September 3, 2020

Revised

Charles Murphy, Superintendent of Schools
Island Trees Union Free School District
74 Farmedge Road
Levittown, NY 11756

Dear Superintendent Murphy:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner’s Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers’ or principals’ overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Betty A. Rosa
Interim Commissioner

Attachment

c: Robert Dillon
NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.
Disclaimers
For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

The Department will review the contents of each local educational agency's (LEA) Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in an LEA's plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from an LEA to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Each LEA is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of an LEA's plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the LEA are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the LEA, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject or disapprove this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

APPR Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below

- Assure that the content of this form represents the LEA's entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- Assure that it is understood that this LEA's APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.
Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

*100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.*

Each teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) locally determined, consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

**MEASURES**

*SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.*

*Individually attributed measures*

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

>- Teacher and course-specific

  - **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

*Collectively attributed measures*

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

>- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;

> identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA’s focus on a specific priority area(s);

> the impact on the LEA’s ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator’s effectiveness; and

>- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

>- School- or program-wide

  - **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

>- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

>- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

>- District- or BOCES-wide

  - **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

  - **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

**ASSESSMENTS**

*Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.*
Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

- State assessment(s); or
  Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- third party assessments; or
- locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

### HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SLO Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the teacher has an SLO as determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- Assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.
- Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
Common Branch Kindergarten Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for kindergarten teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kindergarten: Measure Type</th>
<th>District- or BOCES-wide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kindergarten: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kindergarten: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Kindergarten: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents
Common Branch Grade One Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade one teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

- Teacher and course-specific
  - Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- School- or program-wide
  - School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
  - School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

- District- or BOCES-wide
  - District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 1: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 1: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 1: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 1: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELA Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Common Branch Grade Two Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade two teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

- Teacher and course-specific
  - Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- School- or program-wide
  - School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
  - School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

- District- or BOCES-wide
  - District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

### Grade 2: Measure Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District- or BOCES-wide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Grade 2: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District- or BOCES-wide results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Grade 2: Assessment Type(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State or Regents assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Grade 2: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ELA Regents
Common Branch Grade Three Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade three teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 3: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 3: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 3: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 3: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELA Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grade Four

Please identify below whether grade four instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade four teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade four in your LEA.

- [ ] Common branch
### Grade Four (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 4: Measure Type</th>
<th>District- or BOCES-wide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grade 4: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure</strong></td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Four: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Four: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ ELA Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grade Five

Please identify below whether grade five instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade five teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

• School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade five in your LEA.

- Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)
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### Grade Five (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade five departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 5: Measure Type</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 5: District- or BOCES Measure</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 5: Assessment Type(s)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 5: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grade Six

Please identify below whether grade six instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade six teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

• School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade six in your LEA.

- [ ] Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)
### Grade Six (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade six departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 6: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 6: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 6: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 6: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELA Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grade Seven

Please identify below whether grade seven instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade seven teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade seven in your LEA.

- [ ] Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)
### Grade Seven (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade seven departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 7: Measure Type</th>
<th>District- or BOCES-wide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 7: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 7: Assessment Type(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 7: State or Regents Assessment(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grade Eight Measures and Assessments

Please identify below whether grade eight instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade eight teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade eight in your LEA.

☐ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)
Grade Eight (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)
Grade eight departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 8: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 8: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Eight: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Eight: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELA Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
High School English Language Arts

Note: Additional high school English courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school ELA teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether grades 9 through 12 ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

- All high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)
### High School ELA (All Grades) Measure and Assessment(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School ELA: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School ELA: School- or Program-Wide Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School ELA: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School ELA: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELA Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
High School Regents Math

Note: Additional high school math courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents math teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

• **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

• **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents math teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

- [ ] All high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)
### High School Regents Math (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

**High School Regents Math: Measure Type**
- School- or program-wide

**High School Regents Math: School- or Program-Wide Measure**
- School- or program-wide results

**High School Regents Math: Assessment Type(s)**
- State or Regents assessment(s)

**High School Regents Math: State or Regents Assessment(s)**
- ELA Regents
High School Regents Science

Note: Additional high school science courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents science teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

• School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents science teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

[ ] All high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)
## High School Regents Science (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

### High School Regents Science: Measure
- School- or program-wide

**High School Regents Science: School- or Program-Wide Measure**
- School- or program-wide results

### High School Regents Science: Assessment Type(s)
- State or Regents assessment(s)

**High School Regents Science: State or Regents Assessment(s)**
- ELA Regents
High School Regents Social Studies: Measures and Assessments

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents social studies teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents social studies teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

- All high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)
### High School Regents Social Studies (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure Type</th>
<th>State or program-wide results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**High School Regents Social Studies: School- or Program-Wide Measure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Type(s)</th>
<th>ELA Regents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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Other Courses

Please identify below the ‘other courses’ in your LEA; indicate which of the six available measures will be used for for each group of teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

• School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Complete the following, as applicable, for all ‘other teachers’ in additional grades/subjects (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, “All courses not named above”):

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Column 4: measure used

Columns 5-7: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) subject</th>
<th>(4) measure</th>
<th>(5-7) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Other Courses</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-3 Art</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Art</td>
<td>Teacher and course-specific results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 9-12 English Electives</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>English Electives</td>
<td>School- or program-wide linked results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".
### Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>District- or BOCE S-wide results</td>
<td>☐ ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>☐ ELA Regents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA’s evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.
Teacher Observation Category
For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Practice Rubric
Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2013 Instructionally Focused Edition)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rubric Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year as indicated in the table above.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents
For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department’s regulations.
Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:
- The process for designating observable components (please note: all educators of the same grade/subject must be evaluated based on the same set of observable components);
- The level at which components of the chosen rubric are rated (i.e., domain, subdomain, indicator, etc.);
- How the final score and rating for each observable component of the practice rubric is determined for each observer; and
- How the final score for the required (i.e., lead evaluator/evaluator; independent evaluator) and/or optional (peer observer, as applicable) subcomponent of the Observation category is determined based on the final score and rating for each observable component.

Example: All subcomponents of Domains 2-4 of the Danielson rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 2 and 3 are weighted as 40% each, and Domain 4 is weighted as 20%. For each observation, all observed subcomponents in a domain are weighted equally and averaged to create a domain score, which is then weighted as above and averaged to reach a final score for each observation. Scores for each observation are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each observation type. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the observation cycle.

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

Scoring Assurances
Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

### Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

The overall Observation score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Observation Category</th>
<th>Score and Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

### HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Developing:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ineffective</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Observations

Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators
- At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*
- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)
- No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal/Administrator [Required]</th>
<th>Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]</th>
<th>Peer Observer(s) [Optional]</th>
<th>Grades and subjects for which Peer Observers will be used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0% (N/A)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one observation must be conducted by building principal or other trained administrator and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by principal or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of management to conduct observations in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in personon by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.
### Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one observation must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the teacher being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers (e.g., teacher leaders on career ladder pathways), so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the teacher being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEACHERS</th>
<th>SUBGROUP</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Observation Method</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Observation Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Teachers (enter N/A in the next column)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>In Person</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ✔️ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ✔️ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.
Please also check each of the following boxes.

- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by trained peer observer(s).

- Peer teachers are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer teachers must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by trained peer observer(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number and "N/A" for the observation method for both unannounced and announced observations for "All Teachers."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEACHERS</th>
<th>SUBGROUP</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Observation Method</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Observation Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peer Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that peer observers, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observers, these teachers received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall Observation category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Teacher Observation

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher Observation Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Category and Overall Rating Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same LEA, each of whom received an Ineffective rating under Education Law Section 3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the LEA has a Department-approved waiver from this requirement.
Additional Requirements

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

- Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the LEA.

TIP-Teacher_Improvement_Plan_2016.rev.pdf
Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

1. the substance of the annual professional performance review, which shall include the following:
   - (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

2. the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

3. the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

4. the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

APPEALS OF INEFFECTIVE AND DEVELOPING RATINGS ONLY
Appeals of annual professional performance reviews will be limited to those that rate a teacher as Ineffective or Developing.

WHAT MAY BE CHALLENGED IN AN APPEAL
Appeal procedures will limit the scope of appeals under Education Law §3012-d to the following subjects: (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review, which shall include the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the student performance category based on an anomaly as determined locally, but rated Effective or Highly Effective on the observation/school visit category; (2) the school district’s or board of cooperative educational services’ adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of Commissioner’s regulations; (3) the adherence to the Commissioner’s regulations, as applicable to such reviews and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d; and (4) the school district’s or board of cooperative educational services’ issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan under Education Law §3012-d.

PROHIBITION AGAINST MORE THAN ONE APPEAL
A teacher may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review or teacher improvement plan. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity within one appeal. Any grounds not raised at the time the appeal is filed shall be deemed waived.

BURDEN OF PROOF
In an appeal, the teacher has the burden of demonstrating a clear legal right to the relief requested and the burden of establishing the facts upon which petitioner seeks relief.

TIMEFRAME FOR FILING APPEAL
All appeals must be submitted in writing no later than 15 school days of the date when the teacher receives his or her APPR. If a teacher is challenging the issuance of a teacher improvement plan, appeals must be filed with 15 days of issuance of such plan. The failure to file an appeal within these timeframes shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned. When filing an appeal, the teacher must submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his or her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his or her improvement plan and any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal. The performance review and/or improvement plan being challenged must also be submitted with the appeal. Any information not submitted at the time the appeal is filed shall not be considered.

TIMEFRAME FOR DISTRICT RESPONSE
Within 15 school days of receipt of an appeal, the school district staff member(s) who issued the performance review or were or are responsible for either the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher’s improvement plan must submit a detailed written response to the appeal. The response must include any and all additional documents or written materials specific to the point(s) of disagreement that support the school district’s response and are relevant to the resolution of the appeal. Any such information that is not submitted at the time the response is filed shall not be considered in the deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal. The teacher initiating the appeal shall receive a copy of the response filed by the school district, and any and all additional information submitted with the response, at the same time the school district files its response.

DECISION-MAKER ON APPEAL
A decision shall be rendered by the APPR committee, which will consist of all members with the exception of the principal to whom the appeal was initially made.

DECISION
A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than 30 school days from the date upon which the teacher filed his or her appeal. The appeal shall be based on a written record, comprised of the teacher’s appeal papers and any documentary evidence accompanying the appeal, as well as the response to the appeal and additional documentary evidence submitted with such papers. Such decision shall be final. The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for each determination on each of the specific issues raised in the teacher’s appeal. If the appeal is sustained, the reviewer may set aside a rating if it has been affected by substantial error or defect or modify a rating if it has been affected by substantial error or defect. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the teacher and the evaluator or the person responsible for either issuing or implementing the terms of an improvement plan, if that person is different.
After two years of a teacher being deemed as developing or ineffective, the decision for any further action beyond the scope of the appeal shall rest with the superintendent/board of education.

EXCLUSIVITY OF §3012-D APPEAL PROCEDURE
The 3012-d appeal procedure shall constitute the exclusive means for initiating, reviewing and resolving any and all challenges and appeals related to a teacher performance review and/or improvement plan. A teacher may not resort to any other contractual grievance procedures for the resolution of challenges and appeals related to a professional performance review and/or improvement plan, except as otherwise authorized by law.
Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher’s evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The Island Trees School District will ensure that all evaluators (lead evaluators, evaluators, and independent evaluators) are properly trained and certified to complete an individual’s performance review. All evaluators will be certified or recertified annually by the Superintendent of Schools and the Board of Education upon completion of training. The initial certification will include two full-day workshops delivered through our local BOCES and will address the nine required elements as prescribed in Section 30-3.10 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. The District will provide a full day of annual training for the purpose of recertification. The District will ensure that all training will include inter-rater reliability.

In order to establish inter-rater reliability in our initial extensive APPR training, we will take the following measures so that we ensure that our team is highly calibrated, particularly in the area of inter-rater reliability. Our goal is to ensure that such evaluations are consistent. We follow Danielson’s description of inter-rater reliability by training our evaluators to make consistent judgments based on the evidence we gather. Our initial training is extensive in this area; annual calibration is designed to ensure that our team continues to remain consistent in our already calibrated practice.

Using our state-approved Danielson 2013 Instructionally Focused rubric, we focus exclusively on Domains 2 and 3, as indicated in our plan, for our training.

Our training sessions focus on how to gather, analyze, and report evidence that include organized practice with video, followed by both personal and collaborative reflection. We share feedback in pairs, at our tables, and even in the large group setting so that the rating decisions made include the “how” and the “why”. To support the gathering of evidence, we review effective note-taking strategies, scripting, and other effective protocols that lead us to rich conversations about rating and help us to become consistent not only in our ratings, but in our feedback as well.

We continue our inter-rater reliability by communicating our ever-increasing expectations for student-centered learning and what we value. In our training sessions, we continue to review the differences between Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, and Ineffective by examining each specific component based on what we are seeing in our classrooms, particularly in response to ongoing professional development and district initiatives. This most readily translates into “look fors” that are directly embedded in the language of the rubric. For example, we may focus our inter-rater reliability training on specific domains or components by breaking down the critical attributes and reviewing possible examples in terms of learning targets, assessment, and relevancy, for example.

Not only does our superintendent conduct a great deal of observations himself, he also reviews every single observation that is submitted. Should he detect any disparities, we would be directed to additional training and collegial discussion to remedy this.
Teacher Evaluation Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.

☑ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.

☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide teachers with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.

☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

☑ Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.

☑ Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.

☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

*100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.*

Required Student Performance Measures

Student performance for principals may be measured by either a student learning objectives (SLO) or an Input Model where the principal’s overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

*SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.*

*Individually attributed measures*

An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal’s building or program.

> Principal and building/program-specific

  • **Principal and building/program-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal’s building/program in the current school year.

*Collectively attributed measures*

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA’s focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA’s ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator’s effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> District- or BOCES-wide

  • **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  
  • **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals’ buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

*Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.*

- **State assessment(s)**;
  
  Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- **third party assessments**;
  
  or

- **locally-developed assessments**(district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

INPUT MODEL

*Selection of the Input Model will require:*
• a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
• a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
• a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
• a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that such SLO is determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that if the principal's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- For principals evaluated using an input model, assure that all applicable principals will be evaluated using the procedures described herein and approved by the Commissioner.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs and input models.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

Required Student Performance for Principals

Please choose the option that best describes the required student performance subcomponent for principals in your LEA.

- The same measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for all principals
- Different measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for different grade configurations/programs
Applicable Principals [1]
If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each must be described on a separate page. Complete this section for the first combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in the following sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Principal Measures [1]
Please indicate how student performance will be measured for the principals listed above, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Principal and building/program-specific

- Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal’s building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals’ buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

All Principals: Measure Type

Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to the principals listed above.

- District- or BOCES-wide results

Principal Assessments [1]
Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure. Assessments should only be selected if applicable to the
Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Building/Program Configuration(s) [1]

Principals: Assessment Type(s)
- State or Regents assessment(s)

Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)
- ELA Regents

Additional Principals

Please be sure all principals in your LEA are included in Task 7.
- Check this box to list additional principal(s) who will be evaluated using a different measure and assessment(s) included in this section.
Applicable Principals [2]

If different measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, will be used for different grade configurations/programs, each must be described on a separate page. Complete this section for the second combination of measure(s) and assessment(s), as applicable, then use the checkbox at the bottom to add the next combination.

Use the table below to list the grade configurations of the building(s)/program(s) for the principal(s) who will be evaluated using the measure and assessment(s), as applicable, included in this section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Principal Measures [2]

Please indicate how student performance will be measured for the principals listed above, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Principal and building/program-specific

• Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal’s building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals’ buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:

• a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
• a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
• a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
• a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Principal: Measure Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Learning Objective (SLO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Student Learning Objective

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to the principals listed above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal and building/program-specific results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Principal Assessment(s) [2]

Please select the assessment type(s) and specific assessment(s) that will be used with the selected measure. Assessments should only be selected if applicable to the.
### Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)
- ELA Regents

### Principals: Assessment Type(s)
- State or Regents assessment(s)
Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

• Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
• Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
• Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
• Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
• Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
• Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;
• Option (G) An input model where the principal’s overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student achievement related to the Leadership Standards; or
• Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA’s evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.
Principal School Visit Category

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principals’ professional performance shall be evaluated based on a State-approved rubric using multiple sources of evidence collected and incorporated into the school visit protocol. Where appropriate, such evidence may be aligned to building or district goals; provided, however, that professional goal-setting may not be used as evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness. Such evidence shall reflect school leadership practice aligned to the Leadership Standards and selected practice rubric.

Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marshall's Principal Evaluation Rubric (2012 Revised Edition with 2013 Updates)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different programs or grade configurations as indicated in the table above.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department’s regulations.

Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:

- The process for designating observable components (please note: all principals of the same building configuration/program must be evaluated based on the same set of observable components);
- The level at which components of the chosen rubric are rated (i.e., domain, subdomain, indicator, etc.);
- How the final score and rating for each observable component of the practice rubric is determined for each observer; and
- How the final score for the required (i.e., lead evaluator/evaluator; independent evaluator) and/or optional (peer principal, as applicable) subcomponent of the School Visit category is determined based on the final score and rating for each observable component.

Example: All subcomponents of Domains 1-4 of the MPPR rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 1, 2 and 3 are weighted as 30% each, and Domain 4 is weighted as 10%. For each school visit, all observed subcomponents in a domain are weighted equally and averaged to create a domain score, which is then weighted as above and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit. Scores for each school visit are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit type. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the school visit cycle.

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.
Scoring Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.

- Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

The overall School Visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.
### Minimum Rubric Score | Maximum Rubric Score
---|---
**Developing:** | | 
1.50 | 2.49 |

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
**Ineffective:** | | 
0.00 | 1.49 |
Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators
- At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*
- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)
- No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supervisor/Administrator [Required]</th>
<th>Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]</th>
<th>Peer School Visit(s) [Optional]</th>
<th>Grade configurations for which Peer School Visits will be used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0% [N/A]</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

- Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.

- Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.

- Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators
At least one school visit must be conducted by supervisor or other trained administrator and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by superintendent or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of a board of education or superintendent of schools from conducting additional school visits for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by supervisor(s) or other trained administrators in the table below.
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Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits

Required Subcomponent 2: School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one school visit must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA but may not be assigned to the same school building as the principal being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs/directors, or peers, so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the principal being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINCIPALS</th>
<th>SUBGROUP</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCE D</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Principals (enter ‘N/A’ in the next column)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.
Please also check each of the following boxes.

☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Optional Subcomponent: School Visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by trained peer principal(s).

- Peer principals are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer principals must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by trained peer principal(s) in the table below.

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number for both unannounced and announced school visits for "All Principals."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINCIPALS</th>
<th>SUBGROUP</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peer Principal School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that peer principal(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
Category and Overall Ratings
For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges
The overall Student Performance category score and the overall School Visit category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Principals School Visit Category
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating
The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal School Visit Category</th>
<th>Highly Effective (H)</th>
<th>Effective (E)</th>
<th>Developing (D)</th>
<th>Ineffective (I)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Performance Category</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Category and Overall Rating Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

- Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the LEA.

Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) 2016 with Form.pdf
Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
   (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA’s adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA’s issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

The parties will continue to meet, as needed, in order to negotiate all aspects of the APPR process as required by Education Law Section 3012-d. The resolution of the issues discussed by the parties shall be in writing and placed within the appropriate paragraphs of the collective bargaining agreement. Notwithstanding the above, the parties agree to the following appeals procedure pursuant to Education Law Section 3012-d:

**Development of Principal’s Annual Performance Evaluations**

1. A completed draft annual evaluation form (in the form that has been mutually agreed upon by the parties) shall be presented to the Principal at a meeting between the Principal and the Superintendent of Schools to be held no later than three weeks prior to the date that the evaluation is required to be submitted to NYSED.

2. Within ten (10) school days of the receipt of the draft evaluation of a Principal’s annual evaluation from the Superintendent of Schools, the Principal may present information, suggestions, and materials, in writing to the Superintendent of Schools.

3. Within five (5) school days of the receipt of the materials, the Superintendent of Schools shall issue the final evaluation to the Principal.

**Principal Appeal Process**

1. Principals may appeal their annual professional performance review ratings and they may appeal Principal Improvement Plans, as set forth below. Appeals of annual professional performance review ratings shall be limited to ratings of “Ineffective” or “Developing.” Principals may submit written rebuttals of determinations of “Effective” and “Highly Effective” but may not appeal such ratings.

2. Within fifteen (15) school days of the receipt of the annual professional performance review (the “APPR rating”) providing a rating as set forth above, a principal may appeal the APPR rating to the Superintendent of Schools. If a principal is challenging a principal improvement plan (“PIP”), appeals must be filed within fifteen (15) school days of the issuance of such plan. If a Principal is on vacation when the APPR rating or PIP is issued, the fifteen (15) school days for appeal provided herein shall not commence until the Principal returns from vacation. The appeal shall be in writing and shall articulate in detail the basis of the appeal. Appeals shall be limited to:

- the substance and rating of the annual professional performance review;
- the school district’s adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews and PIPs pursuant to Section 3012-d of the Education Law;
- the school district’s adherence to the Regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures; and
- the school district’s issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal’s improvement plan.

**Principal’s Appeal to the Superintendent**

1. When filing an appeal, the principal must submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his or her APPR rating, the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his or her PIP, and any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal. The APPR rating (including the supporting documents that comprised the rating) and/or the PIP being challenged must also be submitted with the appeal.

2. Within fifteen (15) school days of receipt of the appeal, the Superintendent of Schools shall render a detailed written determination with respect thereto. The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for each determination on each of the specific issues raised in the principal’s appeal. If the appeal is sustained, the Superintendent may set aside a rating or a PIP, modify a rating or a PIP, or order a new rating or PIP. The decision must include any and all additional documents or written materials specific to the point(s) of disagreement that support the school district’s response and are relevant to the resolution of the appeal. The Principal initiating the appeal shall receive a copy of the response, and any additional information submitted with the response.

**Principal’s Appeal to Committee of Administrators - “Developing” or “Ineffective” Ratings**

1. Within fifteen (15) school days of receipt of the Superintendent’s response to the appeal, a Principal who receives a rating of “Developing” or “Ineffective” after the appeal to the Superintendent will be afforded the right to file a “second level” appeal to a committee of administrators.

   The committee will be comprised of three administrators: the Assistant Superintendent for Special Education, one Island Trees principal chosen by the Superintendent and one Island Trees principal chosen by the Principal-appellant. The committee may modify the rating or the PIP, set aside the rating or the PIP, or order a new PIP.

2. Absent exigent circumstances, the committee will hear the Principal’s appeal no later than fifteen (15) business days from the date the Principal filed the “second level” appeal. The appeal shall be based on a written record, including the Principal’s appeal papers and any documentary evidence accompanying the appeal, as well as the response to the appeal and additional documentary evidence submitted with such papers. The committee shall issue a written determination within fifteen (15) school days from the conclusion of the hearing. The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for each determination on each of the specific issues raised in the appeal. If the appeal is sustained, the committee may set aside a rating or a PIP, modify a rating or a PIP, or order a new PIP. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the Principal and Superintendent.

**Principal’s Appeal to Hearing Panel – Second Consecutive “Developing” or “Ineffective” Ratings**

1. Notwithstanding the provisions of the sections above, within fifteen (15) school days of receipt of the Superintendent’s response to the appeal described above, a Principal who receives a second consecutive rating of “Developing” or “Ineffective” after the appeal to the Superintendent will
be afforded the right to file a “second level” appeal to a hearing panel. The hearing panel will be comprised of three administrators: one Island Trees administrator chosen by the Superintendent, one Island Trees administrator chosen by the Principal-appellant and one chairperson, who shall be a retired administrator chosen from a list of retired administrators to be locally negotiated between the District and the Principals’ Association annually. The cost of the retired administrator serving on the hearing panel shall be borne by the District.

2. The hearing panel will hear the Principal’s appeal within a reasonable time from the date the Principal filed the appeal. The appeal shall be based on a written record, including the Principal’s appeal papers and any documentary evidence accompanying the appeal, as well as the response to the appeal and additional documentary evidence submitted with such papers. The hearing panel shall issue a written determination within fifteen (15) school days from the conclusion of the hearing. The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for each determination on each of the specific issues raised in the appeal. If the appeal is sustained, the committee may set aside a rating or a PIP or modify a rating or a PIP. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the Principal and Superintendent.

3. After two consecutive years of a Principal being rated as “Developing” or “Ineffective,” after all appeals, the decision for any further action shall rest with the Superintendent and the Board of Education.

4. The time frames referred to herein may be extended by mutual agreement of the parties. In all cases, the appeals process will be timely and expeditious in accordance with Education Law 3012-d.
Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑️ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent evaluators and peer principals need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Evaluators, and Peer Principals and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent evaluators and peer principals;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The Island Trees School District will ensure that all evaluators (lead evaluators, evaluators and impartial independent evaluators) are properly trained to complete an individual’s Annual Professional Performance Review. All evaluators will be trained under the nine requirements prescribed in Section 30-3.10 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. All evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified prior to completing a principal’s evaluation. Initial training will last a minimum of 5 hours, and will be delivered by Nassau BOCES. Follow-up training will last a minimum of 2 hours, and will be delivered by BOCES or by an individual who has received turn-key training from BOCES. All evaluators will be retrained triennially. Additionally, all lead evaluators will be re-certified on a triennial basis. The district will ensure that all training will include inter-rater reliability.

In order to establish inter-rater reliability in our initial extensive APPR training, we take the following measures so that we ensure that our team is highly calibrated, particularly in the area of inter-rater reliability. Our goal is to ensure that such evaluations are consistent. Our inter-rater reliability training is focused on having our evaluators make consistent judgments based on the evidence we gather. Our initial training is extensive in this area; annual calibration is designed to ensure that our team continues to remain consistent in our already calibrated practice. We use our state-approved Marshall Principal Evaluation rubric for our training.

Our training sessions focus on how to gather, analyze, and report evidence that include organized practice with video, followed by both personal and collaborative reflection. We share feedback in pairs, at our tables, and even in the large group setting so that the rating decisions made include the “how” and the “why”. To support the gathering of evidence, we review effective note-taking strategies, scripting, and other effective protocols that lead us to rich conversations about rating and help us to become consistent not only in our ratings, but in our feedback as well.

We continue our inter-rater reliability by communicating our ever-increasing expectations for student-centered learning and what we value. In our training sessions, we continue to review the differences between Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, and Ineffective by examining each specific component based on what we are seeing in our classrooms, particularly in response to ongoing professional development and district initiatives. This most readily translates into “look fors” that are directly embedded in the language of the rubric. For example, we may focus our inter-rater reliability training on specific domains or components by breaking down the critical attributes and reviewing possible examples in terms of learning targets, assessment, and relevancy, for example.

Not only does our superintendent conduct a great deal of observations himself, he also reviews every single observation that is submitted. Should he detect any disparities, we would be directed to additional training and collegial discussion to remedy this.
Principal Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.

☑ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.

☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation:
- evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department;
- use of an instrument for parent or student feedback;
- use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness;
- any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department;
- and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide principals with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.

☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

☑ Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.

☑ Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.

☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Upload APPR LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

APPRcertification.august2020.pdf
Island Trees Union Free School District
Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP)

Name__________________________________________  Tenure _______ Non-Tenure_______

School__________________________________________  School Year____________________

Grade/Department__________________________  Principal__________________________

1. Areas in need of improvement:

2. Expectations to demonstrate improvement:

3. Recommended resources and activities to help the teacher’s performance improve:

4. Assessment of the evidence to determine if expected improvement occurred:

5. Timeline to demonstrate improvement:

Teacher__________________________________________  Date____________________

Principal__________________________________________  Date____________________

Union Representative________________________________  Date____________________
Island Trees Teacher Improvement Plan

The Island Trees APPR plan incorporates the mandate for “Teacher Improvement Plan” (TIP) for teachers who have not met the standards for effectiveness. As a result, any teacher who receives an overall rating of “developing” or “ineffective” will be placed on a TIP. The TIP will be developed in accordance to the State Education guidelines for instructional improvement.

The purpose of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) is to improve a teacher’s performance, which has been identified as “developing” or “ineffective” at any time during the school year. The TIP plan will clearly describe the professional learning activities that the teachers in need of improvement must complete. These activities will be connected directly to the area of concern. The TIP should be viewed as a helpful professional conversation, identifying solutions to areas of concern and resources that will help the teacher, rather than as a disciplinary tool.

Any teacher, tenured or non-tenured, shall require a TIP when the teacher's performance is evaluated as “developing” or “ineffective”.

TIPs are to be developed in consultation with the teacher, the evaluating administrators and the appropriate department chairperson, if applicable. If the teacher requests union representation at the TIP meeting, then such a representative must also be present.

A written TIP developed as specified above shall contain:

- Identification of the specific areas in need of improvement.
- Identification of specific ways to demonstrate improvement and an agreement on how long it should take to affect improvement.
- Identification of support, resources, and suggested services, which may include, but are not limited to, the following forms: mentors, observation of colleague(s), conferences, in service coursework, and/or workshops, model lesson(s) by school personnel or consultants, support materials, and self-reflection of audio or video lessons (oral or journal form). The following may be utilized, among other resources: teacher centers, BOCES, institutions of higher education, personal counselors, employee assistance programs, and medical referrals.
- Identification of the procedures for measuring improvement, the level of improvement needed and the subsequent adjustment or termination of the TIP.

The teacher may request union representation at any point during the TIP process.

The TIP must be developed locally through negotiations and implementation must begin no later than 10 school days from the opening of classes in the school year following the school year for which such teacher’s performance is being measured.

Copies of the TIP signed by the teacher and administrator will be supplied to the teacher and to the district personnel office. The original will be placed on file in the office of the building principal.
Island Trees Union Free School District
Principal Improvement Plan (PIP)

Name_________________________________ Tenure_______ Non-Tenure_______
School_______________________________ School Year_____________________

1. Areas in need of improvement:

2. Expectations to demonstrate improvement:

3. Recommended resources and activities to help the principal’s performance improve:

4. Assessment of the evidence to determine if expected improvement occurred:

5. Timeline to demonstrate improvement:

Principal_________________________________ Date_______________
Union Representative________________________ Date_______________
Superintendent_____________________________ Date_______________
Principal Improvement Plan

The Island Trees APPR plan incorporates the mandate for a “Principal Improvement Plan” (PIP) for principals who have not met the standards for effectiveness. The PIP will be developed in accordance with State Education guidelines, including Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The purpose of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) is to improve a principal's performance, which has been identified as “developing” or “ineffective” at any time during the school year. The PIP plan will clearly describe the professional learning activities that the principal in need of improvement must complete. These activities will be connected directly to the area of concern. The PIP should be viewed as a helpful professional conversation, identifying solutions to areas of concern and resources that will help the principal, rather than as a disciplinary tool.

Any principal, tenured or non-tenured, shall require a PIP when the principal’s performance is evaluated as “developing” or “ineffective” (Section 30-3.11 of the Rules of the Board of Regents).

PIPs are to be developed in consultation with the principal and the evaluating administrator. If the principal requests union representation at the PIP meeting, then such a representative must also be present.

A written PIP developed as specified above shall contain:

- Identification of the specific areas in need of improvement.
- Identification of specific ways to demonstrate improvement and an agreement on how long it should take to affect improvement.
- Identification of support, resources, and suggested services, which may include, but are not limited to, the following forms: mentors, observation of colleague(s), conferences, workshops and support materials. The following may be utilized, among other resources: BOCES, institutions of higher education, personal counselors, employee assistance programs, and medical referrals.
- Identification of the procedures for measuring improvement, the level of improvement needed and the subsequent adjustment or termination of the PIP.

The principal may request union representation at any point during the PIP process.

Copies of the PIP signed by the principal and administrator will be supplied to the principal and to the district personnel office. The original will be placed on file in the office of the Superintendent.
LEA CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan.

By signing this document, the LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s) certify that the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval constitutes the school LEA's complete APPR plan, that all provisions of the plan that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, and that such plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d as amended by the Laws of 2019 and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and has been adopted by the governing body of the LEA.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the LEA's complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the LEA; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify, upon information and belief, that all statements made herein are true and accurate and that all applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the LEA's complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the LEA; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner’s approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11).

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the overall APPR rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including but not limited to: tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured;
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal his or her score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher's or principal's APPR, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured;
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the LEA’s office and made available to the public on the LEA’s website no later than September 10th of each school year or within 10 days after the plan’s approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that complete and accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA will continue to report to the State individual subcomponent scores and the overall rating for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities;
- Assure that any teacher or principal who receives an Overall Rating of Developing or Ineffective in any school year will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statues and regulations, by October 1 of the school year following the year in which such teacher’s or principal’s performance was measured or as soon as practicable thereafter;
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations;
- Assure that LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the LEA;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school...
Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the LEA shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;

Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth or achievement will be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject, for teachers, or similar building configurations/programs, for principals, in the LEA will be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;

Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth;

Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year;

Assure that the LEA will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;

Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and

Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date: 08/28/2020

Superintendent Name (print): Charlie Murphy

Teachers Union President Signature: Date: 08/28/2020

Teachers Union President Name (print): Carol Easterbrooke

Administrative Union President Signature: Date: 08/28/2020

Administrative Union President Name (print): Nicholas Grande

Board of Education President Signature: Date: 08/28/2020

Board of Education President Name (print): Michael T. Rich Jr.