THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK



Interim Commissioner of Education President of the University of the State of New York 89 Washington Avenue, Room 111 Albany, New York 12234 E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov Twitter:@NYSEDNews Tel: (518) 474-5844 Fax: (518) 473-4909

December 1, 2020

APPR Plan - Variance

Brendan Lyons, Superintendent Lakeland Central School District 1086 East Main Street Shrub Oak, NY 10588

Dear Superintendent Lyons:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan variance application meets the criteria outlined in section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided in your variance application, including the narrative descriptions, certifications, and assurances that are included in the application. During the approved term of this variance, your LEA will implement the variance along with all other remaining provisions of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan and/or the terms of your approved variance, your LEA must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class school leader to support their professional growth, and every student achieves success.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Betty A Rosa

Interim Commissioner

Attachment

c: James Ryan

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR variance application have been reviewed and are considered as part of your approved APPR variance application; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR variance application but are not incorporated by reference have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan or variance and/or require corrective action.

Pursuant to section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, please note that an LEA with an approved variance shall provide to the Department, upon its request, any documentation related to the implementation and efficacy of the approach proposed in the variance, including but not limited to: reports on the correlation in assigned ratings for different measures of the LEA's evaluation system and differentiation among educators within each subcomponent and category of the evaluation system

Your variance is approved for the 2020-21 school year. Because you requested this variance to address issues related to COVID-19, the approval of this variance for any future school years (up to a three school year period) is contingent on the continuation of the current COVID-19 pandemic notwithstanding your request for this variance to apply in future school years. Upon expiration of state-imposed restrictions or emergency measures related to the pandemic, or abatement of the pandemic, it is expected that your variance will no longer be required. As such, SED may withdraw its approval of this variance for any subsequent school years or may require a separate application or other documentation for continuation of the variance in future school years. Upon expiration of the approved term of your variance, you must implement the terms of your current APPR plan as approved by the Commissioner.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 1. General Information - General Information

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Annual Professional Performance Review Variance (Education Law 3012-d)

For guidance related to the Annual Professional Performance Review variance, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

At its October 2019 meeting, the Board of Regents amended sections 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents to allow LEAs to apply for a variance from Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan requirements to permit them to develop and implement new and innovative approaches to evaluation that meet the specific needs of the LEA, upon a finding by the Commissioner that the new and innovative approach demonstrates how it will ensure differentiated results over time and how the results of the evaluation will be used to provide personalized professional learning opportunities to teachers and principals, while complying with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d.

In instances where a variance is approved, the term(s) described in the approved variance will replace the related sections of the LEA's currently approved APPR plan. However, please note that all other terms as are present in the LEA's currently approved plan will remain in effect and must be implemented without modification.

Once a variance is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's APPR plan during the approved term of the variance. In any instance in which there is an approved variance and such variance contains information that conflicts with the information provided in the approved Education Law §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved variance will apply during the approved term of the variance.

Variance Application Timeline

Variance applications must be submitted to the Department by December 1 of a school year be implemented in that school year.

Failure to submit a variance application using this form by the December 1 deadline will result in the LEA's implementing the procedures described in its currently approved Education Law §3012-d APPR plan for that school year.

Variance Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- ☑ Assure that the contents of this form are in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's variance is kept on file and that a copy of such variance will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- Assure that this variance will be posted on the LEA's website, in addition to its current full APPR plan, no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- Assure that it is understood that this LEAs variance will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website* following approval.

Teacher Variance

Please check the appropriate box below.

Assure that any task not included in the following variance request(s) for teachers will be carried out in the manner described in the currently approved APPR plan.

Principal Variance

Education Law §3012-d requires that the principal evaluation system be aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluation. Therefore, when completing a variance request for the evaluation of principals, the processes identified must be aligned to such requirements.

Please check the appropriate box below.

Assure that any requested variance for principal evaluation is aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluation provided in Education Law Section 3012-d and that any task not included in the following variance request(s) for principals will be carried out in the manner described in the currently approved APPR plan.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 1 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category,see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Education Law §3012-d requires that each teacher havea Student Learning Objective (SLO)consistent with a goal-setting process based on appropriate growth targets. The process must include, at a minimum, the following elements:

A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components),

Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance),

A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20,

A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating.

This requirement

currently approved APPR plan will apply.

must be met through

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an SLO process for a teacher or group of teachers that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

- ☑ A variance is not requested for the required student performance subcomponent for teachers.
- ☐ The details of the variance request for the required student performance subcomponent for teachers is described in the subsequent section.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 2 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 3. TEACHERS: Optional Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an optional second measure for a teacher or group of teachers that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ A variance is not requested for the optional student performance subcomponent for teachers.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 3 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to evaluate teacher practice in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ The details of the variance request for the teacher observation category is described in the subsequent section.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 4 of 29

LAKELAND CSD

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Applicability & Rubric

Page Last Modified: 11/24/2020

Applicable Teachers

Please indicate all teachers to whomthis teacher observation variance request applies.

Core Teachers

Use the table below to list the core teachers this teacher observation variance request is applicable to (teachers of other courses should be listed in the subsequent section).

Status Date: 11/30/2020 00:48 PM - Submitted

| | All Core Teachers | Common Branch | ELA | Math | Science | Social Studies |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----|------|---------|----------------|
| Courses | All core teachers (K-3; 4-8 ELA, math, science, social studies; high school ELA and Regents courses) [if this option is selected, please do not make selections in subsequent columns] | | | | | |

Other Teachers

- ☐ Teachers of other courses are not included in this teacher observation variance request.
- ☑ Teachers of other courses included in this teacher observation variance request are listed in the table below.

Fill in the following for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that are included in this teacher observation variance request:

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

| | (1) lowest grade | (2) highest grade | (3) subject |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| All Other Courses | K | 12 | All courses not named above |
| K-3 Art | K | 3 | Art |
| Grades 9-12 English Electives | 9 | 12 | English Electives |

Click "Add Row" to add additional courses. Only list additional courses if they are included in this teacher observation variance request.

| Grade From | Grade To | Subject |
|------------|----------|-----------------------------|
| К | 12 | All courses not named above |

Applicable Areas

A variance may be requested for the following components of the teacher observation subcomponent:

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 5 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Applicability & Rubric

Page Last Modified: 11/24/2020

- · Teacher practice rubric
- · Rating and scoring of the teacher practice rubric
- Weighting of thedomains/subcomponentsof the teacher practice rubric
- · HEDI scoring bands
- Weighting of the teacher observation subcomponents
- · Required principal/supervisor observations
- · Required independent evaluator observations
- · Optional peer observations

Please indicate the area(s) of the teacher observation subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

- Rating and scoring of the teacher practice rubric
- ☑ Weighting of the domains/subcomponents of the teacher practice rubric
- ☑ Teacher observation subcomponent weighting
- ☑ Required principal/supervisor observations
- ☑ Required independent evaluator observations

Rating and Scoring of the Teacher Practice Rubric Please describe the process for rating and scoring the chosen practice rubric.

For tenured classroom teachers, the agreed-upon rubric shall be ratedat the Domain level, where the tenured teacher receives a 1-4 rating per domain based upon the preponderance of the evidence observed within each Domain. So long as all observable Domains/Teaching Standards are appraised during the announced observation conducted by the lead evaluator, there shall be a minimum of one observation during the 2020-21 school year for each tenured teacher.

For probationary classroom teachers, the agreed-upon rubric shall be rated the subcomponentlevel, where the probationary teacher receives a 1-4 rating per each subcomponent observed within the rubric for each announced observation. All observable subcomponents/Teaching Standards will be appraised during the announced observations conducted by the lead evaluator for probationary teachers during the 2020-21 school year.

Weighting of the Domains/Subcomponents of the Teacher Practice Rubric Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric.

For tenured teachers, all the observable domains will be weighted equally and averaged to arrive at a 1-4 score and corresponding HEDIrating for the observation category.

For probationary teachers, all observable subcomponents will be weighted equally and averaged to arrive at a 1-4 score and corresponding HEDI rating.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 6 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Subcomponent Weighting

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

| Principal/Administrator | Independent Evaluator(s) | , , | Group for which this weighting will apply. If the indicated weighting will be used for all teachers listed in the 'Applicability' section, note "All Teachers." |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 100 | 0 | 0 | All Teachers |

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 7 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Principal/Supervisor Observations

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or another trained administrator.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

| | Minimum number of observations | Observation method (check all that apply) | Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column) |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Unannounced | 0 | ☑ N/A | (No Response) |
| Announced | 2 | ☑ Live | (No Response) |
| Totals: | 2 | | |

To which teachers does the information in the above table apply?

☑ A subgroup of teachers listed in the 'Applicability' section (provide details below).

Describe the subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table above applies (i.e., probationary teachers), then complete the next page for an additional subgroup.

Probationary Teachers

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 8 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Principal/Supervisor Observations

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or another trained administrator.

Describe the subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table below applies (i.e., tenured teachers).

Tenured Teachers

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

| | Minimum number of observations | 11.27 | Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column) |
|-------------|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Unannounced | 0 | ☑ N/A | (No Response) |
| Announced | 1 | ☑ Live | (No Response) |
| Totals: | 1 | | |

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 9 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Independent Evaluator Observations

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Number and Method of Observation

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below. At least one observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

| | Minimum number of observations | ' ' ' ' | Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column) |
|-------------|--------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Unannounced | 0 | ☑ N/A | (No Response) |
| Announced | 0 | ☑ N/A | (No Response) |
| Totals: | 0 | | |

To which teachers does the information in the above table apply?

☑ All teachers listed in the 'Applicability' section.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 10 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Details & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 11/30/2020

Variance Details

Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.

Rationale

Please provide a rationale for this variance request.

> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the teacher observation variance request.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the constant state of flux the buildings are in, the district and the association have agreed to a streamlined observation process for the 2020-21 school year in order to focus additional time and energy on professional development related to enhancing the pedagogy of educators in the in-person, hybrid and virtual instructional models. The differentiation in the observation models for probationary and tenured educators will help the District focus specific attention on targeting the professional development needs on an aggregate level while still providing targeted and specific feedback to the probationary educators.

The minimum of one announced observation rated at the Domain level for tenured educators is intended to focusmore time and attention on the professional learning communities to be providing support in a collaborative and collegial environment that is intended to enhance teacher practice in the different instructional models used during the pandemic without causing additional stressors to the teaching community. In order to still provide targeted and specific feedback to probationary educators across multiple observations, the ratings for probationers will continue to be provided at the subcomponent level.

In light of health and safety concerns related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the parties also agreed that it would be prudent to avoid the necessity to comingle administrators across the district's manybuildings to mitigate against the spread of COVID-19 and to avoid the need for quarantining across buildings to the extent possible. In addition, inorder to keep the technology infrastructure secure in the virtual classroom setting, announced observations are preferable to unannounced observations for this school year, where there is a necessity to conduct many observations this school year in a live synchronous instructional model due to hybrid learning and unanticipated school closures when health and safety concerns dictate the need to close for periods of time that cannot reasonably be anticipated in advance. Inlight of these many unknowns related to the instructional model that will be implemented at any given point in the year, the parties felt it would be impracticable to conduct unannounced observations without possibly compromising the security of the virtual platform used to deliver synchronous instruction in the hybrid and remote instructional model.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 11 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Details & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 11/30/2020

Standards and Procedures

Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.

- > This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.
- > This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures and/or evidence of teacher practice that will be used to evaluate educators.

The approach taken by the district for the 2020-21 school year is to appraise tenured educators at the Domain level, using a holistic model, with an eye towards informing professional development without changing the nature of probationary teacher observations, which shall still provide feedback at the subcomponent level. Probationary educators will still be appraised based upon the observable subcomponents of the rubric, but will have the ability to focus on the technology attendant to ensuring secure virtual classrooms and will continue to enhance their skills through participation in a professional learning community with targeted professional development to increase educator efficacy and benefit student instruction. For tenured classroom teachers, the agreed-upon rubric shall be ratedat the Domain level, where the tenured teacher will receive a 1-4 rating per domain based upon the preponderance of the evidence observed within each Domain. So long as all observable Domains/Teaching Standards are appraised during the announced observation conducted by the lead evaluator, there shall be a minimum of one observation during the 2020-21 school year for each tenured teacher. In the event that a tenured teacher feels that he/she would benefit from the subcomponent-based observation process, he/she may request to be appraised based upon observable subcomponents (instead of Domains) at the pre-observation conference. For probationary classroom teachers, the agreed-upon rubric shall be rated tthe subcomponentlevel, where the probationary teacher receives a 1-4 rating per each subcomponent observed within the rubric for each announced observation. All observable subcomponents/Teaching Standards will beappraised during the announced observations conducted by the lead evaluator for probationary teachers during the 2020-21 school year. The District is also seeking through this variance awaiver of the independent evaluator requirement. Instead of using an independent evaluator for the observation process, for health and safety reasons, the District is seeking to have the observations conducted by lead evaluators and other trained administrators for the 2020-21 school year. This requestis precipitated by the desire to minimize the comingling of administrators across buildings in order to decrease the likelihood of any possible cases that may otherwise be able to be contained at the building level from impacting multiple buildings. Educating students must take place this year with strategies in place to minimize the spread of the COVID-19 virus, and the independent evaluator waiver will assist the District in effectuatingthis goal.

Administrator meetings will be held throughout the school year to calibrate scoring for inter-rater reliability purposes and to ensure that observations, regardless of whether they are performed on the subcomponent or the domain level, are using evidence-based observation techniques to appraise all classroom teachers. The administrator meetings will be held to further develop a shared understanding of expectations for rubric ratings and consistency across evaluators.

Rigor

Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.

- > This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.
- > This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.

Rigor will be achieved and maintained by ensuring that evidence-based observation is still used to appraise the observable teaching standards. Data from formative and summative assessment shall still be used to inform instruction, and the district will make decisions regarding professional development needs based upon the rigorous evaluation processes that exists. The District is committed to educational excellence, honing technological skills that will improve teacher practice and pedagogy and will continue to foster a culture of learning for our teachers and studentsas they continue to navigate these unprecedented times.

Through administrator calibration meetings, the District will ensure that all of the information necessary to ensure that all observable Domains or subcomponents (as applicable) and teaching standards are rated during the observation process. The District will ensure that administrator meetings will be held at the building level to establish a shared understanding of best practices of how to implement effective instructional techniques regardless of whether student instruction occurs in-person, remotely, or via a hybrid instructional model. In addition, other professional development opportunities will be held throughout the school year to help educators hone their teaching skills in the constantly shifting instructional framework precipitated by the COVID-19 pandemic.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 12 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Details & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 11/30/2020

Professional Learning

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

- > This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:
- · collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,
- · specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,
- · processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and
- · use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.

The District will continue to provide professional development to enhancethe pedagogy of educators in the in-person, hybrid and virtual instructional models, based upon trends that are seen across the many observations conducted by the lead evaluators/other trained administrators during the course of the 2020-21 school year. The District will use faculty meetings and create other targeted professional development opportunities to enhance teaching skills on a macro level and will use the individualized feedback from the observation processes to help inform personalized professional development.

This is distinguishable from professional development that ordinarily occurs throughout the school year. Building leadership will provide targeted professional development during faculty meetings that take place at the building-level throughout the year. In addition, district leadership team meetings will continue to take place during the course of the school year to ensure that the building-level expectations and goals are aligned to expectations and goals at the District level.

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

- > This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:
- · collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,
- the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and
- how results will be used to inform future implementation.

The District will continue to work with its teachers to encourage use of diagnostic data from formative assessments to inform instruction, and will utilize summative assessments to measure student learning and to isolate areas where there are gaps in learning that may warrant enhanced professional development. Results as analyzed by building teams and district teams will be used to inform the extent to whichshort-term and long-term goals are being achieved.

During the spring, meetings with the District's administrative team and union leadership teams will be held to determine what has been most valuable in this process and what can be improved moving forward. In addition, throughout the school year, information from classroom observations, student performance data, evidence of teachers' professional development and data on their contributions to the school (e.g. evidence of the impact that they have on their colleagues' practice) will be analyzed in building and district-level meetings. These meetings identify strengths and weaknesses, as well asaction steps to help teachers develop professionally. These meetings also serve as a way of calibrating inter-rater reliability among administrators who are responsible for observing teacher practice.

Observation Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below as applicable to all teachers included in this teacher observation variance request.

- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the process for determining an overall Teacher Observation category score and rating will incorporate the evidence collected across all observations to produce an overall Teacher Observation category rating on a HEDI scale.
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher to obtain any number of points in the applicable scoring ranges, including zero, in each subcomponent.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 13 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 5. TEACHERS: Overall Scoring - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, seeNYSED APPR Guidance.

Education Law §3012-d requires that each teacherbe given a final score for both the Student Performance and Teacher Observation categories, which will be converted to a final category ratingbased on the HEDI scale, and that these ratings be used to provide an Overall Rating using the prescribed scoring matrix.

These requirements must be met through either the LEA's current APPR plan or this variance. To the extent that the variance does not address a requirement, the currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to define the HEDI ranges for the Student Performance and/or Teacher Observation category that is different than those included in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ A variance is not requested for category ratings for teachers.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 14 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Additional Requirements for Teachers

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, seeNYSED APPR Guidance.

Education Law §3012-d requires that a complete APPR plan must include a process for teacher improvement plans, appeals, and evaluator training as determined by the Commissioner. The following minimum requirements under Education Law §3012-d are applicable to teachers:

A form for development of a Teacher Improvement Plan,

A timely and expeditious process for resolving educator's appeals of APPR ratings,

A process for training all evaluators of applicable educators.

These requirements must be met through either the LEA's current APPR plan or this variance. To the extent that the variance does not address a requirement, the currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process for Teacher Improvement Plans, appeals and/or training in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☑ A variance is not requested for teacher improvement plans, appeals, or training.
- ☐ The details of the variance request applicable to teacher improvement plans, appeals, and/or training is described in the subsequent section.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 15 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Education Law§3012-drequires that the principal evaluation system be aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluations, including the required student performance subcomponent. Therefore, therequired student performance subcomponent are request for principal smust be aligned to the teacher evaluation requirements.

This requirement must be met through

currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process to demonstrate student growth based on principal practice for a principal or group of principals that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☑ A variance is not requested for the required student performance subcomponent for principals.
- ☐ The details of the variance request for the required student performance subcomponent for principals is described in the subsequent section.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 16 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 8. PRINCIPALS: Optional Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an optional second measure for a principal or group of principals that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ A variance is not requested for the optional student performance subcomponent for principals.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 17 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to evaluate principal practice in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ The details of the variance request for the principal school visit category is described in the subsequent section.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 18 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Applicability & Rubric

Page Last Modified: 11/17/2020

Applicable Principals

Please indicate all principals to whomthis principal school visit variance request applies.

To add configurations for additional principals, click "Add Row".

| Grade From | Grade To |
|------------|----------|
| К | 5 |
| 6 | 8 |
| 9 | 12 |

Applicable Areas

A variance may be requested for the following components of the principal school visit subcomponent:

- · Principalpractice rubric
- · Rating and scoring of the principal practice rubric
- · Weighting of thedomains/subcomponentsof the principal practice rubric
- · HEDI scoring bands
- Weighting of the principal school visitsubcomponents
- · Required supervisor/administratorschool visits
- · Required independent evaluator school visits
- · Optional peer school visits

If none of the above components are applicable to the requested variance, please select the last option and complete the next page in a manner that clearly and thoroughly describes the process of evaluating principal practice.

Please indicate the area(s) of the principal school visit subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

- ☑ Principal school visit subcomponent weighting
- ☑ Required supervisor/administrator school visits
- ☑ Required independent evaluator school visits

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 19 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Subcomponent Weighting

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

| Superintendent/Administr ator | Independent Evaluator(s) | , , , | Group for which this weighting will apply. If the indicated weighting will be used for all principals listed in the 'Applicability' section, note "All Principals." |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 100 | 0 | 0 | All Principals |

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 20 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required Supervisor/Administrator School Visits

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by supervisor(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method used, in the table below.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the school visit method.

| | Minimum number of school visits | School visit method (check all that apply) | Other school visit method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column) |
|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Unannounced | 0 | ☑ N/A | (No Response) |
| Announced | 2 | ✓ In person | (No Response) |
| Totals: | 2 | | |

To which principals does the information in the above table apply?

☑ A subgroup of principals listed in the 'Applicability' section (provide details below).

Describe the subgroup of principals to whom the information in the table above applies (i.e., probationary principals), then complete the next page for an additional subgroup.

Probationary Principals

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 21 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Supervisor/Administrator School Visits

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Superintendent or Other Trained Administrators

At least one school visit must be conducted by the superintendent or another trained administrator.

Describe the subgroup of principals to whom the information in the table below applies (i.e., tenured principals).

Tenured Principals

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visit by the superintendent or other trained administrators, as well as the method of school visit, in the table below.

If an school visit type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the school visit method.

| | Minimum number of observations | ' ' ' ' | Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column) |
|-------------|--------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Unannounced | 0 | ☑ N/A | (No Response) |
| Announced | 1 | ☑ Live | (No Response) |
| Totals: | 1 | | |

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 22 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required Independent Evaluator School Visits

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Required Subcomponent 2: School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Number and Method of School Visit

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method used, in the table below.

If a school visit type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the school visit method.

| | Minimum number of school visits | apply) | Other school visit method(only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column) |
|-------------|---------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Unannounced | 0 | ☑ N/A | (No Response) |
| Announced | 0 | ☑ N/A | (No Response) |
| Totals: | 0 | | |

To which principals does the information in the above table apply?

☑ All principals listed in the 'Applicability' section.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 23 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Details & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 11/30/2020

Variance Details

Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.

Rationale

Please provide a rationale for this variance request.

> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the principal school visit variance request.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the constant state of flux the buildings are in, the district and the association have agreed to a streamlined observation process for the 2020-21 school year in order to focus additional time and energy on professional development related to enhancing building leadership skills, and in-turn, the pedagogy of educators in the in-person, hybrid and virtual instructional models.

The minimum of one announced for tenured principals and the minimum of two announced observations for probationary principals is intended enable more time and attention for focusing on the professional learning communities to provide support in a collaborative and collegial environment that is intended to enhance leadership practices in the different instructional models used during the pandemic without causing additional stressors for administration.

In light of health and safety concerns related to the COVID-19 pandemic, the parties have requested an independent evaluator hardship waiver to avoid the necessity to comingle multiple District administrators across the manybuildings. The District seeks this waiver to mitigate against the spread of COVID-19 and to avoid the need for quarantining across buildings to the extent possible and to maintain as much District administration as possible without compromising health and safety.

In addition, inorder to keep the technology infrastructure secure in the virtual classroom setting, announced school visits are preferable to unannounced visitations for this school year, where there is a necessity to conduct many visitations this school year in a live synchronous model due to the COVID-19 pandemic and unanticipated school closures when health and safety concerns dictate the need to close for periods of time that cannot reasonably be anticipated in advance. Inlight of these many unknowns related to the instructional model that will be implemented at any given point in the year, the parties also felt it would be impracticable to conduct unannounced school visits without possibly compromising the security of the virtual platform used for faculty meetings and other observable ISLLC standards that may be appraised in the hybrid and remote instructional models. Evidence based observation will continue to be utilized in order to inform the school visit process, and evidence collected from school visits will be used to inform professional development for building principals and their administrative teams.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 24 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Details & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 11/30/2020

Standards and Procedures

Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.

- > This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.
- > This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures and/or evidence of principal practice that will be used to evaluate educators.

A holistic evaluatory model will continue to be implemented for building principal evaluation for the 2020-21 school year. Evidence will be collected during the school visitation(s) to inform the rating of the observable Domains/ISLLC standards (with the exception of ISLLC Standard#6, all other standardshave been deemed observable by the parties). Building principals will continue to enhance their leadershipskills through participation in a professional learning community with targeted professional development to inform development of exceptional leadership skills.

In order to mitigate against the proliferation of the novel coronavirus, the District and the Association have negotiated a model for this school year that dispenses with unannounced school visits. This way, if there has been a recentevent in a building that could compromise health and safety, the parties can schedule visitations in a manner that is transparent and can avoid prolonged contacts. Virtual synchronous online visitations (e.g. faculty meetings and other virtual synchronous activities)may be used to inform the school visit category rating, along with natural conversations that occur throughout the school year in order to ensure that all observable Domains/ISLLC standards are annually appraised.

The District is also seeking through this variance awaiver of the independent evaluator requirement. Instead of using an independent evaluator for the school visit category, for health and safety reasons, the District is seeking to have the school visits conducted by lead evaluators and other trained administrators for the 2020-21 school year. This request is precipitated by the desire to minimize the comingling of administrators across buildings in order to decrease the likelihood of any possible cases that may otherwise be able to be contained at the building level from impacting multiple buildings. Educating students must take place this year with strategies in place to minimize the spread of the COVID-19 virus across the school community, and the independent evaluator waiver will assist the District in effectuatingthis goal.

Administrator meetings will be held throughout the school year to calibrate scoring for inter-rater reliability purposes and to ensure that school visits are predicated upon evidence-based observation techniques. Administrator meetings will be held to further develop a shared understanding of expectations for rubric ratings and consistency across evaluators.

Rigor

Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.

- > This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.
- > This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.

Rigor will be achieved and maintained by ensuring that evidence-based observation is still used to appraise the observable Domains/ISLLC standards. The District is committed to educational excellence, honing technological skills that will improve building leadership skills and in turn, enhance teacher practice and pedagogy. This system will foster a culture of learning for our school communities as they continue to navigate these unprecedented times.

Through administrator calibration meetings, the District will ensure that all of the information necessary to ensure that all observable Domains/ISLLC standards rated during the observation process. The District will ensure that administrator meetings will be held at the building level to establish a shared understanding of best practices of how to implement effective building leadership techniques regardless of whether student instruction occurs in-person, remotely, or via a hybrid instructional model. In addition, other professional development opportunities will be held throughout the school year to help building principals hone their leadership skills in the constantly shifting instructional framework precipitated by the COVID-19 pandemic.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 25 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Details & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 11/30/2020

Professional Learning

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

- > This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:
- collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,
- · specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,
- · processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and
- · use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.

The District will continue to provide professional development to enhancethe pedagogy of educators in the in-person, hybrid and virtual instructional models, based upon trends that are seen across the many school visits conducted by the lead evaluators/other trained administrators during the course of the 2020-21 school year. The District will use administrative team meetings and building-level faculty meetings to enhance building leadership skills, which in turn should improve teaching skills. Individualized feedback from the school visit process will help inform personalized professional development.

This is distinguishable from professional development that ordinarily occurs throughout the school year. Building leadership will provide targeted professional development during faculty meetings that take place at the building-level throughout the year. In addition, district leadership team meetings have and will continue to take place during the course of the school year to ensure that the building-level expectations and goals are aligned to expectations and goals at the District level.

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

- > This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:
- · collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,
- the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and
- how results will be used to inform future implementation.

School district and building leaders will work to analyze measures of student learning and to isolate areas where there are gaps in learning that may warrant enhanced professional development. Results as analyzed by building teams and district teams will be used to inform the extent to whichshort-term and long-term goals are being achieved.

During the spring, meetings with the District's administrative team and union leadership teams will be held to determine what has been most valuable in this process and what can be improved moving forward. In addition, throughout the school year, information from school visits, student performance data, evidence of professional development and data on their contributions to the school community at-large will be analyzed in building and district-level meetings. These meetings identify strengths and weaknesses, as well asaction steps to help teachers develop professionally. These meetings also serve as a way of calibrating inter-rater reliability among administrators who are responsible for observing building leader practice.

Principal School Visit Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below as applicable to all principals included in this principal school visit variance request.

- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- Assure that once all school visits are complete, the process for determining an overall Teacher Observation category score and rating will incorporate the evidence collected across all school visits to produce an overall Principal School Visit category rating on a HEDI scale.
- 🗷 Assure that it is possible for a principal to obtain any number of points in the applicable scoring ranges, including zero, in each subcomponent.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 26 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 10. PRINCIPALS: Overall Scoring - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/17/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to define the HEDI ranges for the Student Performance and/or Principal School Visit category that is different than those included in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ A variance is not requested for category ratings for principals.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 27 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/17/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process for Principal Improvement Plans, appeals and/or training in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Status Date: 11/30/2020 00:48 PM - Submitted

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- oxdot A variance is not requested for principal improvement plans, appeals, or training.
- ☐ The details of the variance request applicable to principal improvement plans, appeals, and/or training is described in the subsequent section.

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 28 of 29

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Variance - Applicability and Certification

Page Last Modified: 11/30/2020

Applicability of Variance

Need for Variance

Please make the appropriate selection below.

oxdot The submission of this variance application is prompted by the impact of COVID-19 on the LEA.

Instructional Model

- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if an in-person instructional model is implemented.
- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if a remote instructional model is implemented.
- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if a hybrid instructional model is implemented.
- ☑ The processes identified in this variance application apply regardless of the instructional model implemented.

Variance Duration

An Annual Professional Performance Review Variance under Education Law §3012-d may be approved forup to THREE (3) years.

Please indicate below the school years to which this variance application will apply.

One, two, or three consecutive academic years may be selected.

☑ 2020-21

Upload APPR Variance Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Variance using the "Variance Certification Form" found in the 'Documents' menu on the left side of the page.

Lakeland Variance Cert Form 11.30.20.pdf

11/30/2020 00:52 PM Page 29 of 29

APPR VARIANCE CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, check the assurances, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Variance, Education Law §3012-d application.

Assurances: Please check the boxes below

- Assure that all information provided in this variance application is true and accurate as of the date that the variance application is submitted.
- Assure that once this application is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's approved APPR plan during the effective term of the variance.
- Assure that, upon a revocation or non-renewal of a variance application at the end of its effective term, the district shall implement its approved evaluation plan in its entirety and without modification, consistent with all requirements of Subpart 30-3.3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and absent any terms of the variance.
- Assure that, where applicable, collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of this variance application that are subject to collective bargaining.

Signatures, dates

| Superintendent Signature: | Date: |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Prata | 11/30/2020 |
| Superintendent Name (print): | and the second s |
| Dr. Brendan Lyons | |
| Teachers Union President Signature: | Date: |
| 101 | 11/30/2020 |
| Teachers Union President Name (print): | |
| Michael Lillis | |
| Administrative Union President Signature: | Date: ///30/2020 |
| Administrative Union President Name (print): | Signature of the second se |
| Christian Mercurio | |
| Board of Education President Signature: | Date: |
| Merchall 1 | 11/30/2020 |
| Board of Education President Name (print): | |
| Michael Daly | |

THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK



Commissioner of Education President of the University of the State of New York 89 Washington Avenue, Room 111 Albany, New York 12234 E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov Twitter:@NYSEDNews Tel: (518) 474-5844 Fax: (518) 473-4909

February 16, 2021

Revised

Brendan Lyons, Superintendent Lakeland Central School District 1086 East Main Street Shrub Oak, NY 10588

Dear Superintendent Lyons:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Betty A. Kosa Commissioner

Attachment

c: James Ryan

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Status Date: 02/10/2021 08:51 AM - Submitted

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 1. General Information - Disclaimers and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

The Department will review the contents of each local educational agency's (LEA) Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in an LEA'splan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from an LEA to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Each LEA is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of an LEA's plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the LEA are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPRplan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the LEA, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject or disapprove this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

APPR Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- Assure that the content of this form represents the LEA's entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- Assure that it is understood that this LEA's APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website* following approval

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 1 of 62

LAKELAND CSD Status Date: 02/10/2021 08:51 AM - Submitted

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Each teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) locally determined, consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;
- $\bullet \ \ identifying \ which \ assessments \ could \ be \ used \ to \ help \ foster \ and \ support \ an \ LEA's \ focus \ on \ a \ specific \ priority \ area(s);$
- · the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- · when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.
- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- **District- or BOCES-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 2 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

• State assessment(s);or

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- · third party assessments; or
- locally-developed assessments(district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

HEDI Scoring Bands

| Highly 1 | Effectiv | e | Effectiv | e | | Develo | ping | Ineffect | tive | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|----------|----|----------|----|-----------|--------|------|----------|------|----|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|----------|----|----|
| 20 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 97- 100 | | | | | 75- 79 | ll | I I | | | | | | | | | 17- 20 | | 9- 12 | 5- | 0- |
| | | | | | - | | l I | | | | | | | | | | | % | 8% | 4% |

SLO Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the teacher has an SLO as determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- Assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- 🗵 Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.
- Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 3 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Kindergarten

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Common Branch Kindergarten Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for kindergarten teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branchanddepartmentalized model for kindergarten:

- Complete this section accordinglyforcommon branch teachers.
- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select the "Elementary" option for applicable subjects in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
- Teacher and course-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Kindergarten: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Kindergarten: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Kindergarten: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Kindergarten: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ ELA Regents
- US History Regents

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 4 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade One

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Common Branch Grade One Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade one teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branchanddepartmentalized model for grade one:

- Complete this section accordinglyforcommon branch teachers.
- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select the "Elementary" option for applicable subjects in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
- Teacher and course-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Grade 1: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 1: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 1: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 1: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ ELA Regents
- US History Regents

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 5 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Two

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Common Branch Grade Two Measures and Asssessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade two teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branchanddepartmentalized model for grade two:

- Complete this section accordinglyforcommon branch teachers.
- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select the "Elementary" option for applicable subjects in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
- Teacher and course-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Grade 2: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 2: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 2: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 2: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ ELA Regents
- US History Regents

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 6 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Three

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Common Branch Grade Three Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade three teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branchanddepartmentalized model for grade three:

- Complete this section accordinglyforcommon branch teachers.
- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select the "Elementary" option for applicable subjects in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
- Teacher and course-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Grade 3: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 3: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 3: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 3: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ ELA Regents
- US History Regents

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 7 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Four

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Grade Four

Please identify below whether grade four instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade four teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

- *Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branch anddepartmentalized model for grade four:
- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option belowand complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
- Teacher and course-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade four in your LEA.

☑ Common branch

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 8 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Four (common branch)

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Grade Four (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade 4: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 4: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade Four: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade Four: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents

US History Regents

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 9 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Five

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Grade Five

Please identify below whether grade five instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade five teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branch anddepartmentalized model for grade five:

- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option belowand complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
- Teacher and course-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade five in your LEA.

☑ Common branch

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 10 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Five (common branch)

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Grade Five (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade 5: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 5: District- or BOCES Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 5: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 5: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents

US History Regents

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 11 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Six

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Grade Six

Please identify below whether grade six instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade six teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

- *Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branch anddepartmentalized model for grade six:
- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option belowand complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
- Teacher and course-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade six in your LEA.

☑ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 12 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Six (uniform departmentalized)

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Grade Six (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade six departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 6: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 6: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 6: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 6: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents

☑ US History Regents

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 13 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Seven

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Grade Seven

Please identify below whether grade seven instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade seven teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

- *Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branch anddepartmentalized model for grade seven:
- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option belowand complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
- Teacher and course-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade seven in your LEA.

☑ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 14 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Seven (uniform departmentalized)

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Grade Seven (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade seven departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 7: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 7: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 7: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 7: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents

☑ US History Regents

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 15 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Eight

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Grade Eight Measures and Assessments

Please identify below whether grade eight instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade eight teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

- *Note*For LEAs that may use both a common branch anddepartmentalized model for grade eight:
- Select the applicable "Departmentalized" option belowand complete the remainder of this section accordingly.
- In the "Other Courses" section of Task 2, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of that entry as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
- Teacher and course-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade eight in your LEA.

☑ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 16 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Grade Eight (uniform departmentalized)

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Grade Eight (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade eight departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 8: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 8: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade Eight: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade Eight: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents

US History Regents

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 17 of 62

LAKELAND CSD

Status Date: 02/10/2021 08:51 AM - Submitted

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School ELA

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

High School English Language Arts

Note: Additional high school English courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school ELA teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
- Teacher and course-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please indicate whether grades 9 through 12 ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑ All high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 18 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS ELA (all grades)

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

High School ELA (All Grades) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School ELA: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

High School ELA: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School ELA: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School ELA: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents

US History Regents

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 19 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School Regents Math

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

High School Regents Math

Note: Additional high school math courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents math teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
- Teacher and course-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents math teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑ All high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 20 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS Regents Math (all courses)

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

High School Regents Math (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Math: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Math: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Math: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Math: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents

US History Regents

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 21 of 62

LAKELAND CSD

Status Date: 02/10/2021 08:51 AM - Submitted

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School Regents Science

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

High School Regents Science

Note: Additional high school science courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents science teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
- Teacher and course-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents science teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑ All high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 22 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS Regents Science (all courses)

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

High School Regents Science (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Science: Measure

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Science: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Science: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Science: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents

US History Regents

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 23 of 62

LAKELAND CSD

Status Date: 02/10/2021 08:51 AM - Submitted

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - High School Regents Social Studies

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

High School Regents Social Studies: Measures and Assessments

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents social studies teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
- Teacher and course-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents social studies teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑ All high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 24 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - HS Regents SS (all courses)

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

High School Regents Social Studies (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Social Studies: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Social Studies: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Social Studies: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Social Studies: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents

US History Regents

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 25 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Other Courses

Please identify below the 'other courses' in your LEA; indicate which of the six available measures will be used for for each group of teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

Note

For LEAs that may use both a common branch anddepartmentalized model forany of gradesfour to eight:

- Select one of the "Departmentalized" options at each applicablegrade level and complete the remainder of the corresponding departmentalized section(s)accordingly.
- Forthe "Other Courses" entry below, select "Common Branch" in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of the information as appropriate.

For LEAs that may use both a common branch anddepartmentalized model forany of gradeskindergarten to three:

- Completeeach applicable common branch grade level at the beginning of Task 2 accordingly.
- Forthe "Other Courses" entry below, select the "Elementary" option for applicable subjects in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s) and complete the remainder of the information as appropriate.

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Teacher and course-specific
- Teacher and course-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > School- or program-wide
- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students in a school or program</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- **District- or BOCES-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u>who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Complete the following, as applicable, for all 'other teachers' in additional grades/subjects (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measureand assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Column 4: measure used

Columns 5-7: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 26 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

| | (1) lowest grade | (2) highest grade | (3) subject | (4) measure | (5-7) assessment(s) |
|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| All Other Courses | | | All courses not named above | | ELARegents, Algebra IRegents |
| K-3 Art | | | Art | Teacher and course- specific results | Questar III BOCES |
| Grades 9-12 English Electives | 9 | 12 | English Electives | School- or program-wide linked results | All Regents given in LEA |

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

| Grade From | Grade To | Subject | Measure | _ | | | | Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s) | Third Party Assessment(s) |
|---------------|----------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| К | 8 | All course | District- | Ø | ELA Regents | | | | |
| | | s not named | - | Ø | US History Regents | | | | |
| | | above | results | | | | | | |
| 9 | 12 | All course s not named above | School- or progra m-wide results | 5 | ELA Regents US History Regents | | | | |

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 27 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent willbe locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 28 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 3. TEACHERS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- · Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental
 assessments;
- · Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- · Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments; or
- · Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 29 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 10/28/2020

Teacher Observation Category

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

| Ru | ıbric Name | If more than one rubric is utilized, |
|----|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to. |
| | Danielson's Framework for Teaching | (No Response) |

Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year as indicated in the table above.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department's regulations.

Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:

- The process for designating observable components (please note: all educators of the same grade/subject must be evaluated based on the same set of observable components);
- The level at which components of the chosen rubric are rated (i.e., domain, subdomain, indicator, etc.);
- How the final score and rating for each observable component of the practice rubric is determined for each observer; and
- How the final score for the required (i.e., lead evaluator/evaluator; independent evaluator) and/or optional (peer observer, as applicable) subcomponent of the Observation category is determined based on the final score and rating for each observable component.

Example: All subcomponents of Domains 2-4 of the Danielson rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 2 and 3 are weighted as 40% each, and Domain 4 is weighted as 20%. For each observation, all observed subcomponents in a domain are weighted equally and averaged to create a domain score, which is then weighted as above and averaged to reach a final score for each observation. Scores for each observation are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each observation type. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the observation cycle.

All four domains of the Danielson rubric will have one or more observable subcomponents that we expect to be scored annually, but the particular subcomponents may be modified from year to year as determined locally. For each observation, all observed subcomponents will be weighted equally and averaged to arrive at a score for that observation.

Scoring Assurances

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 30 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 10/28/2020

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

The overall Observation score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

| | Overall Observation Category Score and Rating | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| | Minimum | Maximum | | |
| н | 3.5 to 3.75 | 4.0 | | |
| E | 2.5 to 2.75 | 3.49 to 3.74 | | |
| D | 1.5 to 1.75 | 2.49 to 2.74 | | |
| I | 0.00* | 1.49 to 1.74 | | |

^{*} In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Highly Effective: | 3.50 | 4.00 |

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Effective: | 2.50 | 3.49 |

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Developing: | 1.75 | 2.49 |

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 31 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 10/28/2020

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Ineffective: | 0.00 | 1.74 |

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 32 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Observations

Page Last Modified: 10/28/2020

Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

- At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

- No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

| Principal/Administrator | Independent Evaluator(s) | Peer Observer(s) | Group of teachers for which this weighting will apply |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| 90% | 10% | 0% (N/A) | (No Response) |

Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one observation must be conducted by building principal or other trained administrator and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- · LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by principal or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of management to conduct observations in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in personor by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 33 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Observations

Page Last Modified: 10/28/2020

| TEACHERS Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers. | SUBGROUP If "Subgroup of Teachers" is selected in the previous column, indicate which teachers the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row. | UNANNOUN CED Minimum Number of Observation s | UNANNOUN CED Observation Method | ANNOUNCE D Minimum Number of Observation s | ANNOUNCE D Observation Method |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Subgroup of Teachers | Tenured Teachers | N/A | N/A | 1 | Live |
| Subgroup of Teachers | Probationary Teachers | N/A | N/A | 2 | Live |
| Subgroup of Teachers | Part-time and long term leave replacement teachers of record | N/A | N/A | 1 | Live |

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one observation must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- · Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the teacher being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers (e.g., teacher leaders on career ladder pathways), so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the teacher being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- · The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

| TEACHERS Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers. | p | CED Minimum | CED Observation | ANNOUNCE D Minimum Number of Observation s | ANNOUNCE D Observation Method |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column) | N/A | 1 | Live | N/A | N/A |

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 34 of 62

^{*} If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Observations

Page Last Modified: 10/28/2020

Please also check each of the following boxes.

Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 35 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Optional Observations

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by trained peer observer(s).

- · Peer teachers are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer teachers must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by trained peer observer(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number and "N/A" for the observation method for both unannounced and announced observations for "All Teachers."

| TEACHERS Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers. | p | UNANNOUN CED Minimum Number of Observation s | CED Observation | ANNOUNCE D Minimum Number of Observation s | ANNOUNCE D Observation Method |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column) | another row. N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |

Peer Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that peer observers, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observers, these teachers received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 36 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 5. TEACHERS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall Observation category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

Teacher Observation

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

| | | Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating | | | rvation Category ore and Rating |
|---|---------|-------------------------------------------------------|---|-------------|------------------------------------|
| | Minimum | Maximum | | Minimum | Maximum |
| н | 18 | 20 | н | 3.5 to 3.75 | 4.0 |
| E | 15 | 17 | E | 2.5 to 2.75 | 3.49 to 3.74 |
| D | 13 | 14 | D | 1.5 to 1.75 | 2.49 to 2.74 |
| I | 0 | 12 | I | 0.00 | 1.49 to 1.74 |

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

| | | Teacher Observation Cat | acher Observation Category | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--|
| | | Highly Effective (H) | Effective (E) | Developing (D) | Ineffective (I) | |
| Student Performance Category | Highly Effective (H) | Н | Н | E | D | |
| | Effective (E) | Н | E | Е | D | |
| | Developing (D) | Е | Е | D | I | |
| | Ineffective (I) | D | D | I | I | |

Category and Overall Rating Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same LEA, each of whom received an Ineffective rating under Education Law Section 3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the LEA has a Department-approved waiver from this requirement.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 37 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Teacher Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Additional Requirements

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the LEA.

LFT TIP Form 5.31.16.docx

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 38 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law§3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the StudentPerformance category, but rated Highly Effective ontheObservationcategory based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the LEA'sadherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law§3012-d;
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4)the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

Appeals can only be made for overall APPR ratings of Developing or Ineffective. These teachers have the right to appeal all grounds permissible under Education Law Section 3012-d. In order to ensure that appeals will be handled in a timely and expeditious manner, the following procedures will be implemented:

- Teacher, in writing, appeals to the Building Administrator/Lead Evaluator within five (5) school days of receipt of results of their overall APPR rating. In addition, there is a corresponding right for a teacher to appeal the issuance of a TIP within five (5) school days of its issuance, implementation of a TIP within five (5) school days of the end date of the TIP.
- Building Administrator/Lead Evaluator has five (5) school days from his/her receipt of the written appeal to respond in writing.
- If unsatisfied with Building Administrator/Lead Evaluator's response, teacher has the right to make an appeal to the LCSD APPR Appeals Committee within 10 school days after his/her receipt of the written response from the Building Administrator/Lead Evaluator.
- The Appeals Committee shall consist of:
- · The LFT president or designee;
- · A teacher selected by the LFT;
- An administrator from a different building
- The Appeals Committee shall meet within 5 school days of receipt of the written request of the teacher for an appeal, in which case the Appeals Committee shall make a confidential recommendation to the Superintendent, or may determine that additional information is required to render a recommendation.
- The Appeals Committee shall make a confidential recommendation to the Superintendent within 10 school days of their meeting, and no more than 15 school days after the receipt of the request from the teacher for such further appeal.
- · The recommendation of the Appeals Committee shall not be revealed to any party other than the Superintendent.
- The Superintendent shall issue his/her decision, in writing, within 10 school days of his/her receipt of the confidential recommendation of the Appeals Committee. The decision of the Superintendent shall be final and binding in all regards.
- Should the process begin before the end of the school year, but not be completed, parties agree to freeze the clock and restart the clock five (5) school days into the school year, however, in all circumstances both parties agree the appeals shall be handled in a timely and expeditious manner. In no case will the appeals process begin before a teacher receives his/her overall APPR rating.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 39 of 62

LAKELAND CSD

Status Date: 02/10/2021 08:51 AM - Submitted

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 10/28/2020

Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

☐ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The District shall provide training for lead evaluators, evaluators and impartialindependent evaluators through a variety of means, including but not limited to: local BOCES workshops, on-line resources and District-based professional development. Peer evaluators are not used in the District. In the ordinary course, approximately six hours of training shall be provided through a local BOCES prior to an individual being certified as a lead evaluator, or an evaluator. An independent trained evaluator shall receive approximately three hours of training through a local BOCES prior to being certified. In the event that a new evaluator or lead evaluator enters the District mid-year, and in the event that no local BOCES has training available, then the District shall ensure that approximately six hours of training is received either through District-based professional development or through on-lineresources for certification purposes. On a periodic, as-needed basis, lead evaluators, evaluators and independent trained evaluators will receive trainingfor re-certification purposes, which shall consist of approximately one hour. Training for certification and re-certification purposes shall meet the requirements of 30-3.10 of the Regents' Rules. Re-certification will cover maintenance of inter-rater reliability. Inter-rater reliability shall be maintained through annual calibration exercises led by central administration in District-based professional development/leadership team meetings. Lead evaluators and evaluators shall be certified, or re-certified, as applicable, by resolution of the Board of Education on an as-needed basis. Independent trained evaluators will be certified or re-certified, as applicable, by the Superintendent of Schools.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 40 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Assurances

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Teacher Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide teachers with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 41 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 10/28/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Required Student Performance Measures

Student performance for prinicpals may be measured by either a student learning objectives (SLO) or an Input Model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal's building or program.

> Principal and building/program-specific

Principal and building/program-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the
current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/programindirectly contribute student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
- · identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA'sfocus on a specific priority area(s);
- · the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.
- > District- or BOCES-wide
- **District- or BOCES-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of <u>all students across buildings/programs in an LEA</u> who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

State assessment(s);or

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- · third party assessments; or
- · locally-developed assessments(district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

INPUT MODEL

Selection of the Input Model will require:

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 42 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 10/28/2020

- adescription of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- · adescription of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- · adescription of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that such SLO is determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that if the principal's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- For principals evaluated using an input model, assure that all applicable principals will be evaluated using the procedures described herein and approved by the Commissioner.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs and input models.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

Required Student Performance for Principals

Please choose the option that best describes the required student performance subcomponent for principals in your LEA.

| | The same measure(s) | and assessment(s) will be used fo | r all principals |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|
|--|---------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|

☑ Different measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for different grade configurations/programs

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 43 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [1]

Page Last Modified: 10/28/2020

Applicable Principals [1]

If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each must be described on a separate page. Complete this section for the first combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in the following sections.

| Grade From | Grade To |
|------------|----------|
| К | 5 |
| 6 | 8 |

Principal Measures [1]

Please indicate how student performance will be measured for the principals listed above, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Principal and building/program-specific
- Principal and building/program-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the
 current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- adescription of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- · adescription of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- · adescription of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

All Principals: Measure Type

Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Student Learning Objective

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to the principals listed above.

District- or BOCES-wide results

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 44 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [1]

Page Last Modified: 10/28/2020

Principal Assessments [1]

Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure. Assessments shouldonly be selected if applicable to the measure indicated.

Principals: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ ELA Regents
- ☑ US History Regents

Additional Principals

Please be sure all principals in your LEA are included in Task 7.

🗹 Check this box to list additional principal(s) who will be evaluated using a different measure and assessment(s) included in this section.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 45 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [2]

Page Last Modified: 10/28/2020

Applicable Principals [2]

If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each mustbe described on a separate page. Complete this section for the second combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in this section.

| Grade From | Grade To |
|------------|----------|
| 9 | 12 |

Principal Measures [2]

Please indicate how student performance will be measured for the principals listed above, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

- > Principal and building/program-specific
- Principal and building/program-specific results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the
 current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- > District- or BOCES-wide
- District- or BOCES-wide results:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of <u>students in the</u> group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- adescription of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- adescription of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- adescription of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Principals: Measure Type

Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Student Learning Objective

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to the principals listed above.

Principal and building/program-specific results

Principal Assessment(s) [2]

Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure. Assessments shouldonly be selected if applicable to the

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 46 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [2]

Page Last Modified: 10/28/2020

measure indicated.

Principals: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ ELA Regents
- ☑ US History Regents

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 47 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent willbe locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 48 of 62

LAKELAND CSD

Status Date: 02/10/2021 08:51 AM - Submitted

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 8. PRINCIPALS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category,see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- · Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental
 assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- · Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;
- Option (G) An input model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student
 achievement related to the Leadership Standards; or
- · Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 49 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 10/28/2020

Principal School Visit Category

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principals' professional performance shall be evaluated based on a State-approved rubric using multiple sources of evidence collected and incorporated into the school visit protocol. Where appropriate, such evidence may be aligned to building or district goals; provided, however, that professional goal-setting may not be used as evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness. Such evidence shall reflect school leadership practice aligned to the Leadership Standards and selected practice rubric.

Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

| Rubric Name | If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | principals each rubric applies to. |
| Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric | (No Response) |

Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different programs or grade configurations as indicated in the table above.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 50 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 10/28/2020

Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department's regulations.

Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:

- The process for designating observable components (please note: all principals of the same building configuration/program must be evaluated based on the same set of observable components);
- The level at which components of the chosen rubric are rated (i.e., domain, subdomain, indicator, etc.);
- How the final score and rating for each observable component of the practice rubric is determined for each observer; and
- How the final score for the required (i.e., lead evaluator/evaluator; independent evaluator) and/or optional (peer
 principal, as applicable) subcomponent of the School Visit category is determined based on the final score and
 rating for each observable component.

Example: All subcomponents of Domains 1-4 of the MPPR rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 1, 2 and 3 are weighted as 30% each, and Domain 4 is weighted as 10%. For each school visit, all observed subcomponents in a domain are weighted equally and averaged to create a domain score, which is then weighted as above and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit. Scores for each school visit are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit type. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the school visit cycle.

Each Principal shall receive a 1-4 rating at the Domain level based upon the evidence observed and collected throughout the school year. At the end of the school year, all observable Domains (Domains 1 through 5) will be provided a rating and all ratings will be weighted equally and averaged.

Scoring Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

The overall School Visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

| | Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating | |
|---|------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| | Minimum | Maximum |
| Н | 3.5 to 3.75 | 4.0 |
| E | 2.5 to 2.75 | 3.49 to 3.74 |
| D | 1.5 to 1.75 | 2.49 to 2.74 |
| I | 0.00* | 1.49 to 1.74 |

^{*} In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 51 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 10/28/2020

constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Highly Effective: | 3.50 | 4.00 |

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Effective: | 2.50 | 3.49 |

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Developing: | 1.75 | 2.49 |

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

| | Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Ineffective: | 0.00 | 1.74 |

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 52 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators

- At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

- No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

| Supervisor/Administrator | Independent Evaluator(s) | Peer School Visit(s) | Group of principals for which this |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| [Required] | [Required] | [Optional] | weighting will apply |
| 80% | 20% | 0% [N/A] | (No Response) |

School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- ☑ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one school visit must be conducted by supervisor or other trained administrator and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- · LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by superintendent or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of a board of education or superintendent of schools from conducting additional school visits for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- · School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by supervisor(s) or other trained administrators in the table below.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 53 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

| PRINCIPALS Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals. | indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, | Minimum | ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------------|
| All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column) | N/A | 0 | 1 |

Required Subcomponent 2: School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one school visit must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA but may not be assigned to the same school building as the principal being evaluated. This could include other
 administrators, department chairs/directors, or peers, so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the principal being
 evaluated.
- · LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- · School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) in the table below.

| PRINCIPALS | SUBGROUP | UNANNOUNCE | ANNOUNCED |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Indicate whether the number and | If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, | D | Minimum |
| method selected applies to all | indicate which principals the number and method selected | Minimum | Number of |
| principals or to a subgroup of | applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, | Number of | School Visits |
| principals. | add another row. | School Visits | |
| All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column) | N/A | 1 | 0 |

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 54 of 62

^{*} If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Please also check each of the following boxes.

Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 55 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Optional School Visits

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Optional Subcomponent: School Visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by trained peer principal(s).

- · Peer principals are trained and selected by the LEA.
- · Trained peer principals must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- · School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by trained peer principal(s) in the table below.

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number for both unannounced and announced school visits for "All Principals."

| | indicate which principals the number and method selected | UNANNOUNCE D Minimum Number of | ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| principals. | add another row. | School Visits | |
| All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column) | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Peer Principal School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that peer principal(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 56 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 10. PRINCIPALS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall School Visit category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

Principal School Visit Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

| | | Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating | | Overall Scho Category Sco | ol Visit ore and Rating |
|---|---------|-------------------------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Minimum | Maximum | | Minimum | Maximum |
| Н | 18 | 20 | н | 3.5 to 3.75 | 4.0 |
| E | 15 | 17 | E | 2.5 to 2.75 | 3.49 to 3.74 |
| D | 13 | 14 | D | 1.5 to 1.75 | 2.49 to 2.74 |
| I | 0 | 12 | I | 0.00 | 1.49 to 1.74 |

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

| | | Principal School Visit Category | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | | Highly Effective (H) | Effective (E) | Developing (D) | Ineffective (I) |
| Student Performance Category | Highly Effective (H) | Н | Н | E | D |
| | Effective (E) | Н | Е | Е | D |
| | Developing (D) | Е | Е | D | Ι |
| | Ineffective (I) | D | D | I | Ι |

Category and Overall Rating Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 57 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Principal Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the LEA.

PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FORM 5.31.16.docx

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 58 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 01/11/2021

Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law§3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the studentperformance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law§3012-d;
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and
- (4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

- A principal who receives an ineffective or developing overall APPR rating shall be entitled to appeal their overall APPR rating, based upon a
 paper submission to the Central Office administrative designee of the Superintendent of Schools, who shall be trained in accordance with the
 requirements of statute and regulations and also possess either an SDA or SDL Certification, within 14 days of the principal's receipt of his/her
 overall APPR rating.
- 1. The appeal must be brought in writing, specifying the area(s) of concern, but limited to those matters that may be appealed as prescribed in \$3012-d of the Education Law. Further, a principal who is placed on a Principal Improvement Plan ("PIP") shall have a corresponding right to appeal the issuance or implementation of a PIP, within 14 days of the issuance or end date of the PIP, as applicable.
- 2. An appeal of an ineffective or developing overall APPR rating or a PIP must be commenced within 14 days of the principal's receipt of the overall APPR rating, the issuance of a PIP or the end date of the PIP (as applicable), or else the right to appeal shall be deemed waived in all regards. Notwithstanding the above, the timeframe for commencing an appeal of an overall APPR rating, or the issuance or implementation of a PIP, shall be tolled by any planned vacation or recess periods; provided, however, in no event may an appeal be filed more than 30 school days after the principal's receipt of his/her overall APPR rating, or the issuance or the end date of the PIP (as applicable).
- 3. Within 14 days of his/her receipt of a principal's written appeal of an overall APPR rating, or the issuance or implementation of a PIP (as applicable), the Superintendent's administrative designee shall respond to the appeal with a written answer, either granting the appeal and directing further administrative action, or denying the appeal.
- 4. In the event that the principal is unsatisfied with the result of the appeal to the Superintendent's administrative designee, a further appeal may be taken to the Superintendent of Schools within 14 days of the principal's receipt of the written decision rendered by the Superintendent's administrative designee. The Superintendent shall make his or her decision in writing regarding the further appeal within 14 days of his/her receipt of the written appeal. The decision of the Superintendent shall be final and binding in all regards and shall not be subject to review at arbitration, before any administrative agency or in any court of law.
- 5. Notwithstanding the language set forth in Paragraph "5" above, in the event that a tenured principal has received two or three consecutive "ineffective" overall APPR ratings, or where the Superintendent has served as an independent evaluator for the principal, the second tier appeal shall be to an arbitrator mutually agreed-upon by the District and the Association. Such arbitrator shall make a final and binding decision upon the appeal of the APPR evaluation and/or the issuance or implementation of the PIP (as applicable). The cost of the arbitrator shall be split by the District and the Association. The entire arbitrator appeals process shall occur in a timely and expeditious manner, consistent with Education Law \$3012-d (to be completed within no more than 60 days after the principal's initiation of the second tier appeal).

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 59 of 62

LAKELAND CSD

Status Date: 02/10/2021 08:51 AM - Submitted

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 10/28/2020

Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

☐ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent evaluators and peer principals need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Evaluators, and Peer Principals and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent evaluators and peer principals;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The District shall provide training for lead evaluators, evaluators and impartialindependent evaluators through a variety of means, including but not limited to: local BOCES workshops, on-line resources and District-based professional development. Peer evaluators are not used in the District. In the ordinary course, approximately six hours of training shall be provided through a local BOCES prior to an individual being certified as a lead evaluator, or an evaluator. An independent trained evaluator shall receive approximately three hours of training through a local BOCES prior to being certified. In the event that a new evaluator or lead evaluator enters the District mid-year, and in the event that no local BOCES has training available, then the District shall ensure that approximately six hours of training is received either through District-based professional development or through on-lineresources for certification purposes. On a periodic, as-needed basis, lead evaluators, evaluators and independent trained evaluators will receive trainingfor re-certification purposes, which shall consist of approximately one hour. Training for certification and re-certification purposes shall meet the requirements of 30-3.10 of the Regents' Rules. Re-certification will cover maintenance of inter-rater reliability. Inter-rater reliability shall be maintained through annual calibration exercises led by central administration in District-based professional development/leadership team meetings. Lead evaluators and evaluators shall be certified, or re-certified, as applicable, by resolution of the Board of Education on an as-needed basis. Independent trained evaluators will be certified or re-certified, as applicable, by the Superintendent of Schools.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 60 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Assurances

Page Last Modified: 02/26/2020

Principal Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide principals with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 61 of 62

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 02/10/2021

Upload APPR LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

LEA Cert Form - signed 2.6.20.pdf Certification Form 2.10.21.pdf

02/10/2021 09:04 AM Page 62 of 62

LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN (TIP)

THE TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN SHALL BE DEVELOPED IN COLLABORATION WITH THE TEACHER.

In the event that there is a disagreement as to one or more terms of TIP, then the District shall determine any such component of the TIP.

| | Date: | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Teacher's Name: | - | |
| Grade/Subject: | | |
| | | |
| Areas of needed i | mprovement | |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| Activities/Tasks/F involved | Resources to support improvement in those areas/Identification | on of other personnel |
| • | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| • | | |
| | | |

How will improvement be measured/assessed? **Timeline for Achieving Improvement** TIP Start Date: Review/Monitor Date(s): TIP End Date/Final Review: Any changes or modifications to the plan must be put in writing and attached to this original document.

| Teacher | Date |
|------------------------|------|
| Administrator | Date |
| LFT (if in attendance) | Date |

PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

| AREA(S) IN | TIME LIMIT | DIFFERENTIATED | MANNER OF | EXPECTED |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|----------|
| NEED OF | FOR | ACTIVITIES TO | ASSESSMENT OF | OUTCOMES |
| IMPROVEMENT | ACHIEVING | SUPPORT | IMPROVEMENT | |
| | IMPROVEMENT | IMPROVEMENT | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Principal's Signature | | Date _ | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Lead Evaluator's Signature | | | Date | |
| Lead Evaluator 8 Signature | | | | |

LEA CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan.

By signing this document, the LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s) certify that the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval constitutes the school LEA's complete APPR plan, that all provisions of the plan that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, and that such plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d as amended by the Laws of 2019 and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and has been adopted by the governing body of the LEA.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify, upon information and belief, that all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the LEA's complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the LEA; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11).

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the overall APPR rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including but not limited to: tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable but in no case later than
 September 1 of the school year following the year in which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being
 measured;
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal his or her score and rating on the Student Performance
 category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher's or principal's
 APPR, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no
 case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the teacher's or principal's performance is
 measured;
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the LEA's office and made available to the public on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that complete and accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA will continue to report to the State individual subcomponent scores and the overall rating for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities;
- Assure that any teacher or principal who receives an Overall Rating of Developing or Ineffective in any school year will receive
 a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statues and regulations, by
 October 1 of the school year following the year in which such teacher's or principal's performance was measured or as soon as
 practicable thereafter.
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of their
 pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service
 Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be
 properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable
 statutes and regulations;
- Assure that LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the LEA;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school

visits

Signatures, dates

- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the LEA shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;
- Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected
 measures of student growth or achievement will be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject, for teachers, or
 similar building configurations/programs, for principals, in the LEA will be used in a consistent manner to the extent
 practicable:

Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth;

- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year;
- Assure that the LEA will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the Individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Superintendent Signature: Dr. Brendan Lyons Teachers Union President Signature: Date: 2/4/21 Teachers Union President Name (print): Michael Lillis Administrative Union President Signature: Date: Christian Mercurio Board of Education President Signature: Date: 2/4/21 Brand of Education President Name (print): Michael Daly