

STUDENT ASSESSMENTS AND ASSOCIATED GROWTH MODELS FOR TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL EVALUATION

FORM C

PUBLICLY AVAILABLE SERVICES SUMMARY

This form will be posted on the New York State Education Department's Web site and distributed through other means for all applications that are approved in conjunction with this RFQ to allow districts and BOCES to understand proposed offerings in advance of directly contacting Assessment Providers regarding potential further procurements.

Assessment Provider Information		
Name of Assessment Provider:	Eldred Central School District	
Assessment Provider Contact Information:	Robert M. Dufour	
Name of Assessment:	All Eldred Central School District K-12 SLO Assessments	
Nature of Assessment:	XASSESSMENT FOR USE WITH STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES WITH A TARGET SETTING MODEL; OR SUPPLEMENTAL ASSESSMENT WITH AN ASSOCIATED GROWTH MODEL: GAIN SCORE MODEL GROWTH-TO-PROFICIENCY MODEL STUDENT GROWTH PERCENTILES PROJECTION MODELS VALUE-ADDED MODELS OTHER:	
What are the grade(s) for which the assessment can be used to generate a 0-20 APPR score?	K-12	
What are the subject area(s) for which the assessment can be used to generate a 0-20 APPR score?	ELA, Math, Science, Social Studies, Arts, Music, Foreign Languages, Home & careers, Business, Physical Education, Health, Technology	
What are the technology requirements associated with the assessment?	Use of technology depends on the course reuqirements.	
Is the assessment available, either for free or through purchase, to other districts or BOCES in New York State?	XYES	

Please provide an overview of the assessment for districts and BOCES. Please include:

- A description of the assessment;
- A description of how the assessment is administered;
- A description of how scores are reported (include links to sample reports as appropriate);
- A description of how the Assessment Provider supports implementation of the assessment, including any technical assistance. (3 pages max)

- Assessments are directly linked to either NYS Standards for the subject area or NYS Common Core Standards. Assessments mirror models provided by NYS assessments.
- Pre-assessments are administered in one period setting at beginning of course. End of course assessments are administered in one period setting.
- Scores are reported to on SLO data sheets which are shared with administrators and may be recorded in the District's student information system (School Tool).
- Assessments are administered using criteria found in Examination Administration documents that come with NYS assessments. Exams are secured until the day of administration.

Please provide an overview of the student-level growth model or target setting model for SLOs for districts and BOCES, along with how student-level growth scores are aggregated to the create teacher-level scores, and how those teacher-level scores are converted to New York State's 0-20 metric.

Pre and post assessments are aligned to NYS Standards for each course. Classroom teachers assign individual differentiated targets for each student that is based on the student's baseline performance. Students will either meet or not meet their individual target. The percentage of students that met their targets is calculated to determine teacher score. Using the two data points of the pre and post assessments, the number of students who met their targets is divided by the numbers of students participating in the assessments. Based on this calculation, a HEDI score is assigned to each teacher.

	ssessment Priorities posed supplemental assessment I or assessment to be ne Next Generation Assessment Priorities below.
Characteristics of Good ELA and Math Assessments (only applicable to ELA and math assessments):	Assessments are linked directly to the NYS content and common core standards in content and form
Assessments Woven Tightly Into the Curriculum:	Assessments are reviewed by administrators for curriculum content and skill alignment with the taught curriculum.
Performance Assessment:	Performance assessments are strongly encouraged in all areas and value both process and product.
Efficient Time-Saving Assessments:	Assessments are designed to be administered in one period at the beginning of the course and 1-2 periods, depending on the grade level and course, at the end of the course.
Technology:	Use of technology depends on the course requirements.

Degree to which the growth N/A model must differentiate across New York State's four levels of teacher effectiveness (only applicable to supplemental assessments): A	Constitution of the second sec		
	model must differentiate across New York State's four levels of teacher effectiveness (only applicable to supplemental	N/A	



STUDENT ASSESSMENTS FOR TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL EVALUATION

FORM H

APPLICANT CERTIFICATION FORM -ASSESSMENTS FOR USE WITH STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

Please read each of the items below and check the corresponding box to ensure the fulfillment of the technical criteria.

PLEASE SUBMIT ONE "FORM H" FOR EACH APPLICANT. CO-APPLICANTS SHOULD SUBMIT SEPARATE FORMS.

The Applicant makes the following assurances:

Assurance	Check each box:
The assessment is rigorous, meaning that it is aligned to the New York State learning standards or, in instances where there are no such learning standards that apply to a subject/grade level, alignment to research-based learning standards.	х
To the extent practicable, the assessment must be valid and reliable as defined by the Standards of Educational and Psychological Testing.	х
The assessment can be used to measure one year's expected growth for individual students.	х
For K-2 assessments, the assessment is not a "Traditional Standardized Assessment" as defined in Section 1.3 of this RFQ.	x
For assessments previously used under Education Law §3012-c, the assessment results in differentiated student-level performance. If the assessment has not produced differentiated results in prior school years, the applicant assures that the lack of differentiation is justified by equivalently consistent student results based on other measures of student achievement.	x
For assessments not previously used in teacher/principal evaluation, the applicant has a plan for collecting evidence of differentiated student results such that the evidence will be available by the end of each school year.	
At the end of each school year, the applicant will collect evidence demonstrating that the assessment has produced differentiated student-level results and will provide such evidence to the Department upon request. ⁴	х

⁴ Please note, pursuant to Section 2.3 of this RFQ, an assessment may be removed from the approved list if such assessment does not comply with one or more of the criteria for approval set forth in this RFQ

To be completed by the Copyright Owner/Assessment Representative of the assessment being proposed and, where necessary, the co-applicant LEA:

Eldred central School District 1. Name of Organization (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	4. Signature of Authorized Representative (PLEASE USE BLUE INK)
Robert M. Dufour 2. Name of Authorized Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	4/13/16 5. Date Signed
Superintendent of Schools 3. Title of Authorized Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	

1. Name of LEA (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	4. Signature of School Representative (PLEASE USE BLUE INK)	
2. School Representative's Name (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)	5. Date Signed	
3. Title of School Representative (PLEASE PRINT/TYPE)		