



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Interim Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov
Twitter: @NYSEDNews
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

February 5, 2021

APPR Plan - Variance

William Silver, Interim Superintendent
Monticello Central School District
237 Forestburgh Road
Monticello, NY 12701

Dear Superintendent Silver:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan variance application meets the criteria outlined in section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided in your variance application, including the narrative descriptions, certifications, and assurances that are included in the application. During the approved term of this variance, your LEA will implement the variance along with all other remaining provisions of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan and/or the terms of your approved variance, your LEA must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class school leader to support their professional growth, and every student achieves success.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Betty A. Rosa
Interim Commissioner

Attachment

c: Robert DuFour

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR variance application have been reviewed and are considered as part of your approved APPR variance application; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR variance application but are not incorporated by reference have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan or variance and/or require corrective action.

Pursuant to section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, please note that an LEA with an approved variance shall provide to the Department, upon its request, any documentation related to the implementation and efficacy of the approach proposed in the variance, including but not limited to: reports on the correlation in assigned ratings for different measures of the LEA's evaluation system and differentiation among educators within each subcomponent and category of the evaluation system

Your variance is approved for the 2020-21 school year. Because you requested this variance to address issues related to COVID-19, the approval of this variance for any future school years (up to a three school year period) is contingent on the continuation of the current COVID-19 pandemic notwithstanding your request for this variance to apply in future school years. Upon expiration of state-imposed restrictions or emergency measures related to the pandemic, or abatement of the pandemic, it is expected that your variance will no longer be required. As such, SED may withdraw its approval of this variance for any subsequent school years or may require a separate application or other documentation for continuation of the variance in future school years. Upon expiration of the approved term of your variance, you must implement the terms of your current APPR plan as approved by the Commissioner.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 1. General Information - General Information**

Page Last Modified: 01/05/2021

Annual Professional Performance Review Variance (Education Law 3012-d)

For guidance related to the Annual Professional Performance Review variance, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

At its October 2019 meeting, the Board of Regents amended sections 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents to allow LEAs to apply for a variance from Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan requirements to permit them to develop and implement new and innovative approaches to evaluation that meet the specific needs of the LEA, upon a finding by the Commissioner that the new and innovative approach demonstrates how it will ensure differentiated results over time and how the results of the evaluation will be used to provide personalized professional learning opportunities to teachers and principals, while complying with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d.

In instances where a variance is approved, the term(s) described in the approved variance will replace the related sections of the LEA's currently approved APPR plan. However, please note that all other terms as are present in the LEA's currently approved plan will remain in effect and must be implemented without modification.

Once a variance is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's APPR plan during the approved term of the variance. **In any instance in which there is an approved variance and such variance contains information that conflicts with the information provided in the approved Education Law §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved variance will apply during the approved term of the variance.**

Variance Application Timeline

Variance applications must be approved by the Department by December 1 of a school year to be implemented in that school year.

Submission by November 1 is suggested to allow time for review, revision and approval in order to meet the approval deadline for implementation in the same school year.

Absent a finding by the Commissioner of extraordinary circumstances, a variance application approved after December 1 of a school year will not be implemented until the following school year.

For more information regarding the variance approval deadline, including a possible extension, please contact APPRVariance@nysed.gov.

Variance Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below**

- ☒ Assure that the contents of this form are in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- ☒ Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's variance is kept on file and that a copy of such variance will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- ☒ Assure that this variance will be posted on the LEA's website, in addition to its current full APPR plan, no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- ☒ Assure that it is understood that this LEA's variance will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website* following approval.

Teacher Variance**Please check the appropriate box below.**

- ☒ Assure that any task not included in the following variance request(s) for teachers will be carried out in the manner described in the currently approved APPR plan.

Principal Variance

Education Law §3012-d requires that the principal evaluation system be aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluation. Therefore, when completing a variance request for the evaluation of principals, the processes identified must be aligned to such requirements.

Please check the appropriate box below.

- ☒ Assure that any requested variance for principal evaluation is aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluation provided in Education Law Section 3012-d and that any task not included in the following variance request(s) for principals will be carried out in the manner described in the currently approved APPR plan.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Request**

Page Last Modified: 11/04/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Education Law §3012-d requires that each teacher have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) consistent with a goal-setting process based on appropriate growth targets. The process must include, at a minimum, the following elements:

A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components),

Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance),

A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20,

A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating.

This requirement

currently approved APPR plan will apply.

must be met through

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an SLO process for a teacher or group of teachers that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

- ☐ A variance is not requested for the required student performance subcomponent for teachers.
- ☒ The details of the variance request for the required student performance subcomponent for teachers is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Applicability

Page Last Modified: 12/02/2020

Applicable Teachers

Please indicate all teachers to whom this required student performance variance request applies.

Core Teachers

Use the table below to list the core teachers this required student performance variance request is applicable to (teachers of other courses should be listed in the subsequent section).

	All Core Teachers	Common Branch	ELA	Math	Science	Social Studies
Courses	<input type="checkbox"/> All core teachers (K-3; 4-8 ELA, math, science, social studies; high school ELA and Regents courses) [if this option is selected, please do not make selections in subsequent columns]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> K <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8				

Other Teachers

☒ Teachers of other courses included in this required student performance variance request are listed in the table below.

Fill in the following for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that are included in this required student performance variance request:

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above
K-3 Art	K	3	Art
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives

Click "Add Row" to add additional courses. Only list additional courses if they are included in this required student performance variance request.

Grade From	Grade To	Subject
K	8	All courses not named above

Additional Variance Request

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Applicability

Page Last Modified: 12/02/2020

If another required student performance variance request with an alternate process will apply to a different group of teachers, each should be listed separately.

Complete the information requested below and in subsequent sections for the teachers identified above.

Once complete, enter the next set of teachers and complete the information for the second variance request.

☒ Check this box to add an additional required student performance variance request for a different group of teachers.

Applicable Areas

A variance may be requested for the following areas of the required student performance subcomponent:

- A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components)
- Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance)
- A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20*
- A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating*

Please indicate the area(s) of the required student performance subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

☒ Measures of student growth

☒ Evidence of student learning

*Only select "Conversion to a 20-point score" or "HEDI ranges"

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective											
								1	1	1									
								2	1	0									
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	5	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	9	
97-	93-	90-	85-	80-	75-			5	9	4	9	4	9	5	1	7	3	-	5
100%	96%	92%	89%	84%	79%	67-74%	60-66%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
								5	5	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	2	8
								9	4	8	3	8	3	8	4	0	6	%	%
								%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Measures of Student Growth**

Page Last Modified: 01/05/2021

Measures of Student Growth

Describe the measure(s) that will be used to evaluate teachers for the required student performance subcomponent (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components).

We will use the MAP Growth assessment (combined reading & math) for the K-8 cohort as a school-wide measure for all teachers. Our intent is that common branch K-5 teachers will be giving the Reading and Math MAP assessment. For grades 6-8 these assessments will be given in the core ela and math courses. We will use the scores of the students who take the baseline and the end of year assessment in the same circumstances (remote in both fall and spring and in-person in both fall and spring), to increase reliability of scores, to determine our school-wide measures in the three elementary buildings and our one middle school. To clarify, we are not doing any disaggregation between the two groups (in person and remote only), we are only excluding students who take the assessment in different settings in the fall and spring (e.g. remote in fall and in person in spring). The school-wide SLOs are determined as per SED guidelines, based on the number of students who met their targets.

Measures Assurance

Please check the box below.

☒ Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Evidence of Student Learning**

Page Last Modified: 11/05/2020

Evidence of Student Learning

Please identify any evidence of student learning to be used. A description of how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment should be included in the last section of this variance request.

Type(s) of Evidence☒ Third party assessment(s)**Third Party Assessment(s)**☒ MAP Growth (content-specific)**If a third party assessment is not listed, identify below.**

(No Response)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/15/2021

Variance Details*Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.***Rationale****Please provide a rationale for this variance request.****> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the required student performance variance request.**

District parents have had an option to enroll students in fully remote instruction and between 40-45% of students have opted into that at this time. There has been significant movement between the remote and hybrid cohorts, however. We are concerned with reliability in testing conditions if students have moved between hybrid and remote. Controlling for testing environment will provide greater reliability in results. We intend to only use the scores of students who test in the same environment in fall and spring (remote/remote, in-person/in-person) for our school-wide measures. If students have shifted cohorts, their scores would not be included in the school-wide measure.

Standards and Procedures**Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.****> This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.****> This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures of student growth and/or evidence of student learning that will be used to evaluate educators.**

School-wide measure for all teachers using NWEA growth score, as determined by VARC of ELA/Math combined. Only students who have same testing conditions in Fall and Spring will be included in the VARC calculation (e.g. students who take assessments remotely in Fall also take assessments remotely in Spring).

Rigor**Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.****> This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.****> This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.**

NYS Standards are clear in requiring all teachers to address literacy and numeracy across the subject areas and that all teachers have a responsibility in helping students develop their literacy and numeracy skills. The entire faculty in any given building spend time analyzing the NWEA results, including the learning continuum implications on their instruction, after the fall administration. The NWEA growth scores accurately and rigorously reflect the instructional practices of the district.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/15/2021

Professional Learning

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:

- collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,
- specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,
- processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and
- use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.

After the students have taken the NWEA in the fall, faculty work in departments or grade levels to analyze the results to determine instructional implications based on student results. Departments and/or grade levels work with building principals and curriculum directors to determine professional learning needs. Additionally, curriculum directors aggregate results to provide district-wide developmentally appropriate intervention trainings and supports. All professional development in the district is targeted to content and grade level, and responsive to student performance. At this time, all of our professional learning opportunities occur remotely and we have set aside Wednesdays as a full remote day to provide time and space to support teachers.

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:

- collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,
- the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and
- how results will be used to inform future implementation.

Without a spring NWEA score last year, the learning losses due to building closures in the spring, and continued challenges during the COVID crisis, it will be challenging to use trend data to determine effectiveness of variance. We will, of course, use trend comparisons to aid in analyzing both hybrid and remote models of instruction. We look at scores by building, by grade, and by teacher. We also use a number of NWEA score reports to assist us in the analysis, including the Similiar Schools Report, as well as projections of proficiency on 3-8 state assessments.

Use of the Optional Student Performance Subcomponent & Weighting

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used in the process included in this variance request by making the appropriate selection below.

- ☒ The weighting included in the currently approved plan will not change based on this variance request.

Required Student Performance Variance Assurances

Please check the box below as applicable to all teachers included in this required student performance variance request.

- ☒ Assure that each teacher covered by this variance request will have an SLO consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Applicability [2]**

Page Last Modified: 12/04/2020

Applicable Teachers [2]

Please indicate all teachers to whom this required student performance variance request applies.

Core Teachers [2]

Use the table below to list the core teachers this required student performance variance request is applicable to (teachers of other courses should be listed in the subsequent section).

	All Core Teachers	Common Branch	ELA	Math	Science	Social Studies
Courses	<input type="checkbox"/> All core teachers (K-3; 4-8 ELA, math, science, social studies; high school ELA and Regents courses) [if this option is selected, please do not make selections in subsequent columns]		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 10 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 12	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Algebra I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geometry <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Algebra II	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Earth Science <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chemistry <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Physics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global History I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global History II <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History

Other Courses [2]

☒ Teachers of other courses included in this required student performance variance request are listed in the table below.

Fill in the following for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that are included in this required student performance variance request:

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above
K-3 Art	K	3	Art
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives

Click "Add Row" to add additional courses. Only list additional courses if they are included in this required student performance variance request.

Grade From	Grade To	Subject
9	12	All courses not named above

Applicable Areas [2]

A variance may be requested for the following areas of the required student performance subcomponent:

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Applicability [2]

Page Last Modified: 12/04/2020

- A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components)
- Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance)
- A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20*
- A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating*

Please indicate the area(s) of the required student performance subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

- ☒ Measures of student growth
- ☒ Evidence of student learning

**Only select "Conversion to a 20-point score" or "HEDI ranges" if your variance request involves different values than those included in the table below.*

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective											
								1	1	1									
								2	1	0									
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	5	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	1		
97-	93-	90-	85-	80-	75-			5	9	4	9	4	9	5	1	7	3	9	5
100%	96%	92%	89%	84%	79%	67-74%	60-66%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
								5	5	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	2	8
								9	4	8	3	8	3	8	4	0	6	%	%
								%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Measures of Student Growth [2]**

Page Last Modified: 01/15/2021

Measures of Student Growth [2]

Describe the measure(s) that will be used to evaluate teachers for the required student performance subcomponent (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components).

All teachers in the high school will use the English Regents results as the measure to evaluate teachers for the required student performance subcomponent. The literacy standards are applicable to most of the content area teachers, per the NYS Learning Standards. Additionally, we have a writing initiative within the high school where every teacher, regardless of subject area, provides writing instruction and subject-area writing prompts. Thus all teachers have a hand in preparing students for proficiency on the English Regents. We look to increase overall proficiency rates from the last administration in 2019 as our growth goal.

Measures Assurance [2]

Please check the box below.

- ☒ Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Evidence of Student Learning [2]**

Page Last Modified: 11/05/2020

Evidence of Student Learning [2]

Please identify any evidence of student learning to be used. A description of how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment should be included in the last section of this variance request.

Type(s) of Evidence [2]☒ State or Regents assessment(s)**State or Regents Assessment(s) [2]**☒ ELA Regents

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances [2]**

Page Last Modified: 01/15/2021

Variance Details [2]*Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.***Rationale [2]****Please provide a rationale for this variance request.**

> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the required student performance variance request.

We have done teacher-specific SLOs in the past and this year with the challenges of COVID, the unreliability and lack of wifi within the district and students' homes, caused us to need to begin the year with a staggered start, bringing in students grade by grade over the course of a few weeks. As many of our students have also opted into the remote instruction cohort, the challenges of administering teacher-specific SLOs were difficult. We seek to shift from teacher-specific to school-wide SLO. Additionally, with the concern around loss of learning time from the spring building closures and lack of broadband access in the district, and the sequential nature of many of the Regents exams, the English Regents will be the best indicator of student performance within the district.

Standards and Procedures [2]**Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.**

> This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.

> This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures of student growth and/or evidence of student learning that will be used to evaluate educators.

Monticello High School will go to a school-wide measure, based on the proficiency percentage of the English Regents exam in June 2021. The SLO score for the schoolwide measure will be based on the percentage of students who meet their targets (i.e., the global target of proficiency) in order to determine the 0-20 score.

Rigor [2]**Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.**

> This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.

All teachers in all subjects are actively engaged in diagnostic and formative assessment within their courses, as well as with the literacy standards. Departments utilize data from those assessments to inform instruction and provide needed supports for students. All teachers in the high school will use the English Regents results as the measure to evaluate teachers for the required student subcomponent. The literacy standards are applicable to most of the content area teachers, per the NYS Learning Standards. Additionally, we have a writing initiative within the high school where every teacher, regardless of subject area, provides writing instruction and subject-area writing prompts. Thus all teachers have a hand in preparing students for proficiency on the English Regents.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances [2]**

Page Last Modified: 01/15/2021

Professional Learning [2]

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:

- collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,
- specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,
- processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and
- use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.

There will be a focus in professional learning around assessment (in remote, hybrid, asynchronous/synchronous environments), both formative and summative, and best practices for analysis of that data. We administer the Reading Inventory three-four times a year for all students and also give writing benchmark assessments in all courses. The results of these assessments are analyzed by department for instructional shifts as well as at the building and district level to provide a greater understanding of the professional learning needed to improve outcomes. For example, we have provided teachers with training around teaching writing remotely using collaborative spaces. Overall our professional learning happens remotely this year, in on-demand or live formats. Additionally, we use our curriculum directors, BOCES personnel, outside organizations such as Bank Street, Hudson Valley Writing Project, and Bard College Writing and Thinking Institute to provide training. We also empower teachers to provide training in areas where they have found success.

Effectiveness of Implementation [2]

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:

- collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,
- the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and
- how results will be used to inform future implementation.

The challenges in analyzing trend data are present with the English regents, as they are with NWEA. As the variance requires us to compare growth over time in the proficiency rates of the English regents, inherently we will be assessing the effectiveness of our overall high school literacy instruction. We will use benchmark literacy-based assessments across content areas to determine both our progress to our goal, as well as conducting an analysis as to ascertain the impact of literacy focus on overall student achievement. Research is very clear on the connection between literacy proficiency and overall student achievement at all school levels.

Use of the Optional Student Performance Subcomponent & Weighting [2]

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used in the process included in this variance request by making the appropriate selection below.

- ☒ The weighting included in the currently approved plan will not change based on this variance request.

Required Student Performance Variance Assurances [2]

Please check the box below as applicable to all teachers included in this required student performance variance request.

- ☒ Assure that each teacher covered by this variance request will have an SLO consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 3. TEACHERS: Optional Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/05/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an optional second measure for a teacher or group of teachers that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for the optional student performance subcomponent for teachers.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/05/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to evaluate teacher practice in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

- ☒ The details of the variance request for the teacher observation category is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Applicability & Rubric

Page Last Modified: 01/05/2021

Applicable Teachers

Please indicate all teachers to whom this teacher observation variance request applies.

Core Teachers

Use the table below to list the core teachers this teacher observation variance request is applicable to (teachers of other courses should be listed in the subsequent section).

	All Core Teachers	Common Branch	ELA	Math	Science	Social Studies
Courses	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All core teachers (K-3; 4-8 ELA, math, science, social studies; high school ELA and Regents courses) [if this option is selected, please do not make selections in subsequent columns]					

Other Teachers

- ☐ Teachers of other courses are not included in this teacher observation variance request.
☒ Teachers of other courses included in this teacher observation variance request are listed in the table below.

Fill in the following for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that are included in this teacher observation variance request:

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above
K-3 Art	K	3	Art
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives

Click "Add Row" to add additional courses. Only list additional courses if they are included in this teacher observation variance request.

Grade From	Grade To	Subject
K	12	All courses not named above

Applicable Areas

A variance may be requested for the following components of the teacher observation subcomponent:

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Applicability & Rubric**

Page Last Modified: 01/05/2021

- Teacher practice rubric
- Rating and scoring of the teacher practice rubric
- Weighting of the domains/subcomponents of the teacher practice rubric
- HEDI scoring bands
- Weighting of the teacher observation subcomponents
- Required principal/supervisor observations
- Required independent evaluator observations
- Optional peer observations

Please indicate the area(s) of the teacher observation subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

- ☒ Teacher observation subcomponent weighting
- ☒ Required principal/supervisor observations
- ☒ Required independent evaluator observations

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-dTask 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Subcomponent Weighting

Page Last Modified: 01/05/2021

Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting**Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.**

Principal/Administrator	Independent Evaluator(s)	Peer Observer(s)	Group for which this weighting will apply. If the indicated weighting will be used for all teachers listed in the 'Applicability' section, note "All Teachers."
100	0	0	All Teachers

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Principal/Supervisor Observations**

Page Last Modified: 12/08/2020

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or another trained administrator.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

	Minimum number of observations	Observation method (check all that apply)	Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)
Unannounced	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(No Response)
Announced	3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Live	(No Response)
Totals:	3		

To which teachers does the information in the above table apply?

☒ All teachers listed in the 'Applicability' section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Independent Evaluator Observations**

Page Last Modified: 11/05/2020

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)**Independent Evaluator Assurances****Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Number and Method of Observation

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below. At least one observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

	Minimum number of observations	Observation method (check all that apply)	Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)
Unannounced	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(No Response)
Announced	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(No Response)
Totals:	0		

To which teachers does the information in the above table apply?

- ☒ All teachers listed in the 'Applicability' section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Details & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/05/2021

Variance Details*Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.***Rationale****Please provide a rationale for this variance request.****> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the teacher observation variance request.**

Since we are engaging with a synchronous/asynchronous instruction model, there are fewer opportunities to observe live instruction. A reduction in the number of observations will allow district observations to be a sustainable and valuable activity. We have agreed to announced observations, again, due to the mix of synchronous and asynchronous instruction.

We seek to eliminate the observations by independent evaluators this year as we are seeking to limit unnecessary travel and contact between buildings, as per the NYSED reopening guidance. In order to maintain "pods" of connected faculty and students, to limit the potential of contacts in the event of COVID positivity and exposure, we seek to eliminate observations by independent evaluators.

Standards and Procedures**Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.****> This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.****> This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures and/or evidence of teacher practice that will be used to evaluate educators.**

We decreased the minimum number of observations from 5 to 3 by lead evaluators and have eliminated the one independent evaluator observation for 2020-21. Additionally, we have switched from unannounced observations to announced, with 48 hour notice. We are observing during live in-person instruction or live remote instruction sessions.

Rigor**Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.****> This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.****> This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.**

We continue to complete trend analyses of teacher observation data, in order to determine effectiveness of instruction as well as targeted areas of professional development. Lead evaluators will use observation data, but individually and school wide, to provide appropriate supports to teachers in working towards proficiency in our new blended and remote only models of instruction. We continuously work with administrators on what quality instruction looks like in hybrid and remote-only models. The differences are pedagogical (for example smaller group instruction is more effective) as well as technological and we need to ensure that teachers are using technological tools to their fullest and connect tech training to instructional practices at all times.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Details & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/05/2021

Professional Learning

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:

- collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,
- specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,
- processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and
- use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.

We will continue to use our observation data to develop district needs assessments to inform professional development. Additionally, post observation conferences continue to allow lead evaluators to provide training guidance to improve instruction. Based on the subcomponents scores after the first round of scheduled observations, we will develop targeted professional learning to offer to all teaching staff. Additionally, lead evaluators use that data to recommend and/or require a variety of professional learning opportunities. Finally, staff that excel in subcomponents are encouraged to provide professional learning to other teachers to share their expertise. We do short and long term analysis to connect observation data to student performance data.

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:

- collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,
- the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and
- how results will be used to inform future implementation.

We will continue to use the same process of evaluating the effectiveness of observations. There is no change to our current practice, just a change in the number of observations and rubric subcomponents. We do short and long term analysis to connect observation data to student performance data, both benchmark assessments as well as end of course assessments. We look specifically for subcomponent expertise that provides understanding of the most effective instructional levers to help students improve performance. We also need to continuously calibrate our lead evaluators, as the learning environment is markedly different this year based on COVID circumstances.

Observation Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below as applicable to all teachers included in this teacher observation variance request.

- ☒ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- ☒ Assure that once all observations are complete, the process for determining an overall Teacher Observation category score and rating will incorporate the evidence collected across all observations to produce an overall Teacher Observation category rating on a HEDI scale.
- ☒ Assure that it is possible for a teacher to obtain any number of points in the applicable scoring ranges, including zero, in each subcomponent.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 5. TEACHERS: Overall Scoring - Variance Request**

Page Last Modified: 11/05/2020

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Education Law §3012-d requires that each teacher be given a final score for both the Student Performance and Teacher Observation categories, which will be converted to a final category rating based on the HEDI scale, and that these ratings be used to provide an Overall Rating using the prescribed scoring matrix.

These requirements must be met through either the LEA's current APPR plan or this variance. To the extent that the variance does not address a requirement, the currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to define the HEDI ranges for the Student Performance and/or Teacher Observation category that is different than those included in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for category ratings for teachers.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Variance Request**

Page Last Modified: 11/05/2020

Additional Requirements for Teachers

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Education Law §3012-d requires that a complete APPR plan must include a process for teacher improvement plans, appeals, and evaluator training as determined by the Commissioner. The following minimum requirements under Education Law §3012-d are applicable to teachers:

- A form for development of a Teacher Improvement Plan,
- A timely and expeditious process for resolving educator's appeals of APPR ratings,
- A process for training all evaluators of applicable educators.

These requirements must be met through either the LEA's current APPR plan or this variance. To the extent that the variance does not address a requirement, the currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process for Teacher Improvement Plans, appeals and/or training in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☒ A variance is not requested for teacher improvement plans, appeals, or training.
- ☐ The details of the variance request applicable to teacher improvement plans, appeals, and/or training is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Request**

Page Last Modified: 11/05/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Education Law §3012-d requires that the principal evaluation system be aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluations, including the required student performance subcomponent. Therefore, the required student performance subcomponent variance request for principals must be aligned to the teacher evaluation requirements.

This requirement

must be met through

currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process to demonstrate student growth based on principal practice for a principal or group of principals that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☐ A variance is not requested for the required student performance subcomponent for principals.
- ☒ The details of the variance request for the required student performance subcomponent for principals is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Applicability

Page Last Modified: 01/22/2021

Applicable Principals

Please indicate all principals to whom this required student performance variance request applies.

To add configurations for additional principals, click "Add Row".

Grade From	Grade To
K	5
6	8
K	2
3	5

Additional Variance Request

If another required student performance variance request with an alternate process will apply to a different group of principals, each should be listed separately.

Complete the information requested below and in subsequent sections for the principals identified above.

Once complete, enter the next set of principals and complete the information for the second variance request.

☒ Check this box to add an additional required student performance variance request for a different group of principals.

Applicable Areas

A variance may be requested for the following areas of the required student performance subcomponent:

- A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components)
- Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance)
- A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20*
- A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating*

Please indicate the area(s) of the required student performance subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

- ☒ Measures of student growth
☒ Evidence of student learning

*Only select 'Conversion to a 20-point score' or 'HEDI ranges' if your variance request involves different values than those included in the table below.

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
								1	1	1	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
								2	1	0										
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	5	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	9		
97-	93-	90-	85-	80-	75-	67-74%	60-66%	5	9	4	9	4	9	5	1	7	3	-	5	0
100%	96%	92%	89%	84%	79%			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
								5	5	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	8	4
								9	4	8	3	8	3	8	4	0	6	2	%	%
								%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Measures of Student Growth**

Page Last Modified: 01/27/2021

Measures of Student Growth

Describe the measure(s) that will be used to evaluate principals for the required student performance subcomponent (e.g., goal setting process; demonstration of student growth).

We will use the MAP Growth assessment (combined reading & math) for the K-8 cohort as a principal specific measure for all principals of students in K-8th grade..

Our intent is that common branch K-5 teachers will be giving the Reading and Math MAP assessment. For grades 6-8 these assessments will be given in the core ela and math courses. We will use the scores of the students who take the baseline and the end of year assessment in the same circumstances (remote in both fall and spring and in-person in both fall and spring), to increase reliability of scores, to determine our principal-specific measure in the three elementary buildings and our one middle school. To clarify, we are not doing any disaggregation between the two groups (in person and remote only), we are only excluding students who take the assessment in different settings in the fall and spring (e.g. remote in fall and in person in spring). The principal-specific measure is determined as per SED guidelines, based on the number of students who met their targets.

Measures Assurance

Please check the box below.

☒ Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Evidence of Student Learning**

Page Last Modified: 01/05/2021

Evidence of Student Learning

Please identify any evidence of student learning to be used. A description of how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment should be included in the last section of this variance request.

Type(s) of Evidence☒ Third party assessment(s)**Third Party Assessment(s)**☒ MAP Growth (content-specific)**If a third party assessment is not listed, identify below.**

(No Response)

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/27/2021

Variance Details*Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.***Rationale****Please provide a rationale for this variance request.**

> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the required student performance variance request.

District parents have had an option to enroll students in fully remote instruction and between 40-45% of students have opted into that at this time. There has been significant movement between the remote and hybrid cohorts, however. We are concerned with reliability in testing conditions if students have moved between hybrid and remote. Controlling for testing environment will provide greater reliability in results. We intend to only use the scores of students who test in the same environment in fall and spring (remote/remote, in-person/in-person) for our principal-specific measure. If students have shifted cohorts, their scores would not be included in the principal-specific measure.

Standards and Procedures**Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.**

> This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.

> This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures of student growth and/or evidence of student learning that will be used to evaluate educators.

Principal specific measure for NWEA growth score, as determined by VARC of ELA/Math combined. Only students who have same testing conditions in Fall and Spring will be included in the VARC calculation (e.g. students who take assessments remotely in Fall also take assessments remotely in Spring).

Rigor**Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.**

> This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.

NYS Leadership Standards are clear in requiring principals to provide instructional leadership to all teachers to address literacy and numeracy across the subject areas. The building principals lead faculty in analyzing the NWEA results, including the learning continuum implications on their instruction. The NWEA growth scores accurately and rigorously reflect the instructional leadership of the district.

Professional Learning**Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.**

> This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:

- collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,
- specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,
- processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and
- use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.

After the students have taken the NWEA in the fall, principals lead faculty working in departments or grade levels to analyze the results to determine instructional implications based on student results. Principals are provided professional development and coaching to aid them in their instructional leadership around addressing implications as communicated by the NWEA results. At this time, all of our professional learning opportunities occur remotely and we have set aside Wednesdays as a full remote day to provide time and space to support principals.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/27/2021

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:

- collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,
- the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and
- how results will be used to inform future implementation.

Without a spring NWEA score last year, the learning losses due to building closures in the spring, and continued challenges during the COVID crisis, it will be challenging to use trend data to determine effectiveness of variance. We will, of course, use trend comparisons to aid in analyzing both hybrid and remote models of instruction. We look at scores by building, by grade, and by teacher in each building to determine principal instructional leadership effectiveness. We also use a number of NWEA score reports to assist us in the analysis, including the Similiar Schools Report, as well as projections of proficiency on 3-8 state assessments.

Use of the Optional Student Performance Subcomponent & Weighting

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used in the process included in this variance request by making the appropriate selection below.

- ☒ The weighting included in the currently approved plan will not change based on this variance request.

Required Student Performance Variance Assurances

Please check the box below as applicable to all principals included in this required student performance variance request.

- ☒ Assure that scores and ratings for the required student performance subcomponent will be calculated consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Applicability [2]**

Page Last Modified: 01/15/2021

Applicable Principals [2]

Please indicate all principals to whom this required student performance variance request applies.

To add configurations for additional principals, click "Add Row".

Grade From	Grade To
9	12

Applicable Areas [2]**A variance may be requested for the following areas of the required student performance subcomponent:**

- A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components)
- Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance)
- A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20*
- A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating*

Please indicate the area(s) of the required student performance subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.☒ Evidence of student learning**Only select 'Conversion to a 20-point score' or 'HEDI ranges' if your variance request involves different values than those included in the table below.*

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective													
								1	1	1											
								2	1	0	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	5	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	1				
97-	93-	90-	85-	80-	75-			5	9	4	9	4	9	5	1	7	3	9		5	0
100%	96%	92%	89%	84%	79%	67-74%	60-66%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
								5	5	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	8	4	
								9	4	8	3	8	3	8	4	0	6	2	%	%	%
								%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Evidence of Student Learning [2]**

Page Last Modified: 01/05/2021

Evidence of Student Learning [2]

Please identify any evidence of student learning to be used. A description of how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment should be included in the last section of this variance request.

Type(s) of Evidence [2]☒ State or Regents assessment(s)**State or Regents Assessment(s) [2]**☒ ELA Regents

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances [2]**

Page Last Modified: 01/27/2021

Variance Details [2]*Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.***Rationale [2]****Please provide a rationale for this variance request.****> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the required student performance variance request.**

This year the challenges of COVID, the unreliability and lack of wifi within the district and students' homes, caused us to need to begin the year with a staggered start, bringing in students grade by grade over the course of a few weeks. Many of our students have also opted into the remote instruction cohort. Additionally, with the concern around loss of learning time from the spring building closures and lack of broadband access in the district, and the sequential nature of many of the Regents exams, the English Regents will be the best indicator of principal instructional leadership to improve student performance within the district.

Standards and Procedures [2]**Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.****> This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.****> This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures of student growth and/or evidence of student learning that will be used to evaluate educators.**

Monticello High School will shift the principal specific measure from using all Regents in accordance with the approved APPR Plan to the use of the ELA Regents.

Monticello High School will go to a principal specific measure, based on the proficiency percentage of the English Regents exam in June 2021, shifting from all Regents to ELA Regents. The SLO score for the principal specific measure will be based on the percentage of students who meet their targets (i.e., the global target of proficiency) in order to determine the 0-20 score.

Rigor [2]**Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.****> This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.****> This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.**

The principal is actively engaged in providing leadership for teachers in all subjects as they administer and analyze diagnostic and formative assessment within their courses, as well as with the literacy standards. The principal works with departments as they utilize data from those assessments to inform instruction and provide needed supports for students. The principal has made clear and provided leadership to ensure that the literacy standards are applicable to most of the content area teachers, per the NYS Leadership Standards. Additionally, we have a writing initiative within the high school, overseen by the principal, where every teacher, regardless of subject area, provides writing instruction and subject-area writing prompts. Thus the principal is integral in leading teachers to prepare students for proficiency on the English Regents.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances [2]**

Page Last Modified: 01/27/2021

Professional Learning [2]

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:

- collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,
- specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,
- processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and
- use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.

The district administers the Reading Inventory three-four times a year for all students and also give writing benchmark assessments in all courses. The results of these assessments are analyzed by the principals for instructional shifts needed in the building. The district provides training for principals to do this work, based on the NWEA results analysis. For example, we have provided principals training on supporting teachers with training around teaching writing remotely using collaborative spaces. Overall our professional learning happens remotely this year, in on-demand or live formats. Additionally, we use our curriculum directors, BOCES personnel, outside organizations such as Bank Street, Hudson Valley Writing Project, and Bard College Writing and Thinking Institute to provide training and support for principals.

Effectiveness of Implementation [2]

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:

- collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,
- the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and
- how results will be used to inform future implementation.

The challenges in analyzing trend data are present with the English regents, as they are with NWEA. As the variance requires us to compare growth over time in the proficiency rates of the English regents, inherently the principal will be assessing the effectiveness of our overall high school literacy instruction. The principal will use benchmark literacy-based assessment data across content areas to determine collaboratively with teachers both our progress to our goal, as well as analysis as to impact of literacy focus on overall student achievement. Research is very clear on the connection between literacy proficiency and overall student achievement at all school levels.

Use of the Optional Student Performance Subcomponent & Weighting [2]

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used in the process included in this variance request by making the appropriate selection below.

- ☒ The weighting included in the currently approved plan will not change based on this variance request.

Required Student Performance Variance Assurances [2]

Please check the box below as applicable to all principals included in this required student performance variance request.

- ☒ Assure that scores and ratings for the required student performance subcomponent will be calculated consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 8. PRINCIPALS: Optional Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/05/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an optional second measure for a principal or group of principals that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for the optional student performance subcomponent for principals.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 01/05/2021

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to evaluate principal practice in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

- ☒ The details of the variance request for the principal school visit category is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Applicability & Rubric**

Page Last Modified: 01/19/2021

Applicable Principals

Please indicate all principals to whom this principal school visit variance request applies.

To add configurations for additional principals, click "Add Row".

Grade From	Grade To
K	12

Applicable Areas**A variance may be requested for the following components of the principal school visit subcomponent:**

- Principal practice rubric
- Rating and scoring of the principal practice rubric
- Weighting of the domains/subcomponents of the principal practice rubric
- HEDI scoring bands
- Weighting of the principal school visit subcomponents
- Required supervisor/administrator school visits
- Required independent evaluator school visits
- Optional peer school visits

If none of the above components are applicable to the requested variance, please select the last option and complete the next page in a manner that clearly and thoroughly describes the process of evaluating principal practice.**Please indicate the area(s) of the principal school visit subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.**☒ Required independent evaluator school visits

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required Independent Evaluator School Visits

Page Last Modified: 01/05/2021

Required Subcomponent 2: School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)**Independent Evaluator Assurances**

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Number and Method of School Visit

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method used, in the table below.

If a school visit type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the school visit method.

	Minimum number of school visits	School visit method(<i>check all that apply</i>)	Other school visit method(<i>only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column</i>)
Unannounced	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(No Response)
Announced	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	(No Response)
Totals:	0		

To which principals does the information in the above table apply?

- ☒ All principals listed in the 'Applicability' section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Details & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/19/2021

Variance Details*Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.***Rationale****Please provide a rationale for this variance request.**

> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the principal school visit variance request.

We seek to eliminate the school visits by independent evaluators this year as we are seeking to limit unnecessary travel and contact between buildings, as per the NYSED reopening guidance. In order to maintain "pods" of connected faculty and students, to limit the potential of contacts in the event of COVID positivity and exposure, we seek to eliminate school visits by independent evaluators.

Standards and Procedures**Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.**

> This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.

> This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures and/or evidence of principal practice that will be used to evaluate educators.

There will be one unannounced and one announced school visit by the lead evaluator of principals. There will be no third unannounced school visit by an independent evaluator.

Rigor**Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.**

> This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.

The principal lead evaluator underwent training by Sullivan County BOCES and recertification. Post school visit conferences provide opportunities to collaboratively assess areas of growth and challenge for principals.

The lead evaluator will use school visit and teacher observation data, both individually and districtwide, to provide appropriate supports to principals in working with teachers towards proficiency in our new blended and remote only models of instruction. We continuously work with administrators on what quality instruction looks like in hybrid and remote-only models. The differences are pedagogical (for example smaller group instruction is more effective) as well as technological and we need to ensure that principals support teachers in using technological tools to their fullest and connect tech training to instructional practices at all times.

Professional Learning**Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.**

> This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:

- collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,
- specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,
- processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and
- use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.

Post school visit analysis allows the lead evaluator to identify areas of strength and challenge and target individualized professional learning opportunities for principals. Based on subcomponent scores after the first round of unannounced school visits, we will develop targeted professional learning for all administration. Additionally, any administrator who excels in a subcomponent will be encouraged to provide professional learning and support for other administrators.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Details & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/19/2021

Effectiveness of Implementation**Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.****> This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:**

- collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,
- the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and
- how results will be used to inform future implementation.

We will continue to use the same process of evaluating the effectiveness of school visits. There is no change to our current practice, just a change in the number of school visits. We do short and long term analysis to connect school visit data, and teacher observation data to teacher performance data. We look specifically for subcomponent expertise among our principals that provides understanding of the most effective instructional levers to help teachers help students improve performance. We also need to continuously calibrate our lead evaluator, as the learning environment is markedly different this year based on COVID circumstances.

Principal School Visit Assurances**Please check each of the boxes below as applicable to all principals included in this principal school visit variance request.**

- ☒ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- ☒ Assure that once all school visits are complete, the process for determining an overall Teacher Observation category score and rating will incorporate the evidence collected across all school visits to produce an overall Principal School Visit category rating on a HEDI scale.
- ☒ Assure that it is possible for a principal to obtain any number of points in the applicable scoring ranges, including zero, in each subcomponent.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 10. PRINCIPALS: Overall Scoring - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/05/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to define the HEDI ranges for the Student Performance and/or Principal School Visit category that is different than those included in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for category ratings for principals.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/05/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process for Principal Improvement Plans, appeals and/or training in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☒ A variance is not requested for principal improvement plans, appeals, or training.
- ☐ The details of the variance request applicable to principal improvement plans, appeals, and/or training is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Variance - Applicability and Certification**

Page Last Modified: 01/29/2021

Applicability of Variance**Need for Variance****Please make the appropriate selection below.**

- ☒ The submission of this variance application is prompted by the impact of COVID-19 on the LEA.

Instructional Model

- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if an in-person instructional model is implemented.
- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if a remote instructional model is implemented.
- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if a hybrid instructional model is implemented.
- ☒ The processes identified in this variance application apply regardless of the instructional model implemented.

Variance Duration

An Annual Professional Performance Review Variance under Education Law §3012-d may be approved for up to **THREE (3) years**.

Please indicate below the school years to which this variance application will apply.

One, two, or three consecutive academic years may be selected.

- ☒ 2020-21

Upload APPR Variance Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Variance using the "Variance Certification Form" found in the 'Documents' menu on the left side of the page.

Appr Revised 3.pdf

APPR VARIANCE CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, check the assurances, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Variance, Education Law §3012-d application.

Assurances: Please check the boxes below

- ☒ Assure that all information provided in this variance application is true and accurate as of the date that the variance application is submitted.
- ☒ Assure that once this application is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's approved APPR plan during the effective term of the variance.
- ☒ Assure that, upon a revocation or non-renewal of a variance application at the end of its effective term, the district shall implement its approved evaluation plan in its entirety and without modification, consistent with all requirements of Subpart 30-3.3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and absent any terms of the variance.
- ☒ Assure that, where applicable, collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of this variance application that are subject to collective bargaining.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:

Date:

 1-29-21

Superintendent Name (print):

Dr. Matthew T. Evans

Teachers Union President Signature:

Date:


 1-29-21

Teachers Union President Name (print):

John Maranzana

Administrative Union President Signature:

Date:


 1-29-21

Administrative Union President Name (print):

Douglas Murphy

Board of Education President Signature:

Date:

 1-29-21

Board of Education President Name (print):

Lori Orestano-James



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov
Twitter: @NYSEDNews
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

September 14, 2018

Revised

Tammy Mangus, Superintendent
Monticello Central School District
237 Forestburgh Road
Monticello, NY 12701

Dear Superintendent Mangus:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

MaryEllen Elia
Commissioner

Attachment

c: Robert DuFour

NOTE:

Pursuant to sections 30-2.14 and 30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must calculate transition scores and ratings for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 ELA and math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores. For the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must establish alternate SLOs for affected teachers and principals who, as a result of the above exclusions, have no remaining measures in the Student Performance Category.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 1. General Information - Tasks 1.1, 1.2**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Task 1) Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

The Department will review the contents of each school district's/BOCES' Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's/BOCES' plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from a district/BOCES to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. As such, each district/BOCES is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of a district's/BOCES' plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1.1) Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below**

- ☒ Assure that the content of this form represents the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that a detailed version of the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district/BOCES website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.
- ☒ Assure that it is understood that this district's/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.

1.2) Submission Status

Is this a first-time submission under Education Law §3012-d or the submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d?

Submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Task 2) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

(A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

2.1) Assurances

Please note: NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math Assessments and State-provided growth scores cannot be used for the purposes of providing transition scores and ratings during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, and should be used for advisory purposes only until the 2019-20 school year. Alternate SLOs to be used during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 transition period should be entered in Task 2 (Transition).

Please check the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- ☒ Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all 4-8 ELA and Math teachers in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that teacher.
- ☒ For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for any grade/subject that requires a back-up SLO, but for which there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a teacher-specific SLO from being created, the superintendent or another trained administrator shall develop a school-wide back-up SLO using available State/Regents assessments.
- ☒ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

2.2) Grades 4-8 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)**STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH**

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a growth score and rating. That rating will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and takes into consideration students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided scores and ratings, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided growth measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score and rating from the State for the full Student Performance category of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Student Performance category of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided growth measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those teachers who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that teacher.

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs for the grade/subject listed beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math
State Assessment	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math
State Assessment	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math

	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math
State Assessment	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math

	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math

	Grade 8 ELA	Grade 8 Math
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 8 ELA	Grade 8 Math and Algebra I Regents

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.3 (3 ELA/Math), 2.4 (4/8 SCI)

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

2.3) Grade 3 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)**STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams**

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math
State Assessment	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math

2.4) Grades 4 and 8 Science: Assessments (Original)**STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams**

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 4 Science	Grade 8 Science
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 4 Science	Grade 8 Science and Living Environment Regents

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

2.5) High School Courses Ending in a Regents Exam: Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Global 2	US History
Regents Assessment	Global 2 Regents	US History Regents

	Living Environment	Earth Science	Chemistry	Physics
Regents Assessment	Living Environment Regents	Earth Science Regents	Chemistry Regents	Physics Regents

	Algebra I	Geometry	Algebra II/Trigonometry
Regents Assessment(s)	Algebra I Regents	Geometry Regents	Algebra II Regents

2.6) High School English Language Arts Courses: Measures and Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school English Language Arts courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: High School English Language Arts

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For high school English Language Arts, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- The New York State ELA Regents assessment is required in at least one year of high school English Language Arts with a teacher-specific measure in the grade-level ELA course that ends in the ELA Regents assessment.

For grade levels where the Regents exam is not administered:

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with:
- The New York State ELA Regents assessment;
- Any other State assessment(s);
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments; or
- Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are:
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Please do not select "All Regents given in the building/district" in addition to individual Regents exams.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
9 ELA	Teacher-specific results		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monticello	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reading Inventory
10 ELA	Teacher-specific results		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monticello	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reading Inventory
11 ELA	Teacher-specific results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ELA Regents		
12 ELA	Teacher-specific results		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monticello	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reading Inventory

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

2.7) Grades K-2 ELA and Math: Measures and Assessments (Original)**STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams**

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grades K-2 ELA/math, district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with:
- State assessment(s);
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments; or
- Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are:
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K ELA	Teacher-specific results			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Measures of Academic Progress
K Math	Teacher-specific results			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Measures of Academic Progress
1 ELA	Teacher-specific results			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Measures of Academic Progress
1 Math	Teacher-specific results			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Measures of Academic Progress
2 ELA	Teacher-specific results			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Measures of Academic Progress
2 Math	Teacher-specific results			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Measures of Academic Progress

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

2.8) Grades 6-7 Science and Grades 6-8 Social Studies: Measures and Assessments (Original)**STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams**

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grades 6-7 science and grades 6-8 social studies, district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with:
- State assessment(s);
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments; or
- Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are:
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
6 Science	Teacher-specific results		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monticello	
7 Science	Teacher-specific results		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monticello	
6 Social Studies	Teacher-specific results		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monticello	
7 Social Studies	Teacher-specific results		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monticello	
8 Social Studies	Teacher-specific results		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monticello	

2.9) Regents Global Studies 1: Measure and Assessment(s) (Original)

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For Global Studies 1, district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with:
- The New York State Global 2 Regents assessment;
- Any other State assessment(s);
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments; or
- Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are:
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for Global Studies 1.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
Global 1	Teacher-specific results		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monticello	

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

2.10) All Other Courses (Original)**STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams**

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For courses that end in a State or Regents assessment:

- The State or Regents assessment must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments.

For other grades/subjects, district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with:
- State assessment(s);
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments; or
- Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are:
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

Fill in the following, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have SLOs (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course**Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course****Column 3: subject of the course****Column 4: measure used****Columns 5-6: assessment(s) used**

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-6) assessment(s)
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	ELA Regents, Algebra I Regents
K-3 Art	K	3	Art	Teacher-specific results	Questar III BOCES
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives	School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results	All Regents given in building/district

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

Grade From	Grade To	Subject	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K	5	Music	School- or program-wide			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Measures of

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Grade From	Grade To	Subject	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
			group, team, or linked results			Academic Progress
K	12	Fine Arts	Teacher-specific results		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monticello	
K	8	All courses not named above	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Measures of Academic Progress
9	12	All courses not named above	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ELA Regents		
6	12	Music	Teacher-specific results		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monticello	
6	12	ELL	Teacher-specific results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NYSESLAT		
9	12	Special Education	Teacher-specific results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NYSA		

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one 0-20 score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Common branch teacher with State-provided growth measures for both ELA and Math in grade 4; middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-dTask 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

2.13) Assurances

For guidance on SLOs and the development of back-up SLOs, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance.

Please check the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that the teacher has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- ☒ Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- ☒ Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☒ Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☒ Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 2.11, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- ☒ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- ☒ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

2.14) Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Task 2) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)*The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.*

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category or guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, grades 3-8 NYS ELA/math assessments and any State-provided growth scores may only be used for advisory purposes and may not be used for the purpose of calculating transition scores and ratings.

If grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores are the entirety of the Student Performance category, districts/BOCES must also develop an alternate SLO based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or on State-provided growth scores for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

2.2-2.10) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLO during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, then indicate the applicable courses. If all other courses listed in Original Task 2.10 are using Alternate SLOs, and such Alternate SLOs will be based on the same measures and assessments, please select "Other Courses as listed in Original Task 2.10" in the Applicable Course(s) column.

Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)	Applicable Course(s)
Teacher-specific results			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Measures of Academic Progress	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8 Math

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 3.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Teachers) - Task 3.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Task 3) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the Required subcomponent, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

3.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent of the Student Performance Category

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.
Marshall's Teacher Evaluation Rubric (2014 Edition)	(No Response)

4.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- ☒ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year as indicated in Task 4.1 above.
- ☒ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

4.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

4.4) Calculating Observation Ratings**Assurances**

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- ☒ Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 4.5 below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- ☒ Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(1) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the district's/BOCES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(2) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	1.49 to 1.74

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

4.5) Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting**Required Subcomponents:**

- Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators: At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score
- Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent:

- Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

** If the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator.*

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Required - Principal/ Administrator	Required - Independent Evaluator(s)	Optional - Peer Observer(s)	Grades and subjects for which Peer Observers will be used
90%	10%	N/A	(No Response)

4.6) Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ☒ Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these teacher(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- ☒ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☒ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☒ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

4.7) Number and Method of Observations**Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations for each type of observer, as well as the method of observation, in the tables below.****Tenured Teachers**

	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Observation method	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method
Unannounced	5	In person	1	In person	0	N/A
Announced	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A

Probationary Teachers

	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Observation method	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method
Unannounced	5	In person	1	In person	0	N/A
Announced	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 5. Overall Scoring (Teachers) - Tasks 5.1-5.3**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

5.1) Scoring Ranges**Student Performance Category**

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating		
	Minimum	Maximum
H	18	20
E	15	17
D	13	14
I	0	12

Teacher Observation

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

Overall Observation Category Category Score and Rating		
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	1.49 to 1.74

5.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

		Teacher Observation Category			
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
Student Performance Category	Highly Effective (H)	H	H	E	D
	Effective (E)	H	E	E	D
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I

* If a teacher is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the teacher can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

5.3) Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- ☒ Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same school district, each of whom received an Ineffective rating under Education Law §3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the district has a Department-approved waiver from this requirement.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

6.1) Assurances: Teacher Improvement Plans**Please check each of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☒ Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed;and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

TIP Document.pdf

6.3) Assurance: Appeals**Please check the box below.**

- ☒ Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

6.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law§3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review;which shall include the following:
 - (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the StudentPerformance category, but rated Highly Effective ontheObservationcategory based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law§3012-d;
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and
- (4)the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.**General Appeals Process:**

1. Any tenured teacher who receives an "ineffective" or "developing" composite APPR rating, having also received a developing or ineffective on his/her Teacher Observation Category, or a probationary teacher who receives an ineffective rating on his/her composite APPR is entitled to appeal the final rating. Additionally, in the event that any tenured or probationary teacher is rated ineffective in the Student Performance category but rated Highly Effective the Teacher Observation category then they may appeal the annual APPR rating. All appeals shall be based upon a written submission to the Superintendent of Schools or the Superintendent's administrative designee, who shall be trained in accordance with the requirements of the statute and regulations and also possess an district-wide administrative certification. In the event that the Superintendent or the Superintendent's administrative designee served as an Evaluator or Lead Evaluator he/she shall not hear the appeal.
3. While an appeal may not be commenced until the teacher's receipt of his/her annual composite APPR rating, nothing herein shall prevent a teacher from informally discussing the Final Summative Evaluation with the Lead Evaluator prior to the issuance of the composite APPR rating.
4. The appeal must be brought in writing, specifying the area(s) of concern, but limited to those matters that may be appealed as prescribed in Section 3012-d of the Education Law. Further, a teacher who is placed on a Teacher Improvement Plan ("TIP") shall have a corresponding right to appeal concerns regarding the TIP in accordance with the requirements set forth in Section 3012-d of the Education Law.
5. An appeal of an APPR evaluation or a TIP must be commenced within fourteen business days of the presentation of the final document to the teacher or else the right to appeal shall be deemed waived in all regards; provided, however, that in the case of a TIP appeal, there shall be a second fourteen business day period for a TIP appeal following the end date of the TIP and failure to appeal the TIP within fourteen business days following the end date thereof shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal the implementation of the TIP.
6. The Superintendent or the Superintendent's administrative designee shall review appeals by first considering procedural issues, then by considering the observational evidence of instruction and thereafter shall respond to all appeals with a written answer granting the appeal and directing further administrative action, or denying the appeal with the specific reason for the appeal denial. The decision of the Superintendent or the Superintendent's administrative designee shall be made within fourteen business days of the receipt of the appeal.
7. Within ten business days of receipt of the Superintendent's appeal decision, the teacher shall have the right to file an appeal with a mutually agreed upon list of arbitrators. The arbitrator shall hear the case within 30 calendar days of receiving the request for arbitration of the appeal, and render a decision within five (5) business days following the hearing of the appeal. The decision of the arbitrator shall be final and binding. The cost of the arbitrator shall be borne equally by the District and the Association.
8. The provisions set forth above shall not be construed to alter or affect the rights of probationary teachers pursuant to §3031 of the New York State Education Law.

Appeals Process for a tenured teacher who has received a second consecutive ineffective APPR composite rating:

Within fourteen business days of receipt of the second consecutive ineffective rating the appeal shall be made directly to an arbitrator selected from a mutually agreed upon list of arbitrators. The arbitrator shall hear the case within 30 calendar days of receiving the request for arbitration of the appeal, and the arbitrator shall render a decision within five (5) business days following the hearing of the appeal. The decision of the arbitrator shall be final and binding. The cost of the arbitrator shall be borne equally by the District and the Association. In the event that either party has a question regarding the authenticity of such documentation, the same shall be presented in writing immediately to the arbitrator and copied to the other party for the arbitrator's review and consideration. The Arbitrator shall review the evidence underlying the observations of the teacher along with all other evidence submitted by the teacher prior to rendering a decision.

6.5) Assurance: Evaluators**Please check the box below.**

- ☒ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

6.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The District will work to ensure that all evaluators, including lead evaluators, evaluators, and independent evaluators of teachers will be properly trained for certification and will maintain inter-rater reliability over time and that they are certified and/or re-certified on a regular basis and receive updated training on any changes in the law, regulations or applicable collective bargaining agreements.

All training will be conducted by the Sullivan County BOCES staff, NYS Council of School Superintendents or another entity that has expertise on the State's APPR law and regulation. The training will include a process to maintain inter-rater reliability over time in accordance with NYSED guidance and protocols recommended in training for all evaluators. The training for all evaluators will address all nine of the required elements found in Section §30-3.10B of the Regents Rules.

All evaluators will receive training through the BOCES Team that includes the NYS Teaching Standards/ ISLLC Standards, Research-based observation techniques, and the application and use of any evaluation rubric used in district.

The District anticipates that these protocols will include measures such as: data analysis; periodic comparisons of assessments; and/or annual calibration sessions across evaluators. The duration of any and all training will total a minimum of 16 hours of Professional Development (2 Days).

The District will ensure the training and certification of its Lead Evaluators in accordance with the requirements prescribed in the Commissioner's Regulations. The District will further ensure that Lead Evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time and that they are certified or re-certified on an annual basis in the rubric selected by the district and MASA.

Based upon the participation in these activities, District lead evaluators and independent evaluators of principals/teachers will be certified by the Board of Education annually

6.7) Assurances: Teacher Evaluation**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ☒ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☒ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- ☒ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide teachers whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ☒ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

6.8) Assurances: Assessments

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that, where applicable, if students take more than one version of the Regents assessment for a particular content area, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- ☒ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- ☒ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- ☒ Assure that, where there is more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

6.9) Assurances: Data**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- ☒ Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☒ Assure scores and ratings for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☒ Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.
- ☒ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Task 7) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

(A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

7.1) State-Provided Measures of Student Growth (Original)

Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments for which there is a State growth model (e.g., K-5, K-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.).

For principals where less than 30% of their students are covered under a State-provided growth measure, please use Task 7.2.

For the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, principals of buildings or programs that include all of grades 9-12 who receive State-provided growth scores based on Regents assessments set back-up SLOs based on Regents assessments.

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those principals who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that principal. Principals of buildings or programs that include all of grades 9-12 who receive State-provided growth scores based on Regents assessments should continue to set back-up SLOs based on Regents assessments in the 2019-20 school year and thereafter.

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where State-provided growth measures will apply beginning in the 2019-20 school year (please list, e.g., K-5, K-6, 6-8, 6-12, 7-12, 9-12). For each configuration, also indicate assessment(s) used for back-up SLOs.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program

Column 3: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) assessment(s)
Grades K-6 Building	K	6	NYS Grade 4 ELA, NYS Grade 5 ELA, NYS Grade 6 ELA, NYS Grade 4 Math, NYS Grade 5 Math, NYS Grade 6 Math
Grades 7-12 Building	7	12	Grade 7 ELA, Grade 7 Math, Grade 8 ELA, Grade 8 Math, All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs beginning in the 2019-20 school year for each grade configuration listed. The SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

Grade From	Grade To	State or Regents Assessment(s)
K	5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 5 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 5 Math
6	8	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Math
9	12	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score

7.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- ☒ Assure that, for principals of buildings that do not include all of grades 9-12, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that principal and that principals of buildings that include all of grades 9-12 will have back-up SLOs set by the superintendent or another trained administrator in all school years.
- ☒ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Tasks 7.2 (SLOs), 7.3-7.6**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

7.4) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and math grades 4-8.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

7.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that the principal has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- ☒ Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history.
- ☒ Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☒ Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☒ Assure that if a principal's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 7.3, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- ☒ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- ☒ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

7.6) Student Performance Subcomponent Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Task 7) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)*The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.*

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance and guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, if excluding grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores results in no remaining student performance measures, districts/BOCES must develop alternate SLOs based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance Category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

7.1-7.2) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where alternate SLOs will apply. For each configuration, also indicate the measure and assessment(s) used for the alternate SLO.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program

Column 3: measure used

Column 4: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) measure	(4) assessment(s)
Grades K-2 Building	K	2	District- or BOCES-wide results	ELARegents, Algebra IRegents, Living EnvironmentRegents, Global 2Regents, US HistoryRegents
Grades 11-12 Building	11	12	Principal-specificresults	ELARegents, US History Regents

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLOs during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years for each grade configuration listed. In all other school years, the SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

Grade From	Grade To	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K	5	Principal-specific results			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Measures of Academic Progress

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Grade From	Grade To	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
6	8	Principal-specific results			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Measures of Academic Progress

7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

7.4) Principals with More than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 8.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Principals) - Task 8.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Task 8) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that a different measure is used than that for the Required subcomponent in the Student Performance category, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

8.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent for Student Performance Measures

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

9.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- ☒ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different grade level configurations or building types as indicated in Task 9.1 above.
- ☒ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

9.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

9.4) Calculating School Visit Ratings**Assurances**

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- ☒ Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 9.5 below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- ☒ Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the district's/BOCES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	1.49 to 1.74

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

9.5) Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting**Required Subcomponents:**

- School Visits by Supervisor(s) or other Trained Administrator(s): At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score
- School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent:

- School Visits by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

** If the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee.*

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Required - Supervisor/ Administrator	Required - Independent Evaluator(s)	Optional -Peer Observer(s)	Grade configurations for which Peer Observers will be used
90%	10%	N/A	(No Response)

9.6) Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- ☒ Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- ☒ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☒ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☒ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- ☒ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

9.7) Number of School Visits

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits for each type of observer in the tables below.

Tenured Principals

	Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits	Optional -Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits
Unannounced	1	1	0
Announced	1	0	0

Probationary Principals

	Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits	Optional -Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits
Unannounced	1	1	0
Announced	1	0	0

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 10. Overall Scoring (Principals) - Tasks 10.1-10.3**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

10.1) Scoring Ranges**Student Performance Category**

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating		
	Minimum	Maximum
H	18	20
E	15	17
D	13	14
I	0	12

Principal School Visit Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating		
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	1.49 to 1.74

10.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

		Principal School Visit Category			
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
Student Performance Category	Highly Effective (H)	H	H	E	D
	Effective (E)	H	E	E	D
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I

* If a principal is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the principal can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

10.3) Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

11.1) Assurances: Improvement Plans**Please check each of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☒ Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

Principal Improvement Plan.pdf

11.3) Assurance: Appeals**Please check the box below.**

- ☒ Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

11.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
 - (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and
- (4) the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.**Appeals Process**

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.

Appeals process**Levels of Appeal**

There shall be three levels of Appeal.

Level One Appeal shall be with the Superintendent.

Level Two Appeal shall be with the Appeals Panel. The Appeals Panel shall be comprised of two individuals; one chosen by the administrators association and one by the school.

Level Three Appeal will be with the BOCES District Superintendent.

A principal who receives an ineffective or developing rating on their annual composite shall be entitled to appeal such rating to the Superintendent.

The appeal shall be filed within ten (10) work days of hand delivery of the final performance review upon the principal.

A principal who receives a principal improvement plan ("PIP") and disputes its issuance shall be entitled to appeal to the Superintendent. An appeal of the issuance of the PIP shall be filed within ten (10) work days of delivery of the PIP.

A principal who is issued a PIP and subsequently disputes its final implementation shall be entitled to appeal. An appeal of the implementation of a PIP shall be filed within ten (10) work days of the date of the completion of the PIP.

Level One Appeal

Within ten (10) work days of receipt of an ineffective or developing rating on his/her APPR, the issuance of a PIP, or the implementation of a PIP the principal has the right to request a Level One Appeal. The principal shall include within the appeal a written description of the specific areas of disagreement with his/her APPR, PIP or PIP implementation and shall include any supporting documentation when requesting the Level One Appeal. Within ten (10) work days of receiving the appeal, the Superintendent shall schedule and hold a meeting with the principal and association representative.

Level One Appeal – shall consist of a meeting of the principal, an association representative, and the Superintendent to discuss areas of concern regarding his/her APPR rating, issuance of a PIP or implementation of a PIP. At this meeting the principal shall define his/her areas of concerns and request that corrective action be taken by altering his/her APPR rating, rescinding or modifying his/her PIP, or altering the implementation of the PIP. This meeting shall have the intention of resolving the disputes that the principal has in a collegial manner. Within five (5) work days after the Level One Appeal meeting the Superintendent will issue in writing his/her rulings on the Level One Appeal. If the appeal is resolved the appeal is closed. If the appeal is unresolved at Level One the principal may within five (5) work days of the receipt of the level one decision submit the appeal to Level Two.

Level Two Appeal–Level Two Appeal shall be heard by an Appeals Panel.

Appeals Panel – the Appeals Panel shall be comprised of two (2) individuals; one chosen by the administrators association, and one by the school district. The principal requesting the appeal and the lead evaluator responsible for the principal's APPR evaluation are ineligible to sit on the Appeal Panel. The appeal shall include a written description of the specific areas of disagreement over the principal's performance review as prescribed in Section 3012-d of the Education Law, or where applicable the issuance and /or implementation of the terms of his/her improvement plan in accordance with the requirements set forth in Section 3012-d of the Education Law.

The principal shall include in his appeal the disputed performance review or improvement plan. In addition, the principal may submit other documents or materials in support of his/her appeal, but may not raise new issues or submit additional evidence that was not raised and submitted at Level One. Within fifteen (15) work days of receipt of an appeal, the district must submit a detailed written response to the appeal. The response must include all additional documents or written materials relevant to the point(s) of disagreement that support the district's response. Any such information that is not submitted at the time the response is filed shall not be considered on behalf of the district in the deliberations related to resolution of the appeal. The principal initiating the appeal shall receive a copy of the response filed by the school district, and all additional information submitted with the response, at the same time the school district files its response. The Appeal Panel shall review and render a decision on the principal's appeal within ten (10) work days from the receipt of the District's response to the appeal.

Level Three Appeal

The determination of the appeal at Level Two may be appealed by either the principal or the district to the BOCES District Superintendent within five

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

(5) work days of the receipt of the Level Two decision. Neither side may raise issues or submit evidence that was not raised or submitted at Level Two. The BOCES District Superintendent shall have ten (10) work days to render a decision. Such determination by the BOCES Superintendent shall be final and binding.

Miscellaneous

An evaluation shall not be placed in a principal's personnel file until ten (10) days after the conclusion of the appeal process described herein. A principal who invokes the appeals process described herein does not waive his/her right to submit a written rebuttal to the final evaluation. A principal shall always have the right to submit a written rebuttal to his/her evaluation.

11.5) Assurance: Evaluators**Please check the box below.**

- ☒ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

11.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The District will work to ensure that all evaluators, including lead evaluators, evaluators and independent evaluators of principals will be properly trained for certification and will maintain inter-rater reliability over time and that they are certified and/or re-certified on a regular basis and receive updated training on any changes in the law, regulations or applicable collective bargaining agreements.

All training will be conducted by the Sullivan County BOCES staff, NYS Council of School Superintendents or another entity that has expertise on the State's APPR law and regulation. The training will include a process to maintain inter-rater reliability over time in accordance with NYSED guidance and protocols recommended in training for all evaluators. The training for all evaluators will address all nine of the required elements found in Section §30-3.10B of the Regents Rules.

All evaluators will receive training through the BOCES Team that includes the NYS Teaching Standards/ISLLC Standards, Research-based observation techniques, and the application and use of any evaluation rubric used in district.

The District anticipates that these protocols will include measures such as: data analysis; periodic comparisons of assessments; and/or annual calibration sessions across evaluators. The duration of any and all training will total a minimum of 16 hours of Professional Development (2 Days).

The District will ensure the training and certification of its Lead Evaluators in accordance with the requirements prescribed in the Commissioner's Regulations. The District will further ensure that Lead Evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time and that they are certified or re-certified on an annual basis in the rubric selected by the district and MASA.

Based upon the participation in these activities, District lead evaluators and independent evaluators of principals/teachers will be certified by the Board of Education annually

11.7) Assurances: Principal Evaluation

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9**

Page Last Modified: 09/07/2018

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- ☒ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☒ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- ☒ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- ☒ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such principals with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

11.8) Assurances: Assessments**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that, where applicable, if students take more than one version of the Regents assessment for a particular content area, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- ☒ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- ☒ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- ☒ Assure that, where there is more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

11.9) Assurances Data**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- ☒ Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☒ Assure scores and ratings for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☒ Assure that enrolled students in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.
- ☒ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 09/14/2018

Task 12) Upload APPR District Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using the "District Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

20180914114039784.pdf

Appendix L—TIP Plan

Monticello Central School District

Teacher Improvement Plan

Staff Member: Ms./Mr. _____, Teacher Date Initiated: _____

Administrator: Ms./Mr. _____, Principal Date Revised: _____

Mentor: Ms./Mr. _____, Teacher

The **Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP)** is a structured plan designed to identify specific concerns in instruction and outlines a plan of action to address these concern. The purpose of a TIP is to assist teachers to work to their fullest potential. The TIP provides assistance and feedback to the teacher and establishes a timeline for assessing its overall effectiveness.

I. Areas of Strengths and Rationale:

NYS Teaching Standard	Rubric	Strengths	Rationale
I. Knowledge of Students and Student Learning	A, C		
II. Knowledge of Content and Instructional Planning	A		
III. Instructional Practice	A, C		
IV. Learning Environment	B		
V. Assessment for Student Learning	D		
VI. Professional Responsibilities and Collaboration	E, F		
VII. Professional Growth	F		

II. Areas of Deficiencies and Rationale:

NYS Teaching Standard	Rubric	Deficiencies	Rationale
I. Knowledge of Students and Student Learning	A, C		
II. Knowledge of Content and Instructional Planning	A		
III. Instructional Practice	A, C		
IV. Learning Environment	B		
V. Assessment for Student Learning	D		
VI. Professional Responsibilities and Collaboration	E, F		
VII. Professional Growth	F		

III. Plan for Improvement: (one per deficiency as cited above)

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES (use one for each goal)

GOAL (Specific Area for Improvement)	Describe Goal:		
	ADMINISTRATIVE RESPONSIBILITY:	TEACHER RESPONSIBILITY:	MENTOR RESPONSIBILITY:
	• •	• •	•

Measurable Objective (Expected Outcomes)	Set the measurable target that will define whether the goal is met		
	ADMINISTRATIVE RESPONSIBILITY:	TEACHER RESPONSIBILITY:	MENTOR RESPONSIBILITY:
	• •	• •	•
Resources and Supports	Provide resources and supports to help in meeting the goal including necessary release time		
	ADMINISTRATIVE RESPONSIBILITY:	TEACHER RESPONSIBILITY:	MENTOR RESPONSIBILITY:
	• •	• •	•
Action Plan	Describe plan for meeting the goal, including staffing, scheduling, and funding:		
	ADMINISTRATIVE RESPONSIBILITY:	TEACHER RESPONSIBILITY:	MENTOR RESPONSIBILITY:
	• •	• •	•
Evidence	Identify the objective evidence that will be used to evaluate the progress toward meeting the goal:		Identify teacher as successful, partially successful or unsuccessful

			in efforts to improve performance to this specific goal
	ADMINISTRATIVE RESPONSIBILITY:	TEACHER RESPONSIBILITY:	MENTOR RESPONSIBILITY:
	• •	• •	•
Timeline			

IV. TIMELINE FOR OVERALL COMPLETION:

V. BENCHMARKS/ CHECKPOINTS: (i.e. how many meetings, when will the meetings take place, who will attend meetings)

VI. MTA Representative (officer or building rep)* _____

I decline MTA Representation at this time. I reserve the right to request representation at a future date during the TIPS process. _____

***Teacher has the choice of which MTA Representative they want**

_____	_____
Teacher	Date
_____	_____
Administrator	Date
_____	_____
Administrator	Date
_____	_____

Superintendent

Date

Distribution of Copies:

____ Teacher ____ Principal ____ Content Supervisor ____ Asst. Supt./Supt. ____
Personnel File

Implementation Plan Log

This form should be completed by both teacher and an administrator dealing with the associated TIPS

Date TIP recommended: _____

Completed Meetings

Date	Topic Discussed	Action Items and dates	Teacher's Initials	Admin Initials

APPENDIX D

Monticello Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) (Completed Jointly by Principal and Superintendent of Schools/Designee)

Name:	School:	Current School Year:
Date of Related APPR/Evaluation:	Date of PIP Conference:	

Area(s) Needing Improvement	Action Plan (Steps to be Taken)	Timeline for Completion	Evidence to be Collected	Satisfactory Progress	Plan Completed
1.	1.			<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <div>Yes</div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <div>No</div> </div> <div>Date:</div>	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <div>Yes</div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <div>No</div> </div> <div>Date:</div>
2.	2.			<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <div>Yes</div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <div>No</div> </div> <div>Date:</div>	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <div>Yes</div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <div>No</div> </div> <div>Date:</div>
3.	3.			<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <div>Yes</div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <div>No</div> </div> <div>Date:</div>	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <div>Yes</div> </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <div>No</div> </div> <div>Date:</div>

Principal's Comments:

Superintendent/Designee's Comments:

PIP Satisfied?

Yes

No

Date:

Principal's Signature:

Date:

Superintendent/Designee's Signature:

Date:

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-d as implemented by Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The district/BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, transition scores and ratings will be calculated for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 English Language Arts (ELA) and math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores; that the district/BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures in their approved APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and that original APPR scores and ratings will be provided for advisory purposes only, and will have no impact on employment decisions, including tenure determinations, or teacher and principal improvement plans.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11), as added by Chapter 56 of the Laws of 2015.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the overall transition rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures described in this APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, original APPR scores and ratings will not be used as the basis for employment decisions and will only be used for advisory purposes;
- Assure that beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, the original overall APPR score pursuant to the district or BOCES approved APPR plan shall be used as the basis for employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES, shall provide teachers and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings calculated pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers and principals with their original composite APPR rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall continue to provide teachers and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are not based on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments or State-provided growth scores with their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if

available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of their annual professional performance reviews, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured, and that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured

- Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured;
- Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the district or BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal his or her score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured;
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the district office and made available to the public on the district's or BOCES' website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school year, the district or BOCES will continue to report both the original and transition individual category and subcomponent scores and the overall original and transition ratings to the State for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, any educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating as their overall transition rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year in which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, any educator who receives a Developing or Ineffective rating on their original overall rating pursuant to this APPR plan will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year for which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter;
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations;
- Assure that the district or BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the district/BOCES;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits;
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the district and BOCES shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;
- Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES must be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator;
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year, on a form prescribed by the Commissioner, to the Commissioner for approval;
- Assure that the alternate SLOs described in Tasks 2 and 7 of this APPR plan will be used as the basis for certain teachers' and principals' transition APPR scores and ratings, where applicable and consistent with §30-3.17 of the Rules

of the Board Regents, during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years only;

- Assure that, beginning in the 2019-20 school year, no transition scores and ratings will be generated and the district or BOCES' original APPR Plan will apply to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the statute, regulations, and SED guidance without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements as a result of the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:

Date:

T. Mangis 9/14/18

Superintendent Name (print):

Tammy Mangis

Teachers Union President Signature:

Date:

John Manzana 9/14/18

Teachers Union President Name (print):

John Manzana

Administrative Union President Signature:

Date:

William M. Frandino 9/14/18

Administrative Union President Name (print):

WILLIAM M. FRANDINO

Board of Education President Signature:

Date:

Lori Orestano-James 9/14/18

Board of Education President Name (print):

Lori Orestano-James