THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK



Interim Commissioner of Education President of the University of the State of New York 89 Washington Avenue, Room 111 Albany, New York 12234 E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov Twitter:@NYSEDNews Tel: (518) 474-5844 Fax: (518) 473-4909

December 18, 2020

APPR Plan - Variance

Lisa Wiles, Superintendent Ellenville Central School District 28 Maple Avenue Ellenville, NY 12428

Dear Superintendent Wiles:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan variance application meets the criteria outlined in section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided in your variance application, including the narrative descriptions, certifications, and assurances that are included in the application. During the approved term of this variance, your LEA will implement the variance along with all other remaining provisions of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan and/or the terms of your approved variance, your LEA must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class school leader to support their professional growth, and every student achieves success.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely

Betty A. Kosa

Interim Commissioner

Attachment

c: Charles Khoury

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR variance application have been reviewed and are considered as part of your approved APPR variance application; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR variance application but are not incorporated by reference have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan or variance and/or require corrective action.

Pursuant to section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, please note that an LEA with an approved variance shall provide to the Department, upon its request, any documentation related to the implementation and efficacy of the approach proposed in the variance, including but not limited to: reports on the correlation in assigned ratings for different measures of the LEA's evaluation system and differentiation among educators within each subcomponent and category of the evaluation system

Your variance is approved for the 2020-21 school year. Because you requested this variance to address issues related to COVID-19, the approval of this variance for any future school years (up to a three school year period) is contingent on the continuation of the current COVID-19 pandemic notwithstanding your request for this variance to apply in future school years. Upon expiration of state-imposed restrictions or emergency measures related to the pandemic, or abatement of the pandemic, it is expected that your variance will no longer be required. As such, SED may withdraw its approval of this variance for any subsequent school years or may require a separate application or other documentation for continuation of the variance in future school years. Upon expiration of the approved term of your variance, you must implement the terms of your current APPR plan as approved by the Commissioner.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 1. General Information - General Information

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2020

Annual Professional Performance Review Variance (Education Law 3012-d)

For guidance related to the Annual Professional Performance Review variance, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

At its October 2019 meeting, the Board of Regents amended sections 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents to allow LEAs to apply for a variance from Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan requirements to permit them to develop and implement new and innovative approaches to evaluation that meet the specific needs of the LEA, upon a finding by the Commissioner that the new and innovative approach demonstrates how it will ensure differentiated results over time and how the results of the evaluation will be used to provide personalized professional learning opportunities to teachers and principals, while complying with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d.

In instances where a variance is approved, the term(s) described in the approved variance will replace the related sections of the LEA's currently approved APPR plan. However, please note that all other terms as are present in the LEA's currently approved plan will remain in effect and must be implemented without modification.

Once a variance is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's APPR plan during the approved term of the variance. In any instance in which there is an approved variance and such variance contains information that conflicts with the information provided in the approved Education Law §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved variance will apply during the approved term of the variance.

Variance Application Timeline

Variance applications must be approved by the Department by December 1 of a school year to be implemented in that school year.

Submission by November 1 is suggested to allow time forreview, revision and approval in order to meet the approval deadline for implementation in the same school year.

Absent a finding by the Commissioner of extraordinary circumstances, a variance application approved after December 1 of a school year will not be implemented until the following school year.

For more information regarding the variance approval deadline, including a possible extension, please contact APPRVariance@nysed.gov.

Variance Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- ☑ Assure that the contents of this form are in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's variance is kept on file and that a copy of such variance will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- Assure that this variance will be posted on the LEA's website, in addition to its current full APPR plan, no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- Assure that it is understood that this LEAs variance will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website* following approval.

Teacher Variance

Please check the appropriate box below.

Assure that any task not included in the following variance request(s) for teachers will be carried out in the manner described in the currently approved APPR plan.

Principal Variance

Education Law §3012-d requires that the principal evaluation system be aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluation. Therefore, when completing a variance request for the evaluation of principals, the processes identified must be aligned to such requirements.

Please check the appropriate box below.

Assure that any requested variance for principal evaluation is aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluation provided in Education Law Section 3012-d and that any task not included in the following variance request(s) for principals will be carried out in the manner described in the currently approved APPR plan.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 1 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category,see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Education Law §3012-d requires that each teacher havea Student Learning Objective (SLO)consistent with a goal-setting process based on appropriate growth targets. The process must include, at a minimum, the following elements:

A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components),

Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance),

A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20,

A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating.

This requirement currently approved APPR plan will apply.

must be met through

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an SLO process for a teacher or group of teachers that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

- ☐ A variance is not requested for the required student performance subcomponent for teachers.
- ☑ The details of the variance request for the required student performance subcomponent for teachers is described in the subsequent section.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 2 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Applicability

Page Last Modified: 11/22/2020

Applicable Teachers

Please indicate all teachers to whomthis required student performance variance request applies.

Core Teachers

Use the table below to list the core teachers this required student performance variance request is applicable to (teachers of other courses should be listed in the subsequent section).

	All Core Teachers	Common Branch	ELA	Math	Science	Social Studies
Courses	All core teachers (K-3; 4-8 ELA, math, science, social studies; high school ELA and Regents courses) [if this option is selected, please do not make selections in subsequent columns]					

Other Teachers

☑ Teachers of other courses included in this required student performance variance request are listed in the table below.

Fill in the following for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that are included in this required student performancevariance request:

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above
K-3 Art	K	3	Art
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives

Click "Add Row" to add additional courses. Only list additional courses if they are included in this required student performance variance request.

Grade From	Grade To	Subject
К	12	All courses not named above

Applicable Areas

A variance may be requested for the following areas of the required student performance subcomponent:

- A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components)
- Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance)
- A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20*
- A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating*

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 3 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Applicability

Page Last Modified: 11/22/2020

Please indicate the area(s) of the required student performance subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

☑ Measures of student growth

☑ Evidence of student learning

*Only select "Conversion to a 20-point score" or "HEDI ranges"

Highly Effe	Highly Effective Effective		Developing			Ineffective									
								1 1 2 1	1 0	8	7 6	5 4	13	2 1	0
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	5 4		1 1				9	
97-	93-	90-	85-	80-	75-	67-74%	60-66%	59	4 9	4	9 5	1 7	7 3	Ğ -	
100%	96%	92%	89%	84%	79%	07-74%	00-00%	5 5 5	- - 4 4	3	. - 3 2	2 2	2 1	1 8	4
								94	8 3	8	3 8	4	ปลโ	2 ° %	4 %
								%%	%%	<u>امرہ</u>	% %	% 9	%	<u> </u>	Ш

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 4 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Measures of Student Growth

Page Last Modified: 12/14/2020

Measures of Student Growth

Describe the measure(s) that will be used to evaluate teachers for the required student performance subcomponent (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components).

The measuresthat will be used to evaluate teachers for the required student performance subcomponent will be determined based upon the following scenarios:

Scenario #1:

For teachers who are scored based on NYS assessment results, there will be no changes. For teachers who are scored based on a thirdparty assessment, Star ELA & Math, we are proposing replacing this with a District-wide SLO that is based on an equal weighting of results of the Regents exams in Global History & Geography II and United States History & Government based on the percentage of students who have met their growth target.

The District is not proposing any changes to our process for setting targets. Targets are set by individual teachers who teachGlobal History & Geography II and United States History & Governmentand are subject to the approval of the building principals. Teachers set targets based several metrics which include: student score on pre-assessment, student learning needs (IEP/504/ENL status), past student performance in related courses, and attendance data.

Scenario #2:

In the event that NYS cancels State assessments, all teachersDistrict-wide will be scored based on an equal weighting of locally developed assessments in Global History & Geography II and United States History & Government. The SLO goal setting process will consist of a baseline pre-assessment for the students enrolled in those courses, with the teachers of thosestudents setting individual target scores, subject toapproval of the building principal. The total growth score will be determined based the scalecurrently approved to calculate student growthfor teachers measured using SLOs.

Targets will beset by individual teachers who teach Global History & Geography II and United States History & Government and are subject to the approval of the building principals. These targets will be used for everyone under the District-wide SLO. Teachers set targets based several metrics which include: student score on pre-assessment, student learning needs (IEP/504/ENL status), past student performance in related courses, and attendance data.

Measures Assurance

Please check the box below.

Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 5 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Evidence of Student Learning

Page Last Modified: 12/10/2020

Evidence of Student Learning

Please identify any evidence of student learning to be used. A description of how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment should be included in the last section of this variance request.

Type(s) of Evidence

- ☑ State or Regents assessment(s)
- ☑ Locally-developed course-specific assessment(s)

State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ Global History Regents
- US History Regents

Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)

☑ Assessment(s) created by the LEA completing this variance application

Identify the LEA(s) that created the assessment(s):

Ellenville Central School

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 6 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/14/2020

Variance Details

Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.

Rationale

Please provide a rationale for this variance request.

> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the required student performance variance request.

The Ellenville Central School District is requesting an APPR variance for required student performance for the 2020 - 21 school year due to the impact that COVID-19 has had on teaching and learning, and to best accommodate ourremote learning plan. The District is currently operating under an optional hybridinstructional model for students in grades K - 6. Approximately only 40% of our K - 6 students are reporting for in-person learning under this model. Students in grades 7 - 12 have been on remote learning since the start of the school year. There has been many challenges and obstacles related to attendance and engagement for those participating in remote learning. Further, the demandsplaced on teachers to plan for and deliver remote instruction has been overwhelming for many.

Underour current APPR plan, all teachers who are not measuredusing State assessments have their growth scoredetermined utilizing State ELA & Math. With a large percentage of students participating in remote learning, there are significant concerns about securely administering the Star assessments remotely and receiving results which accurately represent student performance and growth. Therefore, we are requesting that an alternative growth measure be used for those teachers who are not measured by a State assessment as described in the previous section (Measures of Student Growth).

Further, if NYS cancels State assessments, an alternative growth measure (described in Measures of Student Growth) will need to be utilized.

Standards and Procedures

Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.

- > This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.
- > This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures of student growth and/or evidence of student learning that will be used to evaluate educators.

As described above, the District is proposing an alternate measure of student growth to be determined based on the two scenarios provided. The District will not be administering the Star assessment, which is the current means of assessing student growth for teachers not scored based on NYS assessments. Teachers from the high school social studies department will create locally developed assessments in Global History & Geography II and United States History & Government. These exams will be structured in a similar format of the NYS Regents exams and the questions will reflect the level of rigor found on the Regents exams. The exams will include multiple choice questions, short answer/free response questions, and essays. Essays will be scored using a rubric which is modeled after the grading rubric provided by the State for Regents exams. Plans will be developed to ensure that the examscan be administered in-person and/or remotely.

Exams will be scored by a committee of teachers from the high school social studies department, using the same procedures that we use when grading Regents exams. Grading teams will be developed so that multiple scorers review essays and no teacher will be allowed to grade an exam which belongs to a student from the related course.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 7 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/14/2020

Rigor

Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.

- > This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.
- > This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.

The District will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong equitable inferences about the effectiveness the District's educators. The District will ensure a rigorous process for setting growth targets set aroundseveral metrics which include: student score on pre-assessment, student learning needs (IEP/504/ENL status), past student performance in related courses, and attendance data. The building principal will review growth targets to ensure that targets are appropriate and demonstrate high expectations for student learning. As noted above, in the event that State assessments are canceled, the District is proposingthat teachers be scored using locally developed assessments in Global History & Geography II and US History & Government. Rigorous assessments in these two subject areas willprovide valuable insight to the District's progress on addressing academic deficiencies in the areas of literacy and critical thinking skills for students, and the teaching strategies that are used to address these skills. One of the District's main priorities at the current time is to improve literacyinstruction across all grade levels. The District strongly believes that it is the responsibility of all District educators to ensure that students can read, write, communicate and analyze information so that they can access learning nowand and in the future.

After the assessments are given, an item analysis will be done so that the District can identify the overall strengths and weaknesses of our instructional programs and determine which skills need to be addressed, K- 12, to improve student outcomes in literacy and critical thinking skills. This process will support the District's Strategic Action Plan and will provide the data needed to assist in identifying theroot causes that have brought literacy to the forefront of the District's improvement efforts.

Professional Learning

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

- > This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:
- collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,
- · specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,
- · processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and
- use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.

The information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, will be used to assist in the determination of the professional learning opportunities needed for the District's educators, K - 12, to address teachingand learning strategies. For example, if the assessment results indicate that students are lacking the skills needed to examine documents and draw conclusions, direct support will be provided to teachers on how to support students through that process.

Professional learning opportunities for the District's educators may include: instructional coaching, workshops on differentiating instruction, K -12 committees to review scope and sequence to ensure horizontal and vertical alignment of content and skills, using data to drive instruction, and curriculum writing to ensure that teaching and learning is aligned to the Next Generation Learning Standards and that learning activities are designed to address literacy.

The District often contracts with our local BOCES to provide professional development to our teachers, but will also continue to seek out alternate providers who can meet the needs of our students and teachers.

The District has a practice of evaluating the effectiveness of professional development opportunities through the use of post-workhop/activity surveys, that are reviewed to determine ongoing professional development needs. Additionally, building and District administratorswill conduct ongoing walkthroughs and observations to ensure that strategies identified for improving student outcomes are being put into practice.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 8 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/14/2020

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

- > This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:
- · collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,
- the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and
- how results will be used to inform future implementation.

The District will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance. Anitem analysis report will be made available to all teachers and will also be reviewed by a team to summarize the priorities in the District's instructional program. This will be done for both scenarios. District literacy goals and measurable objectives will be developed based on the results and identified priorities.

Prior to administering the pre and post assessments for Global History & Geography II and US History & Government, the locally developed assessment will be reviewed by a teamof educators, K - 12, to ensure that they are valid and reliable, and will provide data which will help the District identify the teaching and learning areas which need to be addressed.

Use of the Optional Student Performance Subcomponent & Weighting

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used in the process included in this variance request by making the appropriate selection below.

☑ The weighting included in the currently approved plan will not change based on this variance request.

Required Student Performance Variance Assurances

Please check the box below as applicable to all teachers included in this required student performance variance request.

Assure that each teacher covered by this variance request will have an SLO consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 9 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 3. TEACHERS: Optional Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/19/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an optional second measure for a teacher or group of teachers that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ A variance is not requested for the optional student performance subcomponent for teachers.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 10 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/22/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to evaluate teacher practice in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ The details of the variance request for the teacher observation category is described in the subsequent section.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 11 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Applicability & Rubric

Page Last Modified: 11/23/2020

Applicable Teachers

Please indicate all teachers to whomthis teacher observation variance request applies.

Core Teachers

Use the table below to list the core teachers this teacher observation variance request is applicable to (teachers of other courses should be listed in the subsequent section).

	All Core Teachers	Common Branch	ELA	Math	Science	Social Studies
Courses	All core teachers (K-3; 4-8 ELA, math, science, social studies; high school ELA and Regents courses) [if this option is selected, please do not make selections in subsequent columns]					

Other Teachers

- ☐ Teachers of other courses are not included in this teacher observation variance request.
- ☑ Teachers of other courses included in this teacher observation variance request are listed in the table below.

Fill in the following for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that are included in this teacher observation variance request:

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above
K-3 Art	K	3	Art
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives

Click "Add Row" to add additional courses. Only list additional courses if they are included in this teacher observation variance request.

Grade From	Grade To	Subject
К	12	All courses not named above

Applicable Areas

A variance may be requested for the following components of the teacher observation subcomponent:

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 12 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Applicability & Rubric

Page Last Modified: 11/23/2020

- · Teacher practice rubric
- · Rating and scoring of the teacher practice rubric
- · Weighting of thedomains/subcomponentsof the teacher practice rubric
- · HEDI scoring bands
- Weighting of the teacher observation subcomponents
- · Required principal/supervisor observations
- · Required independent evaluator observations
- · Optional peer observations

Please indicate the area(s) of the teacher observation subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

- Rating and scoring of the teacher practice rubric
- ☑ Teacher observation subcomponent weighting
- ☑ Required independent evaluator observations

Rating and Scoring of the Teacher Practice Rubric

Please describe the process for rating and scoring the chosen practice rubric.

Under the current APPR plan, the District currently evaluates teachers using the Danielson Framework (2011 Revised Edition). The District will continue to use this framework, but will focus on fewer components, as recommended by the Danielson Group's Framework for Remote Teaching to better support educators in the context of online learning and remote instruction.

Teachers will be evaluated on the following components:

Component 1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students

Component 1e: Designing Coherent Instruction

Component 2a: Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport

Component 2c: Managing Classroom Procedures

Component 3b: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques

Component 3c: Engaging Students in Learning

Component 3d: Using Assessment in Instruction

Component 4c: Communicating with Families

The following components will be omitted:

Component 1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of Content & Pedagogy

Component 1c: Setting Instructional Outcomes

Component 1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources

Component 1f: Designing Student Assessments

Component 2b: Establishing a Culture for Learning

Component 2d: Managing Student Behavior

Component 2e: Organizing Physical Space Component 3a: Communicating with Students

Component 3e: Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness

Component 4a: Reflecting on Teaching

Component 4b: Maintaining Accurate Records

Component 4d: Participating in a Professional Community

Component 4e: Growing and Developing Professionally

Component 4f: Showing Professionalism

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 13 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Subcomponent Weighting

Page Last Modified: 11/22/2020

Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Principal/Administrator	Independent Evaluator(s)	, ,	Group for which this weighting will apply. If the indicated weighting will be used for all teachers listed in the 'Applicability' section, note "All Teachers."
100	0	0	All Teachers

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 14 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Required Independent Evaluator Observations

Page Last Modified: 11/22/2020

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Number and Method of Observation

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below. At least one observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.

If an observation type is not applicable, please indicate '0' for the minimum number and 'N/A' for the observation method.

	Minimum number of observations	Observation method (check all that apply)	Other observation method (only complete if 'Other' is selected in the previous column)
Unannounced	0	☑ N/A	(No Response)
Announced	0	☑ N/A	(No Response)
Totals:	0		

To which teachers does the information in the above table apply?

☑ All teachers listed in the 'Applicability' section.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 15 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Details & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/14/2020

Variance Details

Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.

Rationale

Please provide a rationale for this variance request.

> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the teacher observation variance request.

The Ellenville Central School District is requesting a teacher observation variance due to the impact that COVID-19 has placed on teaching and learning, as well as health and safety. The major changes that the District is requesting is a reduction of the minimum number of observations from two to one (waiving the need for an independent evaluator) and omitting 14 of the 22 components of the Danielson Framework (2011 Revised Edition), based on the Danielson Group's Framework for Remote Teaching.

Number of Observations:

The impact of COVID-19 has placed additional responsibilities on the District's administrativeteam. This includes: implementation and evaluation of updated safety protocols related to COVID-19, increased communication with parents and staff, and regular review and revision of reopening plans. During a regular year, teacher observations take a considerable amount of time, as they should in order to provide valuable insight into the effectiveness of the instructional staff. However, the District feels strongly that these new responsibilities must take precedence as they impact the health (physical and emotional) and safety of our students and staff during this unprecedented time. Additionally, the District is currently focused on several improvement projects including: a grade configuration plan for 2021-22, opening our P-TECH academy in September 2021, conducting required activities under the Title I School Improvement Grant, and conducting required activities under the State mandatedspecial education review. Further, the District is currently down two members of the administrative team. While we have interim administrators filling in where they can, many additional responsibilities that would fall on these two administrators have been reassigned to other members of the administrative team.

As a result of the above, the District is requesting that the minimum number of observations required under the current APPR plan be reduced from two to one and that the single observation be conducted the the building principal/assistant principal/director. Since the building administrators know their teachers the best and know which areas they most need support in, the District believes that they should be conducting the observation for the teachers assigned to their building. Additionally, by removing the requirement for the independent evaluator, it omits the need for additional observers to be entering buildings and classrooms that they don't normally visit, which we have encouraged for all staff during the current heal

Omitting Required Subcomponents:

The District will still be utilizing the Danielson Framework (2011 Revised Edition), but has negotiated with the Union to omit the following subcomponents due to the challenges that remote teaching and learning present for teachers and students. The observer may still collect evidence on these subcomponents and provide the teacher with recommendations, but no score will be calculated. (Note: this is based on the recommendation provided by the Danielson Group's Framework for Remote Teaching)

Component 1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of Content & Pedagogy

Component 1c: Setting Instructional Outcomes

Component 1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources

Component 1f: Designing Student Assessments

Component 2b: Establishing a Culture for Learning

Component 2d: Managing Student Behavior

Component 2e: Organizing Physical Space

Component 3a: Communicating with Students

Component 3e: Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness

Component 4a: Reflecting on Teaching

Component 4b: Maintaining Accurate Records

Component 4d: Participating in a Professional Community

Component 4e: Growing and Developing Professionally

Component 4f: Showing Professionalism

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 16 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Details & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/14/2020

Standards and Procedures

Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.

- > This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.
- > This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures and/or evidence of teacher practice that will be used to evaluate educators.

Teachers will continue to be evaluated using the Danielson Framework (2011 Revised Edition). However, as noted above, the Districtis proposing to omit 14 of the 22 components. Thescore for the teacher observation component will be determined by averaging the scores of the remaining subcomponents equally to get a domain score, weighting the domains equally and averaged and applying the average to the HEDIscale. The observer may document any observable evidence for the omitted components and will make recommendations as needed.

In order to encourageteachers to self-assess and reflect on their practice as educators, evaluators will be available for pre and post observation conferences. This will provide for an opportunity for natural conversations regarding Domain 1: Planning and Preparation and Domain 4; Professional Responsibilities. The Danielson Groupdeveloped acompanion document which accompanies the remote teaching observation tool and may be used by teachers during their pre and post observation. This document encourages self-assessmenton various elements of success for each component. For a deeper level of reflection, the documentwalks teachers through a process for analyzing their success and setting goals for elements which need to be prioritized. These goals include defining what success looks like and what actions the teacher will do to growin this area. The use of the companion document will not be required, but will be provided as a tool to drive natural conversation.

Inall cases, teachers will be observed while they are providing synchronous instruction to students. Whenever possible, observations will be conducted from inside the teacher's classroom. For teachers who only have students participating remotely at the time of their observation, they will be required to project the virtual classroom (Google Meet) so that the evaluator can see the teacher and the students. In the event that it is not possible for the evaluator to conduct the observation from inside the classroom, the teacher will be required to add the evaluator to the virtual classroom (Google Meet) so they conduct the observation remotely.

As noted above, we are requesting to reduce the number of required teacher observations from two to one. However, if an administrator collects evidence which suggests that the teacher needs more support, the administrator has the right to conduct additional observation(s), announced or unannounced. If more than one observation is completed for a teacher, then the rating shall be based upon an average score of the observations. Further, a teacherwho receives an ineffective or developing rating in any subcomponent, may request an additional observation to demonstrate improvement.

Rigor

Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.

- > This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.
- > This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.

The District will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the District's educators. By reducing the number of components from 22 to 8, administrators can focus on the areas and teaching that are most relevant to online teaching during this unprecedented time. The current health crisis has pushed teachers to step out of their comfort zone and learn new and innovative ways to connect with students and deliver content virtually. There has been a steep learning curve and teachers need support and guidance on improving their practice in these select areas more than anything else. Throughout the evaluation process, administrators will learn through observation and teacher feedback the ongoing needs for supporting remote teaching and learning.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 17 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Details & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/14/2020

Professional Learning

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

- > This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:
- · collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,
- · specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,
- · processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and
- use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.

The information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, will be used to assist in the determination of the professional learning opportunities needed for the District's educators, K - 12, to address teaching and learning strategies. For example, if through the observation process, administrators observe that teacher need additional support with a specific online resource or program, the District will seekout opportunities for teachers to receive more support.

Professional learning opportunities for the District's educators may include: instructional coaching, workshops to supportremote teaching, instructional technology training, and additional training on social-emotional learning.

The District often contracts with our local BOCES to provide professional development to our teachers, but will also continue to seek out alternate providers who can meet the needs of our students and teachers.

The District has a practice of evaluating the effectiveness of professional development opportunities through the use of post-workhop/activity surveys, that are reviewed to determine ongoing professional development needs. Additionally, building and District administrators will conduct ongoing walkthroughs and observations to ensure that strategies identified for improving teaching and student outcomes are being put into practice.

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

- > This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:
- · collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,
- the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and
- how results will be used to inform future implementation.

The District will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance through ongoing evaluation of the teacher observation process during COVID-19. During administrator meetings, administrators will share their experiences with conducting observations, noting best practices that have been observed (and sharing those with others) and areas that need immediate support and improvement. Administrators will also identify teachers who are in need of more support and develop plans to support them, which may include additional observations. At the end of the year, the administrative team will review the overall teacher observation process to identify strengths and weaknesses with the proposed modifications.

Observation Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below as applicable to all teachers included in this teacher observation variance request.

- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the process for determining an overall Teacher Observation category score and rating will incorporate the evidence collected across all observations to produce an overall Teacher Observation category rating on a HEDI scale.
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher to obtain any number of points in the applicable scoring ranges, including zero, in each subcomponent.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 18 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 5. TEACHERS: Overall Scoring - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/23/2020

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, seeNYSED APPR Guidance.

Education Law §3012-d requires that each teacherbe given a final score for both the Student Performance and Teacher Observation categories, which will be converted to a final category ratingbased on the HEDI scale, and that these ratings be used to provide an Overall Rating using the prescribed scoring matrix.

These requirements must be met through either the LEA's current APPR plan or this variance. To the extent that the variance does not address a requirement, the currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to define the HEDI ranges for the Student Performance and/or Teacher Observation category that is different than those included in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ A variance is not requested for category ratings for teachers.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 19 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/22/2020

Additional Requirements for Teachers

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, seeNYSED APPR Guidance.

Education Law §3012-d requires that a complete APPR plan must include a process for teacher improvement plans, appeals, and evaluator training as determined by the Commissioner. The following minimum requirements under Education Law §3012-d are applicable to teachers:

A form for development of a Teacher Improvement Plan,

A timely and expeditious process for resolving educator's appeals of APPR ratings,

A process for training all evaluators of applicable educators.

These requirements must be met through either the LEA's current APPR plan or this variance. To the extent that the variance does not address a requirement, the currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process for Teacher Improvement Plans, appeals and/or training in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☑ A variance is not requested for teacher improvement plans, appeals, or training.
- ☐ The details of the variance request applicable to teacher improvement plans, appeals, and/or training is described in the subsequent section.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 20 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/22/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Education Law§3012-drequires that the principal evaluation system be aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluations, including the required student performance subcomponent. Therefore, therequired student performance subcomponent ariance request for principal smust be aligned to the teacher evaluation requirements.

This requirement must be met through

currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process to demonstrate student growth based on principal practice for a principal or group of principals that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☐ A variance is not requested for the required student performance subcomponent for principals.
- ☑ The details of the variance request for the required student performance subcomponent for principals is described in the subsequent section.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 21 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Applicability

Page Last Modified: 12/14/2020

Applicable Principals

Please indicate all principals to whomthis required student performance variance request applies.

To add configurations for additional principals, click "Add Row".

Grade From	Grade To	
К	5	
6	8	
9	12	

Applicable Areas

A variance may be requested for the following areas of the required student performance subcomponent:

- A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components)
- · Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance)
- A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20*
- A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating*

Please indicate the area(s) of the required student performance subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

- Measures of student growth
- ☑ Evidence of student learning

*Only select 'Conversion to a 20-point score' or 'HEDI ranges' if your variance request involves different values than those included in the table below.

Highly Effe	ly Effective		Effective		Developing		Inef	fecti	ve							
								1 2	1 1 1 0	9	8 7	6	5 4	3	2 1	0
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	5			- 1				9	
97-	93-	90-	85-	80-	75-	67-74%	60-66%	- 5 -	9 4 - -	9	4 9 - -	5 -	1 7 - -	3	- 5	5 0 -
100%	96%	92%	89%	84%	79%	J	100 0070	5	5 4	4	3 3	2	2 2	1	1 2	3 4
								- 11 - 1	4 8 %%		- 1			9	ور	1/4%

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 22 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Measures of Student Growth

Page Last Modified: 12/15/2020

Measures of Student Growth

Describe the measure(s) that will be used to evaluate principals for the required student performance subcomponent (e.g., goal setting process; demonstration of student growth).

The measuresthat will be used to evaluate principals for the required student performance subcomponent will be determined based upon the following scenarios:

Scenario #1:

For principalswho are scored based on NYS assessment results, there will be no changes. For principalswho are scored based on a thirdparty assessment, Star ELA & Math, we are proposing replacing this with a District-wide SLO that is based on an equal weighting of results of the Regents exams in Global History & Geography II and United States History & Government based on the percentage of students who have met their growth target.

The District is not proposing any changes to our process for setting targets. Targets are set by individual teachers who teachGlobal History & Geography II and United States History & Governmentand are subject to the approval of the building principals. Teachers set targets based several metrics which include: student score on pre-assessment, student learning needs (IEP/504/ENL status), past student performance in related courses, and attendance data.

Scenario #2:

In the event that NYS cancels State assessments, all principalsDistrict-wide will be scored based on an equal weighting of locally developed assessments in Global History & Geography II and United States History & Government. The SLO goal setting process will consist of a baseline pre-assessment for the students enrolled in those courses, with the teachers of thosestudents setting individual target scores, subject toapproval of the building principal. The total growth score will be determined based the scalecurrently approved to calculate student growthfor principalsmeasured using SLOs.

Targets will beset by individual teachers who teach Global History & Geography II and United States History & Government and are subject to the approval of the building principals. These targets will be used for everyone under the District-wide SLO. Teachers set targets based several metrics which include: student score on pre-assessment, student learning needs (IEP/504/ENL status), past student performance in related courses, and attendance data.

Measures Assurance

Please check the box below.

Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 23 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Evidence of Student Learning

Page Last Modified: 12/10/2020

Evidence of Student Learning

Please identify any evidence of student learning to be used. A description of how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment should be included in the last section of this variance request.

Type(s) of Evidence

- ☑ State or Regents assessment(s)
- ☑ Locally-developed course-specific assessment(s)

State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ Global History Regents
- US History Regents

Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)

☑ Assessment(s) created by the LEA completing this variance application

Identify the LEA(s) that created the assessment(s):

Ellenville Central School District

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 24 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/14/2020

Variance Details

Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.

Rationale

Please provide a rationale for this variance request.

> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the required student performance variance request.

The Ellenville Central School District is requesting an APPR variance for required student performance for the 2020 - 21 school year due to the impact that COVID-19 has had on teaching and learning, and to best accommodate ourremote learning plan. The District is currently operating under an optional hybridinstructional model for students in grades K - 6. Approximately only 40% of our K - 6 students are reporting for in-person learning under this model. Students in grades 7 - 12 have been on remote learning since the start of the school year. There has been many challenges and obstacles related to attendance and engagement for those participating in remote learning. Further, the demandsplaced on principals to oversee remote instruction and support teachers has been overwhelming.

Underour current APPR plan, all principalswho are not measuredusing State assessments have their growth scoredetermined utilizing — Star ELA & Math. With a large percentage of students participating in remote learning, there are significant concerns about securely administering the Star assessments remotely and receiving results which accurately represent student performance and growth. Therefore, we are requesting that an alternative growth measure be used for those principalswho are not measured by a State assessment as described in the previous section (Measures of Student Growth).

Further, if NYS cancels State assessments, an alternative growth measure (described in Measures of Student Growth) will need to be utilized.

Standards and Procedures

Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.

- > This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.
- > This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures of student growth and/or evidence of student learning that will be used to evaluate educators.

As described above, the District is proposing an alternate measure of student growth to be determined based on the two scenarios provided. The District will not be administering the Star assessment, which is the current means of assessing student growth for principalsnot scored based on NYS assessments. Teachers from the high school social studies department will create locally developed assessments in Global History & Geography II and United States History & Government. These exams will be structured in a similar format of the NYS Regents exams and the questions will reflect the level of rigor found on the Regents exams. The exams will include multiple choice questions, short answer/free response questions, and essays. Essays will be scored using a rubric which is modeled after the grading rubric provided by the State for Regents exams. Plans will be developed to ensure that the examscan be administered in-person and/or remotely.

Exams will be scored by a committee of teachers from the high school social studies department, using the same procedures that we use when grading Regents exams. The principal will ensure that the grading teams are developed so that multiple scorers review essays and no teacher will be allowed to grade an exam which belongs to a student from the related course.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 25 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/14/2020

Rigor

Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.

- > This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.
- > This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.

The District will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong equitable inferences about the effectiveness the District's educators. The District will ensure a rigorous process for setting growth targets set aroundseveral metrics which include: student score on pre-assessment, student learning needs (IEP/504/ENL status), past student performance in related courses, and attendance data. The building principal will review growth targets to ensure that targets are appropriate and demonstrate high expectations for student learning. As noted above, in the event that State assessments are canceled, the District is proposingthat principalsbe scored using locally developed assessments in Global History & Geography II and US History & Government. Rigorous assessments in these two subject areas would provide valuable insight to the District's progress on addressing academic deficiencies in the areas of theliteracy and critical thinking skills for students, and the teaching strategies that are used to address these skills. One of the District's main priorities at the current time is to improve literacyinstruction across all grade levels and strongly believe that it is the responsibility of all District educators and administrators to ensure that our students can read, write, communicate and analyze information so that they can access learning nowand equipped with the tools to be successful in college and/or their chosen career.

After the assessments are given, an item analysis will be done so that the District can identify the overall strengths and weaknesses of our instructional programs and determine which skills need to be addressed, K- 12, to improve student outcomes in literacy and critical thinking skills. This process will support the District's Strategic Action Plan and provide the data needed to assist inidentifying possible root causes that have brought literacy to the forefront of our District's improvement efforts.

Professional Learning

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

- > This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:
- collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,
- · specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,
- · processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and
- use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.

The information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, will be used to assist in the determination of the professional learning opportunities needed for the District's educators and administrators, K - 12, to address teachingand learning strategies. For example, if the assessment results indicate that students are lacking the skills needed to examine documents and draw conclusions, direct support will be provided to principalson how to support teachers and students through this process.

Professional learning opportunities for the District's educators and administrators may include: instructional coaching, leadership coaching, workshops on differentiating instruction, K -12 committees to review scope and sequence to ensure horizontal and vertical alignment of content and skills, using data to drive instruction, and curriculum writing to ensure that teaching and learning is aligned to the Next Generation Learning Standards and that activities are designed to address literacy.

The District often contracts with our local BOCES to provide professional development to our principals, but will also continue to seek out alternate providers who can meet the needs of our District.

The District has a practice of evaluating the effectiveness of professional development opportunities through the use of post-workshop/activity surveys, which we review determine ongoing professional development needs. Additionally, building and District administrators will conduct ongoing walkthroughs and observations to ensure that strategies identified for improving student outcomes are being put into practice.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 26 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 12/14/2020

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

- > This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:
- · collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,
- the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and
- how results will be used to inform future implementation.

The District will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance. An item analysis report will be made available to all administrators and will also be reviewed by a District team of teachers and administrators to summarize the priorities in the District's instructional program. District goals related to literacy and measurable objectives will be developed based on the results and identified priorities. Prior to administering the pre and post assessments for Global History & Geography II and US History & Government, the locally developed assessment will be reviewed by a teamof educators and administrators, K - 12, to ensure that they are valid and reliable, and will provide data which will help the District identify the teaching and learning areas which need to be addressed.

Use of the Optional Student Performance Subcomponent & Weighting

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used in the process included in this variance request by making the appropriate selection below.

☑ The weighting included in the currently approved plan will not change based on this variance request.

Required Student Performance Variance Assurances

Please check the box below as applicable to all principals included in this required student performance variance request.

Assure that scores and ratings for the required student performance subcomponent will be calculated consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 27 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 8. PRINCIPALS: Optional Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/22/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an optional second measure for a principal or group of principals that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ A variance is not requested for the optional student performance subcomponent for principals.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 28 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/22/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to evaluate principal practice in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ A variance is not requested for the principal school visit category.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 29 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 10. PRINCIPALS: Overall Scoring - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/22/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to define the HEDI ranges for the Student Performance and/or Principal School Visit category that is different than those included in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☑ A variance is not requested for category ratings for principals.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 30 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 11/22/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process for Principal Improvement Plans, appeals and/or training in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☑ A variance is not requested for principal improvement plans, appeals, or training.
- ☐ The details of the variance request applicable to principal improvement plans, appeals, and/or training is described in the subsequent section.

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 31 of 32

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Variance - Applicability and Certification

Page Last Modified: 12/15/2020

Applicability of Variance

Need for Variance

Please make the appropriate selection below.

oxdot The submission of this variance application is prompted by the impact of COVID-19 on the LEA.

Instructional Model

- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if an in-person instructional model is implemented.
- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if a remote instructional model is implemented.
- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if a hybrid instructional model is implemented.
- ☑ The processes identified in this variance application apply regardless of the instructional model implemented.

Variance Duration

An Annual Professional Performance Review Variance under Education Law §3012-d may be approved forup to THREE (3) years.

Please indicate below the school years to which this variance application will apply.

One, two, or three consecutive academic years may be selected.

☑ 2020-21

Upload APPR Variance Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Variance using the "Variance Certification Form" found in the 'Documents' menu on the left side of the page.

APPR Variance Certification Form.pdf

12/15/2020 02:05 PM Page 32 of 32

APPR VARIANCE CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, check the assurances, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Variance, Education Law §3012-d application.

Assurances: Please check the boxes below

- Assure that all information provided in this variance application is true and accurate as of the date that the variance application is submitted.
- Assure that once this application is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's approved APPR plan during the effective term of the variance.
- Assure that, upon a revocation or non-renewal of a variance application at the end of its effective term, the district shall implement its approved evaluation plan in its entirety and without modification, consistent with all requirements of Subpart 30-3.3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and absent any terms of the variance.
- Assure that, where applicable, collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of this variance application that are subject to collective bargaining.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:	Date:
Usi quels	12/15/20
Superintendent Name (print):	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
LISA A.WILES	
Teachers Union President Signature:	Date:
Phil J. Clas	12/15/20
Teachers Union President Name (print):	
Philip J. Althouse	
Administrative Union President Signature:	Date:
KestBMoya	12/15/20
Administrative Union President Name (print):	•
KERMIT B NOYER.	
Board of Education President Signature:	Date:
Phy malls	12/15/20
Board of Education President Name (print):	
Philips. Matter	icion.

THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK



Commissioner of Education President of the University of the State of New York 89 Washington Avenue, Room 111 Albany, New York 12234 E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov Twitter:@NYSEDNews Tel: (518) 474-5844 Fax: (518) 473-4909

October 31, 2016

Revised

Lisa Wiles, Superintendent Ellenville Central School District 28 Maple Avenue Ellenville, NY 12428

Dear Superintendent Wiles:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

MaryEllen Elia Commissioner

Attachment

c: Charles Khoury

NOTE:

Pursuant to sections 30-2.14 and 30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must calculate transition scores and ratings for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 ELA and math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores. For the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must establish alternate SLOs for affected teachers and principals who, as a result of the above exclusions, have no remaining measures in the Student Performance Category.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 1. School District Information - Tasks 1.1, 1.2

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Task 1) Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

The Department will review the contents of each school district's/BOCES' Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's/BOCES' plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from a district/BOCES to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. As such, each district/BOCES is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of a district's/BOCES' plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1.1) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- Assure that the content of this form represents the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that a detailed version of the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district/BOCES website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.
- Assure that it is understood that this district's/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval

1.2) Submission Status

Is this a first-time submission under Education Law §3012-d or the submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d?

First-time submission under Education Law §3012-d

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 1 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Task 2) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

- (A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

2.1) Assurances

Please note: NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math Assessments and State-provided growth scores cannot be used for the purposes of providing transition scores and ratings during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, and should be used for advisory purposes only until the 2019-20 school year. Alternate SLOs to be used during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 transition period should be entered in Task 2 (Transition).

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all 4-8 ELA and Math teachers in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that teacher.
- For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for any grade/subject that requires a back-up SLO, but for which there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a teacher-specific SLO from being created, the superintendent or another trained administrator shall develop a school-wide back-up SLO using available State/Regents assessments.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

2.2) Grades 4-8 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a growth score and rating. That rating will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and takes into consideration students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided scores and ratings, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided growth measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score and rating from the State for the full Student Performance category of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Student Performance category of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided growth measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those teachers who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that teacher.

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs for the grade/subject listed beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math
State Assessment	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 2 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math
State Assessment	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math
	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math
State Assessment	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math
		·
	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math
	Grade 8 ELA	Grade 8 Math
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 8 ELA	Grade 8 Math and Common Core Algebra

Page 3 of 42 10/27/2016 10:13 AM

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.3 (3 ELA/Math), 2.4 (4/8 SCI)

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

2.3) Grade 3 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math
State Assessment	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math

2.4) Grades 4 and 8 Science: Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 4 Science	Grade 8 Science
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Common branch	Grade 8 Science

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 4 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

2.5) High School Courses Ending in a Regents Exam: Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required if one exists

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Global 2	US History
Regents Assessment	Global 2	US History

	Living Environment	Earth Science	Chemistry	Physics
Regents Assessment	Living Environment	Earth Science	Chemistry	Physics

	Algebra I	Geometry	Algebra II/Trigonometry
Regents Assessment(s)	Common Core Algebra	Common Core Geometry	Common Core Algebra II and
			Algebra II/Trigonometry

2.6) High School English Language Arts Courses: Measures and Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school English Language Arts courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: High School English Language Arts

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For high school English Language Arts, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

• State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required in at least one year of high school English Language Arts

 $For \ grade \ levels \ where \ the \ Regents \ exam \ is \ not \ used:$

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 5 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
9 ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results			☑ STAR Reading
10 ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results			☑ STAR Reading
11 ELA	Teacher-specific results	☑ Common Core English		
12 ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results			☑ STAR Reading

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 6 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

2.7) Grades K-2 ELA and Math: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results			☑ STAR Early Literacy
K Math	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results			☑ STAR Math
1 ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results			☑ STAR Early Literacy
1 Math	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results			☑ STAR Math
2 ELA	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results			☑ STAR EarlyLiteracy☑ STAR Reading
2 Math	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results			☑ STAR Math

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 7 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

2.8) Grades 6-7 Science and Grades 6-8 Social Studies: Measures and Assessments (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
6 Science	Common branch			
7 Science	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results			☑ STAR Math☑ STAR Reading
6 Social Studies	Common branch			
7 Social Studies	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results			☑ STAR Math☑ STAR Reading
8 Social Studies	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results			☑ STAR Math☑ STAR Reading

2.9) Regents Global Studies 1: Measure and Assessment(s) (Original)

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 8 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for Global Studies 1.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
Global 1	School- or program- wide group, team or linked results			☑ STAR Math☑ STAR Reading

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 9 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

2.10) All Other Courses (Original)

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- · District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- · State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- · School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- · District- or BOCES-wide results

Fill in the following, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have SLOs (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course
- · Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course
- Column 3: subject of the course
- · Column 4: measure used
- Columns 5-6: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-6) assessment(s)
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra
K-3 Art	K	3	Art	Teacher-specific results	Questar III BOCES
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12		wide group team or	All Regents given in building/district

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 10 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Grade From	Grade To	Subject	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
К	12	AIS/RTI	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results			☑ STAR
К	12	Art	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results			☑ STAR Early Literacy ☑ STAR Math ☑ STAR Reading
К	12	Business	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results			✓ STARMath✓ STARReading
К	12	ELL	Teacher- specific results	☑ NYSESLAT		
К	12	English Electives	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results			✓ STARMath✓ STARReading
К	12	Health	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results			☑ STAR Math ☑ STAR Reading
К	12	Languages Other Than English	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results			✓ STARMath✓ STARReading
К	12	Library	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results			✓ STAREarlyLiteracy✓ STARMath✓ STARReading

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 11 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

К	12	Music	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results			STAR Early Literacy STAR Math STAR Reading
К	12	Physical Education	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results		2	STAR Early Literacy STAR Math STAR Reading
К	12	Reading	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results		1	STAR Early Literacy STAR Reading
К	12	Resource	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results		1	STAR Early Literacy STAR Math STAR Reading
К	12	Science	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results			STAR Early Literacy STAR Math STAR Reading
К	12	Science Electives	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results		Ŋ	STAR Math STAR Reading
К	12	Social Studies	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results		N N	STAR Early Literacy STAR Math STAR Reading
K	12	Social Studies Electives	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked		2	STAR Math STAR Reading

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

			results			
К	12	Special Education	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results		5 5	STAR Early Literacy STAR Math STAR Reading
К	12	Speech	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results		N N	STAR Early Literacy STAR Math STAR Reading
К	12	Technology	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results		2	STAR Math STAR Reading
3	12	Special Education	Teacher- specific results	☑ NYSAA		
К	12	ELL	Teacher- specific results	☑ NYSESLAT		

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly	Effectiv	ve	Effectiv	ve		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-			II		I I	II		II							21-	17-	13-	9-	5-	0-
100		1 1			l I			ll		48 %						20 %	_	12 %	_	4%

2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one 0-20 score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Common branch teacher with State-provided growth measures for both ELA and Math in grade 4; middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

Page 13 of 42 10/27/2016 10:13 AM

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

2.13) Assurances

For guidance on SLOs and the development of back-up SLOs, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the teacher has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 2.11, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance
- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

2.14) Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- · If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 14 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Task 2) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

- (A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, grades 3-8 NYS ELA/math assessments and any State-provided growth scores may only be used for advisory purposes and may not be used for the purpose of calculating transition scores and ratings.

If grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores are the entirety of the Student Performance category, districts/BOCES must also develop an alternate SLO based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or on State-provided growth scores for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

2.2-2.10) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLO during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, then indicate the applicable courses.

Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)	Applicable Course(s)
School- or program- wide group, team, or linked results			☑ STAR Math☑ STAR Reading	☐ 3 ELA ☐ 3 Math ☐ 4 ELA ☐ 4 Math ☐ 5 ELA ☐ 5 Math ☐ 6 ELA ☐ 6 Math ☐ 7 ELA ☐ 7 Math ☐ 8 ELA ☐ 8 Math

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

	Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
--	------------------	-----------	------------	-------------

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 15 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100 %	96	92	89	84	79	74	66	59	54	48	43	38	33	28	24	20	16	9- 12 %	5- 8%	0- 4%

2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 16 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 3.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Teachers) - Task 3.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Task 3) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES and be either:

- (A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the Required subcomponent, or
- (B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

3.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent of the Student Performance Category

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 17 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.
Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2011 Revised Edition)	(No Response)

4.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

4.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

 $For \ guidance\ on\ the\ Teacher\ Observation\ category,\ see\ NYSED\ APPR\ Guidance: \ https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.$

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

All observations will be weighted equally. Final average scores will be weighted usng the values in section.4.5.

4.4) Calculating Observation Ratings

Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 4.5 below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check the boxes below.

- Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(1) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the district's/BOCES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(2) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 18 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

	Overall Observation Score and Rating	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating						
	Minimum	Maximum						
н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0						
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74						
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74						
I	0	1.49 to 1.74						

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Effective:	2.50	3.49	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Developing:	1.50	2.49	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49	

4.5) Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponents:

- Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators: At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score
- Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent:

- Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Page 19 of 42 10/27/2016 10:13 AM

^{*} If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Required - Principal/ Administrator	Required - Independent Evaluator(s)	•	Grades and subjects for which Peer Observers will be used
90%	10%	N/A	(No Response)

Status Date: 10/27/2016 10:11 AM

4.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these teacher(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

4.7) Number and Method of Observations

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations for each type of observer, as well as the method of observation, in the tables below.

Tenured Teachers

	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Observation method	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method
Unannounced	0	N/A	1	In person	0	N/A
Announced	1	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A

Probationary Teachers

	Minimum	Observation	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method
Unannounced	observations 0	method N/A	observations 1	In person	0	N/A
Announced	1	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 20 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 5. Overall Scoring (Teachers) - Tasks 5.1-5.3

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

5.1) Scoring Ranges

Student Performance

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

Teacher Observation

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall Stude Performance Category Sco	nt ore and Rating		Overall Obser Category Score and Ra	
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum
Н	18	20	н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	15	17	E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	12	ı	0	1.49 to 1.74

5.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

5.2) Goornig Matri		Teacher Observation Ca	ategory		
		Highly Effective (H) Effective (E)		Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
	Highly Effective (H)	н	н	Е	D
Student Performance Category	Effective (E)	Н	Е	Е	D
	Developing (D)	Е	Е	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I

^{*} If a teacher is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the teacher can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

5.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same school district who have received Ineffective ratings under Education Law §3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the district has received a waiver from the Department.

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 21 of 42

ELLENVILLE CSD

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

6.1) Assurances: Teacher Improvement Plans

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

Status Date: 10/27/2016 10:11 AM

Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

3453650-7. Teacher Improvement Plan.doc

6.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

6.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 22 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

Status Date: 10/27/2016 10:11 AM

Appeal Procedure - (See CBA negotiated document, Ellenville Central School District ETSRPA APPR Appeal Procedure Supplement, uploaded to "Additional Documents" repository).

- 1. Appeal Procedure for the Year End Summative Evaluation A probationary teacher may only appeal a composite rating of: (a) "Ineffective" in any year of probation; or (b) "Developing" in his or her final year of probation. A tenured teacher may only appeal a composite rating of "Developing" or "Ineffective". The basis for an appeal shall be the following: 1) the substance of the APPR, which shall include the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the student performance category but rated Highly Effective on the observation/school visit category based on an anomaly; 2) the District's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for APPR reviews; 3) the adherence to the regulations of the commissioner and compliance with the collectively negotiated plan; or 4) the District's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan.
- 2. Year End Summative Evaluation appeals shall be processed as follows: An appeal alleging a substantive disagreement with the conclusion of any summative rating drawn by the evaluator may challenge both the cumulative rating of the evaluation, as well as the scores of the subcomponents which make up that rating.
- <u>Appeal</u>: An appeal of a final quality rating on an evaluation must be submitted within fourteen (14) school days of receipt of the final evaluation to the Superintendent or the Superintendent's designee.

If a teacher is challenging the issuance of a TIP, appeals must be filed with the Superintendent or his/her designee within fourteen (14) school days of issuance of such plan. The failure to file an appeal within these timeframes shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned.

When filing an appeal, the teacher must submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his or her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his or her improvement plan, and any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal. The performance review and/or improvement plan being challenged must also be submitted with the appeal. Any information not submitted at the time the appeal is filed shall not be considered. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity within one appeal. If due to timelines in the appeal process, the appeal on the evaluation and the TIP are filed on different dates, both appeals shall be consolidated. Any grounds not raised at the time of the appeal is filed shall be deemed waived. In an appeal, the teacher has the burden of demonstrating by the preponderance of the evidence a clear legal right to the relief requested and the burden of establishing the facts upon which relief is sought.

A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than thirty (30) days from the date upon which the teacher filed his or her appeal, or the date of consolidation, whichever is later. The appeal shall be based solely on a written record. Such decision shall be final, except as provided for below.

On appeal, the decision maker shall have the authority to: (a) grant the appeal; (b) deny the appeal and provide the specific reasons; (c) modify the rating; and (d) expunge the rating.

- 1. The decision of the Superintendent or his/her designee shall be final, and not subject to appeal, for any appeal filed by a probationary teacher.
- 2. The parties agree that under the following circumstances a tenured unit member may appeal the decision of the Superintendent or designee to arbitration.
- 1. the District seeks to bring disciplinary charges against a tenured unit member based on pedagogical incompetence; and
- 2. The District intends to use "Developing" or "Ineffective" evaluations to meet its burden of demonstrating that the tenured unit member should be disciplined.
- 3. The appeal shall be conducted by an arbitrator selected from the following list: Ira Lobel, Susan Mackenzie, Jay Siegel, or Janet Spencer. If none of the arbitrators are available to schedule the hearing within sixty (60) calendar days from the date the demand is filed, then either party may process the demand for arbitration with the American Arbitration Association. (See CBA negotiated document, Ellenville Central School District ETSRPA APPR Appeal Procedure Supplement, uploaded to "Additional Documents" repository).
- 4. In the event that the demand for arbitration is processed with the American Arbitration Association (AAA), it is anticipated that the arbitrator will be appointed by AAA within 30 days of receipt of the demand for arbitration that that a hearing will be scheduled within 60 days of receipt of the demand for arbitration. It is further anticipated that the arbitrator will render a decision within 30 days of the close of the hearing.
- 5. In the event that the demand for arbitration is processed with one of the arbitrators on the list set forth in D(3), then the appointment shall be made within 30 days of the receipt of the demand for arbitration and it is anticipated that the hearing will be scheduled within 60 days of the receipt of the demand for arbitration. It is further anticipated that the arbitrator will render a decision within 30 days of the close of the hearing.
- 5. This appeal procedure shall constitute the exclusive means for initiating, reviewing, and resolving any and all challenges and appeals related to a tenured teacher's performance review and/or improvement plan when charges are being filed against the tenured teacher based upon pedagogical incompetence. A tenured teacher may not resort to any other contractual grievance procedures for the resolution of challenges and appeals related to a professional performance review and/or teacher improvement plan, except as otherwise authorized by law. (See CBA negotiated document, Ellenville Central School District ETSRPA APPR Appeal Procedure Supplement, uploaded to "Additional Documents" repository and

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 23 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Article XIX of the CBA)

6.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

6.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The duration and nature of the training the DISTRICT will provide to evaluators:

All Ellenville evaluators will be trained as lead evaluators.

The duration and nature of the training the DISTRICT will provide to lead evaluators:

- 1. Positions trained as lead evaluators: Superintendent of Schools, Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum and Instruction, Building Principals, Building Assistant Principals, Director of Special Education, and any other certified administrator designated by the Superintendent.
- 2. Ulster County BOCES or other approved provider will provide training of lead evaluators in compliance with all state regulations.
- 3. The District will ensure the training and certification of its lead evaluators in accordance with the requirements prescribed in the Commissioner's Regulations. The District will further ensure that lead evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability (such as: data analysis and periodic comparisons of assessments) over time and that they are recertified on an annual basis. All evaluators also participate in the training provided through Ulster County BOCES each summer. The training is provided for a full day.
- 4. The Superintendent will certify lead evaluators upon receipt of proper documentation that the individual has fully completed training. The Superintendent will maintain records of certification of evaluators.

This training will include the following Requirements for Lead Evaluators/Evaluators:

- *New York State Teaching Standards and ISSLC Standards. Initial training was for two full days.
- *Evidence-based observation
- *Application and use of Student Growth Percentile and any other model approved by the department.
- *Application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubrics
- *Application and use of any assessment tools used to evaluate teachers and principals
- *Locally selected measure for student growth.
- *Use of Statewide Instructional Reporting System
- *Scoring methodology used to evaluate teachers and principals
- *Specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of ELLS and students with disabilities

During the 2016-17 school year and thereafter all evaluators will be appropriately trained and certified.

Re-Certification and Updated Training

The District will work to ensure that lead evaluators maintain inter-rater reliability over time and that they are re-certified on an annual basis and receive updated training on any changes in the law, regulations or applicable collective bargaining agreements.

6.7) Assurances: Teacher Evaluation

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 24 of 42

ELLENVILLE CSD

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Please check all of the boxes below.

Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

Status Date: 10/27/2016 10:11 AM

- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law \$3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide teachers whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

6.8) Assurances: Assessments

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- Assure that, where applicable, if your district/BOCES has indicated that more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

6.9) Assurances: Data

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores and ratings for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.
- Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 25 of 42

ELLENVILLE CSD

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Status Date: 10/27/2016 10:11 AM

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Task 7) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

- (A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

7.1) State-Provided Measures of Student Growth (Original)

For principals with at least 30% of their students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model. Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth measure, (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.). For principals where less than 30% of their students are covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principals shall have an SLO consistent with a goal setting process determined or developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score; provided that for any grade-level/course that ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO. (See Guidance for more detail on principals with State-provided measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those principals who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that principal.

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where State-provided growth measures will apply beginning in the 2019-20 school year (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 7-12, 9-12). For each configuration, also indicate assessment(s) used for the back-up SLO beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- · Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) assessment(s)
Grades K-6 Building	К	6	NYS Grade 4 ELA, NYS Grade 5 ELA, NYS Grade 6 ELA, NYS Grade 4 Math, NYS Grade 5 Math, NYS Grade 6 Math
Grades 7-12 Building	7	12	All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 26 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs beginning in the 2019-20 school year for each grade configuration listed. The SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

Grade From	Grade To	State or Regents Assessment(s)
К	5	☐ Grade 4 ELA ☐ Grade 5 ELA ☐ Grade 4 Math ☐ Grade 5 Math
6	8	 ☑ Grade 6 ELA ☑ Grade 7 ELA ☑ Grade 8 ELA ☑ Grade 6 Math ☑ Grade 7 Math ☑ Grade 8 Math ☑ All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score
9	12	 All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State- provided growth score

7.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all principals who receive a State-provided growth score in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that principal.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 27 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Tasks 7.2 (SLOs), 7.3-7.6

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly l	Effectiv	/e	Effectiv	⁄e		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
100	96		89	84	79	74	66	59	54	48	43	38	33	28	24	20	16	9- 12 %	5- 8%	0- 4%

7.4) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and math grades 4-8.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

7.5) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the principal has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- Assure that if a principal's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 7.3, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- oxdot Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

7.6) Student Performance Subcomponent Weighting

- $\bullet \ \ \text{If the Optional subcomponent } \underline{\text{is not used}}, \text{ the Required subcomponent will comprise } 100\% \ \text{of the Student Performance category}. \\$
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 28 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Task 7) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

- (A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.
- (B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, if excluding grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores results in no remaining student performance measures, districts/BOCES must develop alternate SLOs based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance Category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

7.1-7.2) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where alternate SLOs will apply. For each configuration, also indicate the measure and assessment(s) used for the alternate SLO.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- · Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- · Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: measure used
- Column 4: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) measure	(4) assessment(s)
Grades K-2 Building	K	2	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra, Living Environment, Global 2, US History
Grades 11-12 Building	11	12	Principal-specific results	Common Core English, US History

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLOs during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years for each grade configuration listed. In all other school years, the SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 29 of 42

Status Date: 10/27/2016 10:11 AM **ELLENVILLE CSD**

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Grade From	Grade To	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course- Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
К	5	Principal-specific results			☑ STAR Early Literacy☑ STAR Math☑ STAR Reading
6	8	Principal-specific results			☑ STAR Math☑ STARReading
(No Respo nse)	(No Respo nse)	(No Response)			

7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

100 96 92 89 84 79 74 66 59 54 48 43 38 33 28 24 20 16 12	Highly	Effectiv	ve	Effectiv	ve .		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
$\ 100 96 \ 92 \ \ 89 \ 84 \ 79 \ \ 74 \ 66 \ \ 59 \ 54 \ 48 \ 43 \ 38 \ 33 \ 28 \ 24 \ 20 \ 16 \ 12 \ ^{5-} \ ^{0-} \ ^{10$	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
% % % % % % % % % %	100	96	92	89	84	79	74	66	59	54	48	43	38	33	28	24	20	16	12		0- 4%

7.4) Principals with More than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

Page 30 of 42 10/27/2016 10:13 AM

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 8.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Principals) - Task 8.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Task 8) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that a different measure is used than that for the Required subcomponent in the Student Performance category, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

8.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent for Student Performance Measures

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 31 of 42

ELLENVILLE CSD

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

Status Date: 10/27/2016 10:11 AM

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized,
	please indicate the group(s) of
	principals each rubric applies to.
Marshall's Principal Evaluation Rubric	(No Response)

9.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different grade level configurations or building types.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

9.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).

The final score on the rubric shall be based upon the averaging of the Marshall worksheets. The two final scores will be weighted using the values in task 9.5.

9.4) Calculating School Visit Ratings

Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below
- Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 9.5 below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 32 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Please also check the boxes below.

Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Status Date: 10/27/2016 10:11 AM

Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the district's/BOCES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	1.49 to 1.74

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Effective:	2.50	3.49	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Developing:	1.50	2.49	
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score	
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49	

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 33 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

9.5) Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponents:

- School Visits by Supervisor(s) or other Trained Administrator(s): At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score
- School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent:

- School Visits by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Required - Supervisor/ Adminstrator	Required - Independent Evaluator(s)	'	Grade configurations for which Peer Observers will be used
80%	20%	N/A	(No Response)

9.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- oxdot Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- ☑ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

9.7) Number of School Visits

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits for each type of observer in the tables below.

Tenured Principals

	Required - Supervisor/ Administrator:	Required - Independent Evaluator(s):	Optional - Peer Observer(s):
	Minimum school visits	Minimum school visits	Minimum school visits
Unannounced	1	1	0
Announced	0	0	0

Probationary Principals

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 34 of 42

^{*} If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

	' '	Required - Independent Evaluator(s):	' ' '
	Minimum school visits	Minimum school visits	Minimum school visits
Unannounced	1	1	0
Announced	0	0	0

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 35 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 10. Overall Scoring (Principals) - Tasks 10.1-10.3

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

10.1) Scoring Ranges

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

Principal School Visit Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating			Overall School Visit Category Score and Ratin	
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum
Н	18	20	Н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	15	17	E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	12	I	0	1.49 to 1.74

10.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

		Principal School Visit Category					
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)		
	Highly Effective (H)	Н	н	Е	D		
Student Performance Category	Effective (E)	н	Е	Е	D		
	Developing (D)	Е	Е	D	I		
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I		

^{*} If a principal is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the principal can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

10.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 36 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance: https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d.

11.1) Assurances: Improvement Plans

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

4221494-Principal Improvement Plan.doc

11.3) Assurance: Appeals

Please check the box below.

Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

11.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d; and
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d.

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 37 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

Appeals Process for Year-End APPR Evaluation -

A principal who receives a composite rating of "ineffective" on his/her APPR shall be entitled to appeal his/her annual APPR rating, based upon a paper submission to the individual identified in Paragraph D, who shall be trained in accordance with the requirements of statute and regulations and also possesses a district-wide administrative certification.

B.The appeal must be brought in writing, specifying the area(s) of concern, but limited to those matters that may be appealed as prescribed in 3012 d of Education Law. Section 30.3.12 of the Regent's Rules limits appeals to the following: 1) the substance of the APPR, which shall include in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category but rated Highly Effective on the observation/school visit category based on an anomaly; 2) the district's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for APPR reviews; 3) the adherence to the regulations of the commissioner and compliance with the collectively negotiated plan; or 4) the district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan. Except for appeals brought pursuant to Paragraph E below, all appeals under this section shall be processed in accordance with Paragraphs C and D below.

C.Except for an appeal filed under Paragraph E below, an appeal of an APPR must be commenced within 10 calendar days of the presentation of the document to the principal or else the right to appeal shall be deemed waived in all regards.

D.The Superintendent's designee (who may be a sitting superintendent from a different school district, a BOCES superintendent, or a retired administrator) shall respond to the appeal with a written answer. A written decision on the merits of the appeal shall be rendered no later than thirty (30) days from the date upon which the Principal filed his or her appeal. The designee shall have the right to grant or deny the appeal in whole or in part.

E.A tenured Principal who receives two or more consecutive "ineffective" ratings and who the District has notified in writing that it intends to proffer 3020-a disciplinary charges for pedagogical incompetence or 3020-b charges, shall have the option to appeal the second ineffective rating directly to an independent arbitrator selected by the District and ECASA. The sole issue before the arbitrator shall be whether or not the second consecutive ineffective rating accurately reflected the principal's performance during the period it covered. The tenured principal shall have 30 calendar days from receipt of written notification of the District's intent to proffer charges to file a demand for arbitration. The parties agree that at least ten days prior to the commencement of the hearing, witness lists shall be exchanged. This shall not preclude either side from adding a witness' name to the list if it was unknown at the time the lists were exchanged. However, any new names added should be provided to opposing counsel as soon as possible after they become known.

F.The list of arbitrators shall be as follows: Ira Lobel, Louis Patack, Thomas Rinaldo. In the event that the demand for arbitration is processed with one of the enumerated arbitrators, then the appointment shall be made within thirty (30) days of the receipt of the demand for arbitration and it is anticipated that the hearing will be scheduled within sixty (60) days of the receipt of the demand for arbitration. It is further anticipated that the arbitrator will render a decision within thirty (30) days of the close of the hearing. In all instances the process will conclude in a timely and expeditious manner.

If none of the arbitrators are available to schedule the hearing within sixty (60) calendar days from the date the demand is filed, then either party may process the demand for arbitration with the American Arbitration Association. In the event that the demand for arbitration is processed with the American Arbitration Association (AAA), it is anticipated that the arbitrator will be appointed by AAA within thirty (30) days of receipt of the demand for arbitration and that a hearing will be scheduled within sixty (60) days of receipt of the demand for arbitration. It is further anticipated the arbitrator will render a decision within thirty (30) days of close of the hearing. Alternatively, the parties may agree to the selection of another arbitrator.

11.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

11.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 38 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

During the 2016-17 school year and thereafter the District will ensure that all evaluators are properly trained and certified to complete a principal performance review. Evaluator training will be conducted by appropriately qualified individuals or entities. The training entity will certify evaluators upon completion of the training. The Superintendent will maintain records of certification of evaluators.

Evaluator training will occur regionally in cooperation with Ulster BOCES or other appropriately qualified entities. The length of the training will be at least one day.

This training will include a comprehensive review of the ISSLC Standards and all of the requirements found in the NYSED regulations. Re-Certification and Updated Training

The District will work to ensure that evaluators are re-certified on an annual basis and receive updated training on any changes in the law, regulations, or applicable collective bargaining agreements, and will include processes that maintain inter-rated reliability

11.7) Assurances: Principal Evaluation

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☑ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such principals with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

11.8) Assurances: Assessments

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- Assure that, where applicable, if your district/BOCES has indicated that more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

11.9) Assurances Data

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 39 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores and ratings for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- Assure that enrolled students in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 40 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 10/27/2016

Task 12) Upload APPR District Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form.

2016-2017 APPR Implementation Certification Form 10.27.16.pdf

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 41 of 42

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Additional Documents - Additional Documents

Page Last Modified: 10/24/2016

Additional Documents

The Department will not review any documents other than those required in the online form (Tasks 1-12).

Any additional documents supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional documents have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

Upload Documents

Ellenville Central School District ETSRPA APPR Supplement.doc Grievance Procedures.pdf

10/27/2016 10:13 AM Page 42 of 42

Teacher Improvement Plan (Completed Jointly by Teacher and Lead Evaluator / Designee

Name:		School:		Current School	Current School Year:			
Date of related APPR/Evaluation:			Date of TIP conference					
Area(s) Needing	Ac ⁻	tion Plan	Timeline for	Evidence to be	Satisfactory	Plan		
Improvement	(Steps to be taken)		Completion	Collected	Progress	Completed		
1.	1.				Yes No Date:	Yes No Date:		
2.	2.				Yes No Date:	Yes No Date:		
3.	3.				Yes No Date:	Yes No Date:		
Teacher's Comments: Lead Evaluator's Comments: TIP Satisfied? Yes	Date:							
Teacher's Signature:		Lead Eva	Lead Evaluator Signature:					

		(Completed Joint	Principal Improvement by Principal and Superin		/Designee)				
Name:					Current Sch	ool Yea	ır:		
Date of Relat	ed APPR/Evalu	nation:			Date of PIP	Confer	ence:		
Area(s	s) Needing Imp	rovement Action	Plan (Steps to be Taken)	Timeline for Completion	Evidence to be Collected		factory gress		lan pleted
1.		1.					Yes		Yes
							No		No
						Date:		Date:	
2.		2.					Yes		Yes
							No		No
						Date:		Date:	
3.		3.					Yes		Yes
Schoo	. 1.						No		No
Senoc	, i.					Date:		Date:	
Principal's Co	omments:								
Superintende	nt/Designee's C	Comments:							
PIP Satisfied	? □ Yes	Principal's Signature:		_ Superintendent/D	esignee Signatur	e:			
	□ No	Date:			Dat	e:			

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-d as implemented by Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The district/BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, transition scores and ratings will be calculated for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 English Language Arts (ELA) and Math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores; that the district/BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures in their approved APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents during the transition period; and that original APPR scores and ratings will be provided for advisory purposes only, and will have no impact on employment decisions, including tenure determinations, or teacher and principal improvement plans.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11), as added by Chapter 56 of the Laws of 2015.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the overall transition rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES will continue to provide teachers
 and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures described in this APPR plan
 without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, original APPR scores and ratings will not be used as the basis for employment decisions and will only be used for advisory purposes;
- Assure that beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, the original overall APPR score pursuant to the district or BOCES
 approved APPR plan shall be used as the basis for employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher
 and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES, shall provide teachers and
 principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math
 State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings calculated
 pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September
 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers and
 principals with their original composite APPR rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year
 for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall continue to provide teachers
 and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are not based on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State
 assessments or State-provided growth scores with their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if

available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of their annual professional performance reviews, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured, and that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured

Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which

the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured;

Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the district or BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured;

Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the district office and made available to the public on the district's or BOCES' website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the

Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;

Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline

prescribed by the Commissioner;

- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school year, the district or BOCES will continue to report both the original and transition individual category and subcomponent scores and the overall original and transition ratings to the State for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;

Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process:

- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations. including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities:
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, any educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating as their overall transition rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statues and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year in which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, any educator who receives a Developing or Ineffective rating on their original overall rating pursuant to this APPR plan will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year for which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations;
- Assure that the district or BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the district/BOCES;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits:
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the district and BOCES shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;
- Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES must be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;
 - Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator;
 - Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year, on a form prescribed by the Commissioner, to the Commissioner for approval;
 - Assure that the alternate SLOs described in Tasks 2 and 7 of this APPR plan will be used as the basis for certain teachers' and principals' transition APPR scores and ratings, where applicable and consistent with section 30-3.17 of

- the Rules of the Board Regents, during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years only;
- Assure that, beginning in the 2019-20 school year, no transition scores and ratings will be generated and the district or BOCES' original APPR Plan will apply to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the statute, regulations, and SED guidance without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements as a result of the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by
 State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the
 minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does
 not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time
 devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not
 be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall
 not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to
 supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to
 English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:	Date:
Risa g. Wils	10/24/16
Teachers Union President Signature:	Date:
Mak Mille	10/24/16
Administrative Union President Signature:	Date:
Holly Eillyta	10/25/16
Board of Education President Signature:	Date:
Molathan	10 a5 16