January 18, 2018

Revised

Lucille F. Iconis, Superintendent
Massapequa Union Free School District
4925 Merrick Road
Massapequa, NY 11758

Dear Superintendent Iconis:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner’s Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers’ or principals’ overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

MaryEllen Elia
Commissioner

Attachment

c: Robert Dillon
NOTE:
Pursuant to sections 30-2.14 and 30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must calculate transition scores and ratings for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 ELA and math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores. For the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must establish alternate SLOs for affected teachers and principals who, as a result of the above exclusions, have no remaining measures in the Student Performance Category.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.
Task 1) Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

The Department will review the contents of each school district's/BOCES' Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's/BOCES' plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from a district/BOCES to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. As such, each district/BOCES is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of a district's/BOCES' plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1.1) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- Assure that the content of this form represents the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that a detailed version of the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district/BOCES website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.
- Assure that it is understood that this district's/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.

1.2) Submission Status

Is this a first-time submission under Education Law §3012-d or the submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d?

Submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d
Task 2) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

(A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher’s students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher’s students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

2.1) Assurances

Please note: NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math Assessments and State-provided growth scores cannot be used for the purposes of providing transition scores and ratings during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, and should be used for advisory purposes only until the 2019-20 school year. Alternate SLOs to be used during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 transition period should be entered in Task 2 (Transition).

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all 4-8 ELA and Math teachers in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that teacher.
- For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for any grade/subject that requires a back-up SLO, but for which there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a teacher-specific SLO from being created, the superintendent or another trained administrator shall develop a school-wide back-up SLO using available State/Regents assessments.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

2.2) Grades 4-8 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a growth score and rating. That rating will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and takes into consideration students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided scores and ratings, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided growth measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score and rating from the State for the full Student Performance category of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Student Performance category of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided growth measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those teachers who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that teacher.

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs for the grade/subject listed beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Assessment</th>
<th>Grade 4 ELA</th>
<th>Grade 4 Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 4 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 4 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 5</td>
<td>ELA</td>
<td>State Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 5 Math</td>
<td>Grade 6 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 6</td>
<td>ELA</td>
<td>State Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 6 Math</td>
<td>Grade 7 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 7</td>
<td>ELA</td>
<td>State or Regents Assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 7 Math</td>
<td>Grade 8 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 8</td>
<td>ELA</td>
<td>State or Regents Assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 8 Math</td>
<td>Grade 8 Math and Algebra I Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3) Grade 3 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Assessment</th>
<th>Grade 3 ELA</th>
<th>Grade 3 Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 3 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 3 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4) Grades 4 and 8 Science: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Grade 4 Science</th>
<th>Grade 8 Science</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Common branch</td>
<td>Grade 8 Science and Earth Science Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5) High School Courses Ending in a Regents Exam: Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school courses may be included in the “All Other Courses” section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global 2</th>
<th>US History</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regents Assessment</td>
<td>Global 2 Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Living Environment</th>
<th>Earth Science</th>
<th>Chemistry</th>
<th>Physics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regents Assessment</td>
<td>Living Environment Regents</td>
<td>Earth Science Regents</td>
<td>Chemistry Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algebra I</th>
<th>Geometry</th>
<th>Algebra II/Trigonometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regents Assessment(s)</td>
<td>Algebra I Regents</td>
<td>Geometry Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.6) High School English Language Arts Courses: Measures and Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school English Language Arts courses may be included in the “All Other Courses” section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: High School English Language Arts

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For high school English Language Arts, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- The New York State ELA Regents assessment is required in at least one year of high school English Language Arts with a teacher-specific measure in the grade-level ELA course that ends in the ELA Regents assessment.
  
  For grade levels where the Regents exam is not administered:

  - School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with:
  - The New York State ELA Regents assessment;
  - Any other State assessment(s);
  - District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
  - State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments; or
• Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are:
• District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
• State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Please do not select “All Regents given in the building/district” in addition to individual Regents exams.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 ELA</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 ELA</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>☑ ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 ELA</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td>☑ ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 ELA</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>☑ ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.7) Grades K-2 ELA and Math: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grades K-2 ELA/math, district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with:
- State assessment(s);
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments; or

- Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are:
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K ELA</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K Math</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ Algebra I Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 ELA</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Math</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ Algebra I Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 ELA</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Math</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ Algebra I Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.8) Grades 6-7 Science and Grades 6-8 Social Studies: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grades 6-7 science and grades 6-8 social studies, district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with:
- State assessment(s);
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments; or
- Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are:
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 Science</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Science</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Social Studies</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Social Studies</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Social Studies</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.9) Regents Global Studies 1: Measure and Assessment(s) (Original)

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the “All Other Courses” section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For Global Studies 1, district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:
• School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with:
• The New York State Global 2 Regents assessment;
• Any other State assessment(s);
• District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
• State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments; or
• Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are:
• District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
• State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for Global Studies 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global 1</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.10) All Other Courses (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For courses that end in a State or Regents assessment:

- The State or Regents assessment must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments.

For other grades/subjects, district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with:
  - State assessment(s);
  - District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
  - State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments; or

- Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are:
  - District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
  - State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

Fill in the following, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have SLOs (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 3: subject of the course
- Column 4: measure used
- Columns 5-6: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) subject</th>
<th>(4) measure</th>
<th>(5-6) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Other Courses</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-3 Art</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Art</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 9-12 English Electives</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>English Electives</td>
<td>School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".
Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Grade From  Grade To  Subject  Measure  State or Regents Assessment(s)  Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)  Third Party Assessment(s)
K  12  All courses not named above  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 19 18</td>
<td>17 16 15</td>
<td>14 13</td>
<td>12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 96 92%</td>
<td>89 84 79</td>
<td>74 66 59</td>
<td>59 54 48 39 34 29 25 21 17 13 9 5 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one 0-20 score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Common branch teacher with State-provided growth measures for both ELA and Math in grade 4; middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

2.13) Assurances

For guidance on SLOs and the development of back-up SLOs, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance.

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the teacher has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 2.11, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

2.14) Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting
• If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
• If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
Task 2) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category or guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, grades 3-8 NYS ELA/math assessments and any State-provided growth scores may only be used for advisory purposes and may not be used for the purpose of calculating transition scores and ratings.

If grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores are the entirety of the Student Performance category, districts/BOCES must also develop an alternate SLO based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or on State-provided growth scores for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

2.2-2.10 Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLO during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, then indicate the applicable courses. If all other courses listed in Original Task 2.10 are using Alternate SLOs, and such Alternate SLOs will be based on the same measures and assessments, please select “Other Courses as listed in Original Task 2.10” in the Applicable Course(s) column.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Applicable Course(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☑ ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 3 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 3 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 4 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 4 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 5 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 5 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 6 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 6 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 7 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 7 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 8 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 8 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance.
If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.
Task 3) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

*Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.*

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the Required subcomponent, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

3.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent of the Student Performance Category

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.
4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielson's Framework for Teaching</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year as indicated in Task 4.1 above.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

4.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

4.4) Calculating Observation Ratings

Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 4.5 below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(1) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the district’s/BOCES’ approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(2) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands
Overall Observation Category Score and Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HEDI Ranges
Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating Category</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5) Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting
Required Subcomponents:
- Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators: At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score
- Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent:
- Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator.

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.
### 4.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these teacher(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

### 4.7) Number and Method of Observations

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations for each type of observer, as well as the method of observation, in the tables below.

#### Tenured Teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Probationary Teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5.1) Scoring Ranges

#### Student Performance Category
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Teacher Observation
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Observation Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Performance Category</th>
<th>Highly Effective (H)</th>
<th>Effective (E)</th>
<th>Developing (D)</th>
<th>Ineffective (I)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
<td>D*</td>
<td>D*</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* If a teacher is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the teacher can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

### 5.3) Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
☑ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
☑ Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same school district, each of whom received an Ineffective rating under Education Law §3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the district has a Department-approved waiver from this requirement.
For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

6.1) Assurances: Teacher Improvement Plans

Please check each of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

☑ Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

6.2_TIP for MFT (Final Version).pdf

6.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

☑ Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

6.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

   (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

Appeals shall be limited to those evaluations which have resulted in a rating of developing or ineffective. A teacher may appeal the annual evaluation to the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee within 15 school days of its receipt. The appeal shall be in writing and shall articulate in detail the basis of the appeal. Appeals shall be limited to:

1. the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance Category but rated Highly Effective on the Observation Category based on an anomaly, determined locally;
2. the school district’s adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner’s Regulations; and
3. the adherence to the Regulations of the Commissioner.

Any issue not raised in the written appeal shall be deemed waived. All evidence or other information provided by the teacher shall be taken into consideration.

The Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee shall render a written determination in response within 15 school days of receipt of the appeal. The determination of the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee as to the substance of the annual professional performance review shall not be grievable and arbitrable. However, the following items may be resolved through this appeals procedure or the grievance machinery of the contract:

1. compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner’s Regulations;
2. the school district’s issuance and/or implementation of the terms of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) under Education Law 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner’s Regulations; and
3. the tabulation of the final APPR composite rating.

Nothing in this section constitutes a waiver of a teacher’s right to defend against charges brought pursuant to Education Law 3020-a and 3020-b. The time frames referenced above may be extended by mutual agreement of the district and the MFT regardless of any extensions of time granted, the entire appeals process will remain timely and expeditious in compliance with the requirements of Education Law 3012-d.

6.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

☐ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

6.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.
Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The District will ensure that all evaluators, including lead evaluators, evaluators, and independent observers are properly trained and certified to complete all teacher evaluations for APPR purposes. Training for all evaluators will replicate the recommended State Education Department model certification process incorporating the Regulations enacted to implement Education Law Section 3012-d.

All evaluators attend a three-day New Teacher Evaluator institute through our local BOCES. Recertification of all evaluators occur in the following ways:

• Full-day evaluator orientations in late summer prior to school opening
• Fall and Spring evaluator workshops - each three hours in length
• Monthly Leadership Team meetings - each three hours in length
• Outside consultants providing targeted professional development specific to the manner in which the nine required elements are observed and assessed. These are full-day workshops.

Trainings will include the following areas:

1. New York State Teaching Standards and ISSLC Standards
   Conduct multiple meetings for all evaluators to review and discuss. Follow-up discussions with all evaluators during each school year to resolve any differences of perspective and to ensure inter-rater reliability. Training will be provided a minimum of once per year in all subsequent years.

2. Evidence-Based Observation and Application and Use of State Approved Teacher Rubric (Danielson 2007)
   All evaluators will participate in OASYS training in use of Danielson 2007 rubric, as well as the nine elements of Regents Rule 30-3.10. Board of Education approval by resolution and Superintendent's recommendation will be used to certify the training of all evaluators.
   Small group and whole group meetings of evaluators during each school year to discuss implementation and resolve differences of perspective to further ensure faithful implementation of model and inter-rater reliability.
   All new and current evaluators in subsequent years will receive OASYS training. In addition, there will be periodic refresher programs for all evaluators followed up by discussions to ensure that all raters are both fair and similar.

To further increase both fairness and reliability, the superintendent and assistant superintendents for instruction will observe principals observing teachers. The evaluation and coaching of teachers is one of a principal’s most important responsibilities. This will be reinforced with direct participation in using the Danielson 2007 framework in observations and in pre and post conferences.

3. Application and Use of Student Growth Percentile Model Data
   The Superintendent and District CIO are members of the NYS DATAG professional organization. Professional development activities are regularly attended and turn-keyed to the District's evaluators.

4. As needed, outside consultants with expertise in specific areas will be used by Massapequa at the recommendation of the teachers and evaluators closest to the instructional area under the overall direction of the assistant superintendents of instruction.

5. Use of the Statewide Instructional Report System
   The District’s CIO and Executive Assistant for Student Information Services will attend all workshops conducted by Nassau BOCES. They will provide training and assistance to all evaluators.

6. Scoring Methodology Used to Evaluate Teachers and Principals
   All instructional staff will receive access to the full copy of the APPR Plan as approved by the State. Specific workshops on teacher/principal rating will be conducted by the District, the Massapequa Federation of Teachers (MFT) and the Massapequa Association of Administrators.

7. Specific Considerations in Evaluating Teachers and Principals of English Language Learners (ELL/ENL) and Students with Disabilities (SWD’s)
   Training for all evaluators will be provided by the Assistant Superintendents, Executive Director and Middle and High School Special Education Department supervisors on SWD’s. The World Language Curriculum Associate who is also responsible for the ENL program will provide similar training.
   All evaluators will be initially certified or re-certified annually based on the training described. This certification process shall be conducted by the Board of Education via resolution, upon recommendation of the Superintendent of Schools.

6.7) Assurances: Teacher Evaluation
Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide teachers whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

6.8) Assurances: Assessments

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that, where applicable, if students take more than one version of the Regents assessment for a particular content area, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- Assure that, where there is more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

6.9) Assurances: Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores and ratings for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.
- Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Task 7) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

(A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

7.1) State-Provided Measures of Student Growth (Original)

Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal’s students are taking assessments for which there is a State growth model (e.g., K-5, K-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.).

For principals where less than 30% of their students are covered under a State-provided growth measure, please use Task 7.2.

For the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, principals of buildings or programs that include all of grades 9-12 who receive State-provided growth scores based on Regents assessments set back-up SLOs based on Regents assessments.

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those principals who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that principal. Principals of buildings or programs that include all of grades 9-12 who receive State-provided growth scores based on Regents assessments should continue to set back-up SLOs based on Regents assessments in the 2019-20 school year and thereafter.

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where State-provided growth measures will apply beginning in the 2019-20 school year (please list, e.g., K-5, K-6, 6-8, 6-12, 7-12, 9-12). For each configuration, also indicate assessment(s) used for back-up SLOs.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grades K-6 Building</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs beginning in the 2019-20 school year for each grade configuration listed. The SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>☐ Grade 4 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Grade 5 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Grade 4 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Grade 5 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>☐ Grade 6 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Grade 7 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Grade 8 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Grade 6 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Grade 7 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Grade 8 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- Assure that, for principals of buildings that do not include all of grades 9-12, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that principal and that principals of buildings that include all of grades 9-12 will have back-up SLOs set by the superintendent or another trained administrator in all school years.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.
7.2) Student Learning Objectives (Original)
For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs must be used for principals in buildings or programs in which fewer than 30% of students take Grades 4-8 ELA, math, and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments. SLOs will be developed using the following rules:

- If any grade/course in the building has a State-provided growth measure AND the principal must have SLOs because fewer than 30% of students in the building are covered, then the SLOs must begin first with the SGP results.
- Additional SLOs must then be set based on grades/subjects with State assessments for which there is no State-provided growth measure, where applicable.
- If additional SLOs are necessary, principals must begin with the grade(s)/course(s) that have the largest number of students using school- or district-wide student results from one of the following assessment options:
  - State or Regents assessments;
  - State-approved 3rd party assessment; or
  - State-approved district, regionally, or BOCES-developed course-specific assessment.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: measure used
- Column 4: assessment(s) used

*Follow the examples below.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) measure</th>
<th>(4) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grades K-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>District- or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 11-12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Principal-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>specific results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ELA Regents,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Algebra I Regents,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Living</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environment Regs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 Regents, US</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>History Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for each grade configuration listed. During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, SLOs that use grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments as their underlying assessments must be excluded from the calculation of transition scores and ratings.
Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Tasks 7.2 (SLOs), 7.3-7.6

### Grade From Grade To Measure State or Regents Assessment(s) Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s) Third Party Assessment(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Principal-specific results</td>
<td>Algebra I Regents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Principal-specific results</td>
<td>ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 19 18</td>
<td>17 16 15</td>
<td>14 13</td>
<td>12 11 10 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 97-100% | 85-90% | 74-79% | 67-74% | 60-67% | 55-59% | 54-59% | 49-54% | 44-49% | 39-44% | 34-39% | 29-34% | 25-29% | 21-25% | 17-21% | 13-17% | 9-13% | 5-9% | 0-5% |
| % % % | % % % | % % % | % % % | % % % | % % % | % % % | % % % | % % % | % % % | % % % | % % % | % % % | % % % | % % % | % % % | % % % | % % % | % % % |

#### 7.4) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and math grades 4-8.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

#### 7.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the principal has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- ☑ Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history.
- ☑ Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☑ Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☑ Assure that if a principal's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 7.3, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- ☑ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

#### 7.6) Student Performance Subcomponent Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.
Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
Task 7) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance and guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, if excluding grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores results in no remaining student performance measures, districts/BOCES must develop alternate SLOs based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance Category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

7.1-7.2) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where alternate SLOs will apply. For each configuration, also indicate the measure and assessment(s) used for the alternate SLO.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: measure used
- Column 4: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grades K-2 Building</th>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) measure</th>
<th>(4) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grades 11-12 Building</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Principal-specific results</td>
<td>ELA Regents, US History Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLOs during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years for each grade configuration listed. In all other school years, the SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal’s school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.
7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 19 18</td>
<td>17 16 15</td>
<td>14 13</td>
<td>12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% % %</td>
<td>% % %</td>
<td>% % %</td>
<td>% % %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.4) Principals with More than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.
Task 8) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

*Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.*

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that a different measure is used than that for the Required subcomponent in the Student Performance category, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

8.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent for Student Performance Measures

*Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.*

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.
For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different grade level configurations or building types as indicated in Task 9.1 above.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

9.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

9.4) Calculating School Visit Ratings

Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 9.5 below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the district’s/BOCES’ approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
### Overall School Visit Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HEDI Ranges**

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating Category</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 9.5) Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

**Required Subcomponents:**

- School Visits by Supervisor(s) or other Trained Administrator(s): At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score
- School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s): At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

**Optional Subcomponent:**

- School Visits by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

*If the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee.*

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.
### 9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal’s school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

### 9.7) Number of School Visits

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits for each type of observer in the tables below.

#### Tenured Principals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Probationary Principals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 10.1) Scoring Ranges

#### Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Principal School Visit Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 10.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Performance Category</th>
<th>Highly Effective (H)</th>
<th>Effective (E)</th>
<th>Developing (D)</th>
<th>Ineffective (I)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
<td>D*</td>
<td>D*</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* If a principal is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the principal can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

### 10.3) Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.

☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

11.1) Assurances: Improvement Plans

Please check each of the boxes below.

-Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

-Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

11.2_PIP for MAA (Final Version).pdf

11.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

-Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

11.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
   (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

Appeals shall be limited to those evaluations which have resulted in a rating of developing or ineffective. A principal may appeal the annual evaluation to the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee within 15 school days of its receipt. The appeal shall be in writing and shall articulate in detail the basis of the appeal. Appeals shall be limited to:

1. the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
   1. in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the Student Performance Category but rated Highly Effective on the Building Visits Category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
   2. the school district’s adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner’s Regulations;
   3. the adherence to the Regulations of the Commissioner.

Any issue not raised in the written appeal shall be deemed waived. All evidence or other information provided by the principal shall be taken into consideration.

The Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee shall render a written determination in response within 15 school days of receipt of the appeal. The determination of the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee as to the substance of the annual professional performance review shall not be grievable and arbitrable. Procedural issues relative to the annual professional performance review shall be subject to the grievance machinery of the contract. The following procedural issues may only be appealed through the grievance machinery of the contract:

- compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner’s Regulations; and
- the school district’s issuance and/or implementation of the terms of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) under Education Law 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents Commissioner’s Regulations.

Nothing in this section constitutes a waiver of a principal’s right to defend against charges brought pursuant to Education Law 3020-a and 3020-b. The time frames referenced above may be extended by mutual agreement of the district and the Association of Massapequa Administrators. Regardless of any extension time granted, the entire appeals process will remain timely and expeditious in compliance with the requirements of Education Law 3012-d.

11.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

- The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal’s evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

11.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.
Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

All evaluators, including lead evaluators, evaluators, and independent evaluators, receive training sponsored in-district on the MPPR and Danielson Frameworks from an outside consultant. This helps all evaluators to deepen their understanding of each of the domains and promote inter-rater reliability. This training is renewed each school year. In compliance with state guidelines, all evaluators receive ongoing training on best practices in effective observation/evaluation, including the nine elements of Regents Rule 30-3.10. This training includes observing numerous video lessons of classroom lessons and the evaluators rated them using the rubric. Observations and school visits will be discussed, critiqued, and compared in order to foster consistent rating amongst the evaluators and inter-rater reliability.

Consultants will provide mandatory three-hour professional development to all evaluators on an annual basis, with options to participate in further BOCES training sessions as well. In addition, evaluators will be afforded the opportunity to participate in BOCES-sponsored lead evaluator training sessions throughout the year. Newly hired evaluators will participate in these sessions as well as monthly two-hour workshops with the Assistants to the Superintendent for Instruction and HR to orient them to best practices, process and procedure. All evaluator certification and recertification training include the following:

- Authentic Tabletop Exercise in observation techniques - During summer months - three-day workshop
- Monthly Principal Lead Evaluator meetings - three hours each
- Outside consultants providing targeted professional development specific to the manner in which the nine required elements are observed and assessed - full-day workshops.

Initial certification and recertification will occur annually via Board of Education resolution, upon recommendation of the Superintendent of Schools.

11.7) Assurances: Principal Evaluation

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.

☑ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.

☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation:
  - evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department;
  - use of an instrument for parent or student feedback;
  - use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness;
  - any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department;
  - any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such principals with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

11.8) Assurances: Assessments
Please check all of the boxes below.

☑️ Assure that, where applicable, if students take more than one version of the Regents assessment for a particular content area, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.

☑️ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.

☑️ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.

☑️ Assure that, where there is more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

11.9) Assurances Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑️ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

☑️ Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.

☑️ Assure scores and ratings for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.

☑️ Assure that enrolled students in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.

☑️ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Task 12) Upload APPR District Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using the "District Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

- APPR District Certification (12_23_16).pdf
- district certification form signed 12-7-17.pdf
Additional Documents

The Department will not review any documents other than those required in the online form (Tasks 1-12).

Any additional documents supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional documents have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

Upload Documents

SLO Statement of Assurances.pdf
The Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) is a structured plan designed to support teachers in addressing specific concerns in instruction and outlines a plan of action to address these concerns. The purpose of a TIP is to assist teachers to attain effective or highly effective status. The TIP provides assistance and feedback to the teacher and establishes a timeline for assessing its overall effectiveness.

A TIP must be initiated whenever a teacher receives a rating of developing or ineffective in a year-end evaluation. Both the teacher and the administrator meet for an evaluation conference at the end of the school year where the developing or ineffective evaluation is discussed (Beginning of the school year if State Assessment Data is not released until after close of the school year). A TIP is designed by the building principal in collaboration with the teacher and the President of the Massapequa Federation of Teachers (MFT) or his/her designee. The TIP must be in place on October 1st or as soon thereafter as practical. An initial conference is held at the beginning of the school year where the TIP is discussed, signed and dated at the beginning of its implementation.

During the first quarter, the teacher will be observed by designated members of the leadership team who will concentrate on observing and evaluating goals identified in the TIP. They will meet with the teacher in a timely manner (within 3 school days) to discuss the observations. Written observation summaries will be provided (within 7 school days) and must be signed by both parties. The teacher will have the right to respond to observation summaries and responses will be attached. Additional observations will not take place until this post observation meeting takes place.

After the first quarter, the administration will assess the effectiveness of the intervention and the level of improvement. Based on that assessment, the TIP may be adjusted appropriately to include at least bi-weekly meetings between teacher and administrator(s). Meetings will take place where union representation may be present upon teacher request. At the end of the evaluation period, if the TIP goals are met and the teacher is rated effective or highly effective, it will terminate. The culmination of the TIP will be communicated in writing to the teacher and union.

If the teacher is again rated as developing or ineffective, a new TIP will be developed by the building principal in collaboration with the teacher and the president of the MFT or his/her designee, and central office administrator. At least one observation during year two, will be conducted by a central office administrator.

The TIP must consist of the following components:

I. **SPECIFIC AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT**: Identify specific areas in need of improvement. Develop specific, behaviorally written goals for the teacher to accomplish during the period of the Plan.

II. **EXPECTED OUTCOMES OF THE TIP**: Identify specific recommendations for what the teacher is expected to do to improve in the identified areas. Delineate specific, realistic, achievable activities for the teacher.
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III. **RESOURCES:** Suggest specific resources available to assist the teacher to improve performance.

IV. **RESPONSIBILITIES:** Identify responsible administrator(s) and steps to be taken by administrator(s) and the teacher throughout the Plan. Examples: classroom observations of the teacher (at least one by other administrator other than the building principal); supervisory conferences between the teacher and administrator(s); written reports and/or evaluations, etc.

V. **EVIDENCE OF ACHIEVEMENT:** Identify how progress will be measured and assessed. Specify next steps to be taken based upon whether the teacher is successful, partially successful or unsuccessful in efforts to improve performance.

VI. **TIMELINE:** Provide a specific Timeline for implementation of the various components of the TIP and for the final completion of the TIP, to include at least bi-weekly meetings between teacher and administrator(s). Identify the dates for preparation of written documentation regarding the completion of the Plan.

**SAMPLE COMPONENTS OF A TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN**

I. **TARGETED GOALS: AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT**
   a. Instructional Planning
   b. Student Assessment
   c. Classroom Management
   d. Fulfillment of Professional Responsibilities
      i. Attendance
      ii. Communication with colleagues/administration
      iii. Communication with home

II. **EXPECTED OUTCOMES**
   List of specific expectations related to targeted goals identified in Section I

III. **SUGGESTED ACTIVITIES**
   List of suggested activities related to targeted goals identified in Section I
      i. Observe colleagues identified by Principal
      ii. Attend workshops related to targeted goals
      iii. Meetings with designated members of the leadership team on a defined schedule

IV. **RECOMMENDED RESOURCES**
   a. Identify the lead evaluator who has oversight of the TIP
   b. List specific materials, people, workshop to be used to support the TIP
   c. Identify the instrument or rubrics used to monitor progress
   d. Danielson video or online PD (*Educational Impact* or *ASCD*)
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V.  EVIDENCE OF ACHIEVEMENT  
a. Identify how progress will be measured and assessed  
b. Specify next steps to be taken based upon progress or lack thereof

VI.  TIMELINE FOR MEASURING ACHIEVEMENT OF EXPECTED OUTCOMES  
a. Identify dates for announced classroom observations consistent with APPR Plan  
b. Identify dates for progress meetings with administrators related to each identified targeted goal  
c. Identify dates for periodic assessment of overall progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP Administrator</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Union Representative</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Name: Date:
School: Status: Tenure
Assignment:

You are being placed on the Teacher Improvement Plan because your received an ineffective or developing APPR composite rating for the ____________ school year. This program is designed to help you correct these deficiencies.

Upon successful completion of this program, those areas that were identified as deficient will be monitored for up to one (1) year. If an acceptable level of performance is achieved/maintained, you will be returned to a regular evaluation cycle.

I. SPECIFIC AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT:

II. EXPECTED OUTCOMES OF THE TIP:

III. RECOMMENDED RESOURCES/ACTIVITIES:

IV. RESPONSIBILITIES:

V. EVIDENCE OF ACHIEVEMENT:

VI. TIMELINE FOR MEASURING ACHIEVEMENT OF EXPECTED OUTCOMES

_________________________________________  ______________________
TIP Administrator          Date

_________________________________________  ______________________
Teacher                      Date

_________________________________________  ______________________
Union Representative        Date
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**Teacher:**

**Grade Level:**

**Location:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) is a structured plan designed to identify specific concerns in instruction and outlines a plan of action to address these concern. The purpose of a PIP is to assist principals to work to their fullest potential. The PIP provides assistance and feedback to the principal and establishes a timeline for assessing its overall effectiveness.

A PIP must be initiated whenever a principal receives a rating of developing or ineffective composite APPR composite rating. Both the principal and the superintendent shall meet for an evaluation conference no later five (5) days after the principal receives his/her composite rating. A PIP shall be designed by the principal and the superintendent or his/her designee in collaboration with the president of the MAA or his/her designee over the course of the summer.

The PIP must be in place no later than October 1st or as soon thereafter as practicable of the following school year. An initial conference shall be held at the beginning of the school year where the PIP is discussed, signed and dated at the beginning of its implementation.

The principal must be offered the opportunity for a peer mentor chosen from the MAA. The principal will select the mentor, with the approval of the Superintendent and the MAA President. The mentor and the principal will collaborate during the first quarter. All dealings between the mentor and the principal will be confidential.

After the first quarter of principal/mentor collaboration, the Superintendent will assess, in writing, the effectiveness of the intervention and the level of improvement. Such assessment will be delivered to the principal by no later than ten (10) school days after the first making period ends. Based on that assessment, the PIP may be adjusted appropriately and quarterly meetings between the Superintendent and the principal will continue. Each meeting will result in written documentation from the Superintendent or his/her designee to the principal, no later than two (2) days after the meeting, detailing what was discussed and the guidance and suggestions offered, if any. The Superintendent or his/her designee will provide the principal with a written mid-year evaluation, no later than January 15th. At the end of the year, if the PIP goals are met, it will terminate. The culmination of the PIP will be communicated in writing to the principal. Both parties will sign the PIP at the end of the school year.

If the principal is rated as developing or ineffective for any school year in which a PIP was in effect, a new plan will be developed by the principal and the Superintendent in collaboration with the MAA according to these guidelines for the subsequent school year.

The PIP must consist of the following components:

- **SPECIFIC AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT**: Identify specific areas in need of improvement. Develop specific, behaviorally written goals for the principal to accomplish during the period of the Plan.
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- **EXPECTED OUTCOMES OF THE PIP**: Identify specific recommendations for what the principal is expected to do to improve in the identified areas. Delineate specific, realistic, achievable activities for the principal.

- **RESOURCES**: Identify specific resources available to assist the principal to improve performance. Examples: colleagues; courses; workshops; peer visits; materials; etc.

- **RESPONSIBILITIES**: Identify steps to be taken by Superintendent and the principal throughout the Plan. Examples: school visits by the Superintendent; supervisory conferences between the principal and Superintendent; written reports and/or evaluations, etc.

- **EVIDENCE OF ACHIEVEMENT**: Identify how progress will be measured and assessed. Specify next steps to be taken based upon whether the principal is successful, partially successful or unsuccessful in efforts to improve performance.

- **TIMELINE**: Provide a specific Timeline for implementation of the various components of the PIP and for the final completion of the PIP. Identify the dates for preparation of written documentation regarding the completion of the Plan.

**SAMPLE COMPONENTS OF A PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN**

I. **TARGETED GOALS: AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT**
   a. Student Performance and/or Engagement
   b. Supervision of Staff
   c. Fiscal Management
   d. Community Relations
   e. Communication with parents

II. **EXPECTED OUTCOMES**
   a. List of specific expectations related to targeted goals identified in Section I

III. **RECOMMENDED ACTIVITIES**
   a. List of specific activities related to targeted goals identified in Section I

IV. **RECOMMENDED RESOURCES**
   a. List specific materials, people, workshop to be used to support the PIP
   b. Identify the instrument or rubrics used to monitor progress
   c. Danielson video or online PD (Educational Impact or ASCD )
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V. EVIDENCE OF ACHIEVEMENT
   a. Identify how progress will be measured and assessed
   b. Specify next steps to be taken based upon progress or lack thereof

VI. TIMELINE FOR MEASURING ACHIEVEMENT OF EXPECTED OUTCOMES
   a. Identify dates for school visitations consistent with APPR Plan
   b. Identify dates for progress meetings with Superintendent related to each identified targeted goal
   c. Identify dates for quarterly assessment of overall progress

_________________________________________ ________________________
PIP Administrator                           Date

_________________________________________ ________________________
Principal                                  DATE
Massapequa Public Schools  
Principal Improvement Plan

Name:  Date:  
School:  Status:  Tenure
Assignment:  

You are being placed on the Principal Improvement Plan because your received an ineffective or developing APPR composite rating for the _________ school year. This program is designed to help you correct these deficiencies.

Upon successful completion of this program, those areas that were identified as deficient will be monitored for up to one (1) year. If an acceptable level of performance is achieved/maintained, you will be returned to a regular evaluation cycle.

The PIP must consist of the following components:

I. TARGETED GOALS: AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT:

II. EXPECTED OUTCOMES OF THE PIP:

III. RECOMMEND RESOURCES/ACTIVITIES:

IV. RESPONSIBILITIES:

V. EVIDENCE OF ACHIEVEMENT:

VI. TIMELINE FOR MEASURING ACHIEVEMENT OF EXPECTED OUTCOMES:

_____________________________  ________________________  
PIP Administrator  Date

_____________________________  ________________________  
Principal  Date

_____________________________  ________________________  
Union Representative  Date
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Principal:
Location:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan...

The district/BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, transition scores and ratings will be calculated for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 English Language Arts (ELA) and math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores; that the district/BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures in their approved APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and that original APPR scores and ratings will be provided for advisory purposes only, and will have no impact on employment decisions, including tenure determinations, or teacher and principal improvement plans.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11), as added by Chapter 56 of the Laws of 2015.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the overall transition rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures described in this APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, original APPR scores and ratings will not be used as the basis for employment decisions and will only be used for advisory purposes;
- Assure that beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, the original overall APPR score pursuant to the district or BOCES approved APPR plan shall be used as the basis for employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES, shall provide teachers and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings calculated pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers and principals with their original composite APPR rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall continue to provide teachers and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are not based on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments or State-provided growth scores with their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if...
Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the district or BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured.

Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the district or BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal his or her score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's or principal's performance is being measured.

Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the district office and made available to the public on the district's or BOCES' website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.

Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, any educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year in which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

Assure that, beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, any educator who receives a Developing or Ineffective rating on their original overall rating pursuant to this APPR Plan will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year for which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service Law.

Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations.

Assure that the district or BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the district/BOCES;

Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits;

Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the district and BOCES shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;

Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES must be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;

Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator;

Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year, on a form prescribed by the Commissioner, to the Commissioner for approval;

Assure that the alternate SLOs described in Tasks 2 and 7 of this APPR plan will be used as the basis for certain teachers’ and principals’ transition APPR scores and ratings, where applicable and consistent with §30-3.17 of the Rules.
of the Board Regents, during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years only;

• Assure that, beginning in the 2019-20 school year, no transition scores and ratings will be generated and the district or BOCES' original APPR Plan will apply to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the statute, regulations, and SED guidance without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements as a result of the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;

• Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;

• Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and

• Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.
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