November 23, 2020

Revised

Dr. Gary Furman, Superintendent
Edmeston Central School District
PO Box 5129
Edmeston, New York 13335

Dear Superintendent Furman:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner’s Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers’ or principals’ overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Betty A. Rosa
Interim Commissioner

Attachment

c: Catherine Huber
NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.
Disclaimers
For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

The Department will review the contents of each local educational agency's (LEA) Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in an LEA's plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from an LEA to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Each LEA is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of an LEA's plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the LEA are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the LEA, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject or disapprove this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

APPR Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below

- Assure that the content of this form represents the LEA's entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- Assure that it is understood that this LEA's APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website* following approval.
Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

*100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.*

Each teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) locally determined, consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

MEASURES

*SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.*

*Individually attributed measures*

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

*Teacher and course-specific*

- **Teacher and course-specific results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

*Collectively attributed measures*

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA’s focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA’s ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator’s effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

*School- or program-wide*

- **School- or program-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- **School- or program-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

- **School- or program-wide linked results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

*District- or BOCES-wide*

- **District- or BOCES-wide results:** scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results:** scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

*Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.*
State assessment(s); or
Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- third party assessments; or
- locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

### HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highley Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97-100%</td>
<td>93-100%</td>
<td>90-100%</td>
<td>85-100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SLO Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- Assure that the teacher has an SLO as determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- Assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.
- Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
Common Branch Kindergarten Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for kindergarten teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

### Kindergarten: Measure Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School- or program-wide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Kindergarten: School or Program-Wide Measure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School- or program-wide results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Kindergarten: Assessment Type(s)

- **State or Regents assessment(s)**

### Kindergarten: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- **ELA Regents**
- **Algebra I Regents**
- **Living Environment Regents**
- **US History Regents**
Common Branch Grade One Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade one teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

*An individually attributed SLO measure*

- Teacher and course-specific
  - Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

*A collectively attributed SLO measure*

- School- or program-wide
  - School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
  - School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

-*District- or BOCES-wide*

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 1: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 1: School- or Program-Wide Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 1: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 1: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELA Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra I Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living Environment Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US History Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Common Branch Grade Two Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade two teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 2: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grade 2: School- or Program-Wide Measure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School- or program-wide results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Grade 2: Assessment Type(s)**

- [ ] State or Regents assessment(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 2: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ] ELA Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Algebra I Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Living Environment Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] US History Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Common Branch Grade Three Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade three teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

### Grade 3: Measure Type

- School- or program-wide

### Grade 3: School- or Program-Wide Measure

- School- or program-wide results

### Grade 3: Assessment Type(s)

- State or Regents assessment(s)

### Grade 3: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ELA Regents
- Algebra I Regents
- Living Environment Regents
- US History Regents
Grade Four

Please identify below whether grade four instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade four teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

  • Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

  • School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

  • School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

  • School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

  • District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

  • District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade four in your LEA.

☐ Common branch
### Grade Four (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

**Grade Four: Measure Type**
- School- or program-wide

**Grade Four: School- or Program-Wide Measure**
- School- or program-wide results

**Grade Four: Assessment Type(s)**
- [ ] State or Regents assessment(s)

**Grade Four: State or Regents Assessment(s)**
- ELA Regents
- Algebra I Regents
- Living Environment Regents
- US History Regents
Grade Five

Please identify below whether grade five instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade five teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

• School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade five in your LEA.

☑ Common branch
Grade Five (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 5: Measure Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 5: School- or Program-Wide Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 5: Assessment Type(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 5: State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELA Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra I Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living Environment Regents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US History Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grade Six

Please identify below whether grade six instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade six teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade six in your LEA.

☐ Common branch
## Grade Six (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

### Grade 6: Measure Type
- School- or program-wide

### Grade 6: School- or Program-Wide Measure
- School- or program-wide results

### Grade 6: Assessment Type(s)
- State or Regents assessment(s)

#### Grade 6: State or Regents Assessment(s)
- ELA Regents
- Algebra I Regents
- Living Environment Regents
- US History Regents
Grade Seven

Please identify below whether grade seven instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade seven teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure
> Teacher and course-specific

- Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure
> School- or program-wide

- School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

- School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade seven in your LEA.

☑ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)
### Grade Seven (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade seven departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 7: Measure Type</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Grade 7: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 7: Assessment Type(s)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State or Regents assessment(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Grade 7: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ELA Regents
- Algebra I Regents
- Living Environment Regents
- US History Regents
Grade Eight Measures and Assessments

Please identify below whether grade eight instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade eight teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

- Teacher and course-specific
  - Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- School- or program-wide
  - School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
  - School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

- District- or BOCES-wide
  - District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade eight in your LEA.

☑️ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)
Grade Eight (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)
Grade eight departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 8: Measure Type
- School- or program-wide

Grade 8: School- or Program-Wide Measure
- School- or program-wide results

Grade Eight: Assessment Type(s)
- State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade Eight: State or Regents Assessment(s)
- ELA Regents
- Algebra I Regents
- Living Environment Regents
- US History Regents
High School English Language Arts

Note: Additional high school English courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school ELA teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

• School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether grades 9 through 12 ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☐ All high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)
High School ELA (All Grades) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School ELA: Measure Type
- School- or program-wide

High School ELA: School- or Program-Wide Measure
- School- or program-wide results

High School ELA: Assessment Type(s)
- State or Regents assessment(s)

High School ELA: State or Regents Assessment(s)
- ELA Regents
- Algebra I Regents
- Living Environment Regents
- US History Regents
High School Regents Math

Note: Additional high school math courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents math teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

- Teacher and course-specific
  - Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

- School- or program-wide
  - School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.
  - School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

- District- or BOCES-wide
  - District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
  - District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents math teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☐ All high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)
### High School Regents Math (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

**High School Regents Math: Measure Type**
- School- or program-wide

**High School Regents Math: School- or Program-Wide Measure**
- School- or program-wide results

**High School Regents Math: Assessment Type(s)**
- State or Regents assessment(s)

**High School Regents Math: State or Regents Assessment(s)**
- ELA Regents
- Algebra I Regents
- Living Environment Regents
- US History Regents
High School Regents Science

Note: Additional high school science courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents science teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

• School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents science teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑️ All high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High School Regents Science: Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School- or program-wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**High School Regents Science: School- or Program-Wide Measure**

| School- or program-wide results |

**High School Regents Science: Assessment Type(s)**

- **State or Regents assessment(s)**

**High School Regents Science: State or Regents Assessment(s)**

- ELA Regents
- Algebra I Regents
- Living Environment Regents
- US History Regents
High School Regents Social Studies: Measures and Assessments

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the “Other Courses” section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents social studies teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

• Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

• School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

• School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents social studies teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☐ All high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)
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High School Regents Social Studies (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Social Studies: Measure Type

- School- or program-wide

High School Regents Social Studies: School- or Program-Wide Measure

- School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Social Studies: Assessment Type(s)

- State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Social Studies: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ELA Regents
- Algebra I Regents
- Living Environment Regents
- US History Regents
Other Courses

Please identify below the ‘other courses’ in your LEA; indicate which of the six available measures will be used for for each group of teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

  • Teacher and course-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher’s course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

  • School- or program-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

  • School- or program-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses in the current school year.

  • School- or program-wide linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher’s course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

  • District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

  • District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers’ courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Complete the following, as applicable, for all ‘other teachers’ in additional grades/subjects (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same, including, for example, “All courses not named above”):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course</th>
<th>Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course</th>
<th>Column 3: subject of the course</th>
<th>Column 4: measure used</th>
<th>Columns 5-7: assessment(s) used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) lowest grade</td>
<td>(2) highest grade</td>
<td>(3) subject</td>
<td>(4) measure</td>
<td>(5-7) assessment(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Courses K 12 All courses not named above</td>
<td>District- or BOCES-wide results</td>
<td>ELARegents, Algebra IRegents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-3 Art</td>
<td>Teacher and course-specific results</td>
<td>Questar III BOCES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 9-12 English Electives</td>
<td>School- or program-wide linked results</td>
<td>All Regents given in LEA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 12 English Electives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".
## Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade From</th>
<th>Grade To</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>State or Regents Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)</th>
<th>Third Party Assessment(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>All courses not named above</td>
<td>School- or program-wide results</td>
<td>☐ ELA Regents</td>
<td>☐ Algebra I Regents</td>
<td>☐ Living Environment Regents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

• If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
• If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA’s evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.
Teacher Observation Category
For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Practice Rubric
Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NYSUT Teacher Practice Rubric (2012 Edition)</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rubric Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year as indicated in the table above.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents
For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department’s regulations.
Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:

- The process for designating observable components (please note: all educators of the same grade/subject must be evaluated based on the same set of observable components);
- The level at which components of the chosen rubric are rated (i.e., domain, subdomain, indicator, etc.);
- How the final score and rating for each observable component of the practice rubric is determined for each observer; and
- How the final score for the required (i.e., lead evaluator/evaluator; independent evaluator) and/or optional (peer observer, as applicable) subcomponent of the Observation category is determined based on the final score and rating for each observable component.

Example: All subcomponents of Domains 2-4 of the Danielson rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 2 and 3 are weighted as 40% each, and Domain 4 is weighted as 20%. For each observation, all observed subcomponents in a domain are weighted equally and averaged to create a domain score, which is then weighted as above and averaged to reach a final score for each observation. Scores for each observation are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each observation type. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the observation cycle.

All observable subcomponents will be weighted equally and averaged. Each observed subcomponent will be scored on a 1-4 scale according to the rubric. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the observation cycle.

Scoring Assurances
Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

The overall Observation score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Observation Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Developing:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators
- At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*
- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)
- No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal/Administrator [Required]</th>
<th>Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]</th>
<th>Peer Observer(s) [Optional]</th>
<th>Grades and subjects for which this weighting will apply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0% (N/A)</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher’s Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

- Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.

- Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one observation must be conducted by building principal or other trained administrator and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by principal or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of management to conduct observations in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in personon by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.
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Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one observation must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the teacher being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers (e.g., teacher leaders on career ladder pathways), so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the teacher being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEACHERS</th>
<th>SUBGROUP</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED</th>
<th>ANNOUNCE</th>
<th>ANNOUNCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If &quot;Subgroup of Teachers&quot; is selected in the previous column, indicate which teachers the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter &quot;N/A.&quot; For additional subgroups, add another row.</td>
<td>Minimum Number of Observations</td>
<td>Observation Method</td>
<td>Minimum Number of Observations</td>
<td>Observation Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgroup of Teachers</td>
<td>Tenured</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgroup of Teachers</td>
<td>Non-tenured</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Both</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- [x] Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- [x] Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.
Please also check each of the following boxes.

☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by trained peer observer(s).

- Peer teachers are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer teachers must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by trained peer observer(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number and "N/A" for the observation method for both unannounced and announced observations for "All Teachers."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEACHERS</th>
<th>SUBGROUP</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Observation Method</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Observation Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peer Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that peer observers, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observers, these teachers received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
Category and Overall Ratings
For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges
The overall Student Performance category score and the overall Observation category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category and Overall Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Teacher Observation
HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category and Overall Rating</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3.5 to 3.75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.5 to 2.75</td>
<td>3.49 to 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.5 to 1.75</td>
<td>2.49 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.49 to 1.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating
The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Performance Category</th>
<th>Teacher Observation Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Category and Overall Rating Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same LEA, each of whom received an Ineffective rating under Education Law Section 3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the LEA has a Department-approved waiver from this requirement.
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Additional Requirements

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

- Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the LEA.

Edmeston CSD Teacher Improvement Plan.pdf
Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

- Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

1. The substance of the annual professional performance review, which shall include the following:
   - (i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

2. The LEA’s adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

3. The adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

4. The LEA’s issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

Appeals of annual professional performance reviews are limited only to those that rate a teacher as ineffective or developing. Probationary teachers may not appeal.

Appeals are limited to the following subjects:

1. Adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews pursuant to NYS Education Law Section 3012d;
2. Adherence to the Commissioner’s regulations as applicable to such reviews;
3. Compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures applicable to annual professional performance reviews or improvement plans; or
4. The issuance or implementation of the terms of an improvement plan under NYS Education Law Section 3012d

Multiple appeals shall not be filed regarding the same performance review or improvement plan. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity within one appeal. All grounds not raised at the time the appeal is filed shall be deemed waived. The teacher has the burden of demonstrating a clear legal right to the relief requested and to the facts upon which relief is sought.

An appeal must be submitted in writing within fifteen (15) business days of the date the teacher receives the annual professional performance review document or within fifteen (15) business days of receiving the TIP. Appeals must be submitted in writing to the person responsible for the annual professional performance review. The appeal writing shall articulate in detail the basis of the appeal to the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee. Failure to articulate a particular basis for the appeal in the aforesaid appeal writing shall be deemed a waiver of that claim. The failure to submit a written appeal within fifteen (15) days shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal.

The Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee shall render a written determination in response within fifteen (15) business days of receipt of the appeal. The final decision of the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee pertaining to the appeal of the annual professional performance review shall not be grievable, arbitrable, nor reviewable in any other forum. Procedural issues relative to the annual professional performance review shall be subject to the grievance machinery of the contract.

The rating of a principal on his or her own APPR should not be admissible as a basis for a teacher to appeal his or her own evaluation. The fact that a principal was rated less than effective should not be a consideration in appeals of a teacher’s evaluation completed by that principal.
Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑️ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The ECS administrative team of evaluators (Superintendent, Principal, and Director of SE) will be trained in conduction teacher evaluations including the use of the NYSUT rubric. Evaluators (Lead, Independent, and others) are trained in the NYSUT rubric. All evaluators are trained as lead evaluators. All evaluators are initially certified or re-certified on an annual basis and receive updated training on any changes in the law or regulations applicable to collective bargaining agreements. This foundation for evaluating teachers based on best practices is enhance each year. During the school year, the administrative team meets to review and discuss teacher evaluations. These meetings allow the administrative team to improve inter-rater reliability, provide consistent feedback based on classroom observation evidence, and discuss professional development/training for teachers to improve instruction to meet student learning needs. The administrative team also utilizes such meetings to identify needs of teachers based on their feedback.

During the summer, the administrative team meets to be re-certified for teacher evaluations. This commitment includes approximately one day of annual training and re-training of evaluators, lead evaluators, impartial and independent observers on the nine elements listed in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the ongoing training and support essential to maintain the needed level of inter-rater reliability. All evaluators receive specific training in the use of the NYSUT Rubric including the review of teacher evaluations and video of teaching. The Board of Education will certify or recertify all evaluators annually upon the recommendation of the superintendent. The District will maintain records of certification for all evaluators.
Teacher Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide teachers with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Required Student Performance Measures

Student performance for principals may be measured by either a student learning objectives (SLO) or an Input Model where the principal’s overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal’s building or program.

> Principal and building/program-specific

• Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal’s building/program in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

• identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
• identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA’s focus on a specific priority area(s);
• the impact on the LEA’s ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator’s effectiveness; and
• when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> District- or BOCES-wide

• District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

• District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals’ buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

• State assessment(s): or

  Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

• third party assessments: or

• locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

INPUT MODEL

Selection of the Input Model will require:
• a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
• a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
• a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
• a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that such SLO is determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that if the principal's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- For principals evaluated using an input model, assure that all applicable principals will be evaluated using the procedures described herein and approved by the Commissioner.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs and input models.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

Required Student Performance for Principals

Please choose the option that best describes the required student performance subcomponent for principals in your LEA.

- The same measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for all principals
- Different measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for different grade configurations/programs
All Principals

Please indicate how student performance will be measured for principals, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Principal and building/program-specific

  • Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal’s building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> District- or BOCES-wide

  • District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

  • District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals’ buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

All Principals: Measure Type

| Student Learning Objective (SLO) |
Principal Student Learning Objective

Please indicate the type of SLO that will be used for principals, then choose the corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s).

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Principal and building/program-specific

- Principal and building/program-specific results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal’s building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> District- or BOCES-wide

- District- or BOCES-wide results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

- District- or BOCES-wide group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals’ buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to principals.

Principal Assessments

All Principals: Assessment Type(s)

☐ State or Regents assessment(s)

All Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☐ ELA Regents
☐ Algebra I Regents
☐ Living Environment Regents
☐ US History Regents

HEDI Scoring Bands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Developing</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

• If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
• If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;
- Option (G) An input model where the principal’s overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student achievement related to the Leadership Standards; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA’s evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.
Principal School Visit Category
For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principals’ professional performance shall be evaluated based on a State-approved rubric using multiple sources of evidence collected and incorporated into the school visit protocol. Where appropriate, such evidence may be aligned to building or district goals; provided, however, that professional goal-setting may not be used as evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness. Such evidence shall reflect school leadership practice aligned to the Leadership Standards and selected practice rubric.

Principal Practice Rubric
Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubric Name</th>
<th>If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rubric Assurances
Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different programs or grade configurations as indicated in the table above.
- Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents
For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.
Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department’s regulations.

Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:

- The process for designating observable components (please note: all principals of the same building configuration/program must be evaluated based on the same set of observable components);
- The level at which components of the chosen rubric are rated (i.e., domain, subdomain, indicator, etc.);
- How the final score and rating for each observable component of the practice rubric is determined for each observer; and
- How the final score for the required (i.e., lead evaluator/evaluator; independent evaluator) and/or optional (peer principal, as applicable) subcomponent of the School Visit category is determined based on the final score and rating for each observable component.

Example: All subcomponents of Domains 1-4 of the MPPR rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 1, 2 and 3 are weighted as 30% each, and Domain 4 is weighted as 10%. For each school visit, all observed subcomponents in a domain are weighted equally and averaged to create a domain score, which is then weighted as above and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit. Scores for each school visit are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit type. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the school visit cycle.

The agreed upon subcomponents contained in the rubric will be given equal weight and scored from 1 to 4 in accordance with the rubric. The rating for each subcomponent will be averaged. The district will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be observed at least once across the school visit cycle.

Scoring Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.

☑ Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

The overall School Visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.
Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highly Effective:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Developing:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ineffective:</th>
<th>Minimum Rubric Score</th>
<th>Maximum Rubric Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators
- At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*
- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)
- No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supervisor/Administrator [Required]</th>
<th>Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]</th>
<th>Peer School Visit(s) [Optional]</th>
<th>Grade configurations for which this weighting will apply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0% [N/A]</td>
<td>(No Response)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.

☑ Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.

☑ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.

☑ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one school visit must be conducted by supervisor or other trained administrator and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by superintendent or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of a board of education or superintendent of schools from conducting additional school visits for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by supervisor(s) or other trained administrators in the table below.
### Required Subcomponent 2: School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one school visit must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA but may not be assigned to the same school building as the principal being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs/directors, or peers, so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the principal being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

*If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINCIPALS</th>
<th>SUBGROUP</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCE</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑️ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑️ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.
Please also check each of the following boxes.

- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

- Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the LEA’s approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Optional Subcomponent: School Visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by trained peer principal(s).

- Peer principals are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer principals must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by trained peer principal(s) in the table below.

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number for both unannounced and announced school visits for "All Principals."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRINCIPALS</th>
<th>SUBGROUP</th>
<th>UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits</th>
<th>ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peer Principal School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that peer principal(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall School Visit category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating</th>
<th>Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal School Visit Category</th>
<th>Highly Effective (H)</th>
<th>Effective (E)</th>
<th>Developing (D)</th>
<th>Ineffective (I)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Performance Category</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly Effective (H)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective (E)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing (D)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective (I)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Category and Overall Rating Assurances

- Please check all of the boxes below.
- Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
Additional Requirements
For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances
Please check each of the boxes below.

☑ Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

☑ Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

Principal Improvement Plan Forms
All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the LEA.

Edmeston CSD Principal Improvement Plan.pdf
Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

☒ Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

   (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

Appeals shall be limited to those evaluations which have resulted from the overall rating of developing and ineffective. Any administrator may appeal the annual evaluation to the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee within fifteen business days of its receipt. The appeal shall be in writing and shall articulate in detail the basis of the appeal. Appeals shall be limited to:

1. The substance of the annual professional performance review;
2. The school district's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such review pursuant to Section 3012(d) of the Education Law;
3. The adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, and
4. The school district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP).

- Any issue not raised in the written appeal shall be deemed waived.
- The Superintendent's designee shall be trained in accordance with requirements of the statute and regulations and also possess either an SDA, SDL or SBA New York State certification
- The Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee when applicable shall render a written determination in response within fifteen business days of receipt of the appeal.
- The final determination of the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee regarding the annual professional performance review shall not be grievable, arbitrable, nor reviewable in any other forum. Procedural issues relative to the annual professional performance review shall be subject to the grievance machinery of the contract.

Notwithstanding the above, the following additional procedures shall be available for any tenured administrator receiving a rating of ineffective:

1. Within twenty (20) business days, occurring during the school year including summer recess, of the receipt of an administrator's annual evaluation, the administrator may request, in writing review by the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee where applicable.
2. The appeal writing shall articulate a particular basis of the appeal to the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee. Failure to articulate a particular basis for the appeal in the aforesaid appeal writing shall be deemed a waiver of that claim.
3. Within fifteen (15) business days of confirmed receipt of the appeal (including during the school year and the summer recess period and vacation periods), the Superintendent of Schools or his/her designee shall render an initial determination, in writing, respecting the appeal. Thereafter, within 15 days, the affected administrator may elect review of the appeal papers by an outside expert who shall be mutually selected by the District and the Principal from the AAA list. The cost of expert review shall be borne equally by the District and the Principal. The expert may recommend a modification of the rating, along with his/her rationale for the same. Expert review shall be completed within fifteen (15) business days of delivery of the written request for review to the expert. No hearing shall be held, and the expert's report shall be advisory only.
4. The expert's review shall be based solely upon the original appeal, the Superintendent's initial determination, supporting papers submitted by the administrator and/or a response to the appeal by the administrator's evaluator.
5. The reviewer's written recommendation shall be transmitted to the Superintendent and appellant upon completion. The Superintendent shall consider the written recommendation of the reviewer and shall issue a written decision within fifteen business days thereof. The determination of the Superintendent of Schools, or his/her designee, shall be final and shall not be grievable, arbitrable, nor reviewable in any other forum; however, the failure of either party to abide by the above agreed upon process shall be subject to the grievance process.
6. The parties acknowledge that the rights and privileges of the district and the principal shall not be infringed by 3020a or 3020b proceedings. An evaluation that is the subject of an appeal shall not be sought to be offered in evidence or placed in evidence in any proceeding conducted pursuant to the grievance machinery of the contract.

Notwithstanding the above, in the instance of a second or third ineffective rating for a tenured administrator, the Building Principal may appeal to a mutually agreed upon retired school administrator (RSA) within fifteen (15) days of the APPR. In the event the parties are unable to agree within ten (10) days of the filing of the appeal on the retired administrator, the parties shall request a list of nine (9) retired school administrators willing and qualified to conduct the review by mutually agreed upon organization that may possess such a list. If upon receipt of the list the parties cannot mutually agree upon an outside expert within three (3) calendar days after receipt, each party shall be afforded four (4) strike outs with the remaining name being the individual to be assigned. The district will set up the hearing within fifteen (15) days of determining the person to hear the appeal. The cost associated with the retired administrator shall be borne by the District and shall be consistent with prevailing arbitration rates.

The evaluated Principal may be represented at the hearing by a union representative, an attorney, or pro se.

The parties shall exchange documentary evidence and an anticipated witness list no less than seven (7) business days before the scheduled hearing date. Within 30 calendar days of the hearing, the RSA shall render a written final and binding decision which must be rationally based and supported by facts and evidence. If the appeal is upheld in whole or part, the RSA shall direct an appropriate remedy which may include, but is not limited to, modifying, amending, adjusting or vacating the evaluation and/or points or (H, E, D, I,) rating provided to Principal. If the evaluation is vacated or modified the RSA may also require re-implementation of PIP for the subsequent school year, and/or in the subsequent school year have the evaluation conducted by a different trained non-bargaining unit administrator other than the original evaluator. Administrators who are rated effective, highly effective, developing, or ineffective may elect to submit a written response to their overall rating, which response shall be appended to the APPR.
evaluation and filed in the administrator's personnel file. Such response shall be filed within fifteen (15) business days, occurring during the school year including summer recess, of the administrator's receipt of the APPR evaluation. Submitting such a response does not impair the administrator's right to appeal his/her rating, if otherwise eligible for said appeal. The time frames referenced above may be extended for a period of not more than 60 days by mutual agreement of the district and the Principal, but said extension shall be timely and expeditious as required by Education Law 3012-d.
Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑️ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent evaluators and peer principals need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Evaluators, and Peer Principals and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent evaluators and peer principals;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The Edmeston Central School District will comply annually with all requirements for the training, certification and re-certification of all evaluators, including lead evaluators, evaluators, impartial and independent observers. All evaluators are trained as lead evaluators. This commitment includes approximately one day of training and re-training of evaluators, lead evaluators, impartial and independent observers on the nine elements listed in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the ongoing training and support essential to maintain the needed level of inter-rater reliability through the review of prior evaluations. All evaluators receive specific training in the use of the Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric. Additionally, all evaluators are initially certified or re-certified on an annual basis and receive updated training on any changes in the law or regulations applicable to collective bargaining agreements. The Board of Education will certify or recertify all evaluators annually upon the recommendation of the superintendent. The District will maintain records of certification of all evaluators.
Principal Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide principals with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.
Upload APPR LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

Edmeston CSD APPR Certification 2020-21 signed.pdf
Edmeston Teacher Improvement Plan

The Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) is a structured plan designed to identify specific concerns in instruction and outlines a plan of action to address these concerns. The purpose of a TIP is to assist teachers to work to their fullest potential. The TIP provides assistance and feedback to the teacher and establishes a timeline for assessing its overall effectiveness.

A TIP must be initiated whenever a teacher receives a final rating of developing or ineffective in the evaluation category. Both the teacher and the administrator meet for an evaluation conference at the end of the school year where the developing or ineffective evaluation is discussed. A TIP is designed by the building principal and the Superintendent or his/her designee in collaboration with the teacher and the president of the Edmeston Central School Faculty Association (ECSFA) or his/her designee. The TIP must be in place by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher’s performance was measured. An initial conference is held at the beginning of the school year where the TIP is discussed, signed and dated at the beginning of its implementation.

The teacher must be offered the opportunity for a peer mentor from the District's mentor program. An independent, trained mentor who is a teacher, retired teacher or administrator not employed by the district or retired administrator with a demonstrated record of effectiveness can be scheduled by the Superintendent of Schools to function as a peer mentor. The teacher will select the mentor, with the approval of the Superintendent and the ECSFA President. The mentor and the teacher will collaborate during the first quarter. During that time, the teacher will be observed by designated member of the leadership team who will concentrate on observing and evaluating goals identified in the TIP. The administrator will meet with the teacher in a timely manner (within 3 school days) to discuss the observations. Written observation summaries will be provided (within 7 school days) and must be signed by both parties. The teacher will have the right to respond to observation summaries and responses will be attached. Costs associated with the TIP which have been agreed upon by the District shall be paid for by the District.

After the first quarter of teacher/mentor collaboration, the administration will assess the effectiveness of the intervention(s) and the level of improvement. Based on that assessment, the TIP may be adjusted appropriately and quarterly meetings among all parties will continue. At the end of the year, if the TIP goals are met, it will terminate. The culmination of the TIP will be communicated in writing to the teacher. Both parties will sign the TIP at the end of the school year.

If the teacher is again rated as developing or ineffective, a new plan will be developed by the teacher and the building principal in collaboration with the ECSFA for the subsequent school year.
The TIP must consist of the following components:

1. **DESCRIPTION OF THE ISSUES/PROBLEMS NOTED:** Description of the factors leading to the rating of ineffective or developing rating.

2. **AREAS IN NEED OF IMPROVEMENT:** Identify specific areas in need of improvement. Develop specific, written goals for the teacher to accomplish during the period of the Plan.

3. **PROGRAM FOR PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT:** Identify specific recommendations for what the teacher is expected to do to improve in the identified areas. Delineate specific, realistic, achievable activities for the teacher.

4. **RESOURCES:** Administrators will help identify specific resources available to assist the teacher to improve performance. Examples: colleagues; courses; workshops; peer visits; materials; etc. The teacher must reach out to colleagues (ex. mentor) and other support personnel to seek resources and guidance.

5. **RESPONSIBILITIES:** Identify responsible administrator(s) and steps to be taken by administrator(s) throughout the Plan. Identify steps to be taken by the teacher throughout the Plan. Examples: peer review, observation of other teachers, professional development, classroom observations of the teacher; supervisory conferences between the teacher and administrator(s); written reports and/or evaluations; etc.

6. **EVIDENCE OF SUCCESS:** Identify how progress will be measured and assessed. Specify next steps to be taken based upon whether the teacher is successful, partially successful or unsuccessful in efforts to improve performance. The teacher is responsible to conduct continual self-assessments. He/she can ask colleagues to help with their assessment.

7. **TIMELINE:** Provide a specific Timeline for implementation of the various components of the TIP and for the final completion of the TIP. Identify the dates for preparation of written documentation regarding the completion of the Plan.
Edmeston Central School District
Teacher Improvement Plan:

Name _______________________________  Subject/Grade __________________________

School Year ____________

Description of the issues/problems noted:

Areas in Need of Improvement:

Program for Performance Improvement:

Resources:

Responsibilities:

Evidence of Success:

Timeline:

Courses/Grade Level Taught: ______________________________________________________

Date of Conference about TIP ________________

Teacher Signature _________________________________________

Administrator Signature _____________________________
Edmeston Principal Improvement Plan

The Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) is a structured plan designed to identify specific concerns in instruction and outlines a plan of action to address these concerns. The purpose of a PIP is to assist principals to work to their fullest potential. The PIP provides assistance and feedback to the principal and establishes a timeline for assessing its overall effectiveness.

A PIP must be initiated whenever a principal receives a final rating of developing or ineffective in the evaluation category. Both the principal and the administrator meet for an evaluation conference at the end of the school year where the developing or ineffective evaluation is discussed. A PIP is designed by the building principal and the Superintendent or his/her designee in collaboration with the principal. The PIP must be in place by October 1 following the school year for which such principal’s performance was measured. An initial conference is held at the beginning of the school year where the PIP is discussed, signed and dated at the beginning of its implementation.

The principal must be offered the opportunity for a peer mentor from an independent, trained evaluator who is a principal, retired principal or administrator not employed by the district or retired administrator with a demonstrated record of effectiveness can be scheduled by the Superintendent of Schools to function as a peer mentor. The principal will select the mentor, with the approval of the Superintendent. The mentor and the principal will collaborate during the first quarter. During that time, the principal will be observed by the Superintendent and/or designee who will concentrate on observing and evaluating goals identified in the PIP. The Superintendent and/or designee will meet with the principal in a timely manner (within 3 school days) to discuss the observations. Written observation summaries will be provided (within 7 school days) and must be signed by both parties. The principal will have the right to respond to observation summaries and responses will be attached. Costs associated with the PIP which have been agreed upon by the District shall be paid for by the District.

After the first quarter of principal/mentor collaboration, the Superintendent and/or designee will assess the effectiveness of the intervention(s) and the level of improvement. Based on that assessment, the PIP may be adjusted appropriately and quarterly meetings among all parties will continue. At the end of the year, if the PIP goals are met, it will terminate. The culmination of the PIP will be communicated in writing to the principal. Both parties will sign the PIP at the end of the school year.

If the principal is again rated as developing or ineffective, a new plan will be developed by the principal in collaboration with the Superintendent and/or designee for the subsequent school year.
The PIP must consist of the following components:

1. **DESCRIPTION OF THE ISSUES/PROBLEMS NOTED:** Description of the factors leading to the rating of ineffective or developing rating.

2. **AREAS IN NEED OF IMPROVEMENT:** Identify specific areas in need of improvement. Develop specific, written goals for the principal to accomplish during the period of the Plan.

3. **PROGRAM FOR PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT:** Identify specific recommendations for what the principal is expected to do to improve in the identified areas. Delineate specific, realistic, achievable activities for the principal.

4. **RESOURCES:** The Superintendent and/or designee will help identify specific resources available to assist the principal to improve performance. Examples: colleagues; courses; workshops; peer visits; materials; etc. The principal must reach out to colleagues (ex. mentor) and other support personnel to seek resources and guidance. Costs associated with the PIP which have been agreed upon by the District shall be paid for by the District.

5. **RESPONSIBILITIES:** Identify steps to be taken by the Superintendent and/or designee throughout the Plan. Identify steps to be taken by the principal throughout the Plan. Examples: peer review, observation of other principals, professional development, classroom observations of the principal; supervisory conferences between the principal and Superintendent and/or designee; written reports and/or evaluations; etc.

6. **EVIDENCE OF SUCCESS:** Identify how progress will be measured and assessed. Specify next steps to be taken based upon whether the principal is successful, partially successful or unsuccessful in efforts to improve performance. The principal is responsible to conduct continual self-assessments. He/she can ask colleagues to help with their assessment.

7. **TIMELINE:** Provide a specific Timeline for implementation of the various components of the PIP and for the final completion of the PIP. Identify the dates for preparation of written documentation regarding the completion of the Plan. The first meeting will be held between December 1-15 and the second one between March 1-15. A written summary of feedback on the principal’s progress will be given within five business days of each meeting. A formal written summative assessment delineating progress made will be provided to the principal. The principal will have an opportunity to provide written comment on the feedback provided.
Edmeston Central School District
Principal Improvement Plan:

Name ____________________________  School Year ____________

Description of the issues/problems noted:

Areas in Need of Improvement:

Program for Performance Improvement:

Resources:

Responsibilities:

Evidence of Success:

Timeline:

Date of Conference about PIP ________________

Principal Signature ____________________________

Administrator Signature ________________________
LEA CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA’s Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan.

By signing this document, the LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s) certify that the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval constitutes the school LEA’s complete APPR plan, that all provisions of the plan that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, and that such plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d as amended by the Laws of 2019 and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and has been adopted by the governing body of the LEA.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify, upon information and belief, that all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the LEA’s complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the LEA; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the overall APPR rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including but not limited to: tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable and not later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the classroom teacher or building principal’s performance is being measured;
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal his or her score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher’s or principal’s APPR, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the teacher’s or principal’s performance is measured;
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the LEA’s office and made available to the public on the LEA’s website no later than September 10th of each school year or within 10 days after the plan’s approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that complete and accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA will continue to report to the State individual subcomponent scores and the overall rating for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities;
- Assure that any teacher or principal who receives an Overall Rating of Developing or Ineffective in any school year will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 of the school year following the year in which such teacher’s or principal’s performance was measured or as soon as practicable thereafter;
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations;
- Assure that LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the LEA;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school...
• Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the LEA shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;
• Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth or achievement will be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject, for teachers, or similar building configurations/programs, for principals, in the LEA will be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;
• Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth;
• Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year;
• Assure that the LEA will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
• Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
• Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.
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Christine Nichols

Board of Education President Signature: 
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John E. Holdorf