



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Interim Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov
Twitter: @NYSEDNews
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

January 21, 2021

APPR Plan - Variance

Allen Buyck, Superintendent
Broome-Delaware-Tioga BOCES
435 Glenwood Road
Binghamton, NY 13905-1699

Dear Superintendent Buyck:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan variance application meets the criteria outlined in section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided in your variance application, including the narrative descriptions, certifications, and assurances that are included in the application. During the approved term of this variance, your LEA will implement the variance along with all other remaining provisions of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan and/or the terms of your approved variance, your LEA must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class school leader to support their professional growth, and every student achieves success.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

Betty A. Rosa
Interim Commissioner

Attachment

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR variance application have been reviewed and are considered as part of your approved APPR variance application; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR variance application but are not incorporated by reference have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan or variance and/or require corrective action.

Pursuant to section 30-3.16 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, please note that an LEA with an approved variance shall provide to the Department, upon its request, any documentation related to the implementation and efficacy of the approach proposed in the variance, including but not limited to: reports on the correlation in assigned ratings for different measures of the LEA's evaluation system and differentiation among educators within each subcomponent and category of the evaluation system

Your variance is approved for the 2020-21 school year. Because you requested this variance to address issues related to COVID-19, the approval of this variance for any future school years (up to a three school year period) is contingent on the continuation of the current COVID-19 pandemic notwithstanding your request for this variance to apply in future school years. Upon expiration of state-imposed restrictions or emergency measures related to the pandemic, or abatement of the pandemic, it is expected that your variance will no longer be required. As such, SED may withdraw its approval of this variance for any subsequent school years or may require a separate application or other documentation for continuation of the variance in future school years. Upon expiration of the approved term of your variance, you must implement the terms of your current APPR plan as approved by the Commissioner.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 1. General Information - General Information**

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Annual Professional Performance Review Variance (Education Law 3012-d)

For guidance related to the Annual Professional Performance Review variance, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

At its October 2019 meeting, the Board of Regents amended sections 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents to allow LEAs to apply for a variance from Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan requirements to permit them to develop and implement new and innovative approaches to evaluation that meet the specific needs of the LEA, upon a finding by the Commissioner that the new and innovative approach demonstrates how it will ensure differentiated results over time and how the results of the evaluation will be used to provide personalized professional learning opportunities to teachers and principals, while complying with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d.

In instances where a variance is approved, the term(s) described in the approved variance will replace the related sections of the LEA's currently approved APPR plan. However, please note that all other terms as are present in the LEA's currently approved plan will remain in effect and must be implemented without modification.

Once a variance is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's APPR plan during the approved term of the variance. **In any instance in which there is an approved variance and such variance contains information that conflicts with the information provided in the approved Education Law §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved variance will apply during the approved term of the variance.**

Variance Application Timeline

Variance applications must be approved by the Department by December 1 of a school year to be implemented in that school year.

Submission by November 1 is suggested to allow time for review, revision and approval in order to meet the approval deadline for implementation in the same school year.

Absent a finding by the Commissioner of extraordinary circumstances, a variance application approved after December 1 of a school year will not be implemented until the following school year.

For more information regarding the variance approval deadline, including a possible extension, please contact APPRVariance@nysed.gov.

Variance Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below**

- ☒ Assure that the contents of this form are in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- ☒ Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's variance is kept on file and that a copy of such variance will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.
- ☒ Assure that this variance will be posted on the LEA's website, in addition to its current full APPR plan, no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- ☒ Assure that it is understood that this LEA's variance will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website* following approval.

Teacher Variance**Please check the appropriate box below.**

- ☒ Assure that any task not included in the following variance request(s) for teachers will be carried out in the manner described in the currently approved APPR plan.

Principal Variance

Education Law §3012-d requires that the principal evaluation system be aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluation. Therefore, when completing a variance request for the evaluation of principals, the processes identified must be aligned to such requirements.

Please check the appropriate box below.

- ☒ Assure that any requested variance for principal evaluation is aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluation provided in Education Law Section 3012-d and that any task not included in the following variance request(s) for principals will be carried out in the manner described in the currently approved APPR plan.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Request**

Page Last Modified: 11/13/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Education Law §3012-d requires that each teacher have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) consistent with a goal-setting process based on appropriate growth targets. The process must include, at a minimum, the following elements:

A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components),

Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance),

A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20,

A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating.

This requirement

currently approved APPR plan will apply.

must be met through

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an SLO process for a teacher or group of teachers that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

- ☐ A variance is not requested for the required student performance subcomponent for teachers.
- ☒ The details of the variance request for the required student performance subcomponent for teachers is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Applicability

Page Last Modified: 12/01/2020

Applicable Teachers

Please indicate all teachers to whom this required student performance variance request applies.

Core Teachers

Use the table below to list the core teachers this required student performance variance request is applicable to (teachers of other courses should be listed in the subsequent section).

	All Core Teachers	Common Branch	ELA	Math	Science	Social Studies
Courses	<input type="checkbox"/> All core teachers (K-3; 4-8 ELA, math, science, social studies; high school ELA and Regents courses) [if this option is selected, please do not make selections in subsequent columns]					

Other Teachers
☒ Teachers of other courses included in this required student performance variance request are listed in the table below.

Fill in the following for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that are included in this required student performance variance request:

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above
K-3 Art	K	3	Art
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives

Click "Add Row" to add additional courses. Only list additional courses if they are included in this required student performance variance request.

Grade From	Grade To	Subject
11	12	CTE

Applicable Areas

A variance may be requested for the following areas of the required student performance subcomponent:

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Applicability

Page Last Modified: 12/01/2020

- A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components)
- Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance)
- A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20*
- A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating*

Please indicate the area(s) of the required student performance subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

- ☒ Measures of student growth
- ☒ Evidence of student learning

**Only select "Conversion to a 20-point score" or "HEDI ranges"*

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
								1	1	1	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
								2	1	0										
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	5	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	9		
97-	93-	90-	85-	80-	75-			5	9	4	9	4	9	5	1	7	3		5	0
100%	96%	92%	89%	84%	79%	67-74%	60-66%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
								5	5	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	8	4
								9	4	8	3	8	3	8	4	0	6	2	%	%
								%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Measures of Student Growth**

Page Last Modified: 12/23/2020

Measures of Student Growth

Describe the measure(s) that will be used to evaluate teachers for the required student performance subcomponent (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components).

Teachers of Career and Technical Education students in grade 12 (general education and students with disabilities) will receive a growth score based on the percentage of students satisfactorily completing a career and technical education portfolio. A group wide student learning objective will be used to measure student growth from the beginning of the school year to the end of the school year. CTE teachers will all get the same growth score.

Population: Teachers of Career and Technical Education Grade 12, 23 sections 586 students

Learning Content: CDOS Learning Standards

Interval of Instructional Time: The SLO begins in September 2020 and ends in June 2021

Evidence: A career and technical education student portfolio will be used to measure student growth. The portfolio contains four sections with several subsections to meet the needs of all career and technical education students. The section areas include resume, career plan and awards, work samples, and career readiness experiences. Section 1 includes: resume, cover letter, thank you letter, LinkedIn page, Youtube video, letter(s) of recommendation and a section for other items. Section 2 includes: career plan, employability profile, awards and certifications, and a section for other items. Section 3 includes: writing samples, student labs and projects, test samples, photographs of work, and a section for other items. Section 4 includes: sample job application, mock interviews, co-op and job shadow experiences, and a section for other items. Portfolios will be assessed using aligned rubrics to demonstrate student growth from the beginning of the school year to the end of the school year. Teachers will offer accommodations as legally required and appropriate. Student IEPs and 504 plans will be followed.

Baseline: Student baselines will be determined by the career development center staff using data accumulated from first year CTE course portfolio progress.

Target(s): Each student will satisfactorily complete a career and technical education portfolio by the end of their senior year. HEDI points will be allocated based on the percentage of students meeting the growth target as evidenced by the percentage of students who satisfactorily complete the portfolio. 75% of the 586 students participating will need to satisfactorily complete the portfolio in order to obtain an effective HEDI rating as described below.

HEDI Scoring: The state approved HEDI Scoring chart will be used. Highly Effective - 90%-100%, Effective - 75%-89%, Developing - 60%-74%, Ineffective - 0%-59%.

Rationale: The career and technical education portfolio is representative of the content taught in each CTE course of study and aligns with the course standards. The portfolio is an excellent way to assess the skills and knowledge a student has learned in their career and technical education program. The portfolio along with ongoing formative assessments will be used to continually monitor and adjust instruction to meet the needs of all career and technical education students.

Measures Assurance

Please check the box below.

☒ Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Evidence of Student Learning**

Page Last Modified: 11/18/2020

Evidence of Student Learning

Please identify any evidence of student learning to be used. A description of how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment should be included in the last section of this variance request.

Type(s) of Evidence☒ Other (add details below)**Other Evidence****Identify evidence of student growth used that is not a State, locally-developed, or third party assessment.**

Student portfolio

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/08/2021

Variance Details*Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.***Rationale****Please provide a rationale for this variance request.****> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the required student performance variance request.**

Our current agreed upon student learning objective for career and technical education teachers uses a pre and post-test. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, it has become impossible for us to offer the pre-test fairly to all our students. Our component school districts are using a hybrid model of instruction which does not allow the career and technical education students to attend in-person every day of the week. Some students are being educated remotely and never attend in-person. For these reasons, we are not able to provide all students with a pre-test and meet all student IEP accommodations during the pre-test. It would be unfair to our students and teachers to evaluate them based on inaccurate data derived through a pre and post test that does not allow all students the accommodations and supports they should be provided. We believe the portfolio model will better meet the needs of our students with IEPs as well as those students without IEPs. The portfolio model will be a more equitable way of measuring student growth throughout the school year.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/08/2021

Standards and Procedures

Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.

> This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.

> This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures of student growth and/or evidence of student learning that will be used to evaluate educators.

The career and technical education portfolio that we plan to use for the APPR student growth measure contains four sections with several subsections. The main sections are resume, career plan and awards, work samples, and career readiness experiences. Section 1 includes: resume, cover letter, thank you letter, LinkedIn page, Utube video, letter(s) of recommendation and a section for other items. Section 2 includes: career plan, employability profile, awards and certifications, and a section for other items. Section 3 includes: writing samples, student labs and projects, test samples, photographs of work, and a section for other items. Section 4 includes: sample job application, mock interviews, co-op and job shadow experiences, and a section for other items. Each portfolio will be assessed using aligned rubrics and methodologies to demonstrate student growth from the beginning of the school year to the end of the school year.

Sample resume and career research paper rubrics are included below:

CFM Name _____

Resume Rubric Date _____

Content and Structure	Weak 1-2	Average 3	Strong 4
The resume:			
1. Contains an OBJECTIVE section that identifies the career and/or college goal.			
2. Contains an EDUCATION section, including name of high school, year of graduation and type of diploma. Other items that may be included are GPA and specific classes taken.			
3. Contains an EXPERIENCE section, with a complete list of previous work experience, including the contact information and your job title. Jobs are listed in reverse chronological order with month and date (starting with most recent.) Verbs are used in the right tense to state responsibilities and duties.			
4. Contains either an AWARDS, SKILLS or ACTIVITIES section, with specific examples and dates if appropriate.			
5. Contains the HEADING with writer's own contact information, including address and telephone number. Include e-mail if appropriate e-mail address.			
6. Contains a REFERENCES section with three references.			

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/08/2021

7. Includes clear headings for quick reference and identification of information.			
8. Uses a logical, effective organizational strategy consistent with the writing type.			
Ideas The writer:			
9. Fulfills the writer's general purpose and goals.			
10. States ideas clearly and elaborates on them with specific supporting details and examples.			
11. Uses vivid, precise language that is appropriate to the audience and the writing type.			
Conventions The resume:			
12. Contains no errors in grammar and usage.			
13. Contains no errors in spelling, capitalization, and punctuation.			
Total:			/52

Career Research Paper Rubric

Name of student: _____

	9	7	5	3	0
Typical Tasks	6+ accurate and relevant details	5 accurate and relevant details	4 accurate and relevant details	3 accurate and relevant details	0-2 accurate and relevant details
Education/ Training	6+ accurate and relevant details	5 accurate and relevant details	4 accurate and relevant details	3 accurate and relevant details	0-2 accurate and relevant details
Skills	6+ accurate and relevant details	5 accurate and relevant details	4 accurate and relevant details	3 accurate and relevant details	0-2 accurate and relevant details
Aptitudes/ Physical Demands	6+ accurate and relevant details	5 accurate and relevant details	4 accurate and relevant details	3 accurate and relevant details	0-2 accurate and relevant details
Employment Outlook	6+ accurate and relevant details	5 accurate and relevant details	4 accurate and relevant details	3 accurate and relevant details	0-2 accurate and relevant details
Earnings/ Benefits	6+ accurate and relevant details	5 accurate and relevant details	4 accurate and relevant details	3 accurate and relevant details	0-2 accurate and relevant details
Working Conditions	6+ accurate and relevant details	5 accurate and relevant details	4 accurate and relevant details	3 accurate and relevant details	0-2 accurate and relevant details
Similar Occupations	6+ accurate and relevant details	5 accurate and relevant details	4 accurate and relevant details	3 accurate and relevant details	0-2 accurate and relevant details

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/08/2021

Works Cited/ Title Page	3+ sources cited in proper MLA format/ Title Page	3 sources cited with errors/ Title Page	2 sources cited in proper MLA format/ Title Page	2 sources cited with errors/ Title Page	0-1 sources cited in proper MLA forma/ Title Page
Format	Proper font (+size), spacing, margins and paragraph structure	1 error	2 errors	3 errors	4+ errors
Conventions	0-4 spelling, grammar or punctuation errors	5-8 spelling, grammar or punctuation errors	9-12 spelling, grammar or punctuation errors	13-16 spelling, grammar or punctuation errors	17+ spelling, grammar or punctuation errors

Grade: _____

Teachers use the rubrics to determine a score for each portfolio component by adding the total points a student scores on the individual component and dividing by the total points a student could have earned. A score of 75% will be considered satisfactory for each component of the portfolio project. A total portfolio project grade is determined using the portfolio checklist (see below). Students earn points for satisfactory quality items and unsatisfactory items (items that were originally scored poorly and not corrected or incomplete). Missing items will not receive points. An overall score is derived by adding the total points a student scores on the individual portfolio items and dividing by the total points they could have earned. Weighting for the components is equalized on the checklist to avoid penalizing a student twice who tried, but struggled with some of the individual components.

Portfolio Checklist

Name: _____

Date: _____

Program: _____

Teacher: _____

Portfolio Sections	Due Date	Satisfactory (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)	Missing (0)
Section # 1 – Resume (options)				
Resume				
Cover Letter				
Thank You Letter				
Linked-In Page				
YouTube Video				
Letter(s) of Recommendation				
Other				
Section #2 – Career Plan (options)				
Career Plan				
Employability Profile				
Awards				
Professional Certifications				
Other				
Section #3 – Work Samples (options)				

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/08/2021

Writing Samples				
Student Labs/Projects				
Test Samples				
Photographs of Work				
Other				
Section # 4 – Career Readiness (options)				
Sample Application				
Mock Interview				
Co-op/Job Shadow Experience				
Other				
Score		Earned Pts.	Available Pts.	Final Score

75% of CTE seniors will need to have an overall grade of satisfactory on the portfolio for teachers' growth measure to be considered effective using the HEDI scale. Teachers will be assigned growth scores using the total number of CTE seniors who have completed the portfolio successfully. 440 of the 586 CTE seniors will need to satisfactorily complete the portfolio to reach the 75% target. We anticipate the number of students completing the portfolio satisfactorily will be higher than 440 out of 586. The group score will be aligned with the HEDI rating scale below.

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE			EFFECTIVE			DEVELOPING		INEFFECTIVE												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100 %	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0

Each of the CDOS learning standards will be embedded in the portfolio rubrics. Standard 1 - career development, Standard 2 - integrated learning, Standard 3a - universal foundation skills, and Standard 3b - career majors. Each teacher will work with the CTE Principal to make sure students know what is required to obtain a satisfactory grade on the portfolio. The rubrics used and the grade calculation will be slightly different for each CTE program.

The teachers and principal will identify student areas of strength and student areas where improvement is needed. The process will also assist us in evaluating teachers while specifically identifying where professional development is needed. The areas of growth for teachers will be outlined in each teacher's annual summative evaluation.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/08/2021

Rigor

Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.

> This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.

Teachers are using rubrics to help students identify and maintain a high level of rigor. The calculation for how a student obtains a satisfactory score is slightly different for each CTE course. Teachers have already worked with the CTE Principal to identify the process for calculating a satisfactory score. The rubrics have been established to guide the rigor of this work.

There is a standardized framework identifying the components of the student portfolio. The current rubrics and methodologies being used are for resume writing, cover letters, thank you letters, career plans, employability profiles, writing samples, job applications, mock interviews, and co-op/job shadows. These framework areas will be used by all teachers.

The mandatory framework areas are listed below with sample rubric scales as appropriate.

1. Resume

The resume rubric sample is based on a four-point scale that includes: heading, career objective, education, work experience, achievement/volunteer work, interests/activities/skills/certifications, business format, and spelling and grammar.

2. Cover Letter

The cover letter rubric sample is based on a four-point scale that includes: introductory paragraph, body paragraph(s), concluding paragraph(s), writing skills, heading, business letter format, spelling and grammar.

3. Thank You Letter

The thank you letter rubric sample is based on a three-point scale that includes: business format, correct headings and salutations, body of letter, choice of words and tense, grammar and punctuation, evidence of proof reading, letter signed, and following directions.

4. Career Plan

The career plan format being used is a sample obtained from SED and will be included in the portfolio.

5. Employability Profile

Employability profiles are already established and standardized in each CTE course to demonstrate skills acquisition and are used to measure student success in the program.

6. Writing Samples

Writing sample rubrics vary depending on the CTE course. The ELA integration teacher plays a major role in all writing sample work and will assist students and teachers in deciding what writing samples should be included in the portfolio. The integration teacher will work with the CTE teacher to identify the rubric that works best for the course. CTE teachers are responsible to score all ELA work. They can get assistance from the ELA integration teacher as needed.

7. Sample Job Application

Sample job applications are content specific and students will be taught how to fill them out for jobs in their field of study.

8. Mock Interviews

The mock interview rubric sample is based on a four-point scale that includes: appearance, first impression, response to questions, communication skills, conclusion, and preparation.

9. Co-op/Job Shadows

All students who go out on a co-op or job shadow are evaluated on a weekly basis. These evaluations include attendance and punctuality, personal appearance, cooperation, attitude, and dependability.

The framework areas and rubrics described will be used to achieve and maintain rigor through the entirety of the career and technical education portfolio process.

Data will be collected every five weeks to measure portfolio progress and determine the percentage of 12th grade CTE students who are on schedule to satisfactorily complete a career and technical education portfolio. The data collection will include a breakdown of how students and teachers are doing on each of the framework components listed above. Professional learning and supports will be provided based on the data. The CTE Principal will oversee this process and work with the career development center team to support students and teachers through this process.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/08/2021

Professional Learning

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:

- **collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,**
- **specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,**
- **processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and**
- **use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.**

The CTE Principal is working with the career development center team and integrated academic team to assess and support educator effectiveness. A planned review of teacher and student progress has been set up to determine how students are progressing on their portfolio sections. Portfolio reviews will be done at minimum every five weeks. Individualized professional development trainings will be and have been created based on the progress or lack thereof that is being made by students and teachers. The career development center staff have been assigned to a group of CTE courses and the trainings are being set up to meet teacher needed areas of growth. The professional development for all teachers is already in process (most of this has been completed) and individualized trainings are on-going based on teacher needs.

The career development center staff assignments are as follows:

CDC Staff #1: Auto Body, Automotive Technology, Building Trades, Carpentry, Electricity, General Automotive Services, Heavy Equipment Operations and Repair, Masonry

CDC Staff #2: Animal Science, Business Management, Cosmetology, Culinary Arts, Hospitality Careers, Plant Science, Retail and Warehousing Careers

CDC Staff #3: Computer Aided Design, Criminal Justice, Graphic Arts, Health Science, IT Specialist, Manufacturing, Video Production, Welding

The career development center and integration teams are providing workshops for teachers and students in the following areas: resume writing, cover letters, thank you letters, how to set up a Linked-in page, how to create a YouTube video, career plans, employability profiles, job applications, interviewing skills, co-ops, and job shadows. Individualized trainings above and beyond those listed will be provided as gaps in learning are identified by the teams and administrators. The integration team will support these efforts as needed primarily with those applications associated with the ELA standards.

The career development center works with the teachers in the above listed courses on how to create student opportunities for co-ops, job shadows, and mock interviews. In addition, professional development is provided at least two times per year to support teachers in creating quality trade advisory committees. These meetings are used to support the career and technical education portfolio process of growth.

Data collection is an important part of this process as described in the rigor section. Identifying our strengths and weaknesses through data collection helps us to focus professional learning in areas where supports are needed. The data is collected from each CTE course and CTE teacher so the Principal can identify where professional learning is needed.

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:

- **collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,**
- **the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and**
- **how results will be used to inform future implementation.**

The effectiveness of the portfolio implementation will be measured every five weeks by collecting the data described in each of the four sections of the career and technical education portfolio. Based on the data, we will be able to determine the areas of strength and weakness. Five-week data collection will help us to focus on the immediate needs of students and teachers and also accumulate multiple years of data to measure our long-term goals. We will be able to measure effectiveness by the number of portfolio sections students are completing and by the quality of instruction that is provided to students by their teachers. Use of the rubrics and methodologies for each section of the portfolio will be critical to our success. The data will be used to inform future implementation and/or potential adjustments to the portfolio process.

Use of the Optional Student Performance Subcomponent & Weighting

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/08/2021

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used in the process included in this variance request by making the appropriate selection below.

- ☒ The optional subcomponent is not included in this variance; the required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Required Student Performance Variance Assurances

Please check the box below as applicable to all teachers included in this required student performance variance request.

- ☒ Assure that each teacher covered by this variance request will have an SLO consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 3. TEACHERS: Optional Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 12/31/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an optional second measure for a teacher or group of teachers that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for the optional student performance subcomponent for teachers.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 12/23/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to evaluate teacher practice in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for the teacher observation category.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 5. TEACHERS: Overall Scoring - Variance Request**

Page Last Modified: 12/23/2020

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Education Law §3012-d requires that each teacher be given a final score for both the Student Performance and Teacher Observation categories, which will be converted to a final category rating based on the HEDI scale, and that these ratings be used to provide an Overall Rating using the prescribed scoring matrix.

These requirements must be met through either the LEA's current APPR plan or this variance. To the extent that the variance does not address a requirement, the currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to define the HEDI ranges for the Student Performance and/or Teacher Observation category that is different than those included in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for category ratings for teachers.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Variance Request**

Page Last Modified: 12/23/2020

Additional Requirements for Teachers

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Education Law §3012-d requires that a complete APPR plan must include a process for teacher improvement plans, appeals, and evaluator training as determined by the Commissioner. The following minimum requirements under Education Law §3012-d are applicable to teachers:

- A form for development of a Teacher Improvement Plan,
- A timely and expeditious process for resolving educator's appeals of APPR ratings,
- A process for training all evaluators of applicable educators.

These requirements must be met through either the LEA's current APPR plan or this variance. To the extent that the variance does not address a requirement, the currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process for Teacher Improvement Plans, appeals and/or training in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any teachers not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☒ A variance is not requested for teacher improvement plans, appeals, or training.
- ☐ The details of the variance request applicable to teacher improvement plans, appeals, and/or training is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Request**

Page Last Modified: 11/30/2020

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Education Law §3012-d requires that the principal evaluation system be aligned to the requirements for teacher evaluations, including the required student performance subcomponent. Therefore, the required student performance subcomponent variance request for principals must be aligned to the teacher evaluation requirements.

This requirement

must be met through

currently approved APPR plan will apply.

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process to demonstrate student growth based on principal practice for a principal or group of principals that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☐ A variance is not requested for the required student performance subcomponent for principals.
- ☒ The details of the variance request for the required student performance subcomponent for principals is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Applicability

Page Last Modified: 12/01/2020

Applicable Principals

Please indicate all principals to whom this required student performance variance request applies.

To add configurations for additional principals, click "Add Row".

Grade From	Grade To
11	12

Applicable Areas

A variance may be requested for the following areas of the required student performance subcomponent:

- A description of the measure(s) of student growth to be used (e.g., the SLO goal setting process; SLO components)
- Applicable evidence of student learning (e.g., how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment, evaluation of student performance)
- A method for converting student results to a score on a scale from 0-20*
- A scale for conversion of the score of 0 to 20 to a HEDI rating*

Please indicate the area(s) of the required student performance subcomponent for which a variance is being requested.

- ☒ Measures of student growth
- ☒ Evidence of student learning

*Only select 'Conversion to a 20-point score' or 'HEDI ranges' if your variance request involves different values than those included in the table below.

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective													
								1	1	1											
								2	1	0	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	5	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	1				
97-	93-	90-	85-	80-	75-			5	9	4	9	4	9	5	1	7	3	9		5	0
100%	96%	92%	89%	84%	79%	67-74%	60-66%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
								5	5	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	8	4	
								9	4	8	3	8	3	8	4	0	6	2	%	%	%
								%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Measures of Student Growth**

Page Last Modified: 12/23/2020

Measures of Student Growth

Describe the measure(s) that will be used to evaluate principals for the required student performance subcomponent (e.g., goal setting process; demonstration of student growth).

The Career and Technical Education (CTE) Principal will receive a growth score based on the percentage of CTE 12th graders satisfactorily completing a career and technical education portfolio. A group wide student learning objective will be used to measure student growth from the beginning of the school year to the end of the school year. There is only one CTE Principal and he will receive the same growth score that the CTE teachers receive.

Population: Teachers of Career and Technical Education Grade 12, 23 sections 586 students

Learning Content: CDOS Learning Standards

Interval of Instructional Time: The SLO begins in September 2020 and ends in June 2021

Evidence: A career and technical education student portfolio will be used to measure student growth. The portfolio contains four sections with several subsections to meet the needs of all career and technical education students. The section areas include resume, career plan and awards, work samples, and career readiness experiences. Section 1 includes: resume, cover letter, thank you letter, LinkedIn page, Youtube video, letter(s) of recommendation and a section for other items. Section 2 includes: career plan, employability profile, awards and certifications, and a section for other items. Section 3 includes: writing samples, student labs and projects, test samples, photographs of work, and a section for other items. Section 4 includes: sample job application, mock interviews, co-op and job shadow experiences, and a section for other items. Portfolios will be assessed using aligned rubrics to demonstrate student growth from the beginning of the school year to the end of the school year. The principal will make sure accommodations are offered as legally required and appropriate. Student IEPs and 504 plans will be followed.

Baseline: Student baselines will be determined by the career development center staff using data accumulated from first year CTE course portfolio progress.

Target(s): Each student will satisfactorily complete a career and technical education portfolio by the end of their senior year. HEDI points will be allocated based on the percentage of students meeting the growth target as evidenced by the percentage of students who satisfactorily complete the portfolio. 75% of the 586 students participating will need to satisfactorily complete the portfolio in order to obtain an effective HEDI rating as described below. This CTE Principal and teachers will receive the same growth score.

HEDI Scoring: The state approved HEDI scoring chart will be used. Highly Effective - 90%-100%, Effective - 75%-89%, Developing - 60%-74%, Ineffective - 0%-59%.

Rationale: The career and technical education portfolio is representative of the content taught in each CTE course of study and aligns with the course standards. The portfolio is an excellent way to assess the skills and knowledge a student has learned in their career and technical education program. The portfolio along with ongoing formative assessments will be used to continually monitor and adjust instruction to meet the needs of all career and technical education students.

Measures Assurance

Please check the box below.

☒ Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Evidence of Student Learning**

Page Last Modified: 12/01/2020

Evidence of Student Learning

Please identify any evidence of student learning to be used. A description of how growth will be measured through various forms of assessment should be included in the last section of this variance request.

Type(s) of Evidence☒ Other (add details below)**Other Evidence****Identify evidence of student growth used that is not a State, locally-developed, or third party assessment.**

Student Portfolio

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/08/2021

Variance Details*Please read the questions below and answer each prompt in a concise manner.***Rationale****Please provide a rationale for this variance request.****> Your rationale should include information regarding the specific, identified needs and/or challenges of the LEA, and how such needs and/or challenges inform development of the required student performance variance request.**

The career and technical education principal currently uses a pre and post-test given to students to measure principal growth for APPR purposes. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, it has become impossible for us to offer the pre-test fairly to all of our students. Our component school districts are using a hybrid model of instruction which does not allow the career and technical education students to attend in-person every day of the week. Some students are being educated remotely and never attend in-person. For these reasons, we are not able to provide all students with a pre-test and meet all student IEP accommodations during the pre-test. It would be unfair to our students, teachers and the principal to evaluate them based on inaccurate data derived through a pre and post-test that does not allow all students the accommodations and supports they should be provided. We believe the portfolio model will better meet the needs of our students with IEPs as well as those students without IEPs. The portfolio model will be a more equitable way of measuring student growth throughout the school year. The CTE principal will use the same HEDI scale as was used for the pre and post-test, but the growth measure will be assigned based on the number of students who satisfactorily complete the CTE portfolio.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/08/2021

Standards and Procedures

Please provide a description of the standards and procedures that will be used in lieu of those included in the LEA's most recently approved evaluation plan.

> This description should include a specific, detailed explanation of the new and innovative approach that the LEA is seeking to implement as part of its variance request.

> This description should include, but not be limited to, a description of the alternate measures of student growth and/or evidence of student learning that will be used to evaluate educators.

The career and technical education portfolio contains four sections with several subsections. The portfolio can be successfully used to measure student performance and is a good measure for the CTE principal for APPR purposes. The main sections are: resume, career plan and awards, work samples, and career readiness experiences. Section 1 includes: resume, cover letter, thank you letter, LinkedIn page, Utube video, letter(s) of recommendation and a section for other items. Section 2 includes: career plan, employability profile, awards and certifications, and a section for other items. Section 3 includes: writing samples, student labs and projects, test samples, photographs of work, and a section for other items. Section 4 includes: sample job application, mock interviews, co-op and job shadow experiences, and a section for other items. Each portfolio will be assessed using aligned rubrics and methodologies to demonstrate student growth from the beginning of the school year to the end of the school year.

Sample resume and career research paper rubrics are included below:

CFM Name _____

Resume Rubric Date _____

Content and Structure	Weak 1-2	Average 3	Strong 4
The resume:			
1. Contains an OBJECTIVE section that identifies the career and/or college goal.			
2. Contains an EDUCATION section, including name of high school, year of graduation and type of diploma. Other items that may be included are GPA and specific classes taken.			
3. Contains an EXPERIENCE section, with a complete list of previous work experience, including the contact information and your job title. Jobs are listed in reverse chronological order with month and date (starting with most recent.) Verbs are used in the right tense to state responsibilities and duties.			
4. Contains either an AWARDS, SKILLS or ACTIVITIES section, with specific examples and dates if appropriate.			
5. Contains the HEADING with writer's own contact information, including address and telephone number. Include e-mail if appropriate e-mail address.			
6. Contains a REFERENCES section with three references.			

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/08/2021

7. Includes clear headings for quick reference and identification of information.			
8. Uses a logical, effective organizational strategy consistent with the writing type.			
Ideas The writer:			
9. Fulfills the writer's general purpose and goals.			
10. States ideas clearly and elaborates on them with specific supporting details and examples.			
11. Uses vivid, precise language that is appropriate to the audience and the writing type.			
Conventions The resume:			
12. Contains no errors in grammar and usage.			
13. Contains no errors in spelling, capitalization, and punctuation.			
Total:			/52

Career Research Paper Rubric

Name of student: _____

	9	7	5	3	0
Typical Tasks	6+ accurate and relevant details	5 accurate and relevant details	4 accurate and relevant details	3 accurate and relevant details	0-2 accurate and relevant details
Education/ Training	6+ accurate and relevant details	5 accurate and relevant details	4 accurate and relevant details	3 accurate and relevant details	0-2 accurate and relevant details
Skills	6+ accurate and relevant details	5 accurate and relevant details	4 accurate and relevant details	3 accurate and relevant details	0-2 accurate and relevant details
Aptitudes/ Physical Demands	6+ accurate and relevant details	5 accurate and relevant details	4 accurate and relevant details	3 accurate and relevant details	0-2 accurate and relevant details
Employment Outlook	6+ accurate and relevant details	5 accurate and relevant details	4 accurate and relevant details	3 accurate and relevant details	0-2 accurate and relevant details
Earnings/ Benefits	6+ accurate and relevant details	5 accurate and relevant details	4 accurate and relevant details	3 accurate and relevant details	0-2 accurate and relevant details
Working Conditions	6+ accurate and relevant details	5 accurate and relevant details	4 accurate and relevant details	3 accurate and relevant details	0-2 accurate and relevant details
Similar Occupations	6+ accurate and relevant details	5 accurate and relevant details	4 accurate and relevant details	3 accurate and relevant details	0-2 accurate and relevant details

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/08/2021

Works Cited/ Title Page	3+ sources cited in proper MLA format/ Title Page	3 sources cited with errors/ Title Page	2 sources cited in proper MLA format/ Title Page	2 sources cited with errors/ Title Page	0-1 sources cited in proper MLA forma/ Title Page
Format	Proper font (+size), spacing, margins and paragraph structure	1 error	2 errors	3 errors	4+ errors
Conventions	0-4 spelling, grammar or punctuation errors	5-8 spelling, grammar or punctuation errors	9-12 spelling, grammar or punctuation errors	13-16 spelling, grammar or punctuation errors	17+ spelling, grammar or punctuation errors

Grade: _____

Teachers use the rubrics to determine a score for each portfolio component by adding the total points a student scores on the individual component and dividing by the total points a student could have earned. A score of 75% will be considered satisfactory for each component of the portfolio project. A total portfolio project grade is determined using the portfolio checklist (see below). Students earn points for satisfactory quality items and unsatisfactory items (items that were originally scored poorly and not corrected or incomplete). Missing items will not receive points. An overall score is derived by adding the total points a student scores on the individual portfolio items and dividing by the total points they could have earned. Weighting for the components is equalized on the checklist to avoid penalizing a student twice who tried, but struggled with some of the individual components.

Portfolio Checklist

Name: _____

Date: _____

Program: _____

Teacher: _____

Portfolio Sections	Due Date	Satisfactory (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)	Missing (0)
Section # 1 – Resume (options)				
Resume				
Cover Letter				
Thank You Letter				
Linked-In Page				
YouTube Video				
Letter(s) of Recommendation				
Other				
Section #2 – Career Plan (options)				
Career Plan				
Employability Profile				
Awards				
Professional Certifications				
Other				
Section #3 – Work Samples (options)				

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/08/2021

Writing Samples				
Student Labs/Projects				
Test Samples				
Photographs of Work				
Other				
Section # 4 – Career Readiness (options)				
Sample Application				
Mock Interview				
Co-op/Job Shadow Experience				
Other				
Score		Earned Pts.	Available Pts.	Final Score

75% of CTE seniors will need to have an overall grade of satisfactory on the portfolio for the Principal's growth measure to be considered effective using the HEDI scale. The Principal will be assigned a growth score using the total number of CTE seniors who have completed the portfolio successfully. 440 of the 586 CTE seniors will need to satisfactorily complete the portfolio to reach the 75% target. We anticipate the number of students completing the portfolio satisfactorily will be higher than 440 out of 586. The group score will be aligned with the percentages below.

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE			EFFECTIVE			DEVELOPING		INEFFECTIVE												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100 %	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0

Each of the CDOS learning standards are embedded in the portfolio rubrics. Standard 1 - career development, Standard 2 - integrated learning, Standard 3a - universal foundation skills, and Standard 3b - career majors. Each teacher will work with the CTE Principal to make sure students know what is required to obtain a satisfactory grade on the portfolio. The rubrics used and the grade calculation will be slightly different for each CTE program.

The Principal will identify student and teacher areas of strength and areas where improvement is needed. The process will assist us in evaluating teachers while specifically identifying where professional learning is needed. The areas of growth for teachers will be outlined in each teacher's annual summative evaluation.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/08/2021

Rigor

Please provide a description of how the LEA will ensure that evaluations are rigorous and enable strong and equitable inferences about the effectiveness of the LEA's educators.

> This description should include how rigor is achieved and maintained, including relevant processes and methodologies.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, how data will be used to draw inferences, including how the derived data informs decisions and guidance for the LEA's educators.

The principal has played a major role in the development of the sections of the portfolio. It is important for the principal to make sure to hold the teachers and students to a high standard of rigor.

Teachers are using rubrics to help students identify and maintain a high level of rigor. The calculation for how a student obtains a satisfactory score is slightly different for each CTE course. Teachers have already worked with the CTE Principal to identify the process for calculating a satisfactory score. The rubrics have been established to guide the rigor of this work.

There is a standardized framework identifying the components of the student portfolio. The current rubrics and methodologies being used are for resume writing, cover letters, thank you letters, career plans, employability profiles, writing samples, job applications, mock interviews, and co-op/job shadows. These framework areas will be used by all teachers.

The mandatory framework areas are listed below with sample rubric scales as appropriate.

1. Resume

The resume rubric sample is based on a four-point scale that includes: heading, career objective, education, work experience, achievement/volunteer work, interests/activities/skills/certifications, business format, and spelling and grammar.

2. Cover Letter

The cover letter rubric sample is based on a four-point scale that includes: introductory paragraph, body paragraph(s), concluding paragraph(s), writing skills, heading, business letter format, spelling and grammar.

3. Thank You Letter

The thank you letter rubric sample is based on a three-point scale that includes: business format, correct headings and salutations, body of letter, choice of words and tense, grammar and punctuation, evidence of proof reading, letter signed, and following directions.

4. Career Plan

The career plan format being used is a sample obtained from SED and will be included in the portfolio.

5. Employability Profile

Employability profiles are already established and standardized in each CTE course to demonstrate skills acquisition and are used to measure student success in the program.

6. Writing Samples

Writing sample rubrics vary depending on the CTE course. The ELA integration teacher plays a major role in all writing sample work and will assist students and teachers in deciding what writing samples should be included in the portfolio. The integration teacher will work with the CTE teacher to identify the rubric that works best for the course. CTE teachers are responsible to score all ELA work. They can get assistance from the ELA integration teacher as needed.

7. Sample Job Application

Sample job applications are content specific and students will be taught how to fill them out for jobs in their field of study.

8. Mock Interviews

The mock interview rubric sample is based on a four-point scale that includes: appearance, first impression, response to questions, communication skills, conclusion, and preparation.

9. Co-op/Job Shadows

All students who go out on a co-op or job shadow are evaluated on a weekly basis. These evaluations include attendance and punctuality, personal appearance, cooperation, attitude, and dependability.

The framework areas and rubrics described will be used to achieve and maintain rigor through the entirety of the career and technical education portfolio process.

Data will be collected every five weeks to measure portfolio progress and determine the percentage of 12th grade CTE students who are on schedule to satisfactorily complete a career and technical education portfolio. The data collection will include a breakdown of how students and teachers are doing on each of the framework components listed above. Professional learning and supports will be provided based on the data. The CTE Principal will oversee this process and work with the career development center team to support students and teachers through this process.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Variance Details, Weighting & Assurances**

Page Last Modified: 01/08/2021

Professional Learning

Please provide a description of how the LEA will use the information collected through the evaluation system, including the assigned effectiveness ratings, to provide personalized professional learning opportunities for educators.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, methodologies and procedures for:

- collecting information about educator effectiveness to inform professional learning,
- specific details regarding both the type(s) and extent of professional learning opportunities anticipated,
- processes for delivery of personalized learning opportunities, and
- use of data to measure the efficacy of such professional learning.

The CTE Director will work with the CTE Principal to assess educator effectiveness. A planned review of principal, teacher and student progress has been set up to determine how students are progressing on their portfolio sections. The CTE Director will meet weekly with the principal to discuss student and teacher portfolio progress. Portfolio reviews will be done at minimum every five weeks. Individualized professional development trainings will be created based on the progress or lack thereof that is being made by students and teachers. The principal will oversee the professional development and participate if the CTE Director feels it is necessary for principal growth.

The career development center and integration teams will provide workshops for teachers and students in the following areas: resume writing, cover letters, thank you letters, how to set up a Linked-in page, how to create a YouTube video, career plans, employability profiles, job applications, interviewing skills, co-ops, and job shadows. The CTE Principal will participate as needed. Individualized trainings above and beyond those listed will be provided as gaps in learning are identified by the team. The integration team will support these efforts as needed primarily with those applications associated with the ELA standards.

Data will be collected every five weeks to measure portfolio progress and determine the percentage of students who are on schedule to satisfactorily complete a career and technical education portfolio. The CTE Director and Principal will oversee the collection of data.

Effectiveness of Implementation

Please provide a description of how the LEA will assess the effectiveness of the implementation of the variance.

> This description may include, but is not limited to, processes and procedures for:

- collection and analysis of both short- and long-term data,
- the standard(s) used to measure the effectiveness of implementation, and
- how results will be used to inform future implementation.

The CTE Principal will play a key role in ensuring the effectiveness and implementation of the portfolio plan. The effectiveness of the portfolio implementation will be measured every five-weeks by collecting the data described in each of the four sections of the career and technical education portfolio. Based on the data, the CTE Principal will be able to determine the areas of strength and weakness. Five-week data collection will help us to focus on the immediate needs of students and teachers and also accumulate multiple years of data to measure long-term goals. We will be able to measure effectiveness by the number of portfolio sections students are completing and by the quality of instruction that is provided to students by their teachers. The CTE Principal will be responsible for the success and implementation of this process. Use of the rubrics and methodologies for each section of the portfolio will be critical to success. The data will be used to inform future implementation and/or potential adjustments to the portfolio process.

Use of the Optional Student Performance Subcomponent & Weighting

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used in the process included in this variance request by making the appropriate selection below.

- ☒ The weighting included in the currently approved plan will not change based on this variance request.

Required Student Performance Variance Assurances

Please check the box below as applicable to all principals included in this required student performance variance request.

- ☒ Assure that scores and ratings for the required student performance subcomponent will be calculated consistent with the process described in the LEA's approved APPR plan and/or this variance application and in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 8. PRINCIPALS: Optional Student Performance - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 12/01/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop an optional second measure for a principal or group of principals that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for the optional student performance subcomponent for principals.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 12/23/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to evaluate principal practice in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for the principal school visit category.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 10. PRINCIPALS: Overall Scoring - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 12/23/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to define the HEDI ranges for the Student Performance and/or Principal School Visit category that is different than those included in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Please make the appropriate selection below.

☒ A variance is not requested for category ratings for principals.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Variance Request

Page Last Modified: 12/23/2020

Variance Request

LEAs may use this variance application to develop a process for Principal Improvement Plans, appeals and/or training in a manner that differs from the process described in the Commissioner's regulations.

Any principals not covered by this variance request

Choose the appropriate response below.

- ☒ A variance is not requested for principal improvement plans, appeals, or training.
- ☐ The details of the variance request applicable to principal improvement plans, appeals, and/or training is described in the subsequent section.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Variance, Education Law §3012-d**Task 12. Joint Certification of APPR Variance - Applicability and Certification**

Page Last Modified: 01/14/2021

Applicability of Variance**Need for Variance****Please make the appropriate selection below.**

- ☒ The submission of this variance application is prompted by the impact of COVID-19 on the LEA.

Instructional Model

- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if an in-person instructional model is implemented.
- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if a remote instructional model is implemented.
- ☐ The processes identified in this variance application need only apply if a hybrid instructional model is implemented.
- ☒ The processes identified in this variance application apply regardless of the instructional model implemented.

Variance Duration

An Annual Professional Performance Review Variance under Education Law §3012-d may be approved for up to **THREE (3) years**.

Please indicate below the school years to which this variance application will apply.

One, two, or three consecutive academic years may be selected.

- ☒ 2020-21

Upload APPR Variance Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Variance using the "Variance Certification Form" found in the 'Documents' menu on the left side of the page.

Variance Certification Form.pdf

APPR VARIANCE CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, check the assurances, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Variance, Education Law §3012-d application.

Assurances: Please check the boxes below

- ☒ Assure that all information provided in this variance application is true and accurate as of the date that the variance application is submitted.
- ☒ Assure that once this application is approved by the Department, it shall be considered part of the LEA's approved APPR plan during the effective term of the variance.
- ☒ Assure that, upon a revocation or non-renewal of a variance application at the end of its effective term, the district shall implement its approved evaluation plan in its entirety and without modification, consistent with all requirements of Subpart 30-3.3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and absent any terms of the variance.
- ☒ Assure that, where applicable, collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of this variance application that are subject to collective bargaining.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature:

Date:


 1-13-2021

Superintendent Name (print):

Allen D. Buyck

Teachers Union President Signature:

Date:


 01/13/2021

Teachers Union President Name (print):

DONALD J. ENTWISTLE, JR 01/13/2021

Administrative Union President Signature:

Date:


 1/14/21

Administrative Union President Name (print):

Charles Wheeler

Board of Education President Signature:

Date:

 1-13-2021

Board of Education President Name (print):

Sandra H. Ruffo



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov
Twitter: @NYSEDNews
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

July 13, 2016

Revised

Allen Buyck, Superintendent
Broome-Delaware-Tioga BOCES
435 Glenwood Road
Binghamton, NY 13905-1699

Dear Superintendent Buyck:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has world-class educators in the classroom, every teacher has a world-class principal to support his or her professional growth, and every student achieves college and career readiness.

Thank you again for your hard work.

Sincerely,

MaryEllen Elia
Commissioner

Attachment

NOTE:

Pursuant to sections 30-2.14 and 30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must calculate transition scores and ratings for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 ELA and math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores. For the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, your district/BOCES must establish alternate SLOs for affected teachers and principals who, as a result of the above exclusions, have no remaining measures in the Student Performance Category.

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 1. School District Information - Tasks 1.1, 1.2

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

Task 1) Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

The Department will review the contents of each school district's/BOCES' Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in a district's/BOCES' plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from a district/BOCES to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. As such, each district/BOCES is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of a district's/BOCES' plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the school district/BOCES are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the school district/BOCES, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

1.1) Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below**

- ☒ Assure that the content of this form represents the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that a detailed version of the district's/BOCES' entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the district/BOCES website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.
- ☒ Assure that it is understood that this district's/BOCES' APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website following approval.

1.2) Submission Status

Is this a first-time submission under Education Law §3012-d or the submission of material changes to an APPR plan approved pursuant to Education Law §3012-d?

First-time submission under Education Law §3012-d

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

Task 2) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

(A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

2.1) Assurances

Please note: NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math Assessments and State-provided growth scores cannot be used for the purposes of providing transition scores and ratings during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, and should be used for advisory purposes only until the 2019-20 school year. Alternate SLOs to be used during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 transition period should be entered in Task 2 (Transition).

Please check the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- ☒ Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all 4-8 ELA and Math teachers in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that teacher.
- ☒ For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for any grade/subject that requires a back-up SLO, but for which there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a teacher-specific SLO from being created, the superintendent or another trained administrator shall develop a school-wide back-up SLO using available State/Regents assessments.
- ☒ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

2.2) Grades 4-8 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)**STATE-PROVIDED MEASURES OF STUDENT GROWTH**

For teachers in grades 4 - 8 Common Branch, ELA, and Math, NYSED will provide a growth score and rating. That rating will incorporate students' academic history compared to similarly academically achieving students and takes into consideration students with disabilities, English language learners, students in poverty, and, in the future, any other student-, classroom-, and school-level characteristics approved by the Board of Regents. While most teachers of 4-8 Common Branch, ELA and Math will have State-provided scores and ratings, some may teach other courses where there is no State-provided growth measure. Teachers with 50 – 100% of students covered by State-provided growth measures will receive a growth score and rating from the State for the full Student Performance category of their evaluation. Teachers with 0 – 49% of students covered by State-provided growth measures must have SLOs for the Student Performance category of their evaluation and one SLO must use the State-provided measure if applicable for any courses. (See APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance for more detail on teachers with State-provided growth measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those teachers who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that teacher.

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs for the grade/subject listed beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math
State Assessment	Grade 4 ELA	Grade 4 Math

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.1 (Assurances), 2.2 (4-8 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math
State Assessment	Grade 5 ELA	Grade 5 Math

	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math
State Assessment	Grade 6 ELA	Grade 6 Math

	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 7 ELA	Grade 7 Math

	Grade 8 ELA	Grade 8 Math
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 8 ELA	Grade 8 Math

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.3 (3 ELA/Math), 2.4 (4/8 SCI)

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

2.3) Grade 3 ELA and Math: Assessments (Original)**STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams**For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math
State Assessment	Grade 3 ELA	Grade 3 Math

2.4) Grades 4 and 8 Science: Assessments (Original)**STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents Exams**For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies courses associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Grade 4 Science	Grade 8 Science
State or Regents Assessment(s)	Grade 4 Science	Grade 8 Science

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

2.5) High School Courses Ending in a Regents Exam: Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses Ending with State Assessments or Regents ExamsFor guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

SLOs are the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For grade 3 ELA and math; grades 4 and 8 science; high school math, science, and social studies associated with Regents exams or, in the future, with other State assessments, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO for students taking such assessments:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), *required if one exists*

Using the drop-down boxes below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Global 2	US History
Regents Assessment	Global 2	US History

	Living Environment	Earth Science	Chemistry	Physics
Regents Assessment	Living Environment	Earth Science	Chemistry	Physics

	Algebra I	Geometry	Algebra II/Trigonometry
Regents Assessment(s)	Common Core Algebra	Common Core Geometry	Common Core Algebra II and Algebra II/Trigonometry

2.6) High School English Language Arts Courses: Measures and Assessments (Original)

Note: Additional high school English Language Arts courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: High School English Language ArtsFor guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For high school English Language Arts, the following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- State assessments (or Regents or Regent equivalents), required in at least one year of high school English Language Arts

For grade levels where the Regents exam is not used:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.5, 2.6 (High School Courses)

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
9 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district		
10 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district		
11 ELA	Teacher-specific results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Core English		
12 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district		

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Task 2.7 (K-2 ELA/Math)

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

2.7) Grades K-2 ELA and Math: Measures and Assessments (Original)**STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams**For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district		
K Math	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district		
1 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district		
1 Math	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district		
2 ELA	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district		
2 Math	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district		

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

2.8) Grades 6-7 Science and Grades 6-8 Social Studies: Measures and Assessments (Original)**STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams**For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for the grade/subject listed.

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
6 Science	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district		
7 Science	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district		
6 Social Studies	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district		
7 Social Studies	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district		
8 Social Studies	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district		

2.9) Regents Global Studies 1: Measure and Assessment(s) (Original)

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the "All Other Courses" section of this form (Task 2.10).

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents ExamsFor guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Using the table below, please select the measure and assessment(s) that will be used for SLOs for Global Studies

1.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.8 (6-8 SCI/SS) 2.9 (Global 1)

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
Global 1	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district		

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

2.10) All Other Courses (Original)**STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES: Courses without State Assessments or Regents Exams**For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for teachers who do not receive a State-provided growth score. (Please note that for teachers with more than one grade and subject, SLOs must cover the courses taught with the largest number of students, combining sections with common assessments, until a majority of students are covered.)

For other grades/subjects: district-determined assessments from options below may be used as evidence of student learning within the SLO:

- District-determined assessments from list of State-approved 3rd party assessments; or
- State-approved district, regionally or BOCES-developed course-specific assessments; or
- School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results; or
- District- or BOCES-wide results

Fill in the following, as applicable, for all other teachers in additional grades/subjects that have SLOs (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

- **Column 1:** lowest grade that corresponds to the course
- **Column 2:** highest grade that corresponds to the course
- **Column 3:** subject of the course
- **Column 4:** measure used
- **Columns 5-6:** assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-6) assessment(s)
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra
K-3 Art	K	3	Art	Teacher-specific results	Questar III BOCES
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives	School- or program-wide, group, team, or linked results	All Regents given in building/district

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

Grade From	Grade To	Subject	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
9	12	CTE	School- or program-wide group, team, or linked results		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CNY-CTE Consortium	
K	12	Special Education	Teacher-specific results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NYSAA		
K	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district		

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Original Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Original Tasks 2.10 (Other Courses), 2.11-2.14

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO

Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one 0-20 score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Common branch teacher with State-provided growth measures for both ELA and Math in grade 4; middle school math teacher with both 7th and 8th grade math courses.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

2.13) Assurances

For guidance on SLOs and the development of back-up SLOs, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO Guidance:

<https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.**Please check the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the teacher has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- ☒ Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- ☒ Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☒ Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☒ Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 2.11, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- ☒ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- ☒ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

2.14) Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d**Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs**

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

Task 2) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)*The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.*

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations>.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with the Optional subcomponent.

(A) For a teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered test for which there is a State-provided growth model and at least 50% of a teacher's students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a teacher whose course does not end in a State-created or administered test or where less than 50% of the teacher's students are covered by a State-provided growth measure, such teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) developed and approved by his/her superintendent or another trained administrator, using a form prescribed by the Commissioner, consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any teacher whose course ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, grades 3-8 NYS ELA/math assessments and any State-provided growth scores may only be used for advisory purposes and may not be used for the purpose of calculating transition scores and ratings.

If grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores are the entirety of the Student Performance category, districts/BOCES must also develop an alternate SLO based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or on State-provided growth scores for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

2.2-2.10) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Using the table below, please first select a measure and assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLO during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, then indicate the applicable courses.

Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)	Applicable Course(s)
District- or BOCES-wide results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8 Math

2.11) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
------------------	-----------	------------	-------------

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 2. Transition Student Performance - Required (Teachers) - Task 2 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100 %	93- 96 %	90- 92 %	85- 89 %	80- 84 %	75- 79 %	67- 74 %	60- 66 %	55- 59 %	49- 54 %	44- 48 %	39- 43 %	34- 38 %	29- 33 %	25- 28 %	21- 24 %	17- 20 %	13- 16 %	9- 12 %	5- 8%	0- 4%

2.12) Teachers with More Than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on teachers with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and NYSED SLO

Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 3.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Teachers) - Task 3.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016**Task 3) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent**

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that the State-provided growth measure is different than that used in the Required subcomponent, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

3.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent of the Student Performance Category

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.**4.1) Teacher Practice Rubric****Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.**

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.
NYSUT Teacher Practice Rubric (2014 Edition)	(No Response)

4.2) Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- ☒ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year.
- ☒ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

4.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/SubcomponentsFor guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.**Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).**

All observable components selected will be weighted equally and averaged.

4.4) Calculating Observation Ratings**Assurances****Please check the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- ☒ Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 4.5 below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(1) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the district's/BOCES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(d)(2)(i)(b)(2) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	1.49 to 1.74

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

4.5) Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting**Required Subcomponents:**

- Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators: At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score
- Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent:

- Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

** If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator.*

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 4. Teacher Observation Category - Tasks 4.1-4.6

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

Required - Principal/ Administrator	Required - Independent Evaluator(s)	Optional - Peer Observer(s)	Grades and subjects for which Peer Observers will be used
90%	10%	N/A	(No Response)

4.6) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ☒ Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these teacher(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- ☒ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness.
- ☒ Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☒ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☒ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

4.7) Number and Method of Observations

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations for each type of observer, as well as the method of observation, in the tables below.

Tenured Teachers

	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Observation method	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method
Unannounced	0	N/A	1	In person	0	N/A
Announced	1	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A

Probationary Teachers

	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Minimum observations	Required - Principal/ Administrator: Observation method	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum observations	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Observation method	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Minimum observations	Optional - Peer Observer(s): Observation method
Unannounced	1	In person	1	In person	0	N/A
Announced	2	In person	0	N/A	0	N/A

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 5. Overall Scoring (Teachers) - Tasks 5.1-5.3

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

5.1) Scoring Ranges

Student Performance

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	18	20
E	15	17
D	13	14
I	0	12

Teacher Observation

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	1.49 to 1.74

5.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

		Teacher Observation Category			
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
Student Performance Category	Highly Effective (H)	H	H	E	D
	Effective (E)	H	E	E	D
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I

* If a teacher is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the teacher can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

5.3) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- ☒ Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same school district who have received Ineffective ratings under Education Law §3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the district has received a waiver from the Department.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

6.1) Assurances: Teacher Improvement Plans**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☒ Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

6.2) Attachment: Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

one teacher.docx

6.3) Assurance: Appeals**Please check the box below.**

- ☒ Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

6.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law§3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the StudentPerformance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observationcategory based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law§3012-d; and

- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law§3012-d.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

Appeals Process

The purpose of the internal APPR appeal process is to foster and nurture growth of the professional staff in order to maintain a highly qualified and effective work force. All tenured and probationary employees who meet the appeal process criteria identified below may use this appeal process. A teacher may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review or TIP. All grounds for appeal must be raised within one appeal, provided that the teacher knew or could have reasonably known the ground(s) existed at the time the appeal was initiated, in which instance a further appeal may be filed but only based upon such previously unknown ground(s). In all instances this appeals process will be timely and expeditious in accordance with Education Law 3012-d.

APPR Subject to Appeal Procedure

Any probationary unit member aggrieved by an APPR rating of "ineffective" may challenge that APPR. Any tenured unit member aggrieved by an APPR rating of either "ineffective" or "developing" may challenge that APPR.

In accordance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Board of Regents provides that (a) an evaluation that is the subject of an appeal shall not be sought to be offered in evidence or placed in evidence in any proceeding conducted pursuant to Education Law §3020-a and §3020-b or any locally negotiated alternate disciplinary procedure until the appeal process is concluded.

Grounds for an Appeal

An appeal may be filed challenging the APPR based upon one or more of the following grounds:

1. The substance of the Annual Professional Performance Review; including an instance of a teacher or principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally.
2. The district's failure to adhere to the standards and methodologies required for the Annual Professional Performance Review, pursuant to *Education Law* §3012-d and applicable rules and regulations;
3. The district's failure to comply with either the applicable regulations of the Commissioner of Education, or locally negotiated procedures;
4. The district's failure to issue and/or implement the terms of the Teacher Improvement Plan, where applicable, as required under *Education Law* §3012-d.

Notification of the Appeal

In order to be timely, the appeal shall be filed, in writing by the teacher initiating the appeal with the Assistant Superintendent for Instruction within fifteen (15) business days after the teacher has received the APPR summative rating or TIP.

Decisions on Appeal

Stage 1 - No later than seven (7) business days after receiving the appeal, the Assistant Superintendent for Instruction will hold a conference with the bargaining unit member and the authoring administrator. The bargaining unit member shall upon request be entitled to an Association representative being present. The conference shall be a meeting wherein the authoring administrator, the employee, and the Assistant Superintendent for Instruction are able to discuss the evaluation and the areas of dispute. A written response will be provided to the bargaining unit member within five (5) business days after the conference. If the bargaining unit member is not satisfied with the outcome, he/she may proceed to the second stage.

Stage 2 - The second stage shall be initiated by the unit member notifying the District Superintendent in writing, within (10) business days of receiving the written response provided to them at Stage 1. The District Superintendent shall notify the Association President upon receipt of an appeal that reaches the District Superintendent stage. The District Superintendent will meet with the affected unit member and the Association President within (10) business days to discuss the appeal.

The District Superintendent will review the appeal and render a final decision on the appeal in writing, within (10) business days of meeting with the unit member and Association President

6.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

- ☒ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-dTask 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

6.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

Broome Tioga BOCES

Process for Training Evaluators

A. The district ensures that all lead evaluators, evaluators, and impartial/independent evaluators are appropriately trained. The Board of Education will annually approve the certification/recertification of all evaluators. Certified Administrators serving as lead evaluators will not be prohibited from conducting classroom observations as part of the APPR process prior to completion of the training required by §30-3.10, provided such training is successfully completed prior to the completion of the evaluation.

B. The district participates in training for lead evaluators, evaluators and independent evaluators provided by Broome-Tioga BOCES in accordance with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d.

Learning opportunities are aligned to all nine required elements for lead evaluators training of Regents Rules §30-3.10:

- (1) The New York State Teaching Standards and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership standards and their related functions, as applicable;
- (2) Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research;
- (3) Application and use of the student growth percentile model and any other growth model approved by the Department as defined in section §30-3.2 of this Subpart;
- (4) Application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice;
- (5) Application and use of any assessment tools that the district utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals;
- (6) Application and use of any locally selected measures of student growth used in the optional subcomponent of the Student Performance Category used by the district to evaluate its teachers or principals;
- (7) Use of the statewide instructional reporting system;
- (8) The scoring methodology utilized by the department and/or the district to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including the weightings of each subcomponent within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each subcomponent and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix (is) prescribed by the commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their category ratings; and
- (9) Specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities.

C. The district participates in training for independent evaluators that is provided by Broome Tioga BOCES. The training is a minimum of eighteen (18) hours and includes:

1. NYS Teaching Standards and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership standards and their related functions, as applicable
2. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research; and
3. Application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice.

D. Initial training of all lead evaluators and evaluators consists of a minimum of twenty one (21) hours of instruction. In addition to participating in learning opportunities consistent with the nine required elements listed in section B, participants will demonstrate inter-rater reliability and inter-rater agreement by:

- Collecting evidence of teacher/principal practices
- Aligning the evidence with the rubric
- Scoring the teacher/principal performance
- Conducting a "post conference/coaching session" with a teacher/principal. Additionally, evaluators have the opportunity to participate in customized on site visits, which include shadowing and coaching the evaluator in the observations, evidence collection, rubric alignment and scoring process

E. Annual Refresher/Recertification training for all previously certified evaluators will consist of a minimum of nine (9) hours of training provided by the Broome-Tioga BOCES and the district which may include, but is not limited to:

- Data analysis of scoring trends within districts
- Review of subcomponents of the rubrics
- Use of videos/simulations to collect evidence and "recalibrate"
- Individual or team "coaching the evaluator"

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 6. Additional Requirements (Teachers) - Tasks 6.1-6.9

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

- Facilitated "observation rounds"
- Training and coaching on providing quality feedback to teachers/principals
- Training and coaching on quality TIP and PIP processes

6.7) Assurances: Teacher Evaluation**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ☒ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☒ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- ☒ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner.
- ☒ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide teachers whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ☒ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

6.8) Assurances: Assessments**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- ☒ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- ☒ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- ☒ Assure that, where applicable, if your district/BOCES has indicated that more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

6.9) Assurances: Data**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- ☒ Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☒ Assure scores and ratings for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☒ Assure that enrolled students in accordance with teacher of record policies are included and may not be excluded.
- ☒ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

Task 7) Original Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

(A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

7.1) State-Provided Measures of Student Growth (Original)

For principals with at least 30% of their students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model. Please list the grade configurations of the school(s)/program(s) in your district/BOCES where it is expected that 30-100% of a principal's students are taking assessments with a State-provided growth measure, (e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 9-12, etc.). For principals where less than 30% of their students are covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principals shall have an SLO consistent with a goal setting process determined or developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score; provided that for any grade-level/course that ends in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO. (See Guidance for more detail on principals with State-provided measures and SLOs.)

For the 2019-20 school year and thereafter, for those principals who would typically receive a State-provided growth score, the district/BOCES must also include a back-up SLO in the event that there are not enough students, not enough scores, or data issues that prevent a State-provided growth score from being calculated for that principal.

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where State-provided growth measures will apply beginning in the 2019-20 school year (please list, e.g., K-5, PK-6, 6-8, 6-12, 7-12, 9-12). For each configuration, also indicate assessment(s) used for the back-up SLO beginning in the 2019-20 school year.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) assessment(s)
Grades K-6 Building	K	6	NYS Grade 4 ELA, NYS Grade 5 ELA, NYS Grade 6 ELA, NYS Grade 4 Math, NYS Grade 5 Math, NYS Grade 6 Math
Grades 7-12 Building	7	12	All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the back-up SLOs beginning in the

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Task 7.1 (State-Provided Growth Measures)

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

2019-20 school year for each grade configuration listed. The SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

Grade From	Grade To	State or Regents Assessment(s)
K	12	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 5 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 ELA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 4 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 5 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 6 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 7 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade 8 Math <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All applicable Regents assessments which are used to generate the principal's State-provided growth score

7.1) Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that the growth score provided by NYSED will be used, where required.
- ☒ Assure that, starting in the 2019-20 school year, back-up SLOs will be set by the superintendent or another trained administrator for all principals who receive a State-provided growth score in the event that a State-provided growth score cannot be generated for that principal.
- ☒ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the results of the NYS Grades 3-8 ELA/Math assessments and State-provided growth scores will continue to be used to calculate an original score and rating for advisory purposes only.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Original Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Original Tasks 7.2 (SLOs), 7.3-7.6

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

7.4) Principals with More Than One Growth Measure (Original)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO

Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

If educators have more than one State-provided growth score and rating, those scores and ratings will be combined into one score and HEDI rating for the Required Student Performance subcomponent provided by the Department. (Examples: Principals of K-8 schools with growth measures for ELA and math grades 4-8.)

If educators have more than one SLO (or a State-provided growth measure and an SLO), the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO (or in the State-provided growth measure and the SLO).

7.5) Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the principal has an SLO or a back-up SLO, where applicable, consistent with the goal setting process developed by the Commissioner that results in a student growth score.
- ☒ Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator. Such targets, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator, may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learners status and prior academic history.
- ☒ Assure that all growth targets are approved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☒ Assure that any disagreement between parties regarding the content of the SLO, including the growth target, will be resolved by the superintendent or another trained administrator.
- ☒ Assure that if a principal's SLO is based on a small n size population and the district/BOCES chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed in task 7.3, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in APPR Guidance.
- ☒ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- ☒ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

7.6) Student Performance Subcomponent Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the Required subcomponent must comprise at least 50% of the Student Performance category.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

Task 7) Required Student Performance Subcomponent (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

The measures indicated in this section only apply during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years.

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

For guidance on the use of alternate SLOs during the transition period, see: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/guidance-on-new-york-s-annual-professional-performance-review-law-and-regulations>.

100% of Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or at least 50% when used with Optional subcomponent

(A) For a principal of a building which includes grades 4-8 ELA, math and/or high school courses with State or Regents assessments (or principals of programs with any of these assessments) who have at least 30% of his/her students covered under a State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a State-provided growth score based on such model.

(B) For a principal where less than 30% of his/her students are covered under the State-provided growth measure, such principal shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO), consistent with the SLO process determined or developed by the Commissioner, that results in a student growth score; provided that, for any principal whose building or program includes courses that end in a State-created or administered assessment for which there is no State-provided growth model, such assessment must be used as the underlying assessment for such SLO.

During the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years, pursuant to the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, if excluding grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores results in no remaining student performance measures, districts/BOCES must develop alternate SLOs based on assessments that are not grade 3-8 ELA/math State assessments for the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance Category during the transition to higher standards through new State assessments aligned to revised learning standards and a revised State-approved growth model.

7.1-7.2) Alternate SLOs (Transition Period, 2016-17 through 2018-19)

Please list the grade configurations of the schools or principals where alternate SLOs will apply. For each configuration, also indicate the measure and assessment(s) used for the alternate SLO.

For each grade configuration indicate the following:

- Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the building or program
- Column 3: measure used
- Column 4: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) measure	(4) assessment(s)
Grades K-2 Building	K	2	District- or BOCES-wide results	Common Core English, Common Core Algebra, Living Environment, Global 2, US History
Grades 11-12 Building	11	12	Principal-specific results	Common Core English, US History

Using the table below, please select the assessment(s) that will be used for the alternate SLOs during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years for each grade configuration listed. In all other school years, the SLO will be based on the largest grades/courses in the principal's school building, using State or Regents assessments as the underlying evidence for such SLOs where they exist.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 7. Transition Student Performance - Required (Principals) - Task 7 Alternate SLOs

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

Grade From	Grade To	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-Developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K	12	Principal-specific results	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Regents given in building/district		

7.3) HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

7.4) Principals with More than One Growth Measure (Transition)

For more information on principals with more than one growth measure, please see NYSED APPR Guidance and SLO

Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

If educators have more than one alternate SLO, the measures will each earn a score from 0-20 points which districts/BOCES must weight proportionately based on the number of students in each SLO.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 8.1. Optional Subcomponent Use (Principals) - Task 8.1 (Subcomponent Use and Weighting)

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

Task 8) Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

Up to 50% of Student Performance category, if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all buildings with the same grade configuration or program in the district/BOCES and be either:

(A) a second State-provided growth score on a State-created or administered test, provided that a different measure is used than that for the Required subcomponent in the Student Performance category, or

(B) a growth score based on a State-designed supplemental assessment, calculated using a State-provided or approved growth model.

8.1) Use of the Optional Subcomponent for Student Performance Measures

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

9.1) Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

9.2) Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- ☒ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the district/BOCES, provided that districts/BOCES may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different grade level configurations or building types.
- ☒ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

9.3) Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.**Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged).**

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

9.4) Calculating School Visit Ratings

Assurances**Please check the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- ☒ Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in task 9.5 below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Please also check the boxes below.

- ☒ Assure that if the district is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that if the district/BOCES is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the district's/BOCES' approved §3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(d)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	1.49 to 1.74

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

9.5) Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting**Required Subcomponents:**

- School Visits by Supervisor(s) or other Trained Administrator(s): At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score
- School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*: At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent:

- School Visits by Trained Peer Observer(s): No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

** If the district is granted an annual Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the district, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee.*

Please indicate the weighting of each subcomponent and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 9. Principal School Visit Category - Tasks 9.1-9.6

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

Required - Supervisor/ Administrator	Required - Independent Evaluator(s)	Optional -Peer Observer(s)	Grade configurations for which Peer Observers will be used
90%	10%	N/A	(No Response)

9.6) Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- ☒ Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer observer(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.
- ☒ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness.
- ☒ Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☒ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☒ Assure that peer observer(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the district/BOCES.
- ☒ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- ☒ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

9.7) Number of School Visits

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits for each type of observer in the tables below.

Tenured Principals

	Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits	Optional -Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits
Unannounced	0	1	0
Announced	1	0	0

Probationary Principals

	Required - Supervisor/ Administrator: Minimum school visits	Required - Independent Evaluator(s): Minimum school visits	Optional -Peer Observer(s): Minimum school visits
Unannounced	1	1	0
Announced	2	0	0

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 10. Overall Scoring (Principals) - Tasks 10.1-10.3

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.**10.1) Scoring Ranges****Student Performance Category**

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	18	20
E	15	17
D	13	14
I	0	12

Principal School Visit Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0	1.49 to 1.74

10.2) Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

		Principal School Visit Category			
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
Student Performance Category	Highly Effective (H)	H	H	E	D
	Effective (E)	H	E	E	D
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D*	D*	I	I

* If a principal is rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, and a State-designed supplemental assessment was included as an Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, the principal can be rated no higher than Ineffective overall (see Education Law §3012-d (5)(a) and (7)).

10.3) Assurances**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☒ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☒ Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance: <https://www.engageny.org/resource/appr-3012-d>.

11.1) Assurances: Improvement Plans**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the district/BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☒ Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

11.2) Attachment: Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the school district/BOCES.

one principals.docx

11.3) Assurance: Appeals**Please check the box below.**

- ☒ Assure the district/BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

11.4) Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law§3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their district/BOCES:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:
 - (i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;
- (2) the school district's/BOCES' adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law§3012-d; and
- (3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as well as the school district's/BOCES' issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher or principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law§3012-d.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious way.

A. Appeals procedures

The purpose of the internal APPR appeal process is to foster and nurture growth of the professional staff in order to maintain a highly qualified and effective work force. All tenured and probationary employees who meet the appeal process criteria identified below may use this appeal process. A principal may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review or PIP. All grounds for appeal must be raised within one appeal, provided that the principal knew or could have reasonably known the ground(s) existed at the time the appeal was initiated, in which instance a further appeal may be filed but only based upon such previously unknown ground(s). In all instances this appeals process will be timely and expeditious in accordance with Education Law §3012-d.

APPR Subject to Appeal Procedure

Any probationary unit member aggrieved by an APPR rating of "ineffective" may challenge that APPR. Any tenured unit member aggrieved by an APPR rating of either "ineffective" or "developing" may challenge that APPR.

In accordance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Board of Regents provides that (a) an evaluation that is the subject of an appeal shall not be sought to be offered in evidence or placed in evidence in any proceeding conducted pursuant to Education Law §3020-a and §3020-b or any locally negotiated alternate disciplinary procedure until the appeal process is concluded.

Grounds for an Appeal

An appeal may be filed challenging the APPR based upon one or more of the following grounds:

1. The substance of the Annual Professional Performance Review; including an instance of a teacher or principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally.
2. The district's failure to adhere to the standards and methodologies required for the Annual Professional Performance Review, pursuant to *Education Law* §3012-d and applicable rules and regulations;
3. The district's failure to comply with either the applicable regulations of the Commissioner of Education, or locally negotiated procedures;
4. The district's failure to issue and/or implement the terms of the Principal Improvement Plan, where applicable, as required under *Education Law* §3012-d.

Notification of the Appeal

In order to be timely, the appeal shall be filed, in writing by the principal initiating the appeal with the Assistant Superintendent for Instruction within fifteen (15) business days after the principal has received the APPR summative rating or PIP.

Decisions on Appeal

Stage 1 - No later than seven (7) business days after receiving the appeal, the Assistant Superintendent for Instruction will hold a conference with the bargaining unit member and the authoring administrator. The bargaining unit member shall upon request be entitled to an Association representative being present. The conference shall be a meeting wherein the authoring administrator, the employee, and the Assistant Superintendent for Instruction are able to discuss the evaluation and the areas of dispute. A written response will be provided to the bargaining unit member within five (5) business days after the conference. If the bargaining unit member is not satisfied with the outcome, he/she may proceed to the second stage.

Stage 2 - The second stage shall be initiated by the unit member notifying the District Superintendent in writing, within (10) business days of receiving the written response provided to them at Stage 1. The District Superintendent shall notify the Association President upon receipt of an appeal that reaches the District Superintendent stage. The District Superintendent will meet with the affected unit member and the Association President within (10) business days to discuss the appeal.

The District Superintendent will review the appeal and render a final decision on the appeal in writing, within (10) business days of meeting with the unit member and Association President.

11.5) Assurance: Evaluators

Please check the box below.

- ☒ The district/BOCES assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-dTask 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

11.6) Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

- 1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
- 2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
- 3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
- 4) the nature (content) and the duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

Broome Tioga BOCES**Process for Training Evaluators**

A. The district ensures that all lead evaluators, evaluators, and impartial/independent evaluators are appropriately trained. The Board of Education will annually approve the certification/recertification of all evaluators. Certified Administrators serving as lead evaluators will not be prohibited from conducting classroom observations as part of the APPR process prior to completion of the training required by §30-3.10, provided such training is successfully completed prior to the completion of the evaluation.

B. The district participates in training for lead evaluators, evaluators and independent evaluators provided by Broome-Tioga BOCES in accordance with the requirements of Education Law 3012-d.

Learning opportunities are aligned to all nine required elements for lead evaluators training of Regents Rules 30-3.10:

- (1) The New York State Teaching Standards and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership standards and their related functions, as applicable;
- (2) Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research;
- (3) Application and use of the student growth percentile model and any other growth model approved by the Department as defined in section 30-3.2 of this Subpart;
- (4) Application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice;
- (5) Application and use of any assessment tools that the district utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers or building principals;
- (6) Application and use of any locally selected measures of student growth used in the optional subcomponent of the Student Performance Category used by the district to evaluate its teachers or principals;
- (7) Use of the statewide instructional reporting system;
- (8) The scoring methodology utilized by the department and/or the district to evaluate a teacher or principal under this Subpart, including the weightings of each subcomponent within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each subcomponent and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix (is) prescribed by the commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's or principal's overall rating and their category ratings; and
- (9) Specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities.

C. The district participates in training for independent evaluators that is provided by Broome Tioga BOCES. The training is a minimum of eighteen (18) hours and includes:

1. NYS Teaching Standards and their related elements and performance indicators and the Leadership standards and their related functions, as applicable
2. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research; and
3. Application and use of the State-approved teacher or principal rubric(s) selected by the district for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher or principal's practice.

D. Initial training of all lead evaluators and evaluators consists of a minimum of twenty one (21) hours of instruction. In addition to participating in learning opportunities consistent with the nine required elements listed in section B, participants will demonstrate inter-rater reliability and inter-rater agreement by:

- Collecting evidence of teacher/principal practices
- Aligning the evidence with the rubric
- Scoring the teacher/principal performance
- Conducting a "post conference/coaching session" with a teacher/principal. Additionally, evaluators have the opportunity to participate in customized on site visits, which include shadowing and coaching the evaluator in the observations, evidence collection, rubric alignment and scoring process

E. Annual Refresher/Recertification training for all previously certified evaluators will consist of a minimum of nine (9) hours of training provided by the Broome-Tioga BOCES and the district which may include, but is not limited to:

- Data analysis of scoring trends within districts
- Review of subcomponents of the rubrics
- Use of videos/simulations to collect evidence and "recalibrate"
- Individual or team "coaching the evaluator"
- Facilitated "observation rounds"

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d

Task 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

- Training and coaching on providing quality feedback to teachers/principals
- Training and coaching on quality TIP and PIP processes

11.7) Assurances: Principal Evaluation**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that the district/BOCES shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- ☒ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☒ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- ☒ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law §3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any district or regionally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner.
- ☒ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall compute and provide principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- ☒ Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such principals with their original composite rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.

11.8) Assurances: Assessments**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that, where applicable, if students in Common Core courses are taking both the 2005 Learning Standards and Common Core versions of the Regents Assessment, then the district/BOCES will use the higher of the two scores to determine whether a student has met his/her growth target.
- ☒ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- ☒ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the administration and scoring of those assessments.
- ☒ Assure that, where applicable, if your district/BOCES has indicated that more than one version of a Regents assessment for a content area will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO, that the district/BOCES will only administer both assessments within the timeframes prescribed by the Commissioner. Where only one version of a Regents assessment for a content area is administered in a particular school year, assure that only that assessment will be used as the underlying evidence for an SLO.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-dTask 11. Additional Requirements (Principals) - Tasks 11.1-11.9

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

11.9) Assurances Data**Please check all of the boxes below.**

- ☒ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- ☒ Assure that the district/BOCES provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☒ Assure scores and ratings for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each category, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☒ Assure that enrolled students in accordance with policies for student assignment to schools and may not be excluded.
- ☒ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-dTask 12. Joint Certification of APPR Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 07/13/2016

Task 12) Upload APPR District Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using this form: APPR District Certification Form.

final SED cert form.pdf

Broome-Tioga BOCES Teacher Improvement Plan

Name of Teacher: _____

School Building/Program: _____ Date Developed: _____

Broome-Tioga BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1, following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being reviewed. This Teacher Improvement Plan developed and implemented by the District Superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, shall include:

1. Identification of needed areas of improvement (including improvement goals):

2. A timeline for achieving improvement, which includes dates of formative evaluation on progress (supervising principal and teacher initial each date to confirm the meeting):

3. The manner in which the improvement will be assessed:

4. Where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas:

5. Required and Accessible Resources, including identification of responsibility for provision:

6. Assessment Summary: A narrative summary of improvement progress, including verification of the provision of support and resources as outlined above no later than ten (10) business days after the identified completion date. Such summary shall be signed by the District Superintendent or his designee and teacher with the opportunity for the teacher to attach comments.

Teacher's Signature: _____ Date: _____

BTA Representative's Signature: _____ Date: _____

District Superintendent or
his/her Designee's Signature: _____ Date: _____

CC: Personnel File
Principal

Broome Tioga BOCES Principal Improvement Plan

Name of Principal: _____

School Building/Program: _____ Date Developed: _____

Broome-Tioga BOCES will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating by October 1, following the school year for which such principal's performance is being reviewed. This Principal Improvement Plan developed and implemented by the District Superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgement, shall include:

1. Identification of noted areas of improvement (including improvement goals):

2. A timeline for achieving improvement, which includes dates of formative evaluation on progress (supervising principal and principal initial each date to confirm the meeting):

3. The manner in which the improvement will be assessed:

4. Where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a Principal's improvement in those areas:

5. Required and Accessible Resources, including identification of responsibility for provision:

6. Assessment Summary: A narrative summary of improvement progress, including verification of the provision of support and resources as outlined above no later than 10 business days after the identified completion date. Such summary shall be signed by the District Superintendent or his/her designee and principal with the opportunity for the principal to attach comments.

Principal's Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

BMA Representative's Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

District Superintendent/Designee: _____ **Date:** _____

c: Personnel File

Director

DISTRICT CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download this form, sign and upload to APPR form

By signing this document, the school district or BOCES certifies that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) Plan, that all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES. By signing this document, the collective bargaining agent(s) of the school district or BOCES, where applicable, certify that this document constitutes the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan, that collective negotiations have been completed on all provisions of the APPR that are subject to collective bargaining, and that such APPR Plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and has been adopted by the governing body of the school district or BOCES.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that upon information and belief, all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using a comprehensive annual evaluation system that rigorously adheres to Education Law §3012-d as implemented by Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that this APPR Plan is the district's or BOCES' complete APPR Plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the school district or BOCES; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan; and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The district/BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, transition scores and ratings will be calculated for teachers and principals that exclude the results of grades 3-8 English Language Arts (ELA) and Math State assessments and any State-provided growth scores; that the district/BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures in their approved APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents during the transition period; and that original APPR scores and ratings will be provided for advisory purposes only, and will have no impact on employment decisions, including tenure determinations, or teacher and principal improvement plans.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11), as added by Chapter 56 of the Laws of 2015.

The school district or BOCES and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the overall transition rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES will continue to provide teachers and principals with original APPR scores and ratings calculated based on the measures described in this APPR plan without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, original APPR scores and ratings will not be used as the basis for employment decisions and will only be used for advisory purposes;
- Assure that beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, the original overall APPR score pursuant to the district or BOCES approved APPR plan shall be used as the basis for employment decisions, including tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district or BOCES, shall provide teachers and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are based, in whole or in part, on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments and/or State-provided growth scores with their APPR transition scores and ratings calculated pursuant to §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall provide such teachers and principals with their original composite APPR rating by September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, the district/BOCES shall continue to provide teachers and principals whose Student Performance Category measures are not based on the grades 3-8 ELA/math State assessments or State-provided growth scores with their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if

available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of their annual professional performance reviews, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured, and that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured

- Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured;
- Assure that beginning in the 2019-20 school year, the district or BOCES shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal their score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher's or principal's annual professional performance review, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured;
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the district office and made available to the public on the district's or BOCES' website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school year, the district or BOCES will continue to report both the original and transition individual category and subcomponent scores and the overall original and transition ratings to the State for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Certify that the district provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities;
- Assure that, during the 2015-16 through 2018-19 school years, any educators who receive a Developing or Ineffective rating as their overall transition rating will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year in which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that, beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, any educator who receives a Developing or Ineffective rating on their original overall rating pursuant to this APPR plan will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations, by October 1 in the school year following the school year for which such teacher's or principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter;
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations;
- Assure that the district or BOCES has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the district/BOCES;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits;
- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the district and BOCES shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;
- Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the district/BOCES must be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined by the superintendent or another trained administrator;
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year, on a form prescribed by the Commissioner, to the Commissioner for approval;
- Assure that the alternate SLOs described in Tasks 2 and 7 of this APPR plan will be used as the basis for certain teachers' and principals' transition APPR scores and ratings, where applicable and consistent with section 30-3.17 of

the Rules of the Board Regents, during the 2016-17 through 2018-19 school years only;

- Assure that, beginning in the 2019-20 school year, no transition scores and ratings will be generated and the district or BOCES' original APPR Plan will apply to all classroom teachers and building principals as defined in the statute, regulations, and SED guidance without any modifications, substitutions, or replacements as a result of the requirements of §30-3.17 of the Rules of the Board of Regents;
- Assure that the district or BOCES will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the Individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates


Superintendent Signature:

Date:

 7/13/16

Teachers Union President Signature:

Date:

 7/13/16

Administrative Union President Signature:

Date:

 7/13/16

Board of Education President Signature:

Date:

 7/13/16