]

Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure. Assessments should only be selected if applicable to the principal(s) listed above.
**Principals: Assessment Type(s)**
- State or Regents assessment(s)

**Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)**
- Grade 8 Science
- ELA Regents
- Algebra I Regents
- Living Environment Regents
- US History Regents

### Additional Principals

*Please be sure all principals in your LEA are included in Task 7.*
- Check this box to list additional principal(s) who will be evaluated using a different measure and assessment(s) included in this section.
Applicable Principals [3]

If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each must be described on a separate page. Complete this section for the third combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in this section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Principal Measures [3]

Please indicate how student performance will be measured for the principals listed above, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Principal and building/program-specific

  • Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal’s building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> District- or BOCES-wide

  • District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

  • District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals’ buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:

  • a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
  • a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
  • a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
  • a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

    Principals: Measure Type

    | Student Learning Objective (SLO) |
    |---------------------------------|
    | Principal and building/program-specific results |

Principal Assessment(s) [3]

Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure. Assessments should only be selected if applicable to the
Principals: Assessment Type(s)
- State or Regents assessment(s)

Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)
- ELA Regents
- Algebra I Regents
- Living Environment Regents
- US History Regents
Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;
- Option (G) An input model where the principal’s overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student achievement related to the Leadership Standards; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA’s evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.
Principal School Visit Category

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principals’ professional performance shall be evaluated based on a State-approved rubric using multiple sources of evidence collected and incorporated into the school visit protocol. Where appropriate, such evidence may be aligned to building or district goals; provided, however, that professional goal-setting may not be used as evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness. Such evidence shall reflect school leadership practice aligned to the Leadership Standards and selected practice rubric.

Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different programs or grade configurations as indicated in the table above.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.
Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department’s regulations. Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:

- The process for designating observable components (please note: all principals of the same building configuration/program must be evaluated based on the same set of observable components);
- The level at which components of the chosen rubric are rated (i.e., domain, subdomain, indicator, etc.);
- How the final score and rating for each observable component of the practice rubric is determined for each observer; and
- How the final score for the required (i.e., lead evaluator/evaluator; independent evaluator) and/or optional (peer principal, as applicable) subcomponent of the School Visit category is determined based on the final score and rating for each observable component.

Example: All subcomponents of Domains 1-4 of the MPPR rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 1, 2 and 3 are weighted as 30% each, and Domain 4 is weighted as 10%. For each school visit, all observed subcomponents in a domain are weighted equally and averaged to create a domain score, which is then weighted as above and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit. Scores for each school visit are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit type. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the school visit cycle.

All observable components are weighted equally and averaged during an observation by the Superintendent or Independent observer. Each of the domains is evaluated using a rating of 1 - 4. The score from all observed elements within each domain will be averaged to determine an average domain score of 1 - 4. Once all domains are scored, they will be averaged together resulting in an overall rubric score out of 1 - 4. The average from the Superintendent is weighted by 90% for observations and weighted by 10% for the Independent evaluator. The two weights are added together to create the final score for observations. As an example:

- Weighted Superintendent Score (90%): 3.45
- Weighted Independent Score (10%): 0.40
- Teacher Total Score: 3.85
- Principal Rating: Highly Effective

Scoring Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.

- Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

The overall School Visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.
### Overall School Visit Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

#### HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ineffective</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits**

**Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting**

**Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators**
- At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

**Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)**
- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

**Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)**
- No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supervisor/Administrator [Required]</th>
<th>Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]</th>
<th>Peer School Visit(s) [Optional]</th>
<th>Group of principals for which this weighting will apply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0% [N/A]</td>
<td>K-12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**School Visit Assurances**

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

- ☑ Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.

- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.

- ☑ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

**Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators**

At least one school visit must be conducted by supervisor or other trained administrator and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by superintendent or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of a board of education or superintendent of schools from conducting additional school visits for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by supervisor(s) or other trained administrators in the table below.
## Required Subcomponent 2: School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one school visit must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA but may not be assigned to the same school building as the principal being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs/directors, or peers, so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the principal being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

*If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINCIPALS</th>
<th>SUBGROUP</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCE</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of School Visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgroup of Principals</td>
<td>Tenured</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgroup of Principals</td>
<td>Probationary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.
Please also check each of the following boxes.

☑️ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑️ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Optional Subcomponent: School Visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)
If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by trained peer principal(s).

- Peer principals are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer principals must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by trained peer principal(s) in the table below.
If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number for both unannounced and announced school visits for "All Principals."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINCIPALS</th>
<th>SUBGROUP</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peer Principal School Visit Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that peer principal(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall School Visit category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

### Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category Score</th>
<th>Category Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Principal School Visit Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category Score</th>
<th>Category Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Performance Category</th>
<th>Highly Effective (H)</th>
<th>Effective (E)</th>
<th>Developing (D)</th>
<th>Ineffective (I)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Category and Overall Rating Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
Additional Requirements
For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances
Please check each of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

☑ Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

Principal Improvement Plan Forms
All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the LEA.

Professional Principals Improvement Plan.pdf
Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

- Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Appeals pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review, which shall include the following:
   (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

### Oneida Principal APPR Appeal Process

#### Challenges in an appeal

Appeals are limited to those identified by Education Law §3012-D, as follows:

1. The substance of the annual professional performance review;
2. The school districts or board of cooperative educational services’ adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews;
3. The adherence to the Commissioner’s regulations, as applicable to such reviews;
4. Compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures applicable to annual professional performance reviews or improvement plans; and
5. The school district’s or board of cooperative educational services’ issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan.

#### Ratings that can be appealed:

Appeals of annual professional performance reviews may be brought for ineffective, developing, or any rating tied to compensation. An appeal may only be initiated once a principal receives the overall rating.

#### Prohibition against more than one appeal:

A principal may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review. The issuance of an improvement plan may prompt an appeal independent of the performance review. The implementation of an improvement plan may be appealed upon each alleged breach thereof. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity within such appeal. Any grounds not raised shall be deemed waived.

#### The burden of proof:

In an appeal, the Principal has the burden of demonstrating a clear legal right to the relief requested and the burden of establishing the facts upon which the petitioner seeks relief. The district must establish by the preponderance of the evidence that the rating given to the appellant was justified or that an improvement plan was appropriately issued and/or implemented.

#### The time frame for filing an appeal:

All appeals shall be filed in writing to the Superintendent. The act of mailing the appeal shall constitute filing. An appeal of a performance review must be filed no later than fifteen (15) business days of the date when the principal receives their final and complete annual professional performance review. If a principal is challenging the issuance of a principal improvement plan, appeals must be filed within ten (10) business days of issuance of such plan. An appeal of the implementation of an improvement plan shall be within fifteen (15) business days of the failure of the district to implement any component of the plan. The failure to file an appeal within these timeframes shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned. An extension of the time in which to appeal may be granted by the Superintendent upon written request. Regardless of any extension of time granted, the entire appeals process will remain timely and expeditious in compliance with the requirements of Education law 3012-d. When filing an appeal, the principal must submit a written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his or her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his or her improvement plan. Supportive evidence about the challenges may also be submitted with the appeal. Any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal must be provided by the district upon written request for the same. The performance review and/or improvement plan being challenged must also be submitted with the appeal.

#### The time frame for district response:

Within ten (10) business days of receipt of an appeal, the district must submit a detailed written response to the appeal to the effected principal. The response must include all additional documents or written materials relevant to the point(s) of disagreement that support the district’s response. Any such information that is not submitted at the time the response is filed shall not be considered on behalf of the district in the deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal. The principal initiating the appeal shall receive a copy of the response filed by the school district, and all additional information submitted with the response, at the same time the school district files its response. Additional material supporting the challenges may be submitted by the principal up to the date of the hearing.

#### The decision for the appeal:

Within five (5) business days of the district’s response, a single individual hearing officer shall be chosen from the list of hearing officers approved mutually by the district and bargaining unit representing the principals. The list of hearing officers will be updated each time the contract is negotiated.

The parties agree that:

1. The hearing officer shall hear appeals in a timely manner after the appeal is made, but in no event shall it be less than five (5) business days or more than fifteen (15) business days after the hearing officer is selected.
2. The hearing shall be conducted in no more than one business day unless extenuating circumstances are present and the hearing officer agrees to a second day.
3. The parties shall have the ability to be represented by either legal counsel, union representative, or appear pro se;
4. The parties shall exchange an anticipated witness list no less than two (2) business days before the scheduled hearing date;
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>The principal shall have the prerogative to determine whether the appeal shall be open to the public or not;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>The district shall have the opportunity to present its case supporting the rating or improvement plan and then the principal may refute the presentation. These may include the presentation of material, witnesses and/or affidavits in lieu of testimony.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision:**

A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than ten (10) business days from the close of the hearing. Such a decision shall be a final administrative decision. The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for the determination on each of the specific issues raised in the appeal. The reviewer must either, affirm, set aside, or modify a district’s rating or improvement plan. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the principal and the district representative.

**The exclusivity of Section 3012-D Appeal Procedure:**

This appeal procedure shall constitute the means for initiating, reviewing, and resolving challenges to a principal performance review or improvement plan. A principal may not resort to any other contractual grievance procedures for the resolution of challenges and appeals related to a professional performance review and/or improvement plan.

**Other:**

1. The district and bargaining unit for the principal shall maintain a list of no less than three (3) mutually agreed upon hearing officers.
2. Appeals shall be assigned to hearing officers on a rotational basis, alphabetically by last name. The district or the administrator has the right to request a move to the next person on the list.
3. The cost of a hearing officer shall be the responsibility of the district.
4. In addition to any further limitations agreed to within the APPR agreement, an evaluation shall not be placed in a principal’s personnel file until either the expiration of the fifteen (15) business day period in which to file a notice of appeal without action being taken by the principal or the conclusion of the appeal process described herein, whichever is later.
5. A principal who takes advantage of the appeals process described herein does not waive his/her right to submit a written rebuttal to the final evaluation. A principal who elects to submit a written rebuttal to his/her evaluation prior to the expiration of the fifteen (15) business days in which to file a notice of appeal does not waive her/his right to file an appeal.
Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

- [x] The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent evaluators and peer principals need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Evaluators, and Peer Principals and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent evaluators and peer principals;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

All Evaluators for Principal evaluations including lead evaluators, evaluators, and impartial independent evaluators will be trained according to NYSED requirements. All evaluators of Principals currently on staff with evaluation responsibilities will have completed the training modules provided by the Madison-Oneida BOCES Curriculum and Staff Development Office and are certified by the Board of Education. The training will address all nine elements as listed in the regent's rule 30-3.10. Retraining and recertification training will occur annually.

The Superintendent will meet with all evaluatorsto review and ensure that the APPR evaluation process is being implemented consistently in all schools. Continuous training is provided by the district and BOCES. Inter-rater reliability for principal evaluations will be ensured through consistent training provided by Madison-Oneida BOCES. Additionally, our district-level administrators work together to ensure inter-rater reliability throughout the school year. All evaluators will receive approximately two hours of initial training and approximately 1 hour of annual retraining. Madison-Oneida BOCES also offers additional training if an administrator is hired during the school year.

All documentation of training and development activities will be kept on file. Upon gathering ample documentation that all evaluatorshave been properly trained, the Superintendent will make the recommendation for the Board of Education to certify each evaluator to conduct evaluations. The in-district activities outlined and participation in regional meetings and training will be ongoing, and documentation of training will continue in order for all evaluators to be recertified annually by the Board of Education.
Principal Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.

☑ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.

☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide principals with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.

☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

☑ Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.

☑ Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.

☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Upload APPR LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

OCSD APPR signature sheet.pdf
Oneida City School District

Annual Professional Performance Review Teacher Improvement Plan

The District’s Annual Professional Performance Review process (APPR) is designed to recognize, support, and improve the teaching-learning process. Some Oneida City School District teachers may need additional support in meeting APPR requirements. That support will come through a mutually developed plan related to the Annual Professional Performance Review process.

The Teacher Improvement Plan is designed to recognize, support, and improve the teaching-learning process. The TIP also is designed to help teachers address areas in need of improvement based on one or more of the ten dimensions of the Silver and Strong Thoughtful Classroom Teacher Effectiveness Framework. The ten dimensions are: (1) Organization, Rules and Procedures, (2) Positive Relationships, (3) Engagement and Enjoyment, (4) A Culture of Thinking and Learning, (5) Preparing Students for New Learning, (6) Presenting New Learning, (7) Deepening and Reinforcing New Learning, (8) Applying Learning, (9) Reflecting on and Celebrating Learning, (10) Effective Professional Practice. The district TIP plan may also be used to address needed improvement for teachers who have been identified as ineffective or developing by the SLO or locally selected assessment measure.

THE PURPOSES OF THE TIP

- To demonstrate the commitment of the district to the professional growth and development of all teachers;
- To improve the performance of teachers who are identified by the APPR as needing improvement in any of the ten criteria for evaluation, state growth model/SLO, or locally selected measure;
- To implement a process that is a good faith effort to provide a supportive and structured plan for improvement within an established timeframe.

THE TIP PROCEDURES The TIP procedures are guidelines for the administrator and teacher involved in the TIP process. The teacher may select an OTA representative, for example, veteran teacher, mentor, instructional leader, etc. Prior to the development of the plan, the administrator will:

- Identify the area(s) of concern;
- Document with evidence the area(s) of concern;
- Collaborate with teacher to identify members of the support team;
- Collaborate with teacher to develop a TIP plan.
THE TIP PLAN  The teacher and the administrator will draft and complete a TIP document using the district’s model to guide the development of the TIP language. The TIP document will be signed by the teacher, the administrator, and teacher-selected OTA representative. Every effort will be made to ensure confidentiality. The plan will include:

- Members of the Support Team
  - Identify the teacher, administrator, and teacher-selected OTA member that will comprise the support team

- Area(s) of Concern
  - Identify the dimension(s) and/or SLO Goal(s)
  - Identify specific areas in need of improvement to be accomplished during the period of the TIP

- Action Steps
  - Specific, realistic, and achievable activities for the teacher to demonstrate improvement in the identified areas

- Needed Support/Resources
  - May include, but not be limited to: conferences, workshops, suggested readings, etc.

- A Timeline
  - Provide a specific timeline for implementation of the various components of the TIP. Identify the dates for preparation of written documentation regarding the completion of the TIP.

- Evidence of Goal(s) Achievement
  - May include, but not be limited to: observations on specific dates, review of assessment tools and student work, professional conversations, written documentation, etc.
# Teacher Improvement Plan

This form is a model to guide the development of the TIP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher’s Name:</th>
<th>School:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade and/Subject Area:</td>
<td>Implemented School Year:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Team Members:</td>
<td>APPR Rating:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Briefly describe areas of strength that the teacher brings to the plan:**

**Briefly describe areas in need of improvement:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area(s) of Concern</th>
<th>Goal(s)</th>
<th>Action Steps</th>
<th>Needed Support/Resources</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Evidence of Goal(s) Achievement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organization, Rules and Procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Relationships</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement and Enjoyment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Culture of Thinking and Learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparing Students for New Learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presenting New Learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rows not applicable to the specific TIP goals may be deleted
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deepening and Reinforcing New Learning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Applying New Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflecting on and Celebrating Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Professional Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rows not applicable to the specific TIP goals may be deleted*

Additional assistance requested by the teacher:

January 2020

OCSD
Teacher response:

Teacher acknowledgement:

I have reviewed this Teacher Improvement Plan and discussed the contents with the Support Team. I understand that I will be on a Teacher Improvement Plan for the following school year. I am aware that a copy of this Teacher Improvement Plan will be placed in my personnel file.

________________________________________  Signature  Date

Teacher

________________________________________  Signature  Date

Administrator

________________________________________  Signature  Date

OTA Representative

January 2020  OCSD
11.2 Principal Improvement Plan (PIP)

The Professional Improvement Plan (PIP) is a structured plan designed to identify specific concerns in instruction and outline a plan of action to address these concerns. The purpose of a PIP is to assist principals in working to their fullest potential. The PIP provides assistance and feedback to the principal and establishes a timeline for assessing its overall effectiveness.

A PIP must be initiated whenever a principal receives a rating of developing or ineffective in an annual evaluation. Both the principal and the superintendent shall meet for an evaluation conference no later than June 30th (or within two weeks of NYS ratings release) of the school year where the developing or ineffective evaluation is discussed. A PIP shall be designed by the principal and the superintendent, in collaboration with the president of the OAA or his/her designee, over the course of the summer.

The PIP must be in place within 10 school days from the beginning of the school year. An initial conference shall be held at the beginning of the school year where the PIP is discussed, signed and dated at the beginning of its implementation.

After the first quarter, the superintendent will assess the effectiveness of the intervention and the level of improvement. Based on that assessment, the PIP may be adjusted appropriately and quarterly meetings among all parties will continue. At the end of the year, if the PIP goals are met, the PIP will terminate. The culmination of the PIP will be communicated in writing to the principal. Both parties will sign the PIP at the end of the school year.

If the principal is rated as developing or ineffective for any school year in which a PIP was in effect, a new plan will be developed by the Principal and the superintendent in collaboration with the Association according to these guidelines for the subsequent year.
Oneida City School District
Professional Improvement Plan

Name: ____________________________  Position: ____________________________
Principal: _________________________  Date: ____________________________

Pre-PIP Planning Meeting Date:

PIP Planning Meeting Date:

Post-PIP Meeting Date:

Pre-PIP Planning Meeting Summary:
(Includes a summary of the meeting discussing the need for a PIP)

Specific Areas of Strength:

Specific Focus Area of Concern:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Tasks/Strategies</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Who</th>
<th>When</th>
<th>Indicator of Progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(More rows can be added to table as needed.)

Principal’s Signature: ____________________________ Date: ________________

Evaluator’s Signature: ____________________________ Date: ________________
Principal’s Post PIP Meeting Summary:

Additional Attachments Included:

Principal’s Signature: ____________________________ Date: ____________
Evaluator’s Signature: ____________________________ Date: ____________

*Indicates receipt of a copy of this form and does not necessarily indicate agreement.
The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d as amended by the Laws of 2019 and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and has been adopted by the governing body of the LEA.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify, upon Information and belief, that all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the LEA's complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the LEA; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11).

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the overall APPR rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including but not limited to: tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured;
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal his or her score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher's or principal's APPR, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured;
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the LEA's office and made available to the public on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that complete and accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA will continue to report to the State individual subcomponent scores and the overall rating for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities;
- Assure that any teacher or principal who receives an Overall Rating of Developing or Ineffective in any school year will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statues and regulations, by October 1 of the school year following the year in which such teacher's or principal's performance was measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations;
- Assure that LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the LEA;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school
visits;

- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the LEA shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;
- Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth or achievement will be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject, for teachers, or similar building configurations/programs, for principals, in the LEA will be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth;
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year;
- Assure that the LEA will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: 1/20/21
Superintendent Name (print): Mary Margaret Zehr

Teachers Union President Signature: 1-20-21
Teachers Union President Name (print): David Dunkel

Administrative Union President Signature: 1/20/21
Administrative Union President Name (print): Maira S. Yardley

Board of Education President Signature: 1/20/21
Board of Education President Name (print): Robert A. Group