November 20, 2020

Revised – §3012-d Supplemental Form for Removal of aimsweb (Material Change)

Andrew Selesnick, Superintendent
Katonah-Lewisboro Union Free School District
P.O. Box 387
Katonah, NY 10536

Dear Superintendent Selesnick:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that the material change you submitted to your currently approved Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan on the Supplemental Form for Removal of aimsweb meets the criteria outlined in Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner’s Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan and those found in the Supplemental Form for Alternate SLOs. If any additional changes need to be made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit a new plan to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers’ or principals’ overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Betty A. Rosa
Interim Commissioner

Attachment

c: James Ryan
PLEASE NOTE:

In April 2019, the Governor signed into law an amendment to Education Law 3012-d. Those statutory changes allow a school district/BOCES to continue to implement its currently approved APPR plan, including the transition measures that are to replace any measures based on the grades three through eight English language arts and mathematics State tests, until such time as its existing collective bargaining agreement expires and until entry into a successor agreement.

Accordingly, your district/BOCES may continue to implement its currently approved plan, including the measures described in the Supplemental Form for Removal of aimsweb, until such time that as a new collective bargaining agreement is entered into between the district/BOCES and its bargaining units.

Until such time as your district/BOCES received approval of a new APPR plan consistent with the requirements of Education Law 3012-d as amended by the Laws of 2019, teachers and principals must continue to be provided with their APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures in your currently approved APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR plan have been reviewed and are considered as part of your district’s/BOCES’ approved APPR plan; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your plan but not incorporated by reference have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.
Instructions

This form is applicable to APPR plans approved prior to April 12, 2019 for the removal of aimsweb only. To make any other changes to an APPR plan, submit a material change using the "Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019" form.

The measures indicated on this form apply beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

Pearson discontinued the aimsweb assessment at the conclusion of the 2018-19 school year. aimswebPlus is available for use in APPR plans beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

If aimsweb is used in an LEA's APPR plan, the LEA must indicate which assessment(s) will be used beginning in the 2019-20 school year and beyond for applicable educators.

Use the following pages to select the measures and assessments that will be used in the 2019-20 and 2020-21 school years and in 2021-22 and beyond for all educators whose evaluation includes aimsweb per the currently approved APPR plan.
Teachers

Please make an appropriate selection below.

- The measures and assessments selected in this section will apply to applicable teachers beginning in 2019-20 school year.
- aimsweb is not included in the currently approved APPR plan for any teacher. (Proceed to Task 7)
MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

• identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;
• identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA’s focus on a specific priority area(s);
• the impact on the LEA’s ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator’s effectiveness; and
• when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> School- or program-wide

• School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

• State assessment(s); or

• third party assessments; or

• locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used in the 2019-20 school year, then indicate the applicable course(s). Measures for the 2020-21 school year and beyond will be addressed in the following sections.
### Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - The 2019-20 School Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Applicable Course(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ aimswebPlus</td>
<td>☑ K ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 1 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 2 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 3 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 4 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 5 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ K Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 1 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 2 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 3 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 4 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 5 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>☑ Grade 8 Science</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ aimswebPlus</td>
<td>☑ 6 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Algebra I Regents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 7 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Earth Science Regents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 8 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 6 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 7 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 8 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 6 Social Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 7 Social Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ 8 Social Studies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Courses (listed differently than as included in the currently approved plan)**

If the option, "Other Courses as listed in Task 2.10 of the currently approved plan" does not apply, please leave that box unchecked in the table above and use the table below to add courses.

You may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above".

For other courses indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 3: subject of the course
- Column 4: measure used
- Columns 5-7: assessment(s) used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>All course not named above</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ aimswebPlus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 6          | 8        | All course | School- or Grade 8 Science |                                |                                                  | ☑ aimswebPlus             |
### Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - The 2019-20 School Year

#### Grade From | Grade To | Subject | Measure | State or Regents Assessment(s) | Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s) | Third Party Assessment(s)
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
| | | | | | | |

The measures and assessments selected above are applicable only to the 2019-20 school year. Please indicate below whether those that will be used in the 2020-21 school year and beginning in the 2021-22 school year will be the same as or different than the measures indicated for 2019-20.

- The measures and assessments that will be used beginning in the 2020-21 school year for applicable teachers are the same as those selected for the 2019-20 school year. (Proceed to Task 7)
Applicable Principals

Please note, this form is to be used only for the removal of aimsweb with an associated Student Learning Objective (SLO). To make any other changes to an APPR plan, such as a change to an Input Model for principals, submit a material change using the "Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019" form.

Please make an appropriate selection below.

✔ The measures and assessments selected in this section will apply to applicable principals beginning in 2019-20 school year.

☐ aimsweb is not included in the currently approved APPR plan for any principals. (Proceed to Certification)
Measures and Assessments 2019-20

MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal’s building or program.

> Principal and building/program-specific

• Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal’s building/program in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

• identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
• identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA’s focus on a specific priority area(s);
• the impact on the LEA’s ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator’s effectiveness; and
• when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals’ buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

• State assessment(s); or
  Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

• third party assessments; or
• locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used in the 2019-20 school year, then indicate the applicable grade configuration(s).

Measures for the 2020-21 school year and beyond will be addressed in the following sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Applicable Grade Configuration(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ aimswebPlus</td>
<td>☑ K-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal and building/program-specific results</td>
<td>☑ Grade 8 Science</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ aimswebPlus</td>
<td>☑ 6-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The measures and assessments selected above are applicable only to the 2019-20 school year. Please indicate below whether those that will be used in the 2020-21 school year and beginning in the 2021-22 school year are the same or different as the measures indicated for 2019-20.

☐ The measures and assessments that will be used beginning in the 2020-21 school year for applicable principals are the same as those selected for the 2019-20 school year. (Proceed to Certification)
Upload Statement of Assurances

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each page revision individually and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. Clicking 'Save' or 'Save & Continue' on any page will alter the last date modified timestamp for that page.

Please download the "Removal of aimsweb assurances" from the list of documents on the left, obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload the signed and dated form.

KLSD Aimsweb Removal 10-23-20.pdf
Removal of aimsweb supplemental form: Certification

Please complete the assurances and signatures below and upload this certification form via the SED Monitoring and Vendor Performance System through the NYSED Business Portal.

Assurances
Please check the boxes below

☑️ Assure that all information provided in the Removal of aimsweb supplemental form is true and accurate as of the date the form is submitted.

☑️ Assure that, once this form is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA’s currently approved APPR plan.

☑️ Assure that only those educators whose evaluations, per the LEA’s currently approved APPR plan, are based, in whole or part, on aimsweb will be included on the Removal of aimsweb supplemental form and the measures and assessments described on such form shall be used to calculate the Student Performance scores/ratings for these educators.

☑️ Assure that the educators for whom the Removal of aimsweb supplemental form applies shall continue to have their Observation/School Visit category scores and ratings and Overall ratings determined consistent with the LEA’s currently approved APPR plan.

☑️ Assure that the educators for whom the Removal of aimsweb supplemental form does NOT apply will continue to have their Overall rating calculated consistent with the LEA’s currently approved APPR plan without modification.

☑️ Assure that only the measures and assessments selected on the Removal of aimsweb supplemental form for the 2019-20 school year will be used to calculate the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category for the applicable educators in 2019-20.

☑️ Assure that only the measures and assessments selected on the Removal of aimsweb supplemental form for the 2020-21 school year will be used to calculate the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category for the applicable educators in 2020-21.

☑️ Assure that only the measures and assessments selected on the Removal of aimsweb supplemental form for the 2021-22 school year and beyond will be used to calculate the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category for the applicable educators in 2021-22 and thereafter.

☑️ Assure that, where applicable, collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of this application that are subject to collective bargaining.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:

Name (print):

Date:

Board of Education President Signature:

Name (print):

Date:

Teachers Union President Signature:

Name (print):

Date:

Administrative Union President Signature:

Name (print):

Date:
Revised

Andrew Selesnick, Superintendent
Katonah-Lewisboro Union Free School District
P.O. Box 387
Katonah, NY 10536

Dear Superintendent Selesnick:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

MaryEllen Elia
Commissioner

Attachment

C: James Ryan
NOTE:
Pursuant to sections 30-2.14 and 30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must calculate transition scores and ratings for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 ELA and math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores. For the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must establish alternate SLOs for affected teachers and principals who, as a result of the above exclusions, have no remaining measures in the Student Performance Category.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.
Task 1) Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

The Department will review the contents of each school district's/BOCES' Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's/BOCES' plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from a district/BOCES to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. As such, each district/BOCES is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of a district's/BOCES' plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1.1) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

☑ Assure that the content of this form represents the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑ Assure that a detailed version of the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑ Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district/BOCES website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.

☑ Assure that it is understood that this district's/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.

1.2) Submission Status

Is this a first-time submission under Education Law §3012-d or the submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d?

First-time submission under Education Law §3012-d
Task 2) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

(A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher’s students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher’s students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

2.1) Assurances

Please note: NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math Assessments and State-provided growth scores cannot be used for the purposes of providing transition scores and ratings during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, and should be used for advisory purposes only until the 2019-20 school year. Alternate SLOs to be used during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 transition period should be entered in Task 2 (Transition).

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all 4-8 ELA and Math teachers in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that teacher.
- For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for any grade/subject that requires a back-up SLO, but for which there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a teacher-specific SLO from being created, the superintendent or another trained administrator shall develop a school-wide back-up SLO using available State/Regents assessments.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

2.2) Grades 4-8 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a growth score and rating. That rating will incorporate students’ academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and takes into consideration students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided scores and ratings, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided growth measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score and rating from the State for the full Student Performance category of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Student Performance category of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided growth measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those teachers who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that teacher.

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs for the grade/subject listed beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 4 ELA</th>
<th>Grade 4 Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Assessment</td>
<td>Grade 4 ELA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Grade 5 ELA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Assessment</th>
<th>Grade 5 ELA</th>
<th>Grade 5 Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Grade 6 ELA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Assessment</th>
<th>Grade 6 ELA</th>
<th>Grade 6 Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Grade 7 ELA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Grade 7 ELA</th>
<th>Grade 7 Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Grade 8 ELA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Grade 8 ELA</th>
<th>Grade 8 Math and Common Core Algebra</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
2.3) Grade 3 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), \textit{required if one exists}

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 3 ELA</th>
<th>Grade 3 Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Assessment</td>
<td>Grade 3 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 3 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4) Grades 4 and 8 Science: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), \textit{required if one exists}

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Grade 4 Science</th>
<th>Grade 8 Science</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents</td>
<td>Common branch</td>
<td>Grade 8 Science and Earth Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5) High School Courses Ending in a Regents Exam: Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school courses may be included in the “All Other Courses” section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Global 2</th>
<th>US History</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regents Assessment</td>
<td>Global 2</td>
<td>US History</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Living Environment</th>
<th>Earth Science</th>
<th>Chemistry</th>
<th>Physics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regents Assessment</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Earth Science</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Algebra I</th>
<th>Geometry</th>
<th>Algebra II/Trigonometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regents Assessment(s)</td>
<td>Common Core Algebra</td>
<td>Common Core Geometry</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.6) High School English Language Arts Courses: Measures and Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school English Language Arts courses may be included in the “All Other Courses” section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: High School English Language Arts

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For high school English Language Arts, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required in at least one year of high school English Language Arts

For grade levels where the Regents exam is not used:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results
Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 ELA</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>☐ Common Core English</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 ELA</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>☐ Common Core English</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 ELA</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td>☐ Common Core English</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 ELA</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>☐ Common Core English</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.7) Grades K-2 ELA and Math: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K ELA</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☒ Grade 4 ELA&lt;br&gt;☐ Grade 5 ELA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K Math</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☒ Grade 4 Math&lt;br&gt;☐ Grade 5 Math</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 ELA</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☒ Grade 4 ELA&lt;br&gt;☐ Grade 5 ELA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Math</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☒ Grade 4 Math&lt;br&gt;☐ Grade 5 Math</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 ELA</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☒ Grade 4 ELA&lt;br&gt;☐ Grade 5 ELA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Math</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☒ Grade 4 Math&lt;br&gt;☐ Grade 5 Math</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2.8) Grades 6-7 Science and Grades 6-8 Social Studies: Measures and Assessments (Original)

**STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams**

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 Science</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>Grade 8 Science</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Science</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>Grade 8 Science</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Social Studies</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>Grade 6 ELA, Grade 7 ELA, Grade 8 ELA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Social Studies</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>Grade 7 ELA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Social Studies</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>Grade 8 ELA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.9) Regents Global Studies 1: Measure and Assessment(s) (Original)

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the “All Other Courses” section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for Global Studies 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global 1</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td>☑️ Global 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.10) All Other Courses (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

• District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
• State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
• School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
• District- or BOCES-wide results

Fill in the following, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have SLOs (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

• Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course
• Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course
• Column 3: subject of the course
• Column 4: measure used
• Columns 5-6: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) subject</th>
<th>(4) measure</th>
<th>(5-6) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Other Courses</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>Common Core English, Common Core Algebra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-3 Art</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Art</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td>Questar III BOCES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 9-12 English Electives</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>English Electives</td>
<td>School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results</td>
<td>All Regents given in building/district</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".
### 2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>☐ Grade 4 ELA</td>
<td>☐ Grade 5 ELA</td>
<td>☐ Grade 4 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results</td>
<td>☐ Grade 6 ELA</td>
<td>☐ Grade 7 ELA</td>
<td>☐ Grade 8 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results</td>
<td>☐ All Regents given in building/district</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one 0-20 score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Common branch teacher with State-provided growth measures for both ELA and Math in grade 4; middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

#### 2.13) Assurances

For guidance on SLOs and the development of back-up SLOs, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.
Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the teacher has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 2.11, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

2.14) Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

| NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category. |
Task 2) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

(A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher’s students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher’s students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, grades 3-8 NYS ELA/math assessments and any State-provided growth scores may only be used for advisory purposes and may not be used for the purpose of calculating transition scores and ratings.

If grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores are the entirety of the Student Performance category, districts/BOCES must also develop an alternate SLO based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or on State-provided growth scores for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

2.2-2.10) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLO during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, then indicate the applicable courses.
2.10) Alternate SLOs: All Other Courses (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

If the option, “Other Courses as listed in Original Task 2.10” does not apply, please leave that box unchecked in the table above and use the table below to add courses.

You may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, “all other teachers not named above”.

For other courses indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 3: subject of the course
- Column 4: measure used
- Columns 5-6: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Applicable Course(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K ELA K Math 1 ELA 1 Math 2 ELA 2 Math 3 ELA 3 Math 4 ELA 4 Math 5 ELA 5 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 ELA 6 Math 6 Social Studies 7 ELA 7 Math 7 Social Studies 8 ELA 8 Math 8 Social Studies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(No Response)
Grades 9-12 English Electives | 9 | 12 | English Electives | School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results | All Regents given in building/district
---|---|---|---|---|---

Please note: NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math Assessments and State-provided growth scores may only be used for advisory purposes during the transition period and cannot be used for calculating transition scores and ratings during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years. If such assessments are selected for the original SLO and there are not remaining measures in the Student Performance category for an educator, an alternate SLO must be included for that educator here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ aimsweb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results</td>
<td>☑ Grade 8 Science ☑ Common Core Algebra ☑ Earth Science</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ aimsweb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 19 18</td>
<td>17 16 15</td>
<td>14 13</td>
<td>12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%   %     %</td>
<td>%   %     %</td>
<td>%   %     %</td>
<td>%   %     %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.
Task 3) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the Required subcomponent, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

3.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent of the Student Performance Category

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

| NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher. |
For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

4.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.

☑ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year.

☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

4.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components in Domain II and Domain III will be weighted twice the amount of any observable components in Domain I and Domain IV.

4.4) Calculating Observation Ratings

Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

☑ Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.

☑ Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 4.5 below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(1) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑ Assure that the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the district's/BOCES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(2) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Observation Category</th>
<th>Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HEDI Ranges**

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective:</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective:</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing:</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4.5) Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting**

**Required Subcomponents:**
- Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators: At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score
- Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

**Optional Subcomponent:**
- Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator.

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.
4.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
☑ Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these teacher(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
☑ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
☑ Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
☑ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
☑ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

4.7) Number and Method of Observations

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations for each type of observer, as well as the method of observation, in the tables below.

### Tenured Teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Probationary Teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

### 5.1) Scoring Ranges

**Student Performance**

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Teacher Observation**

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Performance Category</th>
<th>Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Overall Observation Category Score and Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* If a teacher is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the teacher can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

### 5.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑️ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑️ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☑️ Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- ☑️ Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same school district who have received Ineffective ratings under Education Law §3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the district has received a waiver from the Department.
6.1) Assurances: Teacher Improvement Plans

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

☑ Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN as required by nysed August 2016.docx

6.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

☑ Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

6.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

   (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.
Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

Tenured teachers may appeal evaluation decisions in accordance with the following:

1. Within two (2) business days of the receipt of a tenured teacher’s annual evaluation, the teacher may request in writing an additional meeting with his/her immediate supervisor (the person who completed the evaluation) to have a collegial conversation with the supervisor regarding his/her evaluation. The purpose of such meeting is to explore whether the supervisor wishes to consider any changes in the evaluation based upon new information provided by the teacher.

   The immediate supervisor shall provide his/her decision regarding whether he/she has agreed to make any changes in the evaluation within two (2) business days of the meeting noted above.

2. Within two (2) business days of the receipt of the immediate supervisor’s decision regarding changes to the evaluation, the teacher may request in writing, an appeal of the evaluation to the Assistant Superintendent of Instruction. In the event that the Assistant Superintendent for Instruction had previously been involved in the evaluation of the teacher, the appeal will be directed to the Assistant Superintendent for Human Resources.

3. The appeal to the Assistant Superintendent must articulate the specific basis for the appeal. Failure to articulate a particular basis for the appeal shall be deemed a waiver of that claim. The appeal may only raise those issues set forth in Section 3012-d of Education Law. As such, the appeal may only challenge the following:
   • the substance of the annual professional performance review;
   • the school district’s adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews pursuant to Section 3012-d of the Education Law;
   • the school district’s adherence to the regulations of the commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures; and
   • the school district’s issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan.

4. Performance ratings of “ineffective” and “developing” are the only ratings subject to appeal. Teachers who receive a rating of “highly effective” or “effective” shall not be permitted to appeal their rating.

5. Upon receipt of the appeal, the Assistant Superintendent shall consult with two members of the bargaining unit annually designated by the Association President concerning the appeals. The teachers shall review all pertinent documents and shall provide the Assistant Superintendent with their professional view of the substance of the appeal at appropriate occasions but within the time frames for appeals set forth. The final determination of the appeals shall be vested in the Assistant Superintendent. The teachers shall keep all information obtained through their participation in review of the appeal in strict confidence.

6. Within ten business days of the receipt of the appeal, the Assistant Superintendent shall provide the teacher with a written determination of the appeal.

7. Within two (2) business days of the receipt of the appeal determination provided by the Assistant Superintendent as noted above, the teacher may request in writing, an appeal of the Assistant Superintendent’s determination to the Superintendent of Schools. The appeal to the Superintendent must articulate the specific basis for the appeal. Failure to articulate a particular basis for the appeal shall be deemed a waiver of that claim. The appeal may only raise those issues set forth in Section 3012-d of Education Law. As such, the appeal may only challenge the following:
   • the substance of the annual professional performance review;
   • the school district’s adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews pursuant to Section 3012-d of the Education Law;
   • the school district’s adherence to the regulations of the commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures; and
   • the school district’s issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan.

8. Performance ratings of “ineffective” and “developing” are the only ratings subject to appeal. Teachers who receive a rating of “highly effective” or “effective” shall not be permitted to appeal their rating.

9. Within ten (10) business days of receipt of the appeal, the Superintendent of Schools shall render a final and binding written determination of the appeal.

10. The determination of the appeal by the Superintendent of Schools shall not be grievable, arbitrable, nor reviewable in any other forum.

11. Non-tenured teachers shall not be permitted to appeal any aspect of their annual evaluation or the school district’s implementation of a teacher improvement plan.

### 6.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

- [x] The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher’s evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.
6.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

Each administrator will receive appropriate training regarding the observation and evaluation process. The Board of Education will certify lead evaluators after each administrator documents that s/he has completed training in the nine required areas (Regents Rule 30-3.10). Independent observers will receive training on the three required elements (Regents Rules 30-3.10). Components of the training will be accomplished through participation in professional development in district, attendance at courses and workshops offered by Putnam-Northern Westchester BOCES or other entities, or completion of online courses or webinars. The District will include regular professional development focusing on the observation process and/or tools throughout the school year. A collaborative review and analysis of observation-based evidence will take place to ensure inter-rater reliability. Ongoing training will occur throughout the year with additional sessions scheduled for administrators who need support. Training will be a minimum of six hours annually.

Evaluators will receive updated training annually on any changes to the law, regulations, or applicable collective bargaining agreements. The Superintendent will recommend that the Board of Education certify, or re-certify, lead evaluators annually, based upon successful participation in training activities and demonstrated inter-rater reliability.

6.7) Assurances: Teacher Evaluation

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ✅ Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ✅ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ✅ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- ✅ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ✅ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide teachers whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ✅ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

6.8) Assurances: Assessments
Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.

☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.

☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.

☑ Assure that, where applicable, if your district/BOCES has indicated that more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

6.9) Assurances: Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

☑ Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.

☑ Assure scores and ratings for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.

☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Task 7) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

(A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

7.1) State-Provided Measures of Student Growth (Original)

For principals with at least 30% of their students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model. Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal’s students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth measure, (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-12, 9-12, etc.). For principals where less than 30% of their students are covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principals shall have an SLO consistent with a goal setting process determined or developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score; provided that for any grade-level/course that ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO. (See Guidance for more detail on principals with State-provided measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those principals who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that principal.

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where State-provided growth measures will apply beginning in the 2019-20 school year (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 7-12, 9-12). For each configuration, also indicate assessment(s) used for the back-up SLO beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

• Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
• Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
• Column 3: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grades K-6 Building</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NYS Grade 4 ELA, NYS Grade 5 ELA, NYS Grade 6 ELA, NYS Grade 4 Math, NYS Grade 5 Math, NYS Grade 6 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 7-12 Building</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs beginning in the 2019-20 school year for each grade configuration listed. The SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal’s school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| K K        | 5        | ☑ Grade 4 ELA
|            |          | ☑ Grade 5 ELA
|            |          | ☑ Grade 4 Math
|            |          | ☑ Grade 5 Math |
| 6          | 8        | ☑ Grade 6 ELA
|            |          | ☑ Grade 7 ELA
|            |          | ☑ Grade 8 ELA
|            |          | ☑ Grade 6 Math
|            |          | ☑ Grade 7 Math
|            |          | ☑ Grade 8 Math |
| 9          | 12       | ☑ All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal’s State-provided growth score |

7.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
☑ Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all principals who receive a State-provided growth score in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that principal.
☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.
### 7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97-100%</td>
<td>93-96%</td>
<td>90-89%</td>
<td>85-84%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.4) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and math grades 4-8.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

### 7.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the principal has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- ☑ Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history.
- ☑ Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☑ Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☑ Assure that if a principal's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 7.3, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- ☑ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

### 7.6) Student Performance Subcomponent Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
Task 7) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

(A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, if excluding grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores results in no remaining student performance measures, districts/BOCES must develop alternate SLOs based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance Category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

7.1-7.2) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where alternate SLOs will apply. For each configuration, also indicate the measure and assessment(s) used for the alternate SLO.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

• Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
• Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
• Column 3: measure used
• Column 4: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) measure</th>
<th>(4) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grades K-2 Building</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 11-12 Building</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Principal-specific results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLOs during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years for each grade configuration listed. In all other school years, the SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal’s school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>aimsweb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Principal-specific results</td>
<td>☑ Grade 8 Science</td>
<td>☑ Common Core Algebra</td>
<td>aimsweb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.4) Principals with More than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.
Task 8) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that a different measure is used than that for the Required subcomponent in the Student Performance category, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

8.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent for Student Performance Measures

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.
For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

### 9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- ☑ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different grade level configurations or building types.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

### 9.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be scored holistically, weighted equally, and averaged.

### 9.4) Calculating School Visit Ratings

#### Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- ☑ Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 9.5 below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the district's/BOCES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Overall School Visit Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating Category</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.5) Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponents:
- School Visits by Supervisor(s) or other Trained Administrator(s): At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score
- School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent:
- School Visits by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

* If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee.

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.
9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
☑ Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
☑ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
☑ Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
☑ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
☑ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
☑ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

9.7) Number of School Visits

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits for each type of observer in the tables below.

### Tenured Principals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Probationary Principals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10.1) Scoring Ranges

**Student Performance Category**
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Principal School Visit Category**
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Performance Category</th>
<th>Highly Effective (H)</th>
<th>Effective (E)</th>
<th>Developing (D)</th>
<th>Ineffective (I)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
<td>D*</td>
<td>D*</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* If a principal is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the principal can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

10.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

11.1) Assurances: Improvement Plans

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such principal’s performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

☑ Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

Principal Improvement Plan Form July 2016.pdf

11.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

☑ Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

11.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

   (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.
Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

Who Can File an Appeal

All tenured principals receiving a rating of ineffective or developing (or its substantive equivalent, should that term not be employed) shall have the right to appeal their APPR or improvement plan as described below.

Probationers and principals with ratings other than ineffective or developing shall have no right to appeal an APPR rating or improvement plan.

When an Appeal Can Be Filed

All appeals must be submitted in writing no later than 15 calendar days from the date when the principal received his/her ineffective or developing rating or PIP. Failure to file an appeal within this time frame shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal. This period shall be tolled for any days during said 15 day period that the principal is on vacation or out sick. The challenge should be submitted to the evaluator, with a simultaneous copy to the District Clerk. For the purposes of this Procedure, the date of submission will be considered to be the date of receipt.

Before filing a written appeal, a principal may first request an informal meeting with the evaluator to discuss the matters of concern, but this does not extend the 15-day time limit.

What an Appeal Should Contain

The notice of appeal must include a detailed written description of the specific area(s) of disagreement and the reason(s) why the rating or PIP is thought to be erroneous or unfair. The performance review, rating, or improvement plan being challenged must be submitted with the notice of appeal. Any information not submitted at the time the notice of appeal is filed need not be considered.

A principal may not file more than one appeal regarding the same rating or improvement plan. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity within one appeal. Any grounds not raised at the time of the first stage in the appeal process shall be deemed waived.

What May Be Appealed

- The substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
  - (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
  - District adherence to the Commissioner’s regulations, as applicable to such reviews, evaluations and ratings
  - Lack of compliance with locally-negotiated procedures related to APPR or PIP’s

Appeal Process

JOINT REVIEW BOARD STEP: Appeals will be heard by a Joint Review Board consisting of two representatives appointed by the KLAAS and one representative appointed by the Superintendent. The Joint Review Board shall have 30 calendar days to issue a written decision. The Joint Review Board shall have the power to discuss an appeal with the evaluator, the appealing principal, or with both at the same time, if it believes such a discussion will be helpful.

The Joint Review Board cannot act except when all of its members are present. The Joint Review Board’s decisions will be explained in a writing that sets forth the reasoning of each panel member. If the Joint Review Board’s decision is 3-0 in favor of the unit member, then the decision will be final, binding, and unreviewable. If the decision is 3-0 or 2-1 against the unit member, then the unit member may appeal the decision to the Superintendent. If the decision is 2-1 in favor of the unit member, and there is substantial evidence to support the minority vote, then the Superintendent may choose to review it within 7 school days (or, in the summer, 14 calendar days) of his/her receipt of the decision; if the Superintendent does not so choose, then the decision of the Joint Review Board will be final, binding, and unreviewable.

SUPERINTENDENT STEP: Within 7 calendar days of receipt of an appealable decision of the Joint Review Board (or, if earlier, the date 7 days after the appeal was submitted to the Joint Review Board), the unit member may submit a written appeal to the Superintendent, specifically stating the points of disagreement and all the reasons for the unit member’s position. All documentation from the prior step will be included. The Superintendent shall issue a written decision within 21 calendar days after receiving such written appeal. The Superintendent’s decision shall be final, binding, and unreviewable. If the Superintendent misses the 21-day deadline, then the unit member may remind the Superintendent in writing within 5 work days of the missed deadline. After receipt of such a reminder, the Superintendent shall have an additional 9 calendar days to issue his/her decision. If that deadline is missed, then the appeal shall be deemed granted.

Principals shall be entitled to union representation at each stage of the appeal process.

Exclusivity of Procedure

This appeal procedure shall be the exclusive means for initiating, reviewing, and resolving any and all challenges and appeals related to a principal’s performance review, improvement plan, evaluation, or rating. No contractual grievance procedure or administrative or judicial process may be used for this purpose. Notwithstanding the aforementioned language, nothing herein shall be construed as limiting the right of the employee to challenge any evaluation including the second consecutive ineffective annual composite APPR evaluation in any proceeding.

11.5) Assurance: Evaluators
The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

11.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

Each evaluator will receive appropriate training regarding the observation and evaluation process. The Board of Education will certify lead evaluators after each administrator documents that s/he has completed training in all of the required areas (Regents Rule 30-3.10). Independent evaluators will be trained on the required elements as part of the lead evaluator training (Regents Rules 30-3.10). Components of the training will be accomplished through participation in professional development offered by Putnam-Northern Westchester BOCES or other entities, or completion of online courses or webinars. This training will address all required elements, including inter-rater reliability.

Evaluators will receive updated training annually on any changes to the law, regulations, or applicable collective bargaining agreements. Training will be a minimum of six hours annually.

11.7) Assurances: Principal Evaluation

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.

☑ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.

☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation:
  evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

☑ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such principals with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

11.8) Assurances: Assessments
Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.

☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.

☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.

☑ Assure that, where applicable, if your district/BOCES has indicated that more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

11.9) Assurances Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

☑ Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.

☑ Assure scores and ratings for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.

☑ Assure that enrolled students in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.

☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Task 12) Upload APPR District Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form.

APPR3012d_DistrictCertificationForm091516.pdf
TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN

A Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) is intended to help teachers improve professionally. It is to be developed in collaboration with the teacher and the supervisor. A District Office administrator and/or counsel may be present at the initial meeting or at any other meetings during the process. At the request of the teacher, an Association representative may participate at the initial meeting or any other meetings during the process. The development of the TIP should be a professional, constructive conversation identifying a plan to resolve issues and identify resources to help the teacher.

Any teacher who receives a Developing or Ineffective overall rating through the Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) will be placed on an improvement plan to address performance concerns. The attached forms will be used in designing the plan.

The supervisor will convene a conference with the teacher at a mutually agreeable time but no later than five school days from the first student day in September following the school year in which the Developing or Ineffective rating was assigned. The supervisor will bring a first draft of a plan designed to improve the targeted areas. At the conference, the teacher will have an opportunity to suggest revisions to the plan and/or to ask for additional support to assist the teacher in meeting the expectations of the plan. The plan put in place shall reflect the collaboration of the teacher and administrator.

Implementation of an initial plan is optimal if begun no later than ten school days from the first student day in September following the school year in which the Developing or Ineffective rating was assigned. Any adjustments to the written plan must be finalized by the supervisor and provided to the teacher no later than October 1st. The signatures of the teacher and the supervisor are required on the plan.

Most plans will be for the duration of a school year and all plans must involve a minimum period of one trimester at the elementary and middle school levels and one semester at the high school level. At the end of the time period indicated on the plan, the plan must be reviewed for extension, modification, or termination. The APPR and TIP plan criteria will be used to assess the successful completion of the plan.

The plan will provide specific goals based upon the New York State Teaching Standards which the teacher must make progress toward attaining within the school year the plan is being implemented. The plan must identify the areas that need improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed including, if applicable, the artifacts the teacher must produce as evidence of improvement, and professional learning activities, differentiated activities, and other assistance the teacher will receive to support the improvement.

The teacher will meet with the direct supervisor to review the plan. As the year progresses, appropriate artifacts and evidence will be reviewed in order to determine if adequate improvement has been made in the required areas. Meetings will occur monthly at a minimum but may occur more frequently as established in the plan.
Teacher Improvement Plan

Teacher:

School:

Date of Documentation of Concern:

Date of Collaborative Conference:

Time Period of Plan:

A. Description of Need: Not all areas may need to be addressed in the plan

1. Knowledge of Students and Student Learning –

2. Knowledge of Content and Instructional Planning –

3. Instructional Practice –

4. Learning Environment –

5. Assessment for Student Learning –

6. Professional Responsibilities and Collaboration –

7. Professional Growth –
B. Description of Desired State: Not all areas may need to be addressed in the plan

1. Knowledge of Students and Student Learning –

2. Knowledge of Content and Instructional Planning –

3. Instructional Practice –

4. Learning Environment –

5. Assessment for Student Learning –

6. Professional Responsibilities and Collaboration –

7. Professional Growth –
C. Description of observational evidence and artifacts which will document improvement:
   Not all areas may need to be addressed in the plan

1. Knowledge of Students and Student Learning –

2. Knowledge of Content and Instructional Planning –

3. Instructional Practice –

4. Learning Environment –

5. Assessment for Student Learning –

6. Professional Responsibilities and Collaboration –

7. Professional Growth –
D. Differentiated Activities, Specific Interventions and Time Frame for Implementation:
(modify as appropriate)

1. By (date), the supervisor will have assigned a mentor to the teacher.
2. By (date), the teacher will have signed up for (workshop/conference/course) to attend on (date).
3. By (date), the teacher will have scheduled a peer observation with (name) to occur on a date prior to (date).
4. By (date), the teacher will submit plans electronically for the week of (date) to the supervisor.
5. By (date), the teacher will submit electronically informal assessment data to the supervisor.
6. By (date), the teacher will submit electronically parent communication to the supervisor.
7. By (date), the teacher will submit electronically formal assessment data to the supervisor.

E. Conferring with the supervisor to monitor plan:

Meeting time and day (monthly)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Signature as Agreement to Plan</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cc:  
Superintendent  
Assistant Superintendent for Instruction  
Assistant Superintendent for Human Resources  
Personnel File  
Association President
**Principal Improvement Plan Form**

[To be completed by evaluator in consultation with principal]

**School Year**  20__-20__

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Position:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Signature:</td>
<td>Date:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mid-Year Conference Date:

End-of-Year Conference Date:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building(s):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluator:</th>
<th>Title:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Signature:</td>
<td>Date:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit Representative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Signature:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1. Areas for Improvement:

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2. Recommendations for Improvement

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


3. Resources Available

4. Monitoring System

5. Evidence of Achievement

6. Timeline
DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district’s or BOCES’ complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district’s or BOCES’ complete APPR Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-d as implemented by Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the district’s or BOCES’ complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The district/BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, transition scores and ratings will be calculated for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 English Language Arts (ELA) and Math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores; that the district/BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings based on the measures in their approved APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents during the transition period; and that original APPR scores and ratings will be provided for advisory purposes only, and will have no impact on employment decisions, including tenure determinations, or teacher and principal improvement plans.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner’s approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11), as added by Chapter 56 of the Laws of 2015.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the overall transition rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures described in this APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, original APPR scores and ratings will not be used as the basis for employment decisions, and will only be used for advisory purposes;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the overall transition rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES, shall provide teachers and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings calculated pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher’s performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers and principals with their original composite APPR rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher’s performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall continue to provide teachers and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are not based on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments or State-provided growth scores with their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if
available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of their annual professional performance reviews, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured, and that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured;

- Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured;

- Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the district or BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured;

- Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the district office and made available to the public on the district's or BOCES' website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;

- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner;

- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school year, the district or BOCES will continue to report both the original and transition individual category and subcomponent scores and the overall original and transition ratings to the State for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;

- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;

- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;

- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities;

- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, any educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating as their overall transition rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statues and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year in which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

- Assure that, beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, any educator who receives a Developing or Ineffective rating on their original overall rating pursuant to this APPR plan will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statues and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year for which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter;

- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service Law;

- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and certificated as necessary in accordance with all applicable statues and regulations;

- Assure that the district or BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the district/BOCES;

- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits;

- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the district and BOCES shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;

- Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES must be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;

- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator;

- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year, on a form prescribed by the Commissioner, to the Commissioner for approval;

- Assure that the alternate SLOs described in Tasks 2 and 7 of this APPR plan will be used as the basis for certain teachers’ and principals’ transition APPR scores and ratings, where applicable and consistent with section 30-3.17 of
Assure that, beginning in the 2019-20 school year, no transition scores and ratings will be generated and the district or BOCES’ original APPR Plan will apply to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the statute, regulations, and SED guidance without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements as a result of the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;

Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;

Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and

Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date: 9/15/16

Teachers Union President Signature: Date: 9/15/16

Administrative Union President Signature: Date: 9/15/16

Board of Education President Signature: Date: 9/15/16