## Management Action Plan for Principal Preparation Program

Manag Goal:	er: k E 1 2 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7	<ul> <li>ohn D'Agati, Deputy Commissioner for Higher Education</li> <li>Gen Turner, Director of the Principal</li> <li>By May 1, 2017, develop data, tools, and policies designed to enhance the quality of</li> <li>Analyze data on the performance of principal preparation programs to identify g</li> <li>Analyze the opinions of stakeholder to identify the strengths/needs of New York</li> <li>Gauge the desirability, feasibility, and implications of revising policies in areas tha</li> <li>a. Standards <ul> <li>i. School Building Leader Standards (SBL)</li> <li>ii. SBL Certification Standards</li> <li>iii. Standards for University- and/or College-based programs designed to pressional Development</li> <li>c. Supervision</li> <li>d. Evaluation</li> </ul> </li> <li>Formulate policy recommendations (concerning standards, professional development in potential to improve principal preparation programs in New York State</li> <li>Develop and deploy data visualization tools that identify current and prospective principals (through graduate school) and then into placement as school building leader school and then into placement as school building withough principal quality is 2<sup>nd</sup> only to teacher quality in its influence on student succoroven uneven because the principal-ship runway lacks:</li> <li>Modern standards that accurately describe the principal role and responsibility in to consistent, high-quality, clinically-rich professional development</li> <li>An effective data-tracking system and visualization machinery to guide selection ar A coherent and credible system of supervision/evaluation that yields continuous in</li> </ul>	aps in program of State related to t at impact principa epare School Buil ment, supervisior school principals leaders (including ccess, efforts to in coday's schools ad placement	onsistency, qualit he adequacy of p al preparation: ding Leaders and evaluation) and display the p g career changes	y, and/or output rincipal preparation that have the progress of aspiring over time)
	Objectives		Responsibility	Deadline	Status
1.0	Identify project	t parameters			
	1.1 Propo	se success criteria	Project Dir	May 15, 2016	Completed
	1.1.1				
	1.1.2				
	1.1.3 1.1.4				
	1.1.4				
	1.1.6				
	1.1.7				
	1.1.8	Supports elements of Regents vision and mission			
	1.2 Appro	ove (or identify needed changes to) success criteria	Deputy Comm	May 16, 2016	Completed

	1.3 Propose measures and metrics	Project Dir	May 12, 2016	Completed
	1.3.1 Customer satisfaction: For the engagement to be successful, proposed		,,	
	changes to principal preparation must have the consensus support of an			
	advisory team that includes a diverse and representative team of stakeholders			
	AND the Commissioner and Board of Regents must accept and approve any			
	policy recommendations that come forward from this project			
	1.3.2 Efficiency: For the engagement to be successful, project expenditures must			
	not exceed project budget			
	1.3.3 Impact: For the engagement to be successful, results of a stakeholder survey			
	must show that a preponderance of respondents agree that changes that are			
	formulated and forwarded will positively impact principal preparation in NYS			
	1.4 Approve (or identify needed changes to) measures and metrics	Deputy Comm	May 16, 2016	Completed
	1.5 Timetable for evaluating engagement (final evaluation of 1-year effort)	Project Dir	June 30, 2016	Completed
2.0	Sensitize ourselves to key issues via interviews w/NYSED staff who ride herd on datasets			
	2.1 Propose a description of the stages of work:	Project Dir	May 12, 2016	Completed
	Part 1: Map the work			
	Use results from an integrated system (linking data on outcomes to data on			
	candidate enrollment in current school building certificate programs) to			
	analyze the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats of the NYS			
	principal preparation program. The SWOT analysis becomes a frame of			
	reference for efforts to enhance the program (including but not limited to			
	Professional Standards for Educational Leaders).			
	Part II: Learn what works			
	Use interviews, visitations, and document analysis, to identify principal			
	preparation practices that have proven to be successful in NYC and			
	nationwide. Use successful practices to guide the development of specific			
	changes that can enhance principal preparation in NYS (including but not			
	limited to certification requirements as they relate to professional			
	development)			
	Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement			
	Convene an advisory team of representative stakeholders. Use the team to			
	formulate a consensus around proposed program changes that include			
	certification and program requirements as they relate to supervision and			
	evaluation			
	Part IV: Launch an automated leader tracking system			
	By linking data on individual progress from higher education enrollment through the second se			
	career employment, create an electronic system that gives users (individuals			
	and institutions) a real-time picture of preparation, selection, and placemen	τ.		

<ul> <li>2.3 Propose the research questions that this project is designed to answer. Part 1: Map the work</li> <li>Given the needs from a SWOT analysis, how can SED lead, guide, and support activity related to school building leaders in ways that enhance principal preparation in New York?</li> <li>How can NY adjust standards of principal practice to account for new demands in a way that aids principal development and practice, thus promoting K12 student success?</li> <li>Part II: Learn what works</li> <li>What is working in NYC and nationwide, related to principal preparation?</li> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to certification requirements and/or professional development) improve the principal greparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance?</li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement</li> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> <li>Part IV: Launch an automated leader tracking system</li> <li>How can real-time reporting of 2 events improve school leadership/performance?</li> <li>Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement</li> </ul>	ed
<ul> <li>Given the needs from a SWOT analysis, how can SED lead, guide, and support activity related to school building leaders in ways that enhance principal preparation in New York?</li> <li>How can NY adjust standards of principal practice to account for new demands in a way that aids principal development and practice, thus promoting K12 student success?</li> <li>Part II: Learn what works         <ul> <li>What is working in NYC and nationwide, related to principal preparation?</li> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to certification requirements and/or professional development) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement         <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement         <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> </ul> </li> <li>Part IIV: Launch an automated leader tracking system         <ul> <li>How can real-time reporting of 2 events improve school leadership/performance?</li> <li>V Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement</li> </ul></li></ul>	
<ul> <li>support activity related to school building leaders in ways that enhance principal preparation in New York?</li> <li>How can NY adjust standards of principal practice to account for new demands in a way that aids principal development and practice, thus promoting K12 student success?</li> <li>Part II: Learn what works         <ul> <li>What is working in NYC and nationwide, related to principal preparation?</li> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to certification requirements and/or professional development) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement         <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement                 <ul></ul></li></ul></li></ul>	
<ul> <li>principal preparation in New York?</li> <li>How can NY adjust standards of principal practice to account for new demands in a way that aids principal development and practice, thus promoting K12 student success?</li> <li>Part II: Learn what works <ul> <li>What is working in NYC and nationwide, related to principal preparation?</li> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to certification requirements and/or professional development) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> </ul> </li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> </ul> </li> <li>Part IV: Launch an automated leader tracking system <ul> <li>How can real-time reporting of 2 events improve school leadership/performance?</li> <li>Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>How can NY adjust standards of principal practice to account for new demands in a way that aids principal development and practice, thus promoting K12 student success?</li> <li>Part II: Learn what works         <ul> <li>What is working in NYC and nationwide, related to principal preparation?</li> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to certification requirements and/or professional development) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement         <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement         <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> </ul> </li> <li>Part IV: Launch an automated leader tracking system         <ul> <li>How can real-time reporting of 2 events improve school leadership/performance?</li> <li>Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>demands in a way that aids principal development and practice, thus promoting K12 student success?</li> <li>Part II: Learn what works <ul> <li>What is working in NYC and nationwide, related to principal preparation?</li> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to certification requirements and/or professional development) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> <li>Part IV: Launch an automated leader tracking system <ul> <li>How can real-time reporting of 2 events improve school leadership/performance?</li> <li>Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul></li></ul>	
<ul> <li>promoting K12 student success?</li> <li>Part II: Learn what works <ul> <li>What is working in NYC and nationwide, related to principal preparation?</li> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to certification requirements and/or professional development) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> </ul> </li> <li>Part IV: Launch an automated leader tracking system <ul> <li>How can real-time reporting of 2 events improve school leadership/performance?</li> <li>Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	
<ul> <li>Part II: Learn what works <ul> <li>What is working in NYC and nationwide, related to principal preparation?</li> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to certification requirements and/or professional development) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> </ul> </li> <li>Part IV: Launch an automated leader tracking system <ul> <li>How can real-time reporting of 2 events improve school leadership/performance?</li> <li>Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	
<ul> <li>What is working in NYC and nationwide, related to principal preparation?</li> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to certification requirements and/or professional development) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance?</li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement         <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement                 <ul></ul></li></ul></li></ul>	
<ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to certification requirements and/or professional development) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance?</li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement         <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> </ul> </li> <li>Part IV: Launch an automated leader tracking system         <ul> <li>How can real-time reporting of 2 events improve school leadership/performance?</li> <li>Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>certification requirements and/or professional development) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance?</li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement         <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> <li>Part IV: Launch an automated leader tracking system                 <ul> <li>How can real-time reporting of 2 events improve school leadership/performance?</li> <li>Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>	
<ul> <li>the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance?</li> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement         <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> <li>Part IV: Launch an automated leader tracking system                 <ul> <li>How can real-time reporting of 2 events improve school leadership/performance?</li> <li>Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
performance?         Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement         -       How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance         Part IV: Launch an automated leader tracking system         -       How can real-time reporting of 2 events improve school leadership/performance?         ▶       Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement	
<ul> <li>Part III: Build a guiding coalition and a consensus for improvement <ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> <li>Part IV: Launch an automated leader tracking system <ul> <li>How can real-time reporting of 2 events improve school leadership/performance?</li> <li>Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	
<ul> <li>How can state-level changes to policy or regulation (related to supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> <li>Part IV: Launch an automated leader tracking system</li> <li>How can real-time reporting of 2 events improve school leadership/performance?</li> <li>Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>supervision and evaluation) improve the principal preparation program and thereby promote K12 student performance</li> <li>Part IV: Launch an automated leader tracking system         <ul> <li>How can real-time reporting of 2 events improve school leadership/performance?</li> <li>Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
and thereby promote K12 student performance Part IV: Launch an automated leader tracking system - How can real-time reporting of 2 events improve school leadership/performance? > Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement	
<ul> <li>How can real-time reporting of 2 events improve school leadership/performance?</li> <li>Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>leadership/performance?</li> <li>➢ Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Identification of those aspiring to be principal and reporting progress toward placement</li> </ul>	
toward placement	
School-based career placement changes over time for certified	
principals in New York State	
2.4 Approve (or identify needed changes to) research questions this project will answer Deputy Comm May 16, 2016 Comple	
2.5 Identify related questions that are useful in advancing this work to include: Project Dir May 12, 2016 Complete	ed
2.5.1 Project calls for estimating how well principal supply matches system demand	
2.5.2 To address this, three concerns should be considered:	
- Quantity: Are there enough candidates in right place geographiclly	
- Quality: Does candidate quality meet expectation	
- Turnover: Do we know turnover rate & is it what we want or need	
2.5.3 Questions to address include how (how much) do IHEs vary on:	
<ul> <li>Number of those enrolled in principal preparation programs in NY</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Annual yield rate (% of enrollees in prep prgms that grad on time)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Pass rates associated with SBL certification for grad from IHEs</li> </ul>	

	- Rate at which those who earn SBL certificate are hired as principal			
	<ul> <li>Extent to which these statistics vary by gender, race/ethnicity, etc</li> </ul>			
	2.6 Proposed a description of the deliverables for each of the four parts of this work	Project Dir	May 12, 2016	Completed
	Part 1: Map the work			
	Define and detail the specifications for an integrated system linking			
	data on outcomes to data on current school building leader (SBL)			
	certificate enrollment and data related to geographic enrollment. Use			
	results from the integrated system and a SWOT analysis of the			
	statewide program of principal preparation to produce a 1-pg report			
	with possible policy implications related to improvement of the			
	statewide program (including but not limited to standards to guide			
	preparation of school building leaders			
	Part II: Learn what works			
	Produce a 1-pg report that proposes changes to certification			
	requirements along with policy recommendations related to the			
	professional development needed to support principal growth and			
	success.			
	Part III: Build a guiding coalition			
	Produce a 1-pg report that proposes specific changes to certification			
	requirements as they relate to principal evaluation AND			
	Complete recommendations for the Board of Regents related to			
	changes in School Building Leader program requirements			
	Part IV: Launch an automated leader tracking system			
	Produce a functional data visualization tool displaying pathways and			
	progress of individuals who aspire to be principal (from undergraduate			
	through graduate school) and then into their placement as building			
	leaders (including placement changes over time).	Deputy Comm	May 16, 2016	Completed
3.0	2.7 Confirm (or identify needed changes to) the described deliverables Identify interests of stakeholders beyond NYSED via interviews, focus groups, & surveys.	Deputy comm	1010 10, 2010	compicted
3.0	3.1 Identify stewards of datasets at NYSED that are related to this project (K DeSalvatore)	Proj Assistant	May 6, 2016	Completed
	3.1.1 BEDs Personnel Master File and Institutional Master File (Ellen Martin)		1010 0, 2010	compicted
	3.1.2 TEACH (Ann Jasinski)			
	3.1.3 School Building Leader or SBL Certification File (Pearson)			
	3.1.4 Title II and Higher Education Data pull (Patty Oleaga, Leslie Templeton, OCUE)			
	3.1.5 APPR (Alex Trikalinos)			
	3.1.6 "At a Glance Report Cards" (Ira Schwartz)			
	3.2 Complete interviews with NYSED staff members who are affected by this work	Project Dir	May 6, 2016	Completed
	3.3 List "takeaways" from interviews conducted with each of the above (and their staffs)	Project Dir	May 6, 2016	Completed

Management Action Plan for Principal Preparation Program, created May 18, 2016, updated June 6, 2016 and July 8, 2016

Filename: Action Plan for Principal Prep Project (MAP folder)

				-
	3.4 Propose structure & stakeholder group for a Part I Principal Project Adviso	ry Team Project Dir	May 12, 2016	Completed
	3.5 Approve (or identify needed changes to) the structure and makeup of Adv	isory Team Deputy Com	n May 19, 2016	Completed
	3.6 Complete interviews with others who are affected by this work (Chuck De	drick etc) Project Dir	July 8, 2016	Completed
	3.7 Identify data elements needed to execute the desired analysis	Project Dir	May 12, 2016	Completed
	3.8 Complete NYSED data request	Proj Assistan	May 13, 2016	Completed
	3.9 Acquire permissions to access secure Pearson data (personally identifiable	info) Project Dir	May 12, 2016	Completed
	3.10 Complete analysis of available Higher Ed data and Pearson data on those	certified Project Dir	July 8, 2016	Completed
	3.11 List "takeaways" from interviews with those beyond NYSED who are affect	ted by this Project Dir	July 8, 2016	Completed
	3.12 Identify themes emerging from interviews/focus groups for use in surveys	Project Dir	Aug 12, 2016	In Progress
	3.13 Complete a proposed survey design (to include sampling frame & analytic	approach) Project Dir	July 8, 2016	Completed
	3.14 Completed survey dissemination	Project Dir	Aug. 8, 2016	Scheduled
	3.15 Complete collection of survey results	Project Dir	Aug. 19, 2016	
	3.16 Complete tabulation, analysis, and interpretation of survey results	Project Dir	Aug. 19, 2016	
	3.17 Summarize stakeholder interest combining input from interview/focus gr	oup/surveys Project Dir	Aug., 21, 2016	
4.0	Convene an advisory team of willing/interested representative of key stakeholder gr	oups		
	4.1 Propose language for letter inviting stakeholders to join advisory team	Proj Dir	May 12, 2016	Completed
	4.2 Approve (or identify needed changes to) language of letter of invitation	Deputy Com	n May 19, 2016	Completed
	4.3 Complete production of invitations by customizing letters for each recipient	nt Proj Dir	May 13, 2016	Completed
	4.4 Approve (or identify needed changes to) customized letters of invitation	Commissione	r May 18, 2016	Completed
	4.5 Complete signing of the letters of invitation	Comm/Depty	July 15, 2016	Scheduled
	4.6 Complete mailing of letters of invitation	Comm Assstr	t July 19, 2016	Scheduled
	4.7 Confirm final makeup of Principal Project Advisory Team (following RSVP p	eriod) Proj Assistan	July 28, 2016	
	4.8 Appoint co-chairs	Commissione	r Aug 1, 2016	
	4.9 Adopt operating agreements or norms.	Adv Tm Chai	s Aug 14, 2016	
	4.10 Generate work-streams, process map, and a production schedule	Proj Dir	June 8, 2016	Completed
	4.11 Reach agreement on the goal of (and a process for) reaching consensus	Adv Tm Chai	s July 13, 2016	
	4.12 Complete a value-stream mapping exercise	Proj Dir	Aug 14, 2016	
	4.13 Produce list of consensus opinions reached by the advisory team	Adv Tm Chai	s Feb 22, 2017	
5.0	Develop system linking outcome data for prep program grads & data related to IHEs	& geography		
	5.1 Complete a review of relevant literature (for programs under immediate r	eview) Proj Assist	May 16, 2016	Completed
	5.2 Complete data collection (based on data request placed on May 13, 2016)	Proj Dir	May 25, 2016	Overdue
	5.3 Complete identification of data system specifications .(including RFB Scope	e of Work) Proj Dir	May 25, 2016	Indefinite hold
	5.4 Complete recruitment/selection of tech consultant who develops system t	o our specs TBD	TBD	
	5.5 Complete development of integrated data system tool	Tech Consltn	: July 1, 2016	Overdue
	5.6 Identify the key insights ("takeaways") from the tool	Proj Dir	July 30, 2016	
6.0	Use data (opinions, observations, & artifacts) to identify possible changes to NYS pro	gram.		
	6.1 Propose a list of the kind of evidence that is valuable, needed, and accepta		June 1, 2016	Completed
	6.2 Approve (or identify needed changes to) list of proposed evidence types	Deputy Com	n June 3, 2016	Completed

Management Action Plan for Principal Preparation Program, created May 18, 2016, updated June 6, 2016 and July 8, 2016

Filename: Action Plan for Principal Prep Project (MAP folder)

	6.3 Recommend whether it is OK to gather data on: (a) people's perceptions; (2) direct	Advisory Tm	June 8, 2016	Completed
	observations of the event itself; (3) artifacts or documents; (4) some combination of 1-3			
	6.4 Identify whether and how and how much and in what way to use outside experts	Deputy Comm	June 3, 2016	Ongoing
	6.5 Complete Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, Threats	Proj Dir	June 30, 2016	Draft complete
	6.6 Propose a list of possible changes	Advisory Tm	Sept 14, 2016	
	6.7 Identify how many and which possible changes are supported by consensus of Adv Tm.	Proj Dir	Sept 16, 2016	
7.0	Narrow list of changes that could enhance principal preparation/support/performance			
	7.1 Propose the narrowed list of possible changes	Advisory Tm	Oct 12, 2016	
	7.2 Approve (or identify needed changes) to the list of narrowed changes	Deputy Comm	Oct 14, 2016	
8.0	Fine tune proposed changes by incorporating feedback from advisory team and the field.			
	8.1 Design mechanism to gather, store, and view needed data that will be collected.	Proj Dir	Sept 15, 2016	
	8.2 Complete construction of "catcher's mitt" to collect data.	Proj Dir	Oct 11, 2016	
	8.3 Complete data collection.	Proj Assistant	Oct 15, 2016	
	8.4 Complete data analysis gauging how receptive and/or supportive stakeholders are	Proj Assistant	Nov 1, 2016	
	8.5 Complete any needed changes based on feedback from the field	Advisory Tm	Nov 9, 2016	
9.0	Identify incentives that connect to the work, accelerate desired change & multiply impact			
	9.1 Identify (and reach agreement on) the criteria for an acceptable incentive	Advisory Tm	Nov 9, 2016	
	9.2 After suspending judgment ("if you could have what you want, what would you have?"),	Advisory Tm	Nov 9, 2016	
	produce a list of possible incentives that would help sustain/amplify this work over time			
	9.2 Apply the criteria in 9.1 (above) to cull the proposed list to a set of viable incentives	Advisory Tm	Nov 9, 2016	
	9.3 Approve (or identify needed changes to) proposed list of incentives	Deputy Comm	Nov 14, 2016	
10.0	Submit policy recommendations designed to improve NYS principal preparation			
	10.1 Identify recommendations to replicate, expand, continue, modify, eliminate, other	Advisory Tm	Nov 30, 2016	
	10.2 Establish whether it is useful or necessary to place recommendations in rank order	Advisory Tm	Jan 8, 2017	
	10.3 Complete list of all possible policy recommendations that might move forward	Adv Tm Chairs	Jan 8, 2017	
	10.4 Trim list to include just those that enjoy consensus support of the Advisory Tam	Adv Tm Chairs	Feb 22, 2017	
	10.5 Submit recommendations to Deputy Commissioner for Higher Education	Proj Dir	Jan 8, 2017	
	10.6 Determine when, how, & in what form recommendations from Adv Tm move forward	Deputy Comm	Jan 12, 2017	
11.0	Complete production and deployment of leader tracking tool			
	11.1 Complete identification of the specifications for a system.	Proj Dir	Jan 8, 2017	
	11.2 Complete recruitment/selection of tech consultant to develop system to our spec	твр	Jan 15, 2017	
	11.3 Complete development of the leader tracking tool	Tech Consltnt	Mar 15, 2017	
	11.4 Determine whether to accept tool "as is" or identify whether revisions are needed,	Deputy Comm	Mar 30, 2017	
	11.5 Complete deployment of the tool	Proj Dir	Apr 1, 2017	
	11.6 Based on feedback from the field, identify key insights ("takeaways") from the tool.	Proj Dir	Apr 15, 2017	
12.0	Submit the findings of the project evaluation and close out project (listing possible extensions			
12.0	12.1 Complete project evaluation	Proj Dir	Apr 23, 2017	
	12.2 Produce written report detailing how project aims were achieved to include a summary	Proj Dir	Apr 24, 2017	
	TELE Fronder Written report detailing now project and were achieved to medde a summary		· · pi 27, 2017	

Management Action Plan for Principal Preparation Program, created May 18, 2016, updated June 6, 2016 and July 8, 2016

Filename: Action Plan for Principal Prep Project (MAP folder)

of findings including strengths, areas of concern, recommendations, and conclusions			
(and possible next steps).			
12.3 Accept final written report on project accomplishments	Deputy Comm	Apr 25, 2017	