February 5, 2019

Revised

Oliver Levy, Superintendent
Greenburgh-Graham Union Free School District
1 South Broadway
Hastings-on-Hudson, New York 10706

Dear Superintendent Levy:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner’s Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers’ or principals’ overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

MaryEllen Elia
Commissioner

Attachment

c: Harold Coles
NOTE:
Pursuant to sections 30-2.14 and 30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must calculate transition scores and ratings for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 ELA and math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores. For the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must establish alternate SLOs for affected teachers and principals who, as a result of the above exclusions, have no remaining measures in the Student Performance Category.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.
Task 1) Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

The Department will review the contents of each school district's/BOCES' Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's/BOCES' plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from a district/BOCES to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. As such, each district/BOCES is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of a district's/BOCES' plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1.1) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- Assure that the content of this form represents the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that a detailed version of the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district/BOCES website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.
- Assure that it is understood that this district's/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.

1.2) Submission Status

Is this a first-time submission under Education Law §3012-d or the submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d?

Submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d
Task 2) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

(A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher’s students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher’s students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

2.1) Assurances

Please note: NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math Assessments and State-provided growth scores cannot be used for the purposes of providing transition scores and ratings during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, and should be used for advisory purposes only until the 2019-20 school year. Alternate SLOs to be used during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 transition period should be entered in Task 2 (Transition).

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all 4-8 ELA and Math teachers in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that teacher.
- For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for any grade/subject that requires a back-up SLO, but for which there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a teacher-specific SLO from being created, the superintendent or another trained administrator shall develop a school-wide back-up SLO using available State/Regents assessments.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

2.2) Grades 4-8 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a growth score and rating. That rating will incorporate students’ academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and takes into consideration students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided scores and ratings, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided growth measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score and rating from the State for the full Student Performance category of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score and rating from the State for the full Student Performance category of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided growth measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those teachers who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that teacher.

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs for the grade/subject listed beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Assessment</th>
<th>Grade 4 ELA</th>
<th>Grade 4 Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 4 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 4 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>ELA</th>
<th>Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>State Assessment: Grade 5 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 5 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th</td>
<td>State Assessment: Grade 6 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 6 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>State or Regents Assessment(s): Grade 7 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 7 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th</td>
<td>State or Regents Assessment(s): Grade 8 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 8 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3) Grade 3 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Assessment</th>
<th>Grade 3 ELA</th>
<th>Grade 3 Math</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 3 ELA</td>
<td>Grade 3 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4) Grades 4 and 8 Science: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Grade 4 Science</th>
<th>Grade 8 Science</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Common branch</td>
<td>Grade 8 Science</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5) High School Courses Ending in a Regents Exam: Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school courses may be included in the “All Other Courses” section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Global 2</th>
<th>US History</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regents Assessment</td>
<td>Global 2 Regents</td>
<td>US History Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Living Environment</th>
<th>Earth Science</th>
<th>Chemistry</th>
<th>Physics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regents Assessment</td>
<td>Living Environment Regents</td>
<td>Earth Science Regents</td>
<td>Chemistry Regents</td>
<td>Physics Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Algebra I</th>
<th>Geometry</th>
<th>Algebra II/Trigonometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regents Assessment(s)</td>
<td>Algebra I Regents</td>
<td>Geometry Regents</td>
<td>Algebra II Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.6) High School English Language Arts Courses: Measures and Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school English Language Arts courses may be included in the “All Other Courses” section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: High School English Language Arts

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For high school English Language Arts, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- The New York State ELA Regents assessment is required in at least one year of high school English Language Arts with a teacher-specific measure in the grade-level ELA course that ends in the ELA Regents assessment.

For grade levels where the Regents exam is not administered:
Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed. Please do not select “All Regents given in the building/district” in addition to individual Regents exams.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 ELA</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td>☐ Greenburgh-Graham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 ELA</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td>☐ ELA Regents</td>
<td>☐ Greenburgh-Graham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 ELA</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td>☐ ELA Regents</td>
<td>☐ Greenburgh-Graham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 ELA</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td>☐ ELA Regents</td>
<td>☐ Greenburgh-Graham</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.7) Grades K-2 ELA and Math: Measures and Assessments (Original)

**STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams**

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grades K-2 ELA/math, district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with:
- State assessment(s);
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments; or

- Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are:
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K ELA</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️ i-Ready Diagnostic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K Math</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️ i-Ready Diagnostic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 ELA</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️ i-Ready Diagnostic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Math</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️ i-Ready Diagnostic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 ELA</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️ i-Ready Diagnostic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Math</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️ i-Ready Diagnostic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.8) Grades 6-7 Science and Grades 6-8 Social Studies: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grades 6-7 science and grades 6-8 social studies, district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with:
- State assessment(s);
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments; or
- Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are:
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 Science</td>
<td>Common branch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Science</td>
<td>School- or program-wide group, team or linked results</td>
<td></td>
<td>i-Ready Diagnostic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Social Studies</td>
<td>Common branch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Social Studies</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td></td>
<td>Greenburgh-Graham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Social Studies</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td></td>
<td>Greenburgh-Graham</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.9) Regents Global Studies 1: Measure and Assessment(s) (Original)

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the “All Other Courses” section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For Global Studies 1, district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:
• School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with:
• The New York State Global 2 Regents assessment;
• Any other State assessment(s);
• District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
• State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments; or
• Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are:
• District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
• State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for Global Studies 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global 1</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td>☑️ Greenburgh-Graham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.10) All Other Courses (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For courses that end in a State or Regents assessment:

- The State or Regents assessment must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments.

For other grades/subjects, district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or district- or BOCES-wide results with:
- State assessment(s);
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments; or
- Teacher-specific results with assessment(s) approved for the specific course and grade level that are:
- District-determined assessments from the list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regional or BOCES-developed assessments.

Fill in the following, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have SLOs (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, “All courses not named above”):

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course
Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course
Column 3: subject of the course
Column 4: measure used
Columns 5-6: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) subject</th>
<th>(4) measure</th>
<th>(5-6) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Other Courses</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All courses not</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>ELARegents, Algebra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>named above</td>
<td></td>
<td>Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-3 Art</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Art</td>
<td>Teacher-specific results</td>
<td>Questar III BOCES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 9-12 English Electives</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>English Electives</td>
<td>School- or program-wide, group, team, or</td>
<td>All Regents given in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>linked results</td>
<td>building/district</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".
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2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one 0-20 score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Common branch teacher with State-provided growth measures for both ELA and Math in grade 4; middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

2.13) Assurances

For guidance on SLOs and the development of back-up SLOs, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance.

Please check the boxes below.

- [ ] Assure that the teacher has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- [ ] Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- [ ] Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- [ ] Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- [ ] Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 2.11, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- [ ] Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- [ ] Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

2.14) Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- [ ] If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- [ ] If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.
Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES, the Optional subcomponent WILL be used; the weight of each subcomponent is indicated below.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Select the weight of the Required Student Performance subcomponent; the corresponding Optional Student Performance subcomponent weight is shown.

| 50% required, 50% optional |
Task 3) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

*Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.*

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the Required subcomponent, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

3.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent of the Student Performance Category

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES, the Optional subcomponent WILL be used in the Student Performance category.</th>
<th>YES, the Optional subcomponent WILL be used in the Student Performance category.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Task 3) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

If the Optional Student Performance subcomponent will NOT be used, save this page and proceed to Task 4; this section is not required.

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the Required subcomponent; or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

OPTION A: SECOND STATE-PROVIDED GROWTH SCORE

Option (A1) Teacher-specific growth score computed by the State based on percentage of students who achieve a State-determined level of growth (e.g., percentage of students whose growth is above the median for similar students)

Option (A2) School-wide growth results based on a State-provided school-wide growth score for all students attributable to the school who took the State ELA or math assessment in grades 4-8

Option (A3) School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked growth results using available State-provided growth scores that are locally-computed

Option (A4) District- or BOCES-wide growth results using available State-provided growth scores that are locally-computed

OPTION B: STATE-DESIGNED SUPPLEMENTAL ASSESSMENTS

Option (B1) Teacher-specific growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model

Option (B2) School- or program-wide, group, team or linked growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model capable of generating such a score

Option (B3) District- or BOCES-wide growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model capable of generating such a score

The same locally selected measures of student growth across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES must be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable.

Task 3.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

☑ Assure that if a teacher receives an overall rating of Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment (option B1, B2 or B3) was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, then the teacher must be rated Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d(5)(a) and (7)).

☑ Assure that if a teacher receives an overall rating of Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test (option A1, A2, A3, or A4) was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, then the teacher may not be rated higher than Developing overall (see Education Law §3012-d(5)(a) and (7)).
3.2-3.10 Optional Measures, Assessments and Courses

Using the table below, please select the measure and, as applicable, assessment(s) that will be used for the Optional subcomponent, then indicate the applicable courses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Supplemental Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Applicable Course(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option (B1) Teacher-specific growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model</td>
<td>☒ i-Ready Diagnostic</td>
<td>☐ 3 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ 3 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ 4 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ 4 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ 5 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ 5 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ 6 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ 6 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ 7 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ 7 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ 8 ELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ 8 Math</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OPTION A2 or B

Please check the box below, as applicable to the selected option(s). In addition, for Option B, please also include a chart in Task 3.11 to demonstrate the process for assigning points consistent with the methodology prescribed by the assessment provider(s).

☐ OPTION A2: Assure that scores from 0-20 and HEDI ratings will be assigned using the building-wide State-provided growth score as provided by the Department.

☒ OPTION B: Assure that scores from 0-20 and HEDI ratings will be assigned in the manner prescribed by the State-approved supplemental assessment.

OPTION A2 or B

Please check the box below, as applicable to the selected option(s). In addition, for Option B, please also include a chart in Task 3.11 to demonstrate the process for assigning points consistent with the methodology prescribed by the assessment provider(s).

☐ OPTION A2: Assure that scores from 0-20 and HEDI ratings will be assigned using the building-wide State-provided growth score as provided by the Department.

☒ OPTION B: Assure that scores from 0-20 and HEDI ratings will be assigned in the manner prescribed by the State-approved supplemental assessment.

3.11 Conversion Chart

Please upload an attachment, as applicable to the selected option(s).

- For Option (A1), Option (A3), or Option (A4), please upload a chart demonstrating how the second growth score chosen will be converted to a HEDI score from 0-20.
- For Option (B1), Option (B2), or Option (B3), please upload the chart provided by the assessment provider to demonstrate how the growth model translates to a HEDI score from 0-20.
- An upload is not required with the use of Option (A2). For Option (A2), the 0-20 score and HEDI rating provided by the State must be used.

I-Ready Chart for APPR.xlsx

3.12 Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below:

- Assure that scores and ratings for the Optional Student Performance subcomponent, where a State-designed supplemental assessment is used, will be calculated in the manner specified by the assessment provider through the RFQ process.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that all Optional Student Performance measures for a teacher are different than any measures used for the Required Student Performance subcomponent.
- Assure that the same locally selected measures of student growth across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES must be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable.
- Assure that during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, if a second State-provided growth score (options A1, A2, A3, or A4) is selected as a measure in the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, such measure will be used for advisory purposes only and will not be used for the purpose of calculating transition scores and ratings.
4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielson's Framework for Teaching</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.

☑ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year as indicated in Task 4.1 above.

☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

4.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

4.4) Calculating Observation Ratings

Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.

☑ Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 4.5 below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check each of the following boxes.

☑ Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(1) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑ Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the district's/BOCES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(2) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Observation Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Highly Effective:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effective:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Developing:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ineffective:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5) Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponents:
- Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators: At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score
- Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent:
- Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator.
Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required - Principal/ Administrator</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s)</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s)</th>
<th>Grades and subjects for which Peer Observers will be used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these teacher(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher’s Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

4.7) Number and Method of Observations

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations for each type of observer, as well as the method of observation, in the tables below.

Tenured Teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Probationary Teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations</th>
<th>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations</th>
<th>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>In person</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

### 5.1) Scoring Ranges

**Student Performance Category**
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Teacher Observation**
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Observation Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher Observation Category</th>
<th>Highly Effective (H)</th>
<th>Effective (E)</th>
<th>Developing (D)</th>
<th>Ineffective (I)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Performance Category</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
<td>D*</td>
<td>D*</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* If a teacher is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the teacher can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

### 5.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same school district, each of whom received an Ineffective rating under Education Law §3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the district has a Department-approved waiver from this requirement.
6.1) Assurances: Teacher Improvement Plans

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

- Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

Teacher Improvement Plan.doc

6.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

- Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

6.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

1) the substance of the annual professional performance review, which shall include the following:
   (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

4) the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

**Right to Appeal**

A tenured teacher/principal who earns a rating of ineffective or developing may appeal his/her annual professional performance review and the school district’s issuance and/or implementation of the improvement plan in accordance with the procedures and conditions set forth in this section. Such procedures and conditions constitute the exclusive means for initiating, reviewing and resolving any and all challenges and appeals related to a teacher/principal performance review and/or improvement plan. Neither the APPR nor any improvement plan may be the subject of a grievance or arbitration.

**Scope of Performance Review Appeal**

1. Only a tenured teacher/principal who receives a rating of developing or ineffective may appeal his/her performance review.
2. A tenured teacher/principal may appeal only the substance of his/her performance review, the school district’s adherence to standards and methodologies required for the review, the school district’s issuance of an Improvement Plan and/or implementation of the terms of such Improvement Plan, adherence to applicable regulations of the commissioner of education, and compliance with negotiated procedures applicable to the conduct of performance reviews set forth in the school district’s annual professional performance review plan under Education Law 3012-d and subpart 30-3 of the Regents rules.
3. A teacher/principal may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review. All grounds for appealing a particular performance review must be raised within the same appeal. Any grounds not raised in the initial appeal shall be deemed waived.

**Scope of Improvement Plan Appeal**

1. A tenured teacher or principal may appeal the school district’s issuance of an Improvement Plan and/or implementation of the terms of such Improvement Plan.
2. Appeals related to the issuance of an improvement plan are limited to issues regarding compliance with the requirements prescribed in applicable law and regulations for the issuance of improvement plans.
3. A teacher/principal may not file multiple appeals regarding the issuance of the same improvement plan. All grounds for appealing the issuance of an improvement plan must be raised within the same appeal. Any grounds not raised at the time of the appeal shall be deemed waived.
4. Any grounds for appealing the implementation of the terms of the improvement plan not filed within the prescribed timelines in this section/agreement shall be deemed waived.

**Timeline for Filing an Appeal**

A tenured teacher/principal wishing to initiate an appeal must submit to the Superintendent or his/her designees, in writing, a detailed description of the precise points of disagreement over his/her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the improvement plan. The teacher/principal must include any and all additional documents or written materials specific to the point(s) of disagreement that support the appeal and are relevant to the resolution of the appeal including. Any such additional information not submitted at the time the appeal is filed shall not be considered in the deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal.

1. Appeals concerning a teacher/principal performance review must be filed no later than fifteen (15) days of the date when the teacher receives it.
2. Appeals concerning the issuance of an improvement plan must be filed within fifteen (15) days of the school district’s alleged failure to comply with the requirements prescribed in applicable law and regulations for issuance of improvement plans either whole or in part.
3. Appeals concerning the implementation of an improvement plan must be filed within fifteen (15) days of the school district’s alleged failure to implement the terms of the plan either whole or in part.
4. Appeals not commenced within the timelines delineated in this section will be deemed waived and are not subject to review.
5. The teacher/principal bears the burden of proving by substantial evidence the merits of the appeal.
6. The superintendent’s determination will be issued within (5) school days of receipt.
7. The determination of the appeal pursuant to the above process is final and binding. Only the failure of either the District or Association to abide by the above agreed upon process is subject to the grievance procedure.

**6.5) Assurance: Evaluators**

Please check the box below.

- The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.
6.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.
Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The Greenburgh-Graham UFSD will train all evaluators (evaluators, lead evaluators, and independent evaluators) before implementation of the new APPR. The District will ensure that all Lead Evaluators/Evaluators are properly trained and certified to complete an individual’s performance review.

A. The duration and nature of the training the District will provide to evaluators:
Evaluators will be trained by Observation and the Superintendent of Schools. There will be initial annual training at the beginning of the school year during Superintendent’s Conference Days for approximately 3 hours. There will be four trainings during administrative cabinet meetings lasting 1-2 hours each over the course of the school year. The trainings will include the nine elements from Regents rules 30-3.10
   (1) The New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators
   (2) Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research
   (3) Application and use of the student growth percentile model and any other growth model approved by the Department as defined in section 30-3.2 of this Subpart
   (4) Application and use of the State-approved teacher rubric(s) selected by the district/BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher’s practice
   (5) Application and use of any assessment tools that the school district/BOCES utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers
   (6) Application and use of any locally selected measures of student growth used in the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category used by the district/BOCES to evaluate its teachers
   (7) Use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System
   (8) The scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the district/BOCES to evaluate a teacher under this Subpart, including the weightings of each subcomponent within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each subcomponent and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix(es) prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's overall rating and their category ratings
   (9) Specific considerations in evaluating teachers of English language learners and students with disabilities

B. The District’s process for certifying lead evaluators
   Upon successful completion of appropriate training the District will consider the lead evaluators certified. Upon completion, the Superintendent of Schools, shall certify all evaluators and notify them of their status. A copy of such certification will be placed in each evaluator’s personnel file and the Union President will be notified.

C. The District’s process for ensuring that lead evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time
   Greenburgh-Graham UFSD shall ensure that lead evaluators, independent evaluators, and evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time by mandated participation in semi-annual observation norming and calibration following a protocol-based process of common viewing of lessons. In addition, we will engage in data analysis to detect disparities on the part of one or more evaluators along with periodic comparisons of a lead evaluator’s assessment with another evaluator’s assessment of the same classroom teacher.

D. The District’s process for periodically recertifying all lead evaluators
   The Superintendent of Schools will provide re-certification annually according to the same protocol duration and schedule as the initial APPR training. The District will work to ensure that lead evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time, receive updated training on any changes in the law, regulations or applicable collective bargaining agreements.

6.7) Assurances: Teacher Evaluation
Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.

Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.

Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner.

Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide teachers whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

6.8) Assurances: Assessments

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that, where applicable, if students take more than one version of the Regents assessment for a particular content area, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.

Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.

Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.

Assure that, where there is more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

6.9) Assurances: Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.

Assure scores and ratings for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.

Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.

Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Task 7) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

(A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

7.1) State-Provided Measures of Student Growth (Original)

Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal’s students are taking assessments for which there is a State growth model (e.g., K-5, K-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.).

For principals where less than 30% of their students are covered under a State-provided growth measure, please use Task 7.2.

For the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, principals of buildings or programs that include all of grades 9-12 who receive State-provided growth scores based on Regents assessments set back-up SLOs based on Regents assessments.

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those principals who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that principal. Principals of buildings or programs that include all of grades 9-12 who receive State-provided growth scores based on Regents assessments should continue to set back-up SLOs based on Regents assessments in the 2019-20 school year and thereafter.

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where State-provided growth measures will apply beginning in the 2019-20 school year (please list, e.g., K-5, K-6, 6-8, 6-12, 7-12, 9-12). For each configuration, also indicate assessment(s) used for back-up SLOs.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grades</th>
<th>lowest grade</th>
<th>highest grade</th>
<th>assessment(s) used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K-6</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NYS Grade 4 ELA, NYS Grade 5 ELA, NYS Grade 6 ELA, NYS Grade 4 Math, NYS Grade 5 Math, NYS Grade 6 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Grade 7 ELA, Grade 7 Math, Grade 8 ELA, Grade 8 Math, All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs beginning in the 2019-20 school year for each grade configuration listed. The SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal’s school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Grade 4 ELA&lt;br&gt;Grade 5 ELA&lt;br&gt;Grade 4 Math&lt;br&gt;Grade 5 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Grade 6 ELA&lt;br&gt;Grade 7 ELA&lt;br&gt;Grade 8 ELA&lt;br&gt;Grade 6 Math&lt;br&gt;Grade 7 Math&lt;br&gt;Grade 8 Math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal’s State-provided growth score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- Assure that, for principals of buildings that do not include all of grades 9-12, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that principal and that principals of buildings that include all of grades 9-12 will have back-up SLOs set by the superintendent or another trained administrator in all school years.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.
### 7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97-</td>
<td>93-</td>
<td>90-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 85-              | 80-       | 75-        |             |
| 67-              | 60-       |             |             |
|                  | %         | %          |             |
| 89               | 84        | 79         |             |
|                  | %         | %          |             |

| 74               | 66        |             |             |
|                  | %         | %          |             |

| 59               | 54        | 48         |             |
|                  | %         | %          |             |

| 43               | 38        | 33         |             |
|                  | %         | %          |             |

| 38               | 33        | 28         |             |
|                  | %         | %          |             |

| 24               | 20        | 16         |             |
|                  | %         | %          |             |

| 13               | 12        | 9-         |             |
|                  | %         | 8%         |             |

| 9-                | 8         | 5-         |             |
|                  | %         | 8%         |             |

| 5-                | 4         | 0-         |             |
|                  | %         | 4%         |             |

### 7.4) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and math grades 4-8.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

### 7.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the principal has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a principal's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 7.3, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

### 7.6) Student Performance Subcomponent Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponents are not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponents are used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

YES, the Optional subcomponent WILL be used; the weight of each subcomponent is indicated below.

**Select the weight of the Required Student Performance subcomponent; the corresponding Optional Student Performance subcomponent weight is shown.**

- 50% required, 50% optional
Task 8) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

*Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.*

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that a different measure is used than that for the Required subcomponent in the Student Performance category, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

8.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent for Student Performance Measures

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

| YES, the Optional subcomponent WILL be used in the Student Performance category. |
Task 8) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

If the Optional Student Performance subcomponent will NOT be used, save this page and proceed to Task 9, this section is not required.

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that a different measure is used than that for the Required subcomponent in the Student Performance category, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

OPTION A: SECOND STATE-PROVIDED GROWTH SCORE

Option (A1) Principal-specific growth computed by the State based on percentage of students who achieve a State-determined level of growth (e.g., percentage of students whose growth is above the median for similar students)

Option (A2) School- or program-wide growth results using available State-provided growth scores that are locally-computed

Option (A3) District- or BOCES-wide growth results using available State-provided growth scores that are locally-computed

OPTION B: STATE-DESIGNED SUPPLEMENTAL ASSESSMENTS

Option (B1) Principal-specific growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model

Option (B2) District- or BOCES-wide growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model

The same locally selected measures of student growth across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in district/BOCES must be used to the extent practicable.

8.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that if a principal receives an overall rating of Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment (option B1 or B2) was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, then the principal must be rated Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d(5)(a) and (7)).

- Assure that if a principal receives an overall rating of Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test (option A1, A2, or A3) was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, then the principal may not be rated higher than Developing overall (see Education Law §3012-d(5)(a) and (7)).

8.2) Optional Grade Configurations, Measures and Assessments

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
Column 3: measure used

Column 4: assessment used (for any of Option (A), “Option A Selected” should be chosen)

Follow the examples below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) lowest grade</th>
<th>(2) highest grade</th>
<th>(3) measure</th>
<th>(4) assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grades K-4 Building</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(B1) Principal-specific growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment</td>
<td>aimsweb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 5-8 Building</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>(A1) Principal-specific growth computed by the State based on percentage of students who achieve a State-determined level of growth</td>
<td>Option A selected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please list the grade configuration(s) of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES that the Optional subcomponent applies to and select the measure and, as applicable, assessment(s) that will be used.

Options B1 or B2

Please check the box below, as applicable to the selected option(s). In addition, please also include a chart in Task 8.3 to demonstrate the process for assigning points consistent with the methodology prescribed by the assessment provider(s).

Assure that scores from 0-20 and HEDI ratings will be assigned in the manner prescribed by the State-approved supplemental assessment.

8.3) Conversion Chart

Please upload an attachment, as applicable to the selected option(s).

- For option (A1), option (A2), or option (A3), please upload a chart demonstrating how the second growth score chosen will be converted to a HEDI score from 0-20.
- For option (B1) or option (B2), please upload the chart provided by the assessment provider to demonstrate how the growth model translates to a HEDI score from 0-20.

I-Ready Chart for APPR.xlsx

8.4) Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below.

☑️ Assure that scores and ratings for the Optional student performance subcomponent, where a State-designed supplemental assessment is used, will be calculated in the manner specified by the assessment provider through the RFQ process.

☑️ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑️ Assure that all Optional Student Performance measures for a principal are different than any measures used for the Required Student Performance subcomponent.

☑️ Assure that the same locally selected measures of student growth are used across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in district/BOCES to the extent practicable.

☑️ Assure that during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, if a second State-provided growth score (options A1, A2, or A3) is selected as a measure in the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, such measure will be used for advisory purposes only and will not be used for the purpose of calculating transition scores and ratings.
9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marzano's School Administrator Rubric</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different grade level configurations or building types as indicated in Task 9.1 above.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

9.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

9.4) Calculating School Visit Ratings

Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 9.5 below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the district's/BOCES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall School Visit Category</th>
<th>Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating Category</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.5) Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponents:
- School Visits by Supervisor(s) or other Trained Administrator(s): At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score
- School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent:
- School Visits by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

*If the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee.

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.
Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d
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9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal’s school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

9.7) Number of School Visits

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits for each type of observer in the tables below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tenured Principals</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits</td>
<td>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits</td>
<td>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Probationary Principals</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits</td>
<td>Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits</td>
<td>Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unannounced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announced</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

### 10.1) Scoring Ranges

**Student Performance Category**
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HEDI rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Principal School Visit Category**
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HEDI rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 10.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Performance Category</th>
<th>Principal School Visit Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* If a principal is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the principal can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

### 10.3) Assurances

**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
11.1) Assurances: Improvement Plans

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

- Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

Principal Improvement Plan.docx

11.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

- Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

11.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review, which shall include the following:
   (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

Right to Appeal

A tenured teacher/principal who earns a rating of ineffective or developing may appeal his/her annual professional performance review and the school district’s issuance and/or implementation of the improvement plan in accordance with the procedures and conditions set forth in this section. Such procedures and conditions constitute the exclusive means for initiating, reviewing and resolving any and all challenges and appeals related to a teacher/principal performance review and/or improvement plan. Neither the APPR nor any improvement plan may be the subject of a grievance or arbitration.

Scope of Performance Review Appeal

1. Only a tenured teacher/principal who receives a rating of developing or ineffective may appeal his/her performance review.
2. A tenured teacher/principal may appeal only the substance of his/her performance review, the school district’s adherence to standards and methodologies required for the review, the school district’s issuance of an Improvement Plan and/or implementation of the terms of such Improvement Plan, adherence to applicable regulations of the commissioner of education, and compliance with negotiated procedures applicable to the conduct of performance reviews set forth in the school district’s annual professional performance review plan under Education Law 3012-d and subpart 30-3 of the Regents rules.
3. A teacher/principal may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review. All grounds for appealing a particular performance review must be raised within the same appeal. Any grounds not raised in the initial appeal shall be deemed waived.

Scope of Improvement Plan Appeal

1. A tenured teacher or principal may appeal the school district’s issuance of an Improvement Plan and/or implementation of the terms of such Improvement Plan.
2. Appeals related to the issuance of an improvement plan are limited to issues regarding compliance with the requirements prescribed in applicable law and regulations for the issuance of improvement plans.
3. A teacher/principal may not file multiple appeals regarding the issuance of the same improvement plan. All grounds for appealing the issuance of an improvement plan must be raised within the same appeal. Any grounds not raised at the time of the appeal shall be deemed waived.
4. Any grounds for appealing the implementation of the terms of the improvement plan not filed within the prescribed timelines in this section/agreement shall be deemed waived.

Timeline for Filing an Appeal

A tenured teacher/principal wishing to initiate an appeal must submit to the Superintendent or his/her designees, in writing, a detailed description of the precise points of disagreement over his/her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the improvement plan. The teacher/principal must include any and all additional documents or written materials specific to the point(s) of disagreement that support the appeal and are relevant to the resolution of the appeal including. Any such additional information not submitted at the time the appeal is filed shall not be considered in the deliberations related to the resolution of the appeal.

1. Appeals concerning a teacher/principal performance review must be filed no later than fifteen (15) days of the date when the teacher receives it.
2. Appeals concerning the issuance of an improvement plan must be filed within fifteen (15) days of the school district’s alleged failure to comply with the requirements prescribed in applicable law and regulations for issuance of improvement plans either whole or in part.
3. Appeals concerning the implementation of an improvement plan must be filed within fifteen (15) days of the school district’s alleged failure to implement the terms of the plan either whole or in part.
4. Appeals not commenced within the timelines delineated in this section will be deemed waived and are not subject to review.
5. The teacher/principal bears the burden of proving by substantial evidence the merits of the appeal.
6. The Superintendent’s determination will be issued within (5) school days of receipt.
7. The determination of the appeal pursuant to the above process is final and binding. Only the failure of either the District or Association to abide by the above agreed upon process is subject to the grievance procedure.

11.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

☒ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal’s evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

11.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators
The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The Greenburgh-Graham UFSD will train evaluators (evaluators, lead evaluators and independent evaluators) before implementation of the new APPR. The District will ensure that all Lead Evaluators/Evaluators are properly trained and certified to complete an individual’s performance review.

A. The duration and nature of the training the District will provide to evaluators:

Evaluators will be trained by Observation and the Superintendent of Schools. There will be initial annual training at the beginning of the school year during Superintendent’s Conference Days for approximately 3 hours. There will be four trainings during administrative cabinet meetings lasting 1-2 hours each over the course of the school year. The trainings will include the nine elements from Regensts rules 30-3.10:

(1) The Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable
(2) Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research
(3) Application and use of the student growth percentile model and any other growth model approved by the Department as defined in section 30-3.2 of this Subpart
(4) Application and use of the State-approved principal rubric(s) selected by the district/BOCES for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a principal’s practice
(5) Application and use of any assessment tools that the school district/BOCES utilizes to evaluate its building principals
(6) Application and use of any locally selected measures of student growth used in the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category used by the district/BOCES to evaluate its principals
(7) Use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System
(8) The scoring methodology utilized by the department and/or the district/BOCES to evaluate a principal under this Subpart, including the weightings of each subcomponent within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each subcomponent and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix(es) prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the principal’s overall rating and their category ratings
(9) Specific considerations in evaluating principals of English language learners and students with disabilities

B. The District’s process for certifying lead evaluators:

Upon successful completion of appropriate training the District will consider the lead evaluators certified. Upon completion, the Superintendent of Schools, shall certify all evaluators and notify them of their status. A copy of such certification will be placed in each evaluator’s personnel file and the Union President will be notified.

C. The District’s process for ensuring that evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time

Greenburgh-Graham UFSD shall ensure that evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time by mandated participation in semi-annual observation norming and calibration. In addition, we will engage in data analysis to detect disparities on the part of one or more evaluators along with periodic comparisons of a lead evaluator’s assessment with another evaluator’s assessment of the same building principal.

D. The District’s process for periodically recertifying all lead evaluators

The Superintendent of Schools will provide re-certification annually according to the same protocol duration and schedule as the initial APPR training. The District will work to ensure that lead evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time, receive updated training on any changes in the law, regulations or applicable collective bargaining agreements.
11.7) Assurances: Principal Evaluation

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal’s Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal’s performance is being measured.
- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal’s evaluation:
  - evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal’s performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such principals with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

11.8) Assurances: Assessments

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that, where applicable, if students take more than one version of the Regents assessment for a particular content area, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- Assure that, where there is more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

11.9) Assurances Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores and ratings for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- Assure that enrolled students in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.
- Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Task 12) Upload APPR District Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using the "District Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

Signed Certification Form 2-1-19.pdf
TEACHER

IMPROVEMENT

PLAN

(TIP)
Teacher Improvement Plan

The Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) is designed to provide support through communication, discussion and collaboration in the area(s) of significant concern. The administrator and teacher will jointly determine the strategies to be taken to overcome the deficiencies, but it is agreed that the primary responsibility for correction of the deficiencies remains with the teacher. The administrator and teacher will agree on a mutual time-line to improve any noted deficiencies.

The Purpose of a TIP is to:

- Improve teacher performance;
- Provide targeted, intensive assistance process;
- Provide additional support;
- Provide due process for possible disciplinary action;
- Provide information to determine tenure

Referral to TIP

1. All teachers are required to be on a TIP if rated developing or ineffective. In addition, the administrator may recommend a teacher for the TIP component when the concerns are such that an intensive intervention process is necessary.

2. The administrator, via written report to the Superintendent or designee, will initiate the recommendation. A copy of the report will also be provided to the teacher. The recommendation will include:

   ➢ A description of the concerns as they relate to the teacher’s proficiency in demonstrating the Criteria for Effective Teaching.

   ➢ An explanation of how the teacher is expected to benefit from the TIP.

   ➢ Documentation of previous efforts made by administrator and/or teacher to improve performance.

3. If the teacher recognizes that there are deficiencies in performance an exhibits a willingness to address concerns, the TIP component will commence.

4. If the teacher refuses to recognize deficiencies and/or rejects the recommendation for a TIP, the District will take appropriate action with regard to due process.
# TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN WORKSHEET
*(To be completed jointly by teacher and administrator)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Grade/Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**AREA(S) NEEDING IMPROVEMENT/GOALS**

**ACTION STEPS (Provide detailed description)**

**TIMELINE FOR COMPLETION**

**MANNER IN WHICH IMPROVEMENT WILL BE ASSESSED**

Plan to support improvement (Professional Development, Meetings, Mentoring, etc):

__________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________

3
Teacher’s Comments: ________________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________________________

Administrator’s Comments:

______________________________________________________________________________

Teacher’s Signature  Date  Administrator’s Signature  Date
### TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN EVALUATION SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Grade/Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA(S) NEEDING IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>ACTION STEPS</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
<th>ACTION STEPS COMPLETED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIP Satisfied?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(If no, recommendations must be specified in the Administrator’s Comments below.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Manner in which improvement will be assessed (Results, evidence, etc.):

____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

Teacher’s Comments: ______________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

Administrator’s Comments: _________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

Teacher’s Signature  Date  Administrator’s Signature  Date
GREENBURGH-GRAHAM UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT
1 SOUTH BROADWAY
HASTINGS-ON-HUDSON, NEW YORK, 10706
PHONE: 914-478-1106  FAX: 914-478-0904

PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN (PIP)
Greenburgh-Graham UFSD –Principal Improvement Plan

Principal_________________________ School Year___________

School___________________________ Start Date____________ Follow-Up Date____________

**Purpose:** The goal of a Principal Improvement Plan is to improve performance and professional growth. Upon rating a Principal as Developing or Ineffective through an annual professional performance review, the district will develop and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for such Principal. The PIP will be developed in consultation with the Principal as a next step in the continuum of efforts to assist a Principal with improving his/her performance. The Principal and supervisor will meet at least monthly for formative assessment of the plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENTS FROM APPR WHERE IMPROVEMENT IS NEEDED</th>
<th>BENCHMARKS MEASURABLE AND OBSERVABLE PERFORMANCE GOALS AND SPECIFIC EXPECTATIONS</th>
<th>TIMELINE FOR ACHIEVING IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>EVIDENCE BY WHICH IMPROVEMENT WILL BE ASSESSED</th>
<th>ACTIVITIES/RESOURCES RESPONSIBLE PERSON(S) TO SUPPORT IMPROVEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I have read this report and understand that a copy will be placed in my official personnel file.

_________________________ ____________________________
Signature of Principal Date
I have read this report and understand that a copy will be placed in my official personnel file.

__________________________________________  ______________________________________________________
Signature of Principal  Date
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENTS FROM APPR WHERE IMPROVEMENT IS NEEDED</th>
<th>BENCHMARKS MEASURABLE AND OBSERVABLE PERFORMANCE GOALS AND SPECIFIC EXPECTATIONS</th>
<th>TIMELINE FOR ACHIEVING IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>EVIDENCE BY WHICH IMPROVEMENT WILL BE ASSESSED</th>
<th>ACTIVITIES/RESOURCES RESPONSIBLE PERSON(S) TO SUPPORT IMPROVEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Notes:

Notes:

Notes:

Notes:

Notes:

Notes:

I have read this report and understand that a copy will be placed in my official personnel file.

____________________________ ____________________________
Signature of Principal Date
December 20__

Principal_________________________ School Year___________

School___________________________ Start Date____________ Follow-Up Date________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENTS FROM APPR WHERE IMPROVEMENT IS NEEDED</th>
<th>BENCHMARKS MEASURABLE AND OBSERVABLE PERFORMANCE GOALS AND SPECIFIC EXPECTATIONS</th>
<th>TIMELINE FOR ACHIEVING IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>EVIDENCE BY WHICH IMPROVEMENT WILL BE ASSESSED</th>
<th>ACTIVITIES/RESOURCES RESPONSIBLE PERSON(S) TO SUPPORT IMPROVEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I have read this report and understand that a copy will be placed in my official personnel file.

____________________________ ____________________________
Signature of Principal Date
I have read this report and understand that a copy will be placed in my official personnel file.

Signature of Principal Date
## ELEMENTS FROM APPRAISAL WHERE IMPROVEMENT IS NEEDED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENTS FROM APPR WHERE IMPROVEMENT IS NEEDED</th>
<th>BENCHMARKS MEASURABLE AND OBSERVABLE PERFORMANCE GOALS AND SPECIFIC EXPECTATIONS</th>
<th>TIMELINE FOR ACHIEVING IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>EVIDENCE BY WHICH IMPROVEMENT WILL BE ASSESSED</th>
<th>ACTIVITIES/RESOURCES RESPONSIBLE PERSON(S) TO SUPPORT IMPROVEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I have read this report and understand that a copy will be placed in my official personnel file.

______________________________
Signature of Principal

______________________________
Date
March 20__

Principal_________________________ School Year___________
School___________________________ Start Date____________ Follow-Up Date________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENTS FROM APPR WHERE IMPROVEMENT IS NEEDED</th>
<th>BENCHMARKS MEASURABLE AND OBSERVABLE PERFORMANCE GOALS AND SPECIFIC EXPECTATIONS</th>
<th>TIMELINE FOR ACHIEVING IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>EVIDENCE BY WHICH IMPROVEMENT WILL BE ASSESSED</th>
<th>ACTIVITIES/RESOURCES RESPONSIBLE PERSON(S) TO SUPPORT IMPROVEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I have read this report and understand that a copy will be placed in my official personnel file.

____________________________ ____________________________
Signature of Principal Date
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENTS FROM APPR WHERE IMPROVEMENT IS NEEDED</th>
<th>BENCHMARKS MEASURABLE AND OBSERVABLE PERFORMANCE GOALS AND SPECIFIC EXPECTATIONS</th>
<th>TIMELINE FOR ACHIEVING IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>EVIDENCE BY WHICH IMPROVEMENT WILL BE ASSESSED</th>
<th>ACTIVITIES/RESOURCES RESPONSIBLE PERSON(S) TO SUPPORT IMPROVEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I have read this report and understand that a copy will be placed in my official personnel file.

_________________________________________ ____________________________
Signature of Principal Date

_________________________________________ ____________________________
Signature of Supervising Administrator Date

April 20__

Principal_________________________ School Year___________

School___________________________ Start Date____________ Follow-Up Date________________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENTS FROM APPR WHERE IMPROVEMENT IS NEEDED</th>
<th>BENCHMARKS MEASURABLE AND OBSERVABLE PERFORMANCE GOALS AND SPECIFIC EXPECTATIONS</th>
<th>TIMELINE FOR ACHIEVING IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>EVIDENCE BY WHICH IMPROVEMENT WILL BE ASSESSED</th>
<th>ACTIVITIES/RESOURCES RESPONSIBLE PERSON(S) TO SUPPORT IMPROVEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I have read this report and understand that a copy will be placed in my official personnel file.

__________________________________________  ________________________________
Signature of Principal                      Date
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENTS FROM APPR WHERE IMPROVEMENT IS NEEDED</th>
<th>BENCHMARKS MEASURABLE AND OBSERVABLE PERFORMANCE GOALS AND SPECIFIC EXPECTATIONS</th>
<th>TIMELINE FOR ACHIEVING IMPROVEMENT</th>
<th>EVIDENCE BY WHICH IMPROVEMENT WILL BE ASSESSED</th>
<th>ACTIVITIES/RESOURCES RESPONSIBLE PERSON(S) TO SUPPORT IMPROVEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I have read this report and understand that a copy will be placed in my official personnel file.

____________________________  ____________________________
Signature of Principal  Date
Signature of Supervising Administrator         Date
## I-Ready Growth Charts for Reading and Math

### Typical Growth Targets by Grade and Fall Placement Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+ levels Below</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Levels Below</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Level Below</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### On Level, Early

|       | 44| 47| 29| 22| 17| 13| 9 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

### On Level, Mid, Late, or Above

### Percentage of Students Meeting SLO Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Developing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Developing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Highly Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Highly Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Highly Effective</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## I-Ready Growth Charts for Reading and Math

### Typical Growth Targets by Grade and Fall Placement Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Fall Diagnostic Placement</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3+ levels Below</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 Levels Below</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 level Below</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On Level, Early</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On Level, Mid, Late, or Above</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Percentage of Students Meeting SLO Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-4%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-8%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-12%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-16%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-20%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-24%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-28%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29-33%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34-38%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39-43%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44-48%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49-54%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-66%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Developing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67-74%</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Developing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-79%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-84%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85-89%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-92%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Highly Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93-96%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Highly Effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97-100%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Highly Effective</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district’s or BOCES’ complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district’s or BOCES’ complete APPR Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the district’s or BOCES’ complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the district’s or BOCES’ complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The district/BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, transition scores and ratings will be calculated for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 English Language Arts (ELA) and math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores; that the district/BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures in their approved APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and that original APPR scores and ratings will be provided for advisory purposes only, and will have no impact on employment decisions, including tenure determinations, or teacher and principal improvement plans.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner’s approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11), as added by Chapter 56 of the Laws of 2015.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the overall transition rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures described in this APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, original APPR scores and ratings will not be used as the basis for employment decisions and will only be used for advisory purposes;
- Assure that beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, the original overall APPR score pursuant to the district or BOCES approved APPR plan shall be used as the basis for employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES, shall provide teachers and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings calculated pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher’s performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers and principals with their original composite APPR rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher’s performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall continue to provide teachers and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are not based on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments or State-provided growth scores with their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if
Assure that the alternate SLOs described in Tasks 2 and 7 of this APPR plan will be used as the basis for certain
assurance that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of
the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured; and that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or
principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the school year for which the
classroom teacher or building principal’s performance is being measured.

Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the district office and made available to the public on the district’s or BOCES’
website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan’s approval by the
Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.

Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline
prescribed by the Commissioner;

Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school year, the district or BOCES shall continue to report both the
original and transition individual category and subcomponent scores and the overall original and transition ratings to the
State for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;

Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects
and/or student rosters assigned to them;

Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;

Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations,
including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with
disabilities;

Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, any educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective
rating as their overall transition rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in
accordance with all applicable statues and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year in
which such teacher’s or principal’s performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

Assure that, beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, any educator who receives a Developing or Ineffective rating on
their original overall rating pursuant to this APPR plan will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal
Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statues and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following
the school year for which such teacher’s or principal’s performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter;

Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of
their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the
Civil Service Law;

Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will
be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all
applicable statutes and regulations;

Assure that the district or BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and
regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the district/BOCES;

Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed
at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008
Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total
number of annual school visits;

Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each
subcomponent and that the district and BOCES shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are
assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of
each school year;

Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally
selected measures of student growth across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES must be
used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;

Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the
superintendent or another trained administrator;

Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of
each school year, on a form prescribed by the Commissioner, to the Commissioner for approval;

Assure that the alternate SLOs described in Tasks 2 and 7 of this APPR plan will be used as the basis for certain
teachers’ and principals’ transition APPR scores and ratings, where applicable and consistent with §30-3.17 of the Rules
of the Board Regents, during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years only;
• Assure that, beginning in the 2019-20 school year, no transition scores and ratings will be generated and the district or
BOCES' original APPR Plan will apply to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the statute,
regulations, and SED guidance without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements as a result of the
requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
• Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual
monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
• Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by
State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the
minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
• Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does
not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time
devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not
be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall
not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to
supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to
English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date: 1/31/19

Superintendent Name (print): Oliver B. Levy, Sr.

Teachers Union President Signature: Date: 2/1/19

Teachers Union President Name (print): Vanessa Van Duesen

Administrative Union President Signature: Date: 1/31/19

Administrative Union President Name (print):

Board of Education President Signature: Date: 1/31/2017

Board of Education President Name (print): Jess Damhauser